

Checkpoint 10

Reading Assignment

Read “Improving the Interpretation of Fixed Effects Regression Results” by Mummolo and Peterson. The reading is available online [here](#) and is also on bCourses in the Readings folder.

All the questions in this checkpoint can be answered based on the article.

Questions

What is the substantive choice that researchers make when using fixed effects?

Why should researchers avoid discussing effects of changes of a variable that are larger than any observed change in the data?

What is the problem with Snyder and Strömberg (2010) consider a 0-to-1 shift in the their treatment when discussing its impact on the probability that an individuals recalls the name of their member of Congress?

How should a research go about identifying a plausible counterfact shift in a treatment effect given the data?