Reverse Physics: from laws to physical assumptions,
Found Phys **52**, 40 (2022)

Reverse Physics for GR

Gabriele Carcassi and Christine A. Aidala

Physics Department
University of Michigan

Assumptions of Physics

https://assumptionsofphysics.org

Hamiltonian mechanics ⟺ det/rev + DOF independence

$$d_t q^i = \partial_{p_i} H$$
$$d_t p_i = \partial_{q^i} H$$

$$S_a = d_t \xi^a \omega_{ab} = \partial_b H$$

$$\omega_{ab}(t + dt) = \omega_{ab}(t) + (\partial_a S_b - \partial_b S_a) dt + O(dt^2)$$

Assumptions of Physics,
Michigan Publishing (v2 2023)

$$\omega_{ab} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes I_n$$

Area within each DOF

Scalar product across DOFs



Volume = #states

#states = $\prod$ #confDOF

Areas = #confDOF

Hamiltonian is the continuous version of



Recovers relativistic particle mechanics without additional assumptions

https://assumptionsofphysics.org/

Assumptions of Physics

Geometry of principle of least action (SDOF)

DR

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{S} = 0$$

No state is "lost" or "created" as time evolves

$[p, 0, -H]$

$$\vec{S} = -\nabla \times \vec{\theta}$$

(Minus sign to match convention)

KE $\quad p d_t q + 0 d_t p - H d_t t$

$$\mathcal{S}[\gamma] = \int_\gamma L dt = \int_\gamma \vec{\theta} \cdot d_t \xi^a dt$$

Sci Rep **13**, 12138 (2023)

The action is the line integral of the vector potential (unphysical)



Variation of the action

Gauge independent, physical!

$$\delta \mathcal{S}[\gamma] = \oint_{\partial \Sigma} \vec{\theta} \cdot d\vec{\gamma}$$

$$= -\iint_\Sigma \vec{S} \cdot d\vec{\Sigma}$$

Variation of the action measures the flow of states (physical).

Variation = 0 ⇒ flow of states tangent to the path.

https://assumptionsofphysics.org/

Assumptions of Physics

Counting states and configurations

Discrete case



$$\#\text{conf}(S) = \#S \qquad \#\text{states}(V) = \#V \qquad \#\text{DOF}(I) = \#I$$

Continuous case

Configuration density

State density

$$\#\text{conf}(S) = \int_S \omega_{ab} d\xi^a d\xi^b \qquad \#\text{states}(V) = \int_V \bigwedge \omega \, d\xi^{a_1} \cdots d\xi^{a_n}$$

$$\neq \#V = \infty$$

$$\#\text{DOF}(I) = \#I$$



Ham Mech ⇒ Correct count of configurations/states on finitely many dense (i.e. continuous) DOFs

Field theory ⇒ DOFs themselves are dense (i.e. continuous)

$$\#\text{DOF}(I) \neq \#I$$

https://assumptionsofphysics.org/

Assumptions of Physics

Conjecture: GR ⟺ det/rev + DOF independence for infinitely many (dense) DOFs

$$\delta \int_\gamma L dt = \oint_{\partial\Sigma} \theta_a d\gamma^a = \iint_\Sigma \omega_{ab} d\xi^a d\gamma^b$$

Flow of states

$$\frac{\#\text{conf}}{\#\text{DOFs}} = ?$$

$$\delta \int_\gamma \mathcal{L} d^n s = ???$$

Line integral of the vector potential of the flow of state **density**?

$$\int_U \sqrt{-g}\, d^3x$$

#DOFs?

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_g + \mathcal{L}_{matter}$$

Flow of DOFs?

Flow of configurations?



We are mapping values between Cauchy surfaces,
#DOFs are the points on the Cauchy surface,
#conf are the possible field values at each point

https://assumptionsofphysics.org/

Assumptions of Physics

The problem with counting on the continuum

We'd like:

1. Every state is a single case (i.e. $\mu(\{\psi\}) = 1$)
2. Finite continuous range carries finite information (i.e. $\mu(U) < \infty$)
3. Count is additive for disjoint sets (i.e. $\mu(\cup U_i) = \sum \mu(U_i)$)

Incompatible!

Pick two!

Discard 1 ⇒ Lebesgue measure

Discard 2 ⇒ counting measure

Discard 3 ⇒ "Quantum measure"

$$\mu(U) = 2^{\sup\left(S(\text{hull}(U))\right)}$$

Exponential of the maximum entropy reachable with convex combinations (statistical mixtures) of U (reduces to counting/Liouville measure)

Orthogonal states: different states all else equal

additive



Non-orthogonal states: different states but in different contexts

sub-additive

$\mu(\{A\}) = 2^0 = 1$

$\mu(\{A, B\}) = 2^1 = 2$

$\mu(\{A, C\}) < 2 = \mu(\{A\}) + \mu(\{C\})$

Quantum mechanics ⇒ lower bound on #conf (entropy) on continuous DOF

https://assumptionsofphysics.org/

Assumptions of Physics

Conjecture: quantum gravity ⇒ lower bound on DOF count

$$\#\text{conf} = \#\text{DOFs} \quad \frac{\#\text{conf}}{\#\text{DOFs}}$$

Lower bound on this…

…requires a lower bound on this

Same problem!

1. Every point is a single DOF (i.e. $\mu(\{x\}) = 1$)
2. Finite volume carries finitely many DOFs (i.e. $\mu(U) < \infty$)
3. Count is additive for disjoint regions (i.e. $\mu(\cup U_i) = \sum \mu(U_i)$)



Distant points: additive independent DOFs

sub-additive

Close points: DOFs not independent

From QM: Lower bound on state count requires a severe revisitation of particle state space

Does lower bound on DOF count require an equally severe revisitation of space-time?

https://assumptionsofphysics.org/

Assumptions of Physics

Wrapping up

- Classical mechanics is exactly det/rev mapping of configurations over finitely many DOFs
- Conjecture: is general relativity exactly det/rev mapping of configurations over infinitely many (dense) DOFs (i.e. a field theory)?
- Quantum mechanics sets a lower bound on state count
  - Entropy of pure state is zero, pure states count as one state
- Conjecture: is quantum gravity setting a lower bound on the DOF count?
  - No region of space can contain less than one DOF
- Can we generalize the physical/geometric interpretation of the action principle to field theory and to QM?




https://assumptionsofphysics.org/
Assumptions of Physics