

Checkpoint 10

FILL IN YOUR NAME

Questions

What is the substantive choice that researchers make when using fixed effects?

Why should researchers avoid discussing effects of changes of a variable that are larger than any observed change in the data?

What is the problem with Snyder and Strömberg (2010) consider a 0-to-1 shift in the their treatment when discussing its impact on the probability that an individuals recalls the name of their member of Congress?

How should a research go about identifying a plausible counterfact shift in a treatment effect given the data?