

Magpie Security Audit Report

August 26, 2024

Contents

1	Intr	oduction	3
	1.1	About Magpie	3
	1.2	Audit Scope	3
	1.3	Changelog	3
2	Ove	erall Assessment	4
3	Vuli	nerability Summary	5
	3.1	Overview	5
	3.2	Security Level Reference	6
	3.3	Vulnerability Details	7
4	Арр	pendix 1	15
	4.1	About AstraSec	15
	4.2	Disclaimer	15
	43	Contact	15

1 Introduction

1.1 About Magpie

The Magpie DAO is a suite of protocols that transcends conventional platforms with the goal of enhancing participation and user opportunities across DeFi. Integrated with an array of structures and solutions, Magpie adapts itself to different frameworks with the goal of achieving continuous growth while supporting the core functionalities of the decentralized finance ecosystem. As a Mega DAO composed by various SubDAOs, Magpie focuses on blackholing governance tokens, offering liquid restaking services for Ethereum and liquid staking for Bitcoin.

1.2 Audit Scope

	LINK	Base Commit	Final Commit
1	https://github.com/magpiexyz/magpie_contract-	7aacd21	80463d7
	s/tree/Launchpad		
2	https://github.com/magpiexyz/magpie_contracts/pull/168	fefcf60	be88d54
3	https://github.com/magpiexyz/magpie_contracts/pull/187	a337217	dc0d8ab
4	https://github.com/magpiexyz/magpie_contracts/pull/193	9d0b050	9107af0

1.3 Changelog

Version	Date
First Audit	December 11, 2023
Second Audit	February 7, 2024
Third Audit	July 15, 2024
Fourth Audit	August 26, 2024

2 Overall Assessment

This report has been compiled to identify issues and vulnerabilities within the Magpie project. Throughout this audit, we identified several issues spanning various severity levels. By employing auxiliary tool techniques to supplement our thorough manual code review, we have discovered the following findings.

Severity	Count	Acknowledged	Won't Do	Addressed
Critical	-	-	-	-
High	2	-	-	2
Medium	2	1	-	1
Low	3	1	-	2
Informational	1	-	-	1
Undetermined	-	-	-	-

3 Vulnerability Summary

3.1 Overview

Click on an issue to jump to it, or scroll down to see them all.

- H-1 Revisited Implementation Logic in LaunchPadV2::buy()/cancelOrder()
- H-2 Incorrect Price Calculation in getRebalancedTokenPerSaleToken()
- M-1 Revised Update of maxToDistribute in Launchpad::configLaunchpad()
- M-2 Potential Risks Associated with Centralization
- L-1 Revised Update of startTime in Launchpad::configLaunchpad()
- L-2 Improved Validation Checks in checkValidCapAndUpdate()
- L-3 Revisited Rewards Distribution Logic in ARBRewarder::setPool()
- 1-1 Improved Gas Efficiency in ARBRewarder:: calculateAndSendARB()

3.2 Security Level Reference

In web3 smart contract audits, vulnerabilities are typically classified into different severity levels based on the potential impact they can have on the security and functionality of the contract. Here are the definitions for critical-severity, high-severity, medium-severity, and low-severity vulnerabilities:

Severity	Description
C-X (Critical)	A severe security flaw with immediate and significant negative consequences. It poses high risks, such as unauthorized access, financial losses, or complete disruption of functionality. Requires immediate attention and remediation.
H-X (High)	Significant security issues that can lead to substantial risks. Although not as severe as critical vulnerabilities, they can still result in unauthorized access, manipulation of contract state, or financial losses. Prompt remediation is necessary.
M-X (Medium)	Moderately impactful security weaknesses that require attention and remediation. They may lead to limited unauthorized access, minor financial losses, or potential disruptions to functionality.
L-X (Low)	Minor security issues with limited impact. While they may not pose significant risks, it is still recommended to address them to maintain a robust and secure smart contract.
I-X (Informational)	Warnings and things to keep in mind when operating the protocol. No immediate action required.
U-X (Undetermined)	Identified security flaw requiring further investigation. Severity and impact need to be determined. Additional assessment and analysis are necessary.

3.3 Vulnerability Details

[H-1] Revisited Implementation Logic in LaunchPadV2::buy()/cancelOrder()

Target	Category	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	STATUS
LaunchPadV2.sol	Business Logic	High	High	<i>⊗</i> Addressed

The LaunchpadV2 contract provides a public buy() function that allows users to purchase project tokens. If the purchase is made during the public phase, users can also specify an input parameter _maxAfterPrice to ensure that the price fluctuation during the token purchase does not exceed the target price that is set by the user.

When reviewing the implementation of the <code>buy()</code> function, we notice that a user's targetPrice might be violated due to the dynamic nature of the <code>updatedPrice</code> during the function's execution. As more users make purchases, the <code>updatedPrice</code> increases. Although a user may set and meet a specific target price at the time of their purchase, if more users participate and make purchase, the <code>updatedPrice</code> might exceed the originally target price, leading to a violation of the user's intended purchase conditions. This can result in unexpected outcomes where the user ends up paying more than they initially intended.

```
LaunchPadV2::buy()
257
        function buy(
            uint256 _amount,
258
            uint256 _maxAfterPrice
        ) external whenNotPaused isSaleActive nonReentrant {
260
            if (_amount < min_sale_token_amount) {</pre>
261
                 revert InvalidAmount();
263
            (bool isPrivatePhase, ) = getCurrentPhaseInfo();
            PhaseInfo storage phaseInfo = isPrivatePhase ? privatePhase :
267
                publicPhase;
269
            totalRaised += _amount;
270
            phaseInfo.saleTokenDeposits += _amount;
271
            UserInfo storage user = userInfo[msg.sender];
            if (isPrivatePhase) {
273
                 _checkValidCapAndUpdate(_amount);
274
                user.privatePhaseDeposits += _amount;
275
            } else {
276
                user.publicPhaseDeposits += _amount;
277
                 _rebalanceAndUpdate(_maxAfterPrice, true);
278
```

```
IERC20(saleToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), _amount);
emit AllocationPurchased(msg.sender, _amount);
}
```

Note similar issue also exists in the cancelOrder() function of the same contract.

Remediation Remove the slippage protection from the buy()/cancelOrder() functions and set a cap on the amount of sale tokens raised during the public sale phase instead. Additionally, to prevent potential DoS attacks, it is recommended to charge a cancellation fee when users cancel their orders.

[H-2] Incorrect Price Calculation in getRebalancedTokenPerSaleToken()

Target	Category	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	STATUS
LaunchPadV2.sol	Business Logic	High	High	<i>⊗</i> Addressed

The helper function _getRebalancedTokenPerSaleToken() in the LaunchpadV2 contract is intended to calculate the rebalanced token price per sale token based on the total sale token deposits and a specified private round price. During our review of this function, we identify an issue where the calculation formula for rebalancedTokenPerSaleToken incorrectly handles the decimal scaling between projectTokenDecimals and saleTokenDecimals (lines 534-536). This discrepancy in scaling factors can lead to inaccurate price calculations, potentially resulting in incorrect token allocation or pricing during the sale. It could undermine the fairness and integrity of the token sale process.

```
LaunchPadV2:: getRebalancedTokenPerSaleToken()
        /// @dev Rebalance token ratio; initially matches private phase, adjusts
526
            with public deposits.
        function _getRebalancedTokenPerSaleToken(
527
            uint256 _saleTokenDeposits,
528
            uint256 _privateRoundPrice
529
        ) internal view returns (uint256) {
            if (_saleTokenDeposits == 0) {
531
                return _privateRoundPrice;
532
533
            } else {
                uint256 rebalancedTokenPerSaleToken = ((publicPhase.saleCap -
534
                    allocatedInPrivatePhase) *
535
                     (10 ** projectTokenDecimals) *
536
                    1 ether) / (_saleTokenDeposits * (10 ** saleTokenDecimals));
                return
537
                     rebalancedTokenPerSaleToken < _privateRoundPrice
```

```
? rebalancedTokenPerSaleToken
540 : _privateRoundPrice;
541 }
542 }
```

Remediation Correctly handles the decimal scaling between projectTokenDecimals and saleTokenDecimals for above mentioned function. An example revision is shown as follows:

```
LaunchPadV2:: getRebalancedTokenPerSaleToken()
526
        /// @dev Rebalance token ratio; initially matches private phase, adjusts
            with public deposits.
        function _getRebalancedTokenPerSaleToken(
527
            uint256 _saleTokenDeposits,
528
            uint256 _privateRoundPrice
529
        ) internal view returns (uint256) {
530
            if (_saleTokenDeposits == 0) {
                return _privateRoundPrice;
532
            } else {
533
                uint256 rebalancedTokenPerSaleToken = ((publicPhase.saleCap -
                    allocatedInPrivatePhase) *
                     (10 ** saleTokenDecimals) *
535
                    1 ether) / (_saleTokenDeposits * (10 ** projectTokenDecimals));
536
                return
537
538
                    rebalancedTokenPerSaleToken < _privateRoundPrice
539
                         ? rebalancedTokenPerSaleToken
                         : _privateRoundPrice;
540
541
            }
        }
```

[M-1] Revised Update of maxToDistribute in Launchpad::configLaunchpad()

Target	Category	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	STATUS
Launchpad.sol	Business Logics	Medium	Medium	Addressed

In the Launchpad contract, the project tokens are funded by the owner via the configLaunchpad() routine. The owner can adjust the maximum amount of project tokens to distribute before the start of the launchpad. Specially, if the owner increases the maximum amount (line 379), it needs to fund the increased amount of project tokens to the contract (line 380).

However, we notice it's possible that the owner may reduce the maximum amount, and there is a lack of refund for the decreased amount of project tokens back to the owner. Without the refund, the decreased amount of project tokens can't be withdrawn normally via the withdrawUnsoldTokens() routine, unless via emergency withdraw.

Remediation Promptly refund the decreased amount of project tokens back to the owner if it decreases the maximum amount of project tokens to distribute.

[M-2] Potential Risks Associated with Centralization

Target	Category	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	STATUS
Multiple Contracts	Security	Medium	Medium	Acknowledged

In the Magpie Launchpad protocol, the existence of a privileged owner account introduces centralization risks, as it holds significant control and authority over critical operations governing the protocol. In the following, we show the representative functions potentially affected by the privileges associated with the owner.

```
Launchpad::emergencyWithdrawFunds()

function emergencyWithdrawFunds(address token, uint256 amount) external whenPaused onlyOwner {
    IERC20(token).safeTransfer(owner(), amount);

emit EmergencyWithdraw(token, amount);
}
```

```
LaunchPadV2::emergencyWithdrawFunds()

function emergencyWithdrawFunds(address _token, uint256 _amount) external whenPaused onlyOwner {

IERC20(_token).safeTransfer(owner(), _amount);

emit EmergencyWithdraw(_token, _amount);

}
```

Remediation To mitigate the identified issue, it is recommended to designate a multi-sig account to undertake the role of the privileged owner account. Moreover, it is advisable to implement timelocks to govern all modifications to privileged operations.

Response By Team This issue has been confirmed by the team. The multi-sig mechanism will be used to mitigate this issue.

[L-1] Revised Update of startTime in Launchpad::configLaunchpad()

Target	Category	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	STATUS
Launchpad.sol	Coding Practice	Medium	Low	Acknowledged

The Launchpad contract allows the owner to configure critical parameters for the launchpad, including the start time, project token, sale token, etc. Meanwhile, the owner can add new sale phases which shall be started later than the launchpad.

In particular, the owner can re-configure the launchpad before it is started as long as the new start time is sometime in future (line 357). However, we notice there is a lack of validation for the new start time to ensure it will be started before the first sale phase. As a result, if the launchpad is started after some sale phases, user can't participate in these overdue sale phases.

Remediation Add a check for the new start time of the launchpad and ensure it will be started prior to the first sale phase (if any).

Response By Team The issue has been confirmed by the team and they will examine the parameters.

[L-2] Improved Validation Checks in checkValidCapAndUpdate()

Target	Category	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	STATUS
Launchpad.sol	Coding Practices	Low	Low	Addressed

The Launchpad contract provides an external buy() function for users to purchase a PROJECT Token allocation for the sale with a value of "amount" saleToken. This function requires that the provided amount of saleToken must not be less than min_sale_token_amount, but there is no corresponding check for the amount of PROJECT Token that the user can get in return.

To elaborate, we show below the implementation of the _checkValidCapAndUpdate() function. Our analysis shows that _checkValidCapAndUpdate() can be strengthened by further ensuring require (amountOfTokensToBeAllocated > 0, 'Invalid allocation') so that the buyer will not have potential asset loss.

```
Launchpad:: checkValidCapAndUpdate()
441 function _checkValidCapAndUpdate(uint256 _saleTokenAmount, uint256 _phaseNumber)
        internal {
442
        PhaseInfo storage phaseInfo = phaseInfos[_phaseNumber - 1];
444
        totalRaised += _saleTokenAmount;
        if (totalRaised > maxRaiseAmount) revert RaisedMaxAmount();
446
        uint256 amountOfTokensToBeAllocated = _tokenAllocBySale(_saleTokenAmount,
            phaseInfo);
        totalAllocated += amountOfTokensToBeAllocated;
        phaseInfo.allocatedAmount += amountOfTokensToBeAllocated;
451
        if (
453
            totalAllocated > max_launch_tokens_to_distribute
454
            totalAllocated > phaseInfo.saleCap
455
456
        ) revert NotEnoughToken();
457 }
```

Remediation Add proper check to ensure the user can receive a reasonable amount of project token.

[L-3] Revisited Rewards Distribution Logic in ARBRewarder::setPool()

Target	Category	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	STATUS
ARBRewarder.sol	Business Logic	Medium	Medium	<i>⊗</i> Addressed

The ARBRewarder contract provides a public setPool() function for the privileged owner account to modify the key parameters of a staking pool. When examining its implementation logic, we notice that the distribution of pending rewards is incorrect under certain conditions.

To elaborate, we show the related code snippet below. Specifically, when the pool status remains active before and after parameter modification, but the masterChef address changes, the pending ARB rewards should be sent to the rewarder address obtained from the old masterChef, rather than being stored in the current contract and sent to the rewarder address obtained from the newly set masterChef during the next harvest operation.

```
ARBRewarder::setPool()
212
        function setPool(address _stakingToken, address _masterChef, bool _isActive,
             uint256 _endTimestamp) external onlyOwner {
            if(_masterChef == address(0))
                revert ZeroAddress();
215
            if (_endTimestamp < block.timestamp)</pre>
216
                revert InvalidEndtime();
            PoolInfo storage pool = tokenToPoolInfo[_stakingToken];
219
            if (pool.isActive && !_isActive) {// if setting an active pool to
221
                inactive, queue the pending arb rewards to rewarder
                address rewarder = IMasterMagpie(pool.masterChef).getRewarder(
                     _stakingToken);
                _calculateAndSendARB(_stakingToken, rewarder);
223
            }
            if (!pool.isActive && _isActive) {// if setting an inactive pool as
225
                active, just set the current timestamp as lastRewardTimestamp
226
                pool.lastRewardTimestamp = block.timestamp;
            }
227
            pool.masterChef = _masterChef;
228
            pool.isActive = _isActive;
229
            pool.endTimestamp = _endTimestamp;
230
            emit SetPool(_stakingToken, _masterChef, _isActive, _endTimestamp);
        }
233
```

Remediation For the scenario described above, timely send the pending ARB rewards to the rewarder address obtained from the old masterChef address.

[I-1] Improved Gas Efficiency in ARBRewarder:: calculateAndSendARB()

Target	Category	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	STATUS
ARBRewarder.sol	Coding Practices	N/A	N/A	<i>⊗</i> Addressed

The helper function _calculateAndSendARB() of the ARBRewarder contract is designed to calculate the pending ARB rewards and send the new rewards to be distributed to the rewarder contract. When examining its code implementation, we notice that the current gas usage could be further optimized. Specifically, by changing tokenToPoolInfo[_stakingToken].lastRewardTimestamp = block.timestamp to pool.lastRewardTimestamp = block.timestamp (line 135), the number of direct accesses to the state variable can be reduced, thereby saving gas.

```
ARBRewarder:: calculateAndSendARB()
        function _calculateAndSendARB(address _stakingToken, address _rewarder)
115
            internal {
            if(_rewarder == address(0))
117
            PoolInfo storage pool = tokenToPoolInfo[_stakingToken];
119
            if(!pool.isActive pool.ARBPerSec == 0)
120
121
123
            uint256 multiplier = block.timestamp - pool.lastRewardTimestamp;
124
            if (block.timestamp >= pool.endTimestamp){
                pool.isActive = false;
125
                multiplier = pool.endTimestamp - pool.lastRewardTimestamp;
126
127
            uint256 rewardAmount = (multiplier * pool.ARBPerSec);
128
            rewardAmount = Math.min(rewardAmount, ARB.balanceOf(address(this)));
            ARB.approve(_rewarder, rewardAmount);
131
            IBaseRewardPool(_rewarder).queueNewRewards(rewardAmount, address(ARB));
132
            emit ARBRewadsSent(_stakingToken, _rewarder, rewardAmount, pool.
134
                lastRewardTimestamp, pool.ARBPerSec);
            tokenToPoolInfo[_stakingToken].lastRewardTimestamp = block.timestamp;
135
        }
136
```

Remediation Reduce the number of direct accesses to the state variable by using pool.

lastRewardTimestamp = block.timestamp instead of tokenToPoolInfo[_stakingToken].lastRewardTimestamp = block.timestamp (line 135).

4 Appendix

4.1 About AstraSec

AstraSec is a blockchain security company that serves to provide high-quality auditing services for blockchain-based protocols. With a team of blockchain specialists, AstraSec maintains a strong commitment to excellence and client satisfaction. The audit team members have extensive audit experience for various famous DeFi projects. AstraSec's comprehensive approach and deep blockchain understanding make it a trusted partner for the clients.

4.2 Disclaimer

The information provided in this audit report is for reference only and does not constitute any legal, financial, or investment advice. Any views, suggestions, or conclusions in the audit report are based on the limited information and conditions obtained during the audit process and may be subject to unknown risks and uncertainties. While we make every effort to ensure the accuracy and completeness of the audit report, we are not responsible for any errors or omissions in the report.

We recommend users to carefully consider the information in the audit report based on their own independent judgment and professional advice before making any decisions. We are not responsible for the consequences of the use of the audit report, including but not limited to any losses or damages resulting from reliance on the audit report.

This audit report is for reference only and should not be considered a substitute for legal documents or contracts.

4.3 Contact

Phone	+86 156 0639 2692
Email	contact@astrasec.ai
Twitter	https://twitter.com/AstraSecAI