Circulation

ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

Mental Stress–Induced-Myocardial Ischemia in Young Patients With Recent Myocardial Infarction

Sex Differences and Mechanisms

BACKGROUND: Mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia (MSIMI) is frequent in patients with coronary artery disease and is associated with worse prognosis. Young women with a previous myocardial infarction (MI), a group with unexplained higher mortality than men of comparable age, have shown elevated rates of MSIMI, but the mechanisms are unknown.

METHODS: We studied 306 patients (150 women and 156 men) ≤61 years of age who were hospitalized for MI in the previous 8 months and 112 community controls (58 women and 54 men) frequency matched for sex and age to the patients with MI. Endothelium-dependent flow-mediated dilation and microvascular reactivity (reactive hyperemia index) were measured at rest and 30 minutes after mental stress. The digital vasomotor response to mental stress was assessed using peripheral arterial tonometry. Patients received ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi myocardial perfusion imaging at rest, with mental (speech task) and conventional (exercise/pharmacological) stress.

RESULTS: The mean age of the sample was 50 years (range, 22–61). In the MI group but not among controls, women had a more adverse socioeconomic and psychosocial profile than men. There were no sex differences in cardiovascular risk factors, and among patients with MI, clinical severity tended to be lower in women. Women in both groups showed a higher peripheral arterial tonometry ratio during mental stress but a lower reactive hyperemia index after mental stress, indicating enhanced microvascular dysfunction after stress. There were no sex differences in flow-mediated dilation changes with mental stress. The rate of MSIMI was twice as high in women as in men (22% versus 11%, P=0.009), and ischemia with conventional stress was similarly elevated (31% versus 16%, P=0.002). Psychosocial and clinical risk factors did not explain sex differences in inducible ischemia. Although vascular responses to mental stress (peripheral arterial tonometry ratio and reactive hyperemia index) also did not explain sex differences in MSIMI, they were predictive of MSIMI in women only.

CONCLUSIONS: Young women after MI have a 2-fold likelihood of developing MSIMI compared with men and a similar increase in conventional stress ischemia. Microvascular dysfunction and peripheral vasoconstriction with mental stress are implicated in MSIMI among women but not among men, perhaps reflecting women's proclivity toward ischemia because of microcirculatory abnormalities.

Viola Vaccarino, MD, PhD
Samaah Sullivan, PhD
Muhammad Hammadah, MD
Kobina Wilmot, MD
Ibhar Al Mheid, MD
Ronnie Ramadan, MD
Lisa Elon, MS, MPH
Pratik M. Pimple, MBBS, MPH
Ernest V. Garcia, PhD
Jonathon Nye, PhD
Amit J. Shah, MD, MSCR
Ayman Alkhoder, MD
Oleksiy Levantsevych, MD
Hawkins Gay, MD
Malik Obideen, MD
Minxuan Huang, MPH
Tené T. Lewis, PhD
J. Douglas Bremner, MD
Arshed A. Quyyumi, MD
Paolo Raggi, MD

http://circ.ahajournals.org

Clinical Perspective

What Is New?

- Previous research has suggested elevated rates of myocardial ischemia provoked by mental stress in young women with coronary artery disease, but data remain limited and the mechanisms are unclear.
- We found that young women who have survived a recent myocardial infarction have a 2-fold likelihood of developing ischemia with mental stress compared with men of a similar age.
- Furthermore, we found that microvascular dysfunction and peripheral vasoconstriction during mental stress were related to mental stress-induced ischemia among women but not among men.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

- Younger women with myocardial infarction, compared with men of a similar age, are known to have unexplained adverse outcomes in terms of morbidity, mortality, and quality of life.
- Stressful exposures may play an important role in this group by inducing myocardial ischemia through sympathetically mediated effects on the microcirculation.
- Our results should inform future efforts aimed at improving risk assessment and secondary prevention for women with early onset myocardial infarction, where adequate consideration is given to the psychosocial sphere.

Women with early onset myocardial infarction (MI) have received growing attention as a group affected by unexplained inequalities in health outcomes.[1] Young and middle-age women with MI have poorer morbidity, mortality, and quality of life relative to otherwise comparable men, which are unexplained by traditional risk factors, comorbidities, treatments, and MI severity indicators. There is concern that alternative risk factors relevant for women may be unrecognized, and psychosocial factors may be especially important in this context.[2,3]

Depression, trauma, and perceived stress are disproportionally common in younger women after MI compared with their male counterparts or older patients[4–7] and are powerful predictors of cardiovascular risk in young women.[8–12] Yet the psychosocial domain has rarely been evaluated in the context of sex-related cardiovascular health inequalities in patients after MI. Recently, we have proposed a dominant role for the psychosocial sphere on cardiovascular risk and outcome in young women with early onset ischemic heart disease.[13,14] However, objective evidence of this vulnerability is still insufficient.

Mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia (MSIMI) is a frequent phenomenon in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) and is associated with a doubling of recurrent events and mortality, to a similar extent as ischemia provoked by a conventional stress test.[15] However, in contrast to conventional stress-induced ischemia, MSIMI is thought to reflect a dysregulated response to emotional stress rather than underlying CAD severity. Thus, there is growing interest in MSIMI as a marker of cardiovascular vulnerability to emotional stress.[16,17] We have previously reported, in a small sample, that young women with a recent MI had twice the rate of MSIMI than male counterparts.[4] These findings were replicated in a broader sample of patients with stable CAD.[18] We have also shown that for women but not for men, stress-induced peripheral vasoconstriction with mental stress is a dominant mechanism of MSIMI, perhaps reflecting women's proclivity toward microvascular dysfunction.[19] These previous studies, however, were limited by a small number of younger women, which precluded an investigation of whether differential vascular responses to mental stress explained sex differences in MSIMI.

In the current study of young patients after MI, we sought to confirm that women have a higher propensity for MSIMI than men and to empirically assess underlying vascular determinants of these differences. Furthermore, to provide a more in-depth understanding of potential sex-related behavioral and vascular factors implicated in cardiovascular disease, we examined sex differences in psychosocial characteristics and vascular stress responses in a sample of healthy community controls matched to the MI cases.

METHODS

Study Sample and Design

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. Study participants for the MIMS2 study (Myocardial Infarction and Mental Stress 2) included early onset MI cases and community controls without a history of CAD. The MI cases were recruited from the pool of patients who were admitted with a documented history of MI in the previous 8 months at Emory-affiliated hospitals in Atlanta, Georgia, and who were 18 to 60 years of age at the time of screening. The diagnosis of MI (type 1) was verified by medical record review based on standard criteria of troponin level increase together with symptoms of ischemia and ECG changes or other evidence of myocardial necrosis; presence of obstructive CAD was not a criterion for inclusion.[20] Controls were recruited in the Atlanta area from a community-based study of individuals without established CAD.[21] Inclusion criteria for controls were between 18 and 60 years of age and no past history of MI, unstable or stable angina pectoris, congestive heart failure, or stroke. Controls were frequency matched for age and sex to the MI cases, with the goal of achieving ≈50% women and a similar mean age in both samples.

Subjects were excluded if they had a severe comorbid medical or psychiatric disorder that could interfere with study results, such as cancer, renal failure, severe uncontrolled hypertension, current alcohol or substance abuse, or schizophrenia; if they were pregnant or breastfeeding; or if they were currently using immunosuppressant or psychotropic medications other than antidepressants. MI patients were also excluded if they had unstable angina, acute MI, or decompensated heart failure within the past week; if they weighed >450 pounds (because of limits on the weight bearing of the nuclear stress test equipment); and if it was deemed to be unsafe by study cardiologists to hold antiischemic medications for 24 hours before the testing.

Both MI cases and healthy controls underwent a standardized mental stress test and vascular testing as described below and following published methodology.[22] The patients with MI but not the controls also underwent single-photon emission computed tomography imaging studies with mental stress and with exercise or pharmacological stress. Sociodemographic and psychosocial data were collected for all participants before all testing. At the end of the study protocol, medical records for the patients with MI were abstracted for clinical information, including catheterization data. The Emory University Institutional Review Board approved the protocol, and all participants provided written informed consent.

Mental Stress Procedure

After resting for 30 minutes in a quiet, dimly lit, temperature-controlled room, mental stress was induced in both patients with MI and controls by a standardized public speaking task as previously described.[4,18,22] Participants were asked to imagine a real-life stressful situation, in which a close relative had been mistreated in a nursing home and asked to make up a realistic story around this scenario. They were given 2 minutes to prepare a statement and then 3 minutes to present it in front of a video camera and an audience wearing white coats. Subjects were told that their speech would be evaluated by the laboratory staff for content, quality, and duration. We recorded blood pressure and heart rate at 5-minute intervals during the resting phase and at 1-minute intervals during the mental stress task, and we calculated the rate-pressure product as peak systolic blood pressure times peak heart rate. We also obtained subjective ratings of distress with the Subjective Units of Distress Scale[23] on a linear scale of 0 to 100, with 100 being the highest level of distress.

Vascular Testing

Among both patients with MI and controls, we assessed peripheral vasoconstriction and microvascular function using the Endo-PAT2000 (Itamar Medical), which measures finger pulse volume amplitude (PVA), reflecting peripheral blood volume changes using volume plethysmography technology.[24,25] PVA signals detected by a finger probe were filtered, amplified, and analyzed in an operator-independent manner. The baseline PVA was determined by averaging the last 3 minutes of recording that preceded the mental stress test. The stress amplitude was determined as the lowest PVA during the speaking period. The peripheral arterial tonometry (PAT) ratio was then calculated by the software as the ratio of PVA during the speaking task over the resting baseline, with a ratio <1 signifying a vasoconstrictive response. After removing participants with excessive artifacts, the PAT ratio was available in 76% of MI cases and 72% of controls.

Before and 30 minutes after the mental stress test, we also used the EndoPAT device to assess the digital reactive hyperemia index (RHI), a measurement of peripheral microvascular function. For this test, PVA is obtained in resting condition and during reactive hyperemia, which is elicited by the release of an upper arm blood pressure cuff inflated to suprasystolic pressure for 5 minutes. The RHI is then calculated as the ratio of postdeflation to baseline pulse amplitude in the hyperemic finger divided by the ratio in the contralateral finger.[26] This metric is calculated by a computer algorithm and has been associated with cardiovascular outcomes.[27] Before and 30 minutes after the mental stress test, patients with MI and controls also underwent measurement of flow-mediated vasodilation (FMD) of the brachial artery via bimode ultrasound to assess endothelial function using standard methodology.[28]

Myocardial Perfusion Imaging

Subjects with MI underwent 3 single-photon emission computed tomography myocardial perfusion imaging scans after injection of sestamibi radiolabeled with Technetium-99 m ([99mTc]), at rest, during mental stress, and during conventional stress at the dose of 10 to 14 mCi of 99mTc for rest imaging and 30 to 40 mCi for stress imaging based on weight. Testing was done in 2 separate days up to 1 week apart on a dedicated single-photon emission computed tomography camera (Philips Cardio MD) without attenuation correction. We withheld antiischemic medications for 24 hours before testing following standard nuclear cardiology protocols. On the mental stress day, [99mTc]sestamibi was injected 1 minute after onset of the public speech task. On the conventional stress day, subjects underwent a standard Bruce protocol or (if unable to exercise) a pharmacological stress test with regadenoson (Abbott). The radioisotope injection was given at peak exertion or immediately after the regadenoson injection.

An experienced nuclear cardiologist (PR) performed visual interpretation blinded to stress test type and clinical data. Each myocardial segment was scored from 0 to 4, with 0 being normal, 1 possibly normal, 2 definitely abnormal, 3 severely abnormal, and 4 no perfusion. We calculated summed scores in a conventional fashion, including a summed stress score, a summed rest score, and a summed difference score.[29] For exercise and pharmacological stress, presence of ischemia was defined as a summed difference score ≥4.[30] A summed difference score ≥3 is typically used as evidence of MSIMI.[31] To check the robustness of the results, we also calculated percent ischemic myocardium as (summed difference score /68) X 100 as a semiquantitative measure of inducible ischemia for both conditions. Moreover, we computed the number of ischemic segments as an additional measure of ischemic burden. Within each segment, we defined myocardial ischemia as a new perfusion abnormality (a score of ≥2) or worsening of a preexisting abnormality by ≥2 points in a single segment or by ≥1 point in ≥2 contiguous segments.

Other Measurements

A research nurse obtained detailed sociodemographic, medical history, and medication information and measured weight and height to calculate the body mass index. Trained personnel abstracted medical records for the index MI, including clinical and angiographic data. Obstructive CAD was defined as ≥70% lumen stenosis. CAD severity was quantified with the Gensini scoring method, which uses a nonlinear point system for degree of luminal narrowing that weighs lesions according to specific coronary tree locations to take into account prognostic significance.[32]

We used validated instruments to assess behavioral, social, and health status information for both patients with MI and controls. Depressive symptoms were assessed with the Beck Depression Inventory-II,[33] a reliable and valid self-report measure that has been widely used in cardiac as well as noncardiac populations. In addition, we administered the Structured Clinical Interview for *DSM–IV*[34] to derive a lifetime diagnosis of major depression and posttraumatic stress disorder. The Structured Clinical Interview for *DSM–IV* was administered by a trained research nurse under the supervision of the study psychiatrist (JDB), who reviewed psychiatric diagnoses. We also administered the Cohen's Perceived Stress Scale,[35] a 10-item survey of general stress validated in multiethnic populations, and the Spielberger's State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, a 40-item questionnaire to measure anxiety as an emotional state or a personality trait.[36]

Statistical Analysis

We compared women and men within each group (patients with MI and controls) for demographic, behavioral, and clinical characteristics, as well as for hemodynamic and vascular changes during mental stress (and, among subjects with MI, conventional stress), using t tests for continuous variables and χ-squared tests for categorical variables. Similar testing was done to compare patients with MI with controls. Furthermore, we tested for sex by group interactions using mixed regression models for continuous variables and Breslow-Day tests for categorical variables. Because the distribution of the PAT ratio was highly skewed, it was log transformed in the analysis, and results were presented as geometric means.

For the MI group, we fitted logistic models to assess sex differences in ischemia as a binary variable for both mental and conventional stress. Using nested models, we sequentially adjusted for sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics, clinical risk variables and CAD severity indicators, and psychosocial factors. In a final model, we evaluated whether the results were independent of presence of ischemia with the alternative stress modality.

To assess potential vascular mechanisms for sex differences in MSIMI, we included resting hemodynamics and vascular function measures, and their change with mental stress, to a model with MSIMI as a dependent variable. Additionally, we evaluated whether women and men differed in hemodynamic and vascular predictors of MSIMI by constructing sex-stratified models and testing interactions by sex. For these analyses, we only considered measures obtained either at rest or during the stress procedure. Measurements of vascular function obtained 30 minutes after stress (FMD and RHI) were not considered relevant for this analysis. All these models were adjusted for body surface area and CAD severity indicators, including Gensini CAD severity score and resting perfusion defect severity (summed rest score).

Missing data were minimal or absent except for angiographic data, which were missing in 7% of the sample, and vascular function data, which were missing between 5% and 25% of the sample depending on the variable. To avoid loss of information and possible bias because of missing covariate values, we performed multiple imputations for statistical models that included angiographic or vascular function data. Data were imputed 50 times using the Markov chain Monte Carlo method of multiple imputation, implemented using SAS PROC MI, and imputed regression estimates were combined via SAS PROC MIANYLYZE.[37] We also assessed the missing at random assumption by differential weighting of variable values (SAS MNAR statement) and found results to be nearly identical, thus justifying reliance on the missing at random assumption. The estimates in the imputed analysis matched closely the not-imputed results, suggesting that bias was minimal or absent. Nonetheless, we report results based on imputed datasets for models that included angiographic or vascular function data. All analyses were conducted using SAS statistical software version 9.4 (SAS Inc).

RESULTS

Study Sample and Baseline Characteristics

Between August 2012 and March 2016, we enrolled 313 MI cases and 112 controls in the MIMS2 study. We excluded 7 MI cases with missing nuclear imaging results, leaving 306 subjects with MI (150 women and 156 men) and 112 controls (58 women and 54 men) in the analytic sample. The mean age was 50.5 years overall, and it was 50.8 years in the MI group (range, 25–61 years) and 49.5 in the control group (range, 22–61 years). All subjects were younger than 61 years of age, except for 3 whose birthdays occurred after screening but before study visits. The mean and median time from the date of the index MI to the study visit was 5 months for both women and men.

Compared with men with MI, women with MI had a more adverse sociodemographic and psychosocial profile, including lower income and education and higher levels of depression, posttraumatic stress disorder, and perceived stress, although there were no differences in anxiety (Table 1). Women with MI were also more likely to be black than men with MI. No such differences were found among controls. In both cases and controls, there were no sex differences in most cardiovascular risk factors and medications, except that women with MI were more likely to have diabetes mellitus than men with MI, and women in both groups were more often taking antidepressants. When compared with their MI counterparts, both female and male controls had a better psychosocial risk profile, including higher

Table 1. Characteristics of the Study Population, by Sex

Variable	Patients With MI			Controls		
	Women N=150	Men N=156	P	Women N=58	Men N=54	P
Demographic factors						
Age, y, mean (SD)	50 (7)	51 (6)	0.4	51 (8)	48 (10)	0.2
Black, %	75	57	0.001	48	37	0.2
Married or living with partner, %	36	48	0.03	52	56	0.7
Income ≤$25 000, %	48	34	0.01	7	14	0.2
Education, y, mean (SD)	13(3)	14 (3)	0.07	16(2)	17(3)	0.4
Premenopausal, %	23	—	—	35	—	—
Psychosocial risk factors						
Lifetime history of major depression, %	45	28	0.002	19	20	0.8
Current major depression, %	21	12	0.049	1.7	3.7	0.5
Beck Depression Inventory, mean (SD)	14 (11)	11 (10)	0.004	6 (8)	6 (7)	0.7
Lifetime history of PTSD, %	18	11	0.1	3	6	0.6
Current PTSD, %	13	9	0.3	3.5	3.7	0.9
PTSD Symptom Checklist, mean (SD)	33 (15)	31 (15)	0.1	23 (10)	25 (12)	0.3
Perceived Stress Scale, mean (SD)	18 (8)	15 (8)	0.004	10 (6)	11 (6)	0.7
State Anxiety, mean (SD)	37 (13)	35 (13)	0.4	29 (9)	31 (10)	0.3
Trait Anxiety, mean (SD)	39 (11)	37 (13)	0.2	31 (9)	32 (10)	0.7
Cardiovascular risk factors						
BMI, kg/m^2, mean (SD)	33 (8)	30 (6)	0.003	29 (7)	29 (5)	0.9
Ever smoker, %	61	56	0.4	25	30	0.5
History of hypertension, %	83	78	0.3	33	28	0.6
History of dyslipidemia, %	79	82	0.5	34	28	0.4
History of diabetes mellitus, %	37	27	0.07	9	6	0.5
Medications						
β-Blocker, %	85	85	0.9	5	6	0.9
Statins, %	84	85	0.8	12	17	0.5
Aspirin, %	83	80	0.5	7	13	0.3
ACE inhibitors, %	43	51	0.2	10	15	0.5
Antidiabetics, %	34	22	0.02	7	7	0.9
Antidepressants, %	23	11	0.004	23	9	0.05
Clinical characteristics (patients with MI only)						
ST-segment elevation MI, %	27	33	0.2	—	—	—
Maximum troponin, mean (SD)	22 (47)	35 (53)	0.1	—	—	—
History of MI before index MI, %	21	20	0.7	—	—	—
History of congestive heart failure, %	11	9	0.6	—	—	—
History of coronary bypass surgery, %	20	21	0.8	—	—	—
History of percutaneous intervention, %	71	68	0.6	—	—	—
LV ejection fraction, %, mean (SD)	53 (11)	48 (12.5)	<0.001	—	—	—
Gensini CAD severity score, mean (SD)*†	17 (4)	25 (4.)	0.03	—	—	—
Obstructive CAD (stenosis ≥70%), %†	82	87	0.2	—	—	—
3-vessel disease (at ≥70%), %†	9	18	0.04	—	—	—
SPECT summed rest score, mean (SD)	3 (6)	5 (7)	0.03	—	—	—
Resting hemodynamics						
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg, mean (SD)	137 (24)	131 (19)	0.01	120 (14)	122 (13)	0.6

(*Continued*)

Table 1. Continued

Variable	Patients With MI			Controls		
	Women N=150	Men N=156	P	Women N=58	Men N=54	P
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg, mean (SD)	84 (13)	83 (12)	0.3	75 (9)	78 (9)	0.7
Heart rate, beat/min, mean (SD)	66 (10)	63 (11)	0.006	63 (9)	61 (9)	0.1
RPP, beat × mm Hg/min per 1000, mean (SD)	7.7 (2.0)	7.1 (1.9)	0.007	6.7 (1.5)	6.4 (1.2)	0.4
Resting vascular function‡						
RHI, mean (SD)	1.7 (0.6)	1.8 (0.5)	0.1	1.8 (0.5)	1.9 (0.5)	0.6
FMD %, mean (SD)	3.9 (2.8)	3.8 (2.7)	0.7	5.3 (2.2)	4.9 (3.0)	0.5

BMI indicates body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; FMD, flow-mediated vasodilation; LV, left ventricle; MI, myocardial infarction; PTSD, posttraumatic stress disorder; RHI, reactive hyperemia index; RPP: rate-pressure product; and SPECT, single-photon computed tomography.

*Geometric means.

†Angiographic data available in 286 patients (93%). There were no differences in missing data by sex.

‡Vascular data available in a minimum of 283 (92%) MI cases and 106 (95%) controls. There were no differences in missing data by sex.

income and education, lower rates of depression and posttraumatic stress disorder, and lower symptoms of perceived stress and anxiety (all *P*<0.01). Controls also exhibited a more favorable cardiovascular risk profile than patients with MI, including lower levels of body mass index, smoking, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, and resting blood pressure (all *P*<0.01). This was true for both women and men, with no significant sex-by-group interactions. Women in the control group were also more likely to self-describe themselves as premenopausal than women with MI (35% versus 23%, *P*=0.08).

Despite similarities in CAD risk factors, women with MI had less severe obstructive CAD than men, as evidenced by a lower Gensini score, a lower frequency of 3-vessel disease, and a lower summed rest score, a measure of severity of fixed perfusion defects. Women also had a higher left ventricular ejection fraction. There were no sex differences in the proportions of ST-segment elevation MI, history of congestive heart failure, and previous revascularization.

A comparison of resting hemodynamics and vascular function between women and men with MI showed that women had a higher resting systolic blood pressure and heart rate, but vascular parameters (FMD and RHI) were similar at rest (Table 1). There were no sex differences in any of the resting hemodynamic and vascular parameters among controls. As expected, both female and male controls had a more preserved vascular function than their MI counterparts.

Hemodynamic, Vascular, and Subjective Responses to Stress

Overall, hemodynamic responses to mental stress were similar in MI cases and controls, except for a blunted heart rate response among patients. Women in both groups exhibited a slightly higher rate pressure product with mental stress than their male counterparts (Table 2). Furthermore, women in both groups showed a lower RHI postmental stress and a larger poststress RHI decline compared with men, indicating enhanced microvascular dysfunction with mental stress. In contrast, there were no sex differences in either group in FMD changes with mental stress. In both groups, the PAT ratio was higher in women than men (denoting less vasoconstriction), but women with MI, and not men with MI, showed more enhanced vasoconstriction than their respective controls (*P*=0.036 for the interaction between group and sex). There were no sex differences in any of the hemodynamic and vascular responses to conventional stress.

Myocardial Perfusion

Overall, 50 (16%) subjects with MI developed myocardial ischemia with mental stress and 69 (23%) with conventional stress. Women showed 2 times more myocardial ischemia than men, with both mental and conventional stress (Table 3). This was true irrespective of whether ischemia was analyzed as a dichotomous variable (presence/absence of ischemia), as a quantitative score (percent of ischemic myocardium), or as a count of ischemic myocardial segments. Despite the similarity of results for ischemia with mental and conventional stress, the correlation between the 2 types of ischemia was modest (Spearman correlation coefficient=0.20, *P*=0.002), and of the 119 patients with MI who developed ischemia with either condition, only 20 (17%) were positive for both mental and conventional stress ischemia. This proportion was similar in women (19%) and in men (12%).

Adjustment for sociodemographic and lifestyle factors, CAD severity, and psychosocial factors did not substantially affect sex differences in ischemia with either condition, and the alternative stress test result also did not explain MSIMI or CSIMI, although they were mildly associated (Table 4).

Table 2. Sex Differences in Hemodynamic, Vascular, and Subjective Responses to Stress

Variable	Patients With MI			Controls		
	Women N=150	Men N=156	P	Women N=58	Men N=54	P
Mental stress						
Hemodynamic responses						
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg,* mean change (SD)	41 (17)	40 (16)	0.5	44 (15)	41 (16)	0.4
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg,* mean change (SD)	28 (12)	28 (11)	0.9	29 (12)	25 (8)	0.05
Heart rate, beats/min,* mean change (SD)	25 (16)	22 (13)	0.1	33 (15)	26 (13)	0.005
RPP, per 1000, poststress (SD)	14 (4)	13 (3)	0.002	15 (4)	13 (3)	0.01
RPP, per 1000,* mean change (SD)	7 (3)	6 (2)	0.02	8 (3)	6 (3)	0.01
Vascular responses						
RHI, poststress, mean (SD)	1.6 (0.6)	1.8 (0.6)	0.03	1.7 (0.6)	2.0 (0.5)	0.006
RHI,† mean change (SD)	−0.08 (0.54)	−0.02 (0.50)	0.3	−0.12 (0.52)	0.13 (0.58)	0.03
FMD %, poststress, mean (SD)	2.2 (2.2)	2.1 (2.4)	0.8	3.5 (1.9)	3.0 (2.2)	0.2
FMD %,† mean change (SD)	−1.7 (2.1)	−1.7 (2.1)	0.9	−1.8 (1.4)	−1.9 (2.9)	0.7
PAT ratio,‡ mean (SD)	0.72 (0.52)	0.62 (0.39)	0.049	0.88 (0.65)	0.56 (0.58)	0.001
Subjective Units of Distress Scale, mean change§ (SD)	29 (35)	23 (25)	0.1	32 (28)	33 (27)	0.8
Conventional stress (patients with MI only)‖						
Hemodynamic responses						
Type of stress test (exercise vs pharmacological), %	65	75	0.07	—	—	—
Maximum heart rate, beats/min, mean (SD)	152 (12)	152 (12)	0.9	—	—	—
RPP, per 1000, poststress, mean (SD)	22.5 (6.1)	23.4 (5.9)	0.2	—	—	—
% max heart rate on treadmill, mean (SD)	88 (6)	88 (13)	0.7	—	—	—
Vascular responses				—	—	—
RHI, poststress, mean (SD)	1.7 (0.5)	1.8 (0.5)	0.2	—	—	—
RHI,† mean change (SD)	0.001 (0.6)	−0.05 (0.53)	0.4	—	—	—
FMD %, poststress, mean (SD)	2.9 (2.2)	2.5 (2.3)	0.2	—	—	—
FMD %,† mean change (SD)	−1.0 (2.8)	−1.3 (2.5)	0.3	—	—	—

FMD indicates flow-mediated vasodilation; MI, myocardial infarction; PAT, pulsatile arterial tonometry; RHI, reactive hyperemia index; and RPP, rate-pressure product. Values are all means (standard deviation), unless indicated otherwise.

*Difference between maximum value during stress and minimum value during rest.

†Difference between post- and pretest values: a negative value indicates worsened vascular function. Data available in a minimum of 282 (92%) MI cases and 105 (94%) controls for mental stress and a minimum of 271 (89%) MI cases for conventional stress.

‡Ratio of pulse wave amplitude during the speaking task over the resting baseline, with lower values indicating greater vasoconstriction. The PAT ratio was log transformed and geometric means are presented here. Data were available in 234 (76%) MI cases and 80 (71%) controls. There were no differences in missing data by sex.

§Difference between post- and pretest values: a positive value indicates higher distress with mental stress. Data available in 297 (97%) of MI cases and all controls.

‖N=302 (4 patients did not receive a conventional stress test).

Vascular Mechanisms for Sex Differences in MSIMI

To assess potential vascular mechanisms of sex differences in MSIMI, we examined whether resting hemodynamic and vascular measures, and their changes with mental stress, explained sex differences in MSIMI. These analyses were adjusted for body surface area and CAD severity (Gensini CAD severity score and single-photon emission computed tomography summed rest score). After including resting RPP and resting RHI to the mod-el, the odds ratio of MSIMI for women compared with men was 2.6 (95% confidence interval, 1.3–5.4). Adding resting FMD to the model did not change the results (odds ratio, 2.6; 95% confidence interval, 1.3–5.3). Adjustment for change in RPP with mental stress (odds ratio, 2.7; 95% confidence interval, 1.3–5.4) and further adjustment for PAT ratio with mental stress (odds ratio, 3.0; 95% confidence interval, 1.5–6.4) also did not weaken the association between sex and MSIMI.

Of the hemodynamic and vascular measures evaluated in these models, only a lower PAT ratio, denoting

Table 3. Unadjusted Sex Differences in Myocardial Ischemia Measures

Variable	Women		Men		P
Mental stress (N=306)					
Myocardial ischemia, n (%)	33	(22)	17	(11)	0.009
Number of ischemic segments, mean (95% CI)	0.6	(0.3, 0.9)	0.3	(0.1, 0.4)	0.03
% LV with inducible ischemia, n (%)					
0	109	(73)	130	(83)	0.005†
>0 to <5	16	(11)	16	(11)	
≥5 to <8	13	(9)	6	(4)	
≥8	12	(8)	4	(3)	
Conventional stress (N=299)*					
Myocardial ischemia, n (%)	45	(31)	24	(16)	0.002
Number of ischemic segments, mean (95% CI)	1.1	(0.7, 1.4)	0.6	(0.3, 0.8)	0.02
% LV with inducible ischemia, n (%)					
0	88	(60)	113	(74)	0.002†
>0 to <5	14	(9)	15	(10)	
≥5 to <8	21	(14)	13	(9)	
≥8	24	(16)	11	(7)	

CI indicates confidence interval; and LV, left ventricle.

*Seven patients had missing myocardial perfusion data with conventional stress.

†Mantel-Haenszel test for linear trend.

greater vasoconstriction with mental stress, was significantly associated with MSIMI (P=0.01), while a lower resting RHI, denoting lower baseline microvascular function, was borderline (P=0.06). However, these results were driven by women, as a lower resting RHI and a lower PAT ratio were related to MSIMI in women only (Table 5). The interaction effect with sex was borderline significant for PAT ratio (P=0.058). There was no significant association between rate pressure product (either the resting value or the change with mental stress) and MSIMI in either women or men. None of these vascular measurements was associated with ischemia with conventional stress (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

We found that young and middle-age women with a recent MI had a doubling of the odds of developing MSIMI compared with men, and they also showed a similar increase in ischemia induced by conventional stress. This finding was observed despite the fact that women had less obstructive CAD than men. Of several hemodynamic and vascular measures, a lower PAT ratio, indicator of peripheral vasoconstriction with mental stress, and a lower resting RHI, a marker of baseline mi-

Table 4. Adjusted Sex Differences in Myocardial Ischemia With Mental Stress and Conventional Stress

Model	Outcome: Myocardial Ischemia With Mental Stress Odds Ratio (95% CI) Women Versus Men	Outcome: Myocardial Ischemia With Conventional Stress Odds Ratio (95% CI) Women Versus Men
Adjusted for sociodemographic and lifestyle factors*	2.2 (1.1–4.3)	2.4 (1.3–4.2)
Adjusted for the above plus CAD risk factors, medical history, and CAD severity†	3.0 (1.4–6.1)	3.0 (1.6–5.6)
Adjusted for the above plus psychosocial factors‡ and antidepressant use	3.1 (1.5–6.6)	2.9 (1.5–5.5)
Adjusted for the above plus myocardial ischemia with alternative stress test	2.7 (1.3–5.9)	2.6 (1.4–5.0)

BMI indicates body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; CI, confidence interval; *DSM–IV*, *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition*; PTSD, posttraumatic stress disorder; and SPECT, single-photon emission computed tomography. The data shown are based on datasets where missing variable values were imputed.

*Age, race (black versus nonblack), years of education, ever smoking, and BMI.

†Hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, ST-segment myocardial infarction, left ventricular ejection fraction, Gensini CAD severity score, and resting perfusion defect severity (SPECT summed rest score).

‡Beck Depression Inventory score, PTSD Symptom Checklist score, and Perceived Stress Scale score. Substituting depression and PTSD symptom scores with *DSM–IV* diagnoses for depression and PTSD did not substantially change the results.

crovascular dysfunction, were associated with MSIMI, suggesting the importance of sympathetically mediated vasomotor effects in the pathogenesis of MSIMI. Although these vascular responses did not explain sex differences in MSIMI, they were predictive of MSIMI in women only, supporting women's vulnerability toward the effects of the microcirculation on myocardial ischemia. Our data corroborate a large literature suggesting the importance of microvascular dysfunction in the etiology of ischemic heart disease in women[38] and suggest that this mechanism may be at play in determining a higher rate of MSIMI among women.[16]

Our results are in line with previous reports of higher rates of MSIMI in women, especially younger women, compared with men of comparable age and clinical characteristics.[4,18,39] Using a similar protocol in a small study of patients after MI, we previously reported similar findings of a higher rate of MSIMI in women.[4] In a larger sample of patients with broadly defined stable CAD, we also previously described a sex-by-age interaction such that the vulnerability toward MSIMI applies to younger women only.[18] Other studies have reported mixed results concerning sex differences in MSIMI, but they predominantly enrolled older patients.[39,40]

Table 5. Sex-Specific Association of Hemodynamic and Vascular Function Measures (At Rest and During Mental Stress) With Mental Stress-Induced Myocardial Ischemia

Variable	Outcome: Myocardial Ischemia With Mental Stress			
	Women Odds Ratio (95% CI)	*P*	Men Odds Ratio (95% CI)	*P*
Separate models*				
Resting RPP, per 1000 U increment	0.98 (0.80–1.20)	0.9	0.91 (0.68–1.22)	0.5
RPP change with mental stress, per 1,000 U increment†	1.04 (0.93–1.17)	0.5	1.17 (0.96–1.44)	0.1
Resting FMD absolute difference, per 0.10 mm decrement	1.12 (0.95–1.32)	0.2	0.87 (0.73–1.03)	0.1
Resting RHI, per 0.10 U decrement	1.09 (1.00–1.19)	0.049	1.03 (0.93–1.13)	0.6
PAT ratio with mental stress, per 0.10 log unit decrement‡	1.12 (1.03–1.21)	0.01	1.03 (0.92–1.15)	0.5
Same model§				
Resting RPP, per 1000 U increment	0.90 (0.72–1.13)	0.4	0.91 (0.68–1.22)	0.5
RPP change with mental stress, per 1000 U increment†	1.04 (0.92–1.19)	0.5	1.16 (0.95–1.42)	0.1
Resting RHI, per 0.10 U decrement	1.14 (1.03–1.26)	0.009	1.03 (0.93–1.14)	0.6
PAT ratio with mental stress, per 0.10 log unit decrement‡	1.15 (1.04–1.27)	0.004	1.03 (0.92–1.15)	0.6

FMD indicates flow-mediated vasodilation; PAT, pulsatile arterial tonometry; RHI, reactive hyperemia index; and RPP, rate-pressure product. Data shown are based on datasets where missing variable values were imputed. Variables in these models are those measured either at rest or during the stress procedure (with calculated change from baseline). Measurements done 30 minutes after stress (FMD poststress and RHI poststress) were not considered relevant for this analysis.

*Each measure listed was entered as an independent variable in a separate model with MSIMI as a dependent variable. All models adjusted for body surface area and severity of coronary artery disease (Gensini severity score and SPECT summed rest score).

†Difference between maximum value during stress and minimum value during rest.

‡Ratio of pulse wave amplitude during the speaking task over the resting baseline, with lower values indicating greater vasoconstriction. The PAT ratio was log transformed.

§All measures listed were included together as independent variables in a model with MSIMI as a dependent variable. The model also adjusted for the same factors as above: body surface area and severity of coronary artery disease (Gensini severity score and SPECT summed rest score). Adding menopausal status to the model for women yielded similar results.

A major objective of the current study was to explore potential mechanisms of sex differences in MSIMI. Because psychosocial status and vascular function are profoundly affected by the presence of CAD, we also studied matched healthy community controls. In the MI group, women compared with men had a larger burden of socioeconomic and emotional risk factors, including lower income and education and higher levels of psychosocial distress (symptoms of depression, posttraumatic stress disorder, and perceived stress). The fact that no such differences were found in community controls suggests that these factors may be more often implicated in the risk of early onset MI among women than men or may occur as a consequence of the MI in women more often than in men. However, these factors did not explain the higher odds of MSIMI in women compared with men, suggesting that other factors, alone or in combination, must be at play.

Severity of CAD is unlikely to be implicated in the sex differences in MSIMI. Consistent with previous studies,[1,4,18] we found that women with MI had actually less severe CAD than their male counterparts, and vascular function at baseline was similar in women and men in both patients and controls. However, after mental stress, women compared with men exhibited a more pronounced decline in RHI, an indicator of decreased peripheral microvascular flow during reactive hyperemia testing, a difference that was observed in both patients

and controls. Thus, psychological stress may elicit a more adverse microvascular response among women even though resting values are similar. The involvement of the microvascular circulation is suggested by the fact that there were no sex differences in FMD changes (an indicator of macrovascular endothelial dysfunction) with mental stress in either patients or controls. Furthermore, the PAT ratio, which mostly reflects vasoconstriction of larger peripheral vessels during stress, was actually higher in women than in men, as previous studies also reported.[41] Additionally, the fact that both women patients and women controls, but not men in either group, exhibited a lower poststress microcirculatory function (denoted by a lower RHI) suggests that microvascular responses to stress could be an important element of sex differences in cardiovascular disease etiology. These results also point to the importance of studying dynamic changes in vascular function with pertinent exposures to fully understand sex differences in cardiovascular pathophysiology.

In addition to sex differences in vascular responses with mental stress, our data suggest that women are more susceptible than men to the effects of vasomotion and microvascular function as substrates of MSIMI. In fact, peripheral vasoconstriction with mental stress (PAT ratio) and baseline microvascular function (RHI) were predictive of MSIMI in women only. These data parallel our findings in a separate sample of patients with CAD, where the PAT ratio was predictive of MSIMI

in women but not in men.[19] In that study, however, we also found that in men MSIMI was predicted by increased hemodynamic stress reactivity, which was not the case in the present study. This apparent discrepancy may be due to differences in study populations, which in our previous study included predominantly older patients with broadly defined CAD.

As a whole, our results suggest that the higher rate of MSIMI in women could be because of women's vulnerability to ischemia secondary to microvascular dysfunction or sympathetically mediated vascular reactivity. Our data corroborate a prevailing model that MSIMI results primarily from insufficient dilation or enhanced constriction of the coronary microcirculation during stress, rather than from obstructive CAD.[42] This model of microcirculatory dysfunction in MSIMI could be especially applicable to women and fits current hypotheses of ischemic heart disease pathophysiology in this group.[16]

We found that women were also more likely than men to develop ischemia with a conventional stress test. These results were unexpected because women had less severe CAD than men, and other studies have reported a lower incidence of ischemia in women than in men after an MI[43] or a similar extent of ischemia despite less prevalent angiographic CAD.[44] However, these previous studies primarily included older patients. In a separate report, we found a similar tendency for young women with CAD to show more ischemia than men of comparable age.[18] Therefore, it is possible that young women with CAD, and especially those who have recently survived an MI, are disproportionately prone to myocardial ischemia; the mechanisms for this sex difference deserve further study. In our investigation, little overlap occurred between MSIMI and conventional stress ischemia, and the latter was not predicted by microvascular function at rest or vasoconstrictive responses with mental stress in either women or men, suggesting that these are, for the most part, separate phenomena.

Our findings have substantial implications. Despite considerable progress in improving the awareness of cardiovascular disease as a major cause of morbidity and mortality in women, there seems to be stagnation toward a reduction in MI incidence and mortality among younger women compared with other groups.[45–48] Hospitalization rates for MI are increasing disproportionately in women <65 years of age,[45–47,49] and overall heart disease mortality rates are declining less in this group than other segments of the US population.[50] Although recent adverse trends in obesity and smoking may be implicated, traditional risk factors do not explain these disparities.[3] There is concern that a lack of acknowledgment of alternative, unmeasured risk factors relevant to women may undermine primary and secondary prevention efforts for young women.[2,3] In this context, the high rates of MSIMI and myocardial ischemia in general we describe in this group, together with their adverse psychosocial profile, provide new potential avenues for risk assessment and prevention for women.

Our study has limitations worth noting. The extent of inducible ischemia with mental stress was overall relatively mild, and because we lacked outcome data, the clinical significance of our findings need further study. Although the prognostic significance of MSIMI has been established, previous studies have predominantly included men,[15] and thus more data are warranted regarding the clinical significance of MSIMI in women. It is possible that the speech task we used for mental stress testing, although an established method in studies where ischemia is assessed with [^{99m}Tc]sestamibi perfusion imaging, may have limited sensitivity or specificity for the assessment of acute stress in this population. However, if this were an issue, then it would bias the estimates toward the null. Finally, our study was conducted at a single institution, and generalizability to other populations and settings also need further investigation. Despite these limitations, this remains the largest and possibly the only study of MSIMI in young patients after MI. The experimental design, the inclusion of community controls, and the large number of women and minorities are unique strengths of our investigation. Finally, we used myocardial perfusion imaging, which remains the gold standard for ischemia assessment and has important advantages for mental stress testing because the radioisotope is injected during mental stress and provides a snapshot of perfusion at the time of stress.

In conclusion, young and middle-age women with a recent MI have a 2-fold likelihood of developing MSIMI compared with men and a similar increase in ischemia induced by a conventional stress test. Furthermore, microvascular dysfunction and stress-induced peripheral vasoconstriction are implicated in MSIMI for women but not for men, perhaps reflecting women's proclivity toward ischemia because of microcirculatory abnormalities.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Correspondence

Viola Vaccarino, MD, PhD, Department of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, 1518 Clifton Road NE, Room 3011, Atlanta, GA 30322. E-mail viola.vaccarino@emory.edu

Affiliations

Department of Epidemiology (V.V., S.S., P.M.P., A.J.S., M.O., M.H., T.T.L., P.R.) and Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics (L.E.), Rollins School of Public, Emory University, Atlanta, GA. Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiology (V.V., M.H., K.W., I.A.M., R.R., A.J.S., A.A., O.L., A.A.Q.), Department of Radiology (E.V.G., J.N.), and Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences (J.D.B.), Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA. Atlanta VA Medical Center, Decatur (A.J.S., J.D.B.). Northwestern University Medical Center,

Chicago, IL (H.G.). Mazankowski Alberta Heart Institute, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada (P.R.).

Disclosures

Dr Garcia receives royalties from the sale of the Emory Cardiac Toolbox, used for some analyses in this study. The other authors report no conflicts of interest. The sponsors of this study had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; and preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Mehta LS, Beckie TM, DeVon HA, Grines CL, Krumholz HM, Johnson MN, Lindley KJ, Vaccarino V, Wang TY, Watson KE, Wenger NK. Acute myocardial infarction in women: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2016;133:916–947.

2. Nabel EG. Heart disease prevention in young women: sounding an alarm. *Circulation*. 2015;132:989–991. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.018352.

3. Gebhard C. Women and acute coronary syndromes: still up to no good. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38:1066–1068. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx109.

4. Vaccarino V, Shah AJ, Rooks C, Ibeanu I, Nye JA, Pimple P, Salerno A, D'Marco L, Karohl C, Bremner JD, Raggi P. Sex differences in mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia in young survivors of an acute myocardial infarction. *Psychosom Med*. 2014;76:171–180. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0000000000000045.

5. Mallik S, Spertus JA, Reid KJ, Krumholz HM, Rumsfeld JS, Weintraub WS, Agarwal P, Santra M, Bidyasar S, Lichtman JH, Wenger NK, Vaccarino V; PREMIER Registry Investigators. Depressive symptoms after acute myocardial infarction: evidence for highest rates in younger women. *Arch Intern Med*. 2006;166:876–883. doi: 10.1001/archinte.166.8.876.

6. Smolderen KG, Strait KM, Dreyer RP, D'Onofrio G, Zhou S, Lichtman JH, Geda M, Bueno H, Beltrame J, Safdar B, Krumholz HM, Spertus JA. Depressive symptoms in younger women and men with acute myocardial infarction: insights from the VIRGO study. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2015;4: pii: e001424. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.1114.001424.

7. Xu X, Bao H, Strait K, Spertus JA, Lichtman JH, D'Onofrio G, Spatz E, Bucholz EM, Geda M, Lorenze NP, Bueno H, Beltrame JF, Krumholz HM. Sex differences in perceived stress and early recovery in young and middle-aged patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 2015;131:614–623. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.012826.

8. Shah AJ, Veledar E, Hong Y, Bremner JD, Vaccarino V. Depression and history of attempted suicide as risk factors for heart disease mortality in young individuals. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2011;68:1135–1142. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.125.

9. Wyman L, Crum RM, Celentano D. Depressed mood and cause-specific mortality: a 40-year general community assessment. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2012;22:638–643. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2012.06.102.

10. Korkeila J, Vahtera J, Korkeila K, Kivimäki M, Sumanen M, Koskenvuo K, Koskenvuo M. Childhood adversities as predictors of incident coronary heart disease and cerebrovascular disease. *Heart*. 2010;96:298–303. doi: 10.1136/hrt.2009.188250.

11. Rich-Edwards JW, Mason S, Rexrode K, Spiegelman D, Hibert E, Kawachi I, Jun HJ, Wright RJ. Physical and sexual abuse in childhood as predictors of early-onset cardiovascular events in women. *Circulation*. 2012;126:920–927. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.076877.

12. Shah AJ, Ghasemzadeh N, Zaragoza-Macias E, Patel R, Eapen DJ, Neeland IJ, Pimple PM, Zafari AM, Quyyumi AA, Vaccarino V. Sex and age differences in the association of depression with obstructive coronary artery disease and adverse cardiovascular events. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2014;3:e000741. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.113.000741.

13. Vaccarino V, Bremner JD. Behavioral, emotional and neurobiological determinants of coronary heart disease risk in women. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2017;74(Pt B):297–309. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.04.023.

14. Vaccarino V. Psychosocial risk factors for heart disease in women, with special reference to early life stress, depression and posttraumatic stress disorder. In: Orth-Gomer K, Vaccarino V, Schneiderman N, Deter HC, eds. *Psychosocial Stress and Cardiovascular Disease in Women: Concepts, Findings and Future Perspectives*. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2015.

15. Wei J, Rooks C, Ramadan R, Shah AJ, Bremner JD, Quyyumi AA, Kutner M, Vaccarino V. Meta-analysis of mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia and subsequent cardiac events in patients with coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol*. 2014;114:187–192. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2014.04.022.

16. Wokhlu A, Pepine CJ. Mental stress and myocardial ischemia: young women at risk. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2016;5: e004196. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.1116.004196.

17. Arri SS, Ryan M, Redwood SR, Marber MS. Mental stress-induced myocardial ischaemia. *Heart*. 2016;102:472–480. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2014-307306.

18. Vaccarino V, Wilmot K, Al Mheid I, Ramadan R, Pimple P, Shah AJ, Garcia EV, Nye J, Ward L, Hammadah M, Kutner M, Long Q, Bremner JD, Esteves F, Raggi P, Quyyumi AA. Sex differences in mental dtress-induced myocardial ischemia in patients with coronary heart disease. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2016;5: pii: e003630. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.1116.003630.

19. Sullivan S, Hammadah M, Al Mheid I, Wilmot K, Ramadan R, Alkhoder A, Isakadze N, Shah A, Levantsevych O, Pimple P, Kutner M, Ward L, Garcia E, Nye J, Mehta P, Lewis T, Bremner J, Raggi P, Quyyumi A, Vaccarino V. Sex differences in hemodynamic and microvascular mechanisms of myocardial ischemia induced by mental stress [published online ahead of print December 21, 2017]. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.1117.309535. http://atvb.ahajournals.org/content/early/2017/12/20/ATVBAHA.117.309535.

20. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Simoons ML, Chaitman BR, White HD, Katus HA, Lindahl B, Morrow DA, Clemmensen PM, Johanson P, Hod H, Underwood R, Bax JJ, Bonow RO, Pinto F, Gibbons RJ, Fox KA, Atar D, Newby LK, Galvani M, Hamm CW, Uretsky BF, Steg PG, Wijns W, Bassand JP, Menasché P, Ravkilde J, Ohman EM, Antman EM, Wallentin LC, Armstrong PW, Simoons ML, Januzzi JL, Nieminen MS, Gheorghiade M, Filippatos G, Luepker RV, Fortmann SP, Rosamond WD, Levy D, Wood D, Smith SC, Hu D, Lopez-Sendon JL, Robertson RM, Weaver D, Tendera M, Bove AA, Parkhomenko AN, Vasilieva EJ, Mendis S; Joint ESC/ACCF/AHA/WHF Task Force for the Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 2012;126:2020–2035. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e31826e1058.

21. Morris AA, Zhao L, Ahmed Y, Stoyanova N, De Staercke C, Hooper WC, Gibbons G, Din-Dzietham R, Quyyumi A, Vaccarino V. Association between depression and inflammation–differences by race and sex: the META-Health study. *Psychosom Med*. 2011;73:462–468. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e318222379c.

22. Hammadah M, Al Mheid I, Wilmot K, Ramadan R, Shah AJ, Sun Y, Pearce B, Garcia EV, Kutner M, Bremner JD, Esteves F, Raggi P, Sheps DS, Vaccarino V, Quyyumi AA. The mental stress ischemia prognosis study: objectives, study design, and prevalence of inducible ischemia. *Psychosom Med*. 2017;79:311–317. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0000000000000442.

23. Wolpe J. *The Practice of Behavior Therapy*. New York: New York Pergamon Press; 1969.

24. Hassan M, York KM, Li H, Li Q, Lucey DG, Fillingim RB, Sheps DS. Usefulness of peripheral arterial tonometry in the detection of mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia. *Clin Cardiol*. 2009;32:E1–E6. doi: 10.1002/clc.20515.

25. Ramadan R, Sheps D, Esteves F, Zafari AM, Bremner JD, Vaccarino V, Quyyumi AA. Myocardial ischemia during mental stress: role of coronary artery disease burden and vasomotion. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2013;2:e000321. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.113.000321.

26. Morris AA, Patel RS, Binongo JN, Poole J, Al Mheid I, Ahmed Y, Stoyanova N, Vaccarino V, Din-Dzietham R, Gibbons GH, Quyyumi A. Racial differences in arterial stiffness and microcirculatory function between Black and White Americans. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2013;2:e002154. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.112.002154.

27. Rubinshtein R, Kuvin JT, Soffler M, Lennon RJ, Lavi S, Nelson RE, Pumper GM, Lerman LO, Lerman A. Assessment of endothelial function by noninvasive peripheral arterial tonometry predicts late cardiovascular adverse events. *Eur Heart J*. 2010;31:1142–1148. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehq010.

28. Corretti MC, Anderson TJ, Benjamin EJ, Celermajer D, Charbonneau F, Creager MA, Deanfield J, Drexler H, Gerhard-Herman M, Herrington D, Vallance P, Vita J, Vogel R; International Brachial Artery Reactivity Task Force. Guidelines for the ultrasound assessment of endothelial-dependent flow-mediated vasodilation of the brachial artery: a report of the

International Brachial Artery Reactivity Task Force. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002;39:257–265.

29. Holly TA, Abbott BG, Al-Mallah M, Calnon DA, Cohen MC, DiFilippo FP, Ficaro EP, Freeman MR, Hendel RC, Jain D, Leonard SM, Nichols KJ, Polk DM, Soman P; American Society of Nuclear Cardiology; ASNC Imaging Guidelines for Nuclear Cardiology Procedures. Single photon-emission computed tomography *J. Nucl. Cardiol*. 2010;17:941–973.

30. Hachamovitch R, Berman DS, Shaw LJ, Kiat H, Cohen I, Cabico JA, Friedman J, Diamond GA. Incremental prognostic value of myocardial perfusion single photon emission computed tomography for the prediction of cardiac death: differential stratification for risk of cardiac death and myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 1998;97:535–543.

31. Ramachandruni S, Fillingim RB, McGorray SP, Schmalfuss CM, Cooper GR, Schofield RS, Sheps DS. Mental stress provokes ischemia in coronary artery disease subjects without exercise- or adenosine-induced ischemia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;47:987–991. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.10.051.

32. Neeland IJ, Patel RS, Eshtehardi P, Dhawan S, McDaniel MC, Rab ST, Vaccarino V, Zafari AM, Samady H, Quyyumi AA. Coronary angiographic scoring systems: an evaluation of their equivalence and validity. *Am Heart J*. 2012;164:547.e1–552.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2012.07.007.

33. Beck AT, Steer RA, Brown GK. *BDI-II. Beck Depression Inventory: Second Edition*. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation; 1996.

34. First MB, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW, Gibbon M. *Structured Clinical Interview for DSM IV-Patient Edition (SCID-P)*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press; 1995.

35. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. *J Health Soc Behav*. 1983;24:385–396.

36. Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL, Lushene RE. *State-Trait Anxiety (STAI) Manual*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press; 1970.

37. Allison PD. *Missing Data. Sage University Papers Series on Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences, Series No. 07-136*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 2002.

38. Bairey Merz CN. Women and ischemic heart disease paradox and pathophysiology. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2011;4:74–77. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2010.10.004.

39. Samad Z, Boyle S, Ersboll M, Vora AN, Zhang Y, Becker RC, Williams R, Kuhn C, Ortel TL, Rogers JG, O'Connor CM, Velazquez EJ, Jiang W; REMIT Investigators. Sex differences in platelet reactivity and cardiovascular and psychological response to mental stress in patients with stable ischemic heart disease: insights from the REMIT study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64:1669–1678. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.04.087.

40. York KM, Hassan M, Li Q, Li H, Fillingim RB, Lucey D, Bestland M, Sheps DS. Do men and women differ on measures of mental stress-induced ischemia? *Psychosom Med*. 2007;69:918–922. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e31815a9245.

41. Hassan M, Li Q, Brumback B, Lucey DG, Bestland M, Eubanks G, Fillingim RB, Sheps DS. Comparison of peripheral arterial response to mental stress in men versus women with coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol*. 2008;102:970–974. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2008.06.015.

42. Pepine CJ, Petersen JW, Bairey Merz CN. A microvascular-myocardial diastolic dysfunctional state and risk for mental stress ischemia: a revised concept of ischemia during daily life. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2014;7:362–365. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2013.11.009.

43. Moriel M, Benhorin J, Brown MW, Raubertas RF, Severski PK, Van Voohees L, Bodenheimer MM, Tzivoni D, Wackers FJ, Mass AJ. Detection and significance of myocardial ischemia in women versus men within six months of acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina: the Multicenter Myocardial Ischemia Research Group. *Am J Cardiol*. 1996;77:798–804.

44. Lønnebakken MT, Nordrehaug JE, Gerdts E. No gender difference in the extent of myocardial ischemia in non-ST elevation myocardial infarction. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2014;21:123–129. doi: 10.1177/2047487312454107.

45. Izadnegahdar M, Singer J, Lee MK, Gao M, Thompson CR, Kopec J, Humphries KH. Do younger women fare worse? Sex differences in acute myocardial infarction hospitalization and early mortality rates over ten years. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2014;23:10–17. doi: 10.1089/jwh.2013.4507.

46. Nielsen S, Bjorck L, Berg J, Giang KW, Zverkova Sandstrom T, Falk K, Maatta S, Rosengren A. Sex-specific trends in 4-year survival in 37 276 men and women with acute myocardial infarction before the age of 55 years in Sweden, 1987–2006: a register-based cohort study. *BMJ open*. 2014;4:e004598. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2013–004598.

47. Gabet A, Danchin N, Juillière Y, Olié V. Acute coronary syndrome in women: rising hospitalizations in middle-aged French women, 2004-14. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38:1060–1065. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx097.

48. Lehto HR, Lehto S, Havulinna AS, Ketonen M, Lehtonen A, Kesäniemi YA, Airaksinen KJ, Salomaa V. Sex differences in short- and long-term case-fatality of myocardial infarction. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2011;26:851–861. doi: 10.1007/s10654-011-9601-6.

49. Gupta A, Wang Y, Spertus JA, Geda M, Lorenze N, Nkonde-Price C, D'Onofrio G, Lichtman JH, Krumholz HM. Trends in acute myocardial infarction in young patients and differences by sex and race, 2001 to 2010. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64:337–345. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.04.054.

50. Wilmot KA, O'Flaherty M, Capewell S, Ford ES, Vaccarino V. Coronary heart disease mortality declines in the United States from 1979 through 2011: evidence for stagnation in young adults, especially women. *Circulation*. 2015;132:997–1002. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.015293.