

# Problem Set 5

Due Date 12/3

## Instructions

For this final problem set, the answers to some coding questions are provided. Your responsibility for these problems is to fill in the work required to get to the answer. An answer is therefore correct if and only if your answer is the same as the answer given. A completed problem set will include **detailed** commented code explaining each step and a exact replication of the result.

For questions that do not have answers, we have covered some version of how to do the problem on previous problems throughout the semester.

You are required to create your own Rmarkdown file. Make sure to include the following in the set up chunk.

```
library(formatR)
knitr::opts_chunk$set(tidy.opts = list(width.cutoff = 60), tidy = TRUE)
```

These lines of code ensure that your comments for code blocks do not run off the page. Completed pdfs that run off the page will not be accepted or graded.

For questions that require an interpretation, please put the interpretation outside of the code block as a separate answer. Work that does not do this will not be graded.

## Questions


### Question 1

Middleton and Rogers (2010) conducted an experiment about the effect of ballot guides on the number of net votes won by sponsors of the guide across the four ballot measures that they endorsed or opposed. The treatment is binary about whether a district was assigned to receive a ballot guide. A prognostic covariate is the average share of the vote cast for Democratic candidates in 2006. The data needed to complete this problem is `Middleton_Rogers_AI_2010.csv`

a) Estimate the average treatment effect

```
## [1] 90.20098
```


b) Make an individuals values plot



- c) Comment on the variability of each treatment group. Is it similar or different?
- d) Use randomization inference to test the hypothesis that the difference of means could have occurred by chance under the sharp null hypothesis of no treatment effect for any precinct. Interpret your results.

```
set.seed(1234567)
```

- e) Plot the distributions of estimated ATEs in this experiment. Highlight the observed ATE. (Hint, the binwidth is 1/3 of the default size and the opacity parameter is a decile).



- f) Suppose we were only interested in a one-sided test of this hypothesis. Would this test cause us to reject the null hypothesis and why?

### Question 2

Suppose that a researcher hires a group of canvassers to contact a set of 1000 undergraduates at Berkeley randomly assigned to a treatment group to test the effect of telling undergraduates about the football program increases student attendance at games. When the canvassing effort concludes the canvassers report successfully contact 500 voters in the treatment group. In reality, the canvassers are lying liars and only successfully contact 250. When outcomes rates are tabulated for the treatment and control groups, it turns out that 400 of the 1000 students in the treatment group went to a game as compared to 700 of the 2000 students in the control group.

- If you believe the liars when they say they contacted 500 students, what would your estimate of the CACE be?
- Suppose you learn the truth that only 250 students were contacted. What would you estimate of the CACE become?
- What is the ITT of this experiment? Does the program work?

### Question 3

For this question, consider the article “Do Female Officers Police Differently? Evidence from Traffic Stops” by Shoub, Stauffer, and Song (2021) available [here](#). The datasets for this problem are `northCarolina.csv` and `florida.csv` and the codebook is available on bCourses. Each dataset is a subset of the full dataset that the article uses, so not every variable in the codebook is in the datasets.

- Replicate Table 2

- b) In addition to making two regression tables, make a coefficient plot that is clearly labeled. Put both treatment effects on the same plot.
- c) For each regression, identify what is the implied experiment. In other words, if you were to conduct a randomized control trial, what would do?
- d) Find the effective weights of each regression. Make a plot similar to the effective weight plots you've made in previous sets. Interpret the plot and what it might see about the nominal vs effective sample.

#### Question 4

(From Gerber and Green 2012): Prior to a 2006 primary election, Gerber, Green, and Larimer sent a sample of registered voters in Michigan an encouragement to vote that disclosed whether each person registered to vote at that address had voted in previous elections. A similar mailing was sent to Michigan voters in 2007, prior to municipal elections in small cities and towns, and to Illinois voters in 2009, prior to a special congressional election. For comparability, we restrict each of the samples to the set of households containing just one registered voter. The table of these studies is below.

Study	Number in control groups	Number Voting in control groups	Number in treatment group	Number voting in the treatment group
Michigan (2006)	26481	8755	5310	2123
Michigan (2007)	348277	88960	12391	3791
Illinois (2009)	15676	2600	9326	1936

- a) Estimate the ATE for each study

```
## [1] 0.06919727
```

```
## [1] 0.05048232
```

```
## [1] 0.04173304
```

- b) Estimate the standard error for each study. Use the standard errors (squared) to calculate the [precision](#) of each study.

```
## [1] 18674.87
```

```
## [1] 56551.04
```

```
## [1] 37786.98
```

Assuming that these three samples are random draws from the same population, calculate a precision-weighted average of the three studies. (Hint: weight each estimate by the inverse of its squared standard error.)

```
## [1] 0.05064947
```

#### Question 5

Using the `rdd.csv` dataset which contains election information on electoral outcomes for 2012 and 2016 for 6000 municipalities.

- a) Create a RDD plot with margin in the x axis and vote share on the y axis. Make sure that the bins are equally spaced. What does this chart visually show? Base your answer on the Cattaneo *et. al* reading.

- b) Estimate the LATE effect of the treatment. Is there an advantage to being the incumbent in the previous election?
- c) Consider the external validity of this study. Can we learn anything about the incumbency advantage for elections for which the incumbent has retired?