Predicate Logic Proof

First, we still have all the rules from Backear logic.

P.g. 4

Rich (Pat)

Rich (Pat)

Thomeloss (Pat)

Thomeloss (Pat)

Wan (Pat)

Wan (Pat)

Wan (Pat)

Wan (Pat)

Man (Pat)

Happy (Pat)

alt notation Kx 4 instantiation [c/x]4 (remove a grantition) ?? YEC] C is a constant or a Variable Not for new constant c quantified in Y. - not in previous linerar premises ug is a constant generalization we have made No (add a quantifier) assumptions 4[c] Y[c] asout. - c does not Jx Y[X] occur in any c does not occur in Y premiso YEX just meens any formula 4 containing the variable X. - c does not occur in any means (pCx) with all fre x's replaced by C. Text occur & with all free x's replaced by c.

 $\frac{1}{1+2} = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ $\frac{1}{1+2} + \cdots + n$ $\frac{n+\cdots+2+1}{(n+1)+\cdots+(n+1)} = \frac{n+\cdots+2+1}{n+\cdots+2+1}$ $\frac{n+\cdots+2+1}{(n+1)+\cdots+2+1} = \frac{n+\cdots+2+1}{(n+1)+\cdots+2+1}$ $\frac{n+\cdots+2+1}{(n+1)+\cdots+2+1} = \frac{n+\cdots+2+1}{(n+1)+\cdots+2+1}$ $\frac{n+\cdots+2+1}{(n+1)+\cdots+2+1} = \frac{n+\cdots+2+1}{(n+1)+\cdots+2+1} = \frac{n+\cdots+2+1}{(n+1)+\cdots+2+1}$

= n(nti)

This works becare it begins

(Consider aubitrary n EIN'

and then shows Y(n)

to conclube their Y(n)

universal generalization

Premises. DEvery human is mortal in the human(x) is mortal (x)

There exists a human 2, fy human(y)

Prove There exists a mortal fire martal

From human(c) 1, ei

Y. human(c) -> mortal(c) 1, vi

T. mortal(c) 34, mp

6. Fig.