

# Branch and Price

MIP problem

(P)

$$\begin{aligned} z = \min \quad & \sum_{i \in I} c_i x_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i \geq b \\ & x_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad i \in I \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$a_i$  and  $b$  are column vectors.

## Cutting Stock exemple:

There is  $m$  orders with widths  $w_j$  each one with demand  $b_j$ , and the standard roll with  $W$  width.

We want to cut the ordered rolls to fullfill theirs demand by using a minimum quantity of standard rolls.



Let  $I$  be the set of patterns of cut that do not violate the max roll width:

$$I = \{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m : \sum_{j=1}^m w_j i_j \leq W\}.$$

The set  $I_R$  will now on denote a subset of  $I$ , for exempo, for the patterns presented in the image above it is

$$I_R = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

The variable  $x_i$  is the amount of pattern  $i$  to processed. Therefore the problem can be formulated as following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\min \quad & \sum_{i \in I} x_i \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i \in I} i_j x_i \geq b \\
& x_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad i \in I
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

## Back to the (P) problem

$I_R$  is the restricted subset of  $I$ , hence it defines the Restricted Master Problem  $RMP$  as the linear relaxation of  $P$  over  $I_R$ .

( $RMP$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
z_{RMP} = \min \quad & \sum_{i \in I_R} c_i x_i \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i \in I_R} a_i x_i \geq b \\
& x_i \geq 0
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The ( $RMP$ ) can be represented in a compact matricial notation:

$$\begin{aligned}
z_{RMP} = \min \quad & c_R x_R \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & A_R x_R \geq b
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Let  $x_R^*$  and  $\pi_R^*$  the primal and dual optimum solutions of  $RMP$ .

The strong duality of linear optimization problems gives  $z_{RMP} = \pi_R^* b$ .

Besides, consider the  $z_{LP}$  the optimum value of the linear relaxation of  $P$  over  $I$ , which is a not known value at this moment.

Despite that, as  $I_R \subseteq I$  than  $z_{LP} \leq z_{RMP}$ .

Therefore,  $\pi_R^* b$  is an upper bound for the linear relaxation of  $P$ . Would the equality happens here? If that is true, as  $c_R x_R^* = \pi_R^* b$  than  $x_R$  is an optimum solution for the LR of  $P$ .

In order to awnser that question, it is intended to check if  $\pi_R^*$  would be dual feasible for the LR of  $P$  as well. As for simplex method, the reduced cost should be greater or equal to zero.

It should be done implicitly over the set  $I$ , that is, we should solve the following pricing problem:

( $PP$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma = \min \quad & c_i - \pi_R^* a_i \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & i \in I
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Therefore,

- if  $\sigma \geq 0$  then  $\pi_R$  is an dual optimum of the LR of ( $P$ ).
- otherwise, the optimum solution of ( $PP$ ) is a candidate to be a new collumn for the ( $RMP$ ).

## Back to Cutting stock exemple:

The columns vectors  $a_i$  is not explicitly available, but it is defined through the rule that defines  $I$ .

Accordingly, considering the  $m$  vector variable  $y$ , the  $PP$  problem becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma = \quad & \min \quad 1 - \sum_{j=1}^m \pi_j^* y_j \\ & \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{j=1}^m w_j y_j \leq W \\ & \quad y_j \geq 0.\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$