

Dev Portal Closure

ANDREW STAFFORD, AUSTIN BELT, JAREN PROVOST, JAKE CHAPPELL

Requirements

Initial Promised Features

- Marketable home page
- Integrated login
- References (Documentation) page
- Common code workflows page
- Sandbox endpoint test console
- Administration page (Admin Status)
- Automated data loading/database updating
- Dynamic endpoint editing/edit tracking

Current Working Features

- Marketable home page
- Integrated login
- References (Documentation) page
 - Includes endpoint editing
- Common code workflows page
- Sandbox endpoint test console
- Automated data loading/database updating
- Edit tracking

Requirements

Features We Missed

Administration Page

- We originally promised an administration page for dynamic endpoint editing by an admin
- Our app does not include admin status
 - Have discussed with Cam, it is an easy inclusion by Accutech and we were told to overlook this feature
- Our app does not have an administration page, instead, all of the administrative functionality we initially promised is on the References page itself
 - Cam suggested we move this functionality to the References page for sake of simplicity

Schema References (Data loader)

- The schema references were a feature we initially intended to include in our data loading functionality
- We did not include the schema references because of their complexity and overall had we included them, writing logic for loading in Cheetah's data would've taken an extended amount of time
 - We discussed this with Cam, and he agreed it would overcomplicate an otherwise simple functionality

Final Meeting

Took place on Wednesday, April 20th

Overall, the meeting went very well. Cam and Ryland both thanked us for a great time working with us on the project, and we expressed our gratitude to them for not only giving us the opportunity to produce a meaningful and useful product but also the immense amount of learning and growth we all experienced when working on the project.

Transfer

Couldn't have been easier

Accutech already owns the repository, transfer was already done from the start.

Successful actions

Weekly meetings with the client

Overall weekly meeting with the client allowed us to get a grasp on what Cam and Ryland thought of the changes we made as soon as possible, and allowed us to get a grasp on what they wanted from each iteration.

Not-So-Successful actions

Planning

While our planning was fairly good, we could've used a program (ex. Jira) to plan all of our new features and who would write them.

Gitflow

Our gitflow in our repository was very subpar. Certainly could've had a much cleaner repository. That said, we were a fairly organized group as far as code goes.

Possible actions we missed

In person meetings (Group and client)

We had discussed numerous times with Cam and Ryland about coming into the Accutech offices to do our weekly meetings, but never found the time. Overall, we only went to Accutech's offices twice.

We as a group would've liked to have more meetings that weren't over solely Microsoft Teams. The few meetings that we had at Bracken Library were great meetings and overall very fun.

Final Thoughts From Us