

# Cakepie

Security Audit Report

September 26, 2024

## Contents

1	Intr	oduction	3
	1.1	About Cakepie	3
	1.2	Audit Scope	3
	1.3	Changelog	4
2	Ove	erall Assessment	5
3	Vuli	nerability Summary	6
	3.1	Overview	6
	3.2	Security Level Reference	7
	3.3	Vulnerability Details	8
4	Арр	pendix	17
	4.1	About AstraSec	17
	4.2	Disclaimer	17
	<b>4</b> 3	Contact	17

# 1 Introduction

### 1.1 About Cakepie

Cakepie is an advanced SubDAO created by the Magpie Kitchen to enhance the long-term sustainability of PancakeSwap's veCAKE design. The primary objective of Cakepie is to accumulate CAKE tokens and lock them as veCAKE, helping to decrease its circulating supply. This allows Cakepie to capitalize on PancakeSwap's structure, optimizing governance power and offering passive income opportunities for DeFi users.

Cakepie provides a platform for users to deposit their assets and automatically receive optimized APR as liquidity providers. Simultaneously, it offers an efficient way for PancakeSwap voters to gain voting power and earn passive income via the CKP token.

### 1.2 Audit Scope

	LINK	Base Commit	Final Commit
1	https://github.com/magpiexyz/cakepie/fixesNTestcases	8661eae	bfb0993
2	https://github.com/magpiexyz/cakepie_contract/pull/37	81789fc	f78ea89
3	https://github.com/magpiexyz/cakepie_contract/pull/65	737b125	0778d9e
4	https://github.com/magpiexyz/cakepie_contract/pull/67	6dfd700	297a489
5	https://github.com/magpiexyz/cakepie_contract/pull/71	730b49a	93ffc8c
6	https://github.com/magpiexyz/cakepie_contract/pull/84	8ce2d1e	-
7	https://github.com/magpiexyz/cakepie_contract/pull/88	e60f860	51293a0
8	https://github.com/magpiexyz/cakepie_contract/pull/86	a4b9a99	40add2b
9	https://github.com/magpiexyz/cakepie_contract/pull/92	7c26883	0e104f8
10	https://github.com/magpiexyz/cakepie_contract/pull/115	4735c37	b1ea76b
11	https://github.com/magpiexyz/cakepie_contract/pull/119	b4ed567	4471dbe

# 1.3 Changelog

Version	Date
First Audit	December 30, 2023
Second Audit	January 16, 2024
Third Audit	April 8, 2024
Forth Audit	April 25, 2024
Fifth Audit	May 14, 2024
Sixth Audit	May 30, 2024
Seventh Audit	June 15, 2024
Eighth Audit	June 24, 2024
Ninth Audit	June 26, 2024
Tenth Audit	August 24, 2024
Eleventh Audit	September 18, 2024

# 2 Overall Assessment

This report has been compiled to identify issues and vulnerabilities within the Cakepie project. Throughout this audit, we identified several issues spanning various severity levels. By employing auxiliary tool techniques to supplement our thorough manual code review, we have discovered the following findings.

Severity	Count	Acknowledged	Won't Do	Addressed
Critical	-	-	-	-
High	3	-	-	3
Medium	-	-	-	-
Low	4	1	-	3
Informational	1	-	_	1
Undetermined	-	-	-	-

# 3 Vulnerability Summary

#### 3.1 Overview

Click on an issue to jump to it, or scroll down to see them all.

- H-1 Revisited Logic of CakepiellFOManager::harvestIIFOFromPancake()
- H-2 Revisited Logic of PancakelIFOHelper::claim()
- H-3 Revisited Logic of PancakeStakingBNBChain::depositIIFO()
- L-1 Revisited Pause Functionality in Current Implementation
- L-2 Potential Risks Associated with Centralization
- **└─3** Revisited Logic of MasterCakepie::pendingTokens()/allPendingTokens()
- L-4 Improved Reward Send Logic in RewardDistributor::sendVeReward()
- 1-1 Meaningful Events for Key Operations

### 3.2 Security Level Reference

In web3 smart contract audits, vulnerabilities are typically classified into different severity levels based on the potential impact they can have on the security and functionality of the contract. Here are the definitions for critical-severity, high-severity, medium-severity, and low-severity vulnerabilities:

Severity	Description
C-X (Critical)	A severe security flaw with immediate and significant negative consequences. It poses high risks, such as unauthorized access, financial losses,
	or complete disruption of functionality. Requires immediate attention and remediation.
H-X (High)	Significant security issues that can lead to substantial risks. Although
	not as severe as critical vulnerabilities, they can still result in unautho-
	rized access, manipulation of contract state, or financial losses. Prompt
	remediation is necessary.
M-X (Medium)	Moderately impactful security weaknesses that require attention and re-
	mediation. They may lead to limited unauthorized access, minor financial
	losses, or potential disruptions to functionality.
L-X (Low)	Minor security issues with limited impact. While they may not pose
	significant risks, it is still recommended to address them to maintain a
	robust and secure smart contract.
I-X (Informational)	Warnings and things to keep in mind when operating the protocol. No
	immediate action required.
U-X (Undetermined)	Identified security flaw requiring further investigation. Severity and im-
	pact need to be determined. Additional assessment and analysis are
	necessary.

### 3.3 Vulnerability Details

### [H-1] Revisited Logic of CakepielIFOManager::harvestIIFOFromPancake()

Target	Category	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	STATUS
CakepielIFOManager.sol	Business Logic	High	High	<b><i>⊗</i></b> Addressed

In the Cakepie IFO protocol, the CakepieIIFOManager contract is designed for managing Initial Farm Offering (IFO) events on PancakeSwap, which allows the privileged owner account to generate a dedicated PancakeIIFOHelper for each PancakeSwap IFO. Users engagement with PancakeSwap IFOs is facilitated through interaction with the dedicated PancakeIIFOHelper contract, offering a streamlined and simplified user experience.

In particular, the harvestIIFOFromPancake() function allows the privileged owner to harvest the specified PancakeSwap IFO. The PancakeStakingBNBChain::harvestIIFO() is called (line 173) to interact with the specified PancakeSwap IFO through the PancakeStakingBNBChain contract. After further analysis, we observe the call to retrieve the pid (line 176) is improper and the correct implementation shall be IPancakeIIFOHelper(\_pancakeIIFOHelper).pid() (line 176).

```
CakepieIIFOManager::harvestIIFOFromPancake()
   function harvestIIFOFromPancake(address _pancakeIIFO) external onlyOwner {
        address _pancakeIIFOHelper = iifoToHelper[_pancakeIIFO];
164
        (address depositToken, address offeringToken) = IPancakeIIFOHelper(
            _pancakeIIFOHelper)
            .getDepositnOfferingToken();
167
        bool isClaimed = IPancakeIIFOHelper(_pancakeIIFOHelper).isHarvestFromPancake
169
            ();
        if (isClaimed) revert AlreadyClaimed();
171
        IPancakeStaking(pancakeStaking).harvestIIFO(
173
            _pancakeIIFOHelper,
174
            _pancakeIIFO,
            IPancakeIIFOHelper(_pancakeIIFO).pid(),
176
            depositToken,
177
            offeringToken
        );
179
        IPancakeIIFOHelper(_pancakeIIFOHelper).updateStatus();
        emit RewardClaimedFor(_pancakeIIFOHelper, _pancakeIIFO);
183
184 }
```

**Remediation** Correct the implementation of the harvestIIFOFromPancake() function as above mentioned.

#### [H-2] Revisited Logic of PancakeIIFOHelper::claim()

Target	Category	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	STATUS
PancakeIIFOHelper.sol	Business Logic	High	High	<b><i>⊗</i></b> Addressed

As previously mentioned, each PancakeIIFOHelper instance is assigned to a specific PancakeSwap IFO. In particular, the claim() function is designed for users to harvest their respective rewards from the PancakeSwap IFO after its conclusion. While examining its logic, we identified two issues that need to be corrected.

- The validation of user input \_tokens is improper (line 187).
- The second \_pid parameter (line 192) of the call to CakepieIIFOManager::releaseIIFOFromPancake

  () is missing.

```
PancakeIIFOHelper::claim()
   function claim(address[] calldata _tokens) external nonReentrant whenNotPaused {
        (address depositToken, address offeringToken) = getDepositnOfferingToken();
        if (!isHarvestFromPancake) revert claimPhaseNotStarted();
178
        if (_tokens.length == 0) revert InvalidTokenLength();
180
        for (uint8 i = 0; i < _tokens.length; i++) {</pre>
182
            UserInfo storage userInfo = userInfos[_tokens[i]][msg.sender];
183
            if (userInfo.userDeposit == 0) revert ZeroDeposit();
185
            if (_tokens[i] != depositToken _tokens[i] != offeringToken)
187
                revert InvalidTokenAddress();
            lastClaimVestedTime = block.timestamp;
190
            ICakepieIIFOManager(cakepieIIFOManager).releaseIIFOFromPancake(address(
192
                pancakeIIFO));
194
            _updateFor(msg.sender, _tokens[i]);
            uint256 claimableAmt = userInfos[_tokens[i]][msg.sender].userRewards;
            if (claimableAmt > 0) _sendReward(_tokens[i], msg.sender, claimableAmt);
198
            emit UserClaimed(msg.sender, claimableAmt, offeringToken);
200
        }
```

```
202 }
```

Remediation Correct the implementation of the claim() function as above mentioned.

#### [H-3] Revisited Logic of PancakeStakingBNBChain::depositIIFO()

Target	Category	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	STATUS
PancakeStakingBNBChain.sol	Business Logic	High	High	<b><i>⊗</i></b> Addressed

In the Cakepie IFO protocol, the PancakeStakingBNBChain contract serves as the primary entry point for interacting with different PancakeSwap IFOs. While examining its logic, we observe the call to depositIIFO() function will always be reverted.

To provide a more in-depth explanation, we present the relevant code snippet from the contracts below. Inside the depositIIFO() function, the IFOInitializableV7::depositPool() is called (line 164) to deposit the supported asset into the PancakeSwap IFO. Upon further examination of the IFOInitializableV7::depositPool() implementation, there is an internal check is for msg.sender (line 249). Specifically, only users with an active PancakeSwap Profile are granted permission to participate in the public sale of the PancakeSwap IFO. However, the PancakeStaking-BNBChain contract does not have an active PancakeSwap Profile.

```
PancakeStakingBNBChain::depositIIFO()
153 function depositIIFO(
        address _pancakeIIFOHelper,
154
        address _pancakeIIFO,
        uint8 _pid,
156
157
        address _depositToken,
        address _for,
        uint256 _amount
159
160
   ) external nonReentrant _onlyIIFOManager {
161
        IERC20(_depositToken).safeTransferFrom(_for, address(this), _amount);
        IERC20(_depositToken).safeIncreaseAllowance(_pancakeIIF0, _amount);
162
        IIFO(_pancakeIIFO).depositPool(_amount, _pid);
164
        emit DepositedIntoIFO(_pancakeIIFOHelper, _pid, _amount);
166
167 }
```

```
IFOInitializableV7::depositPool()
   function depositPool(uint256 _amount, uint8 _pid) external override nonReentrant
         notContract {
        // Checks whether the pool id is valid
244
        require(_pid <= MAX_POOL_ID, "Deposit: Non valid pool id");</pre>
245
        if (pancakeProfileAddress != address(0) && _poolInformation[_pid].saleType
247
             != SaleType.BASIC) {
            // Checks whether the user has an active profile when provided profile
248
                 SC and not basic sale
249
                 IPancake Profile (pancake Profile Address). get User Status ({\tt msg.sender}) \ ,
250
                 "Deposit: Must have an active profile"
            );
252
        }
253
254
        . . .
255 }
```

Remediation Ensure the PancakeStakingBNBChain contract has an active PancakeSwap Profile.

#### [L-1] Revisited Pause Functionality in Current Implementation

Target	Category	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	STATUS
Multiple Contracts	Business Logic	N/A	N/A	<b><i>⊙</i></b> Addressed

The CakepieIIFOManager contract showcases proficient code implementation and organization through the utilization of several reference contracts. Notably, it enhances the functionality by inheriting the PausableUpgradeable contract to support an emergency stop mechanism that can be triggered by a privileged account.

However, upon examining CakepieIIFOManager contract, we notice the absence of public pause()/unpause() interfaces, indicating that the emergency stop mechanism will never be activated.

```
CakepiellFOManager

13 contract CakepielIFOManager is

14 Initializable,

15 OwnableUpgradeable,

16 ReentrancyGuardUpgradeable,

17 PausableUpgradeable

18 {...}
```

Remediation Properly implement public pause()/unpause() interfaces for CakepieIIFOManager, PancakeIIFOHelper, and PancakeStakingBNBChain contracts.

#### [L-2] Potential Risks Associated with Centralization

Target	Category	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	STATUS
Multiple Contracts	Security	Low	Low	Acknowledged

In the Cakepie IFO protocol, the existence of a privileged owner account introduces centralization risks, as it holds significant control and authority over critical operations governing the protocol. In the following, we show the representative function potentially affected by the privileges associated with the privileged account.

```
PancakeStakingBNBChain
269 function setVeCakeRewarder(
      address _veCakeShare,
270
       address _veRevenueShare,
       address _gaugeVoting
272
273 ) external onlyOwner {
274
275 }
277 function setGaugeVoting(address _gaugeVoting) external onlyOwner {
       if (_gaugeVoting == address(0)) revert AddressZero();
278
        gaugeVoting = IGaugeVoting(_gaugeVoting);
279
280 }
282 function setCakepieIIFOManager(address _cakepieIIFOManager) external onlyOwner {
       if (_cakepieIIFOManager == address(0)) revert AddressZero();
       cakepieIIFOManager = _cakepieIIFOManager;
284
285 }
```

In the Cakepie Rewarder implementation, the existence of a privileged owner account also introduces centralization risks, as it holds significant control and authority over critical operations governing the protocol. In the following, we show the representative function potentially affected by the privileges associated with the privileged account.

```
StreamRewarder

305 function setRewardQueuerStatus(address _rewardQueuer, bool status) external onlyOwner {
306    isRewardQueuer[_rewardQueuer] = status;
308    emit QueuerStatusUpdated(_rewardQueuer, status);
309 }

311 function setMasterCakepie(address _masterCakepie) external onlyOwner {
312    address oldMasterCakepie = masterCakepie;
```

```
masterCakepie = _masterCakepie;

emit MasterCakepieUpdated(oldMasterCakepie, _masterCakepie);

f }
```

**Remediation** To mitigate the identified issue, it is recommended to introduce multi-sig mechanism to undertake the role of the privileged account. Moreover, it is advisable to implement timelocks to govern all modifications to the privileged operations.

**Response By Team** This issue has been confirmed by the team and the multi-sig mechanism will be used to mitigate it.

#### [L-3] Revisited Logic of MasterCakepie::pendingTokens()/allPendingTokens()

Target	Category	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	STATUS
MasterCakepie.sol	Business Logic	Low	Low	<b><i>⊗</i></b> Addressed

The MasterCakepie contract is designed to implement an incentive mechanism that encourages users to stake supported assets. As a result of this staking activity, participants receive rewards in the form of various tokens. Specifically, the pendingTokens() function is utilized by users to query the pending rewards associated with the specified \_stakingToken and \_rewardToken.

Upon scrutinizing its logic, we've identified that the current implementation neglects the potential existence of two distinct rewarders (i.e., the current rewarder and the legacy rewarder) in specific scenarios. In such instances, the accuracy of the returned pending rewards may be compromised.

```
MasterCakepie::pendingTokens()
269 function pendingTokens(
        address _stakingToken,
270
271
        address _user,
        address _rewardToken
272
273 )
274
        external
275
        view
276
        returns (
277
            uint256 pendingCakepie,
            address bonusTokenAddress,
278
            string memory bonusTokenSymbol,
            uint256 pendingBonusToken
280
281
282
283
        PoolInfo storage pool = tokenToPoolInfo[_stakingToken];
        pendingCakepie = _calCakepieReward(_stakingToken, _user);
284
```

```
// If it's a multiple reward farm, we return info about the specific bonus
286
        if (address(pool.rewarder) != address(0) && _rewardToken != address(0)) {
287
            (bonusTokenAddress, bonusTokenSymbol) = (
288
289
                _rewardToken,
                IERC20Metadata(_rewardToken).symbol()
290
            );
291
292
            pendingBonusToken = IBaseRewardPool(pool.rewarder).earned(_user,
                _rewardToken);
        }
293
294 }
```

**Remediation** Consider the potential existence of two distinct rewarders during the pending rewards calculation in the pendingTokens()/allPendingTokens() functions.

#### [L-4] Improved Reward Send Logic in RewardDistributor::sendVeReward()

Target	Category	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	STATUS
RewardDistributor.sol	Business Logic	Low	Low	<b><i>⊗</i></b> Addressed

The RewardDistributor contract provides an external sendVeReward() function for the pancakeStaking contract to send revenue shares rewards to the rewarders. Upon examining its logic, we notice that the reward send logic can be improved to prevent potential reverts when certain conditions are met.

Below is the related code snippet. The current code implementation will convert the reward token, i.e., CAKE token to mCake token if the feeInfo[i].isMCAKE is true. However, if the feeAmount is 0, the execution of the \_convertToMCake() function may revert because the smartConvert() function requires its input \_feeAmount to be greater than 0 (line 148).

```
RewardDistributor::sendVeReward()
125 function sendVeReward (
        address _rewardSource,
        address _rewardToken,
127
        uint256 _amount,
128
129
        bool _isVeCake,
130
        uint256 _minRec
   ) external nonReentrant _onlyRewardQeuer {
131
        IERC20(_rewardToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), _amount);
        uint256 _leftRewardAmount = _amount;
133
        uint256 totalMCake = 0;
134
        Fees[] memory feeInfo;
        if (_isVeCake) feeInfo = veCakeFeeInfos;
```

```
else feeInfo = revenueShareFeeInfo;
138
        for (uint256 i = 0; i < feeInfo.length; i++) {</pre>
            if (feeInfo[i].isActive) {
141
142
                address rewardToken = _rewardToken;
143
                uint256 feeAmount = (_amount * feeInfo[i].value) / DENOMINATOR;
                uint256 feeToSend = feeAmount:
144
                 _leftRewardAmount -= feeToSend;
145
                if(feeInfo[i].isMCAKE) {
147
                     feeToSend = _convertToMCake(feeAmount);
148
                     rewardToken = mCake;
149
                     totalMCake += feeToSend;
150
                }
151
                 _distributeReward(feeInfo[i], rewardToken, feeToSend, _rewardSource,
153
                      true);
            }
154
        }
155
157
        if(totalMCake < _minRec) {</pre>
           revert minReceivedNotMet();
158
        }
        if (_leftRewardAmount > 0) {
161
            IERC20(_rewardToken).safeTransfer(owner(), _leftRewardAmount);
162
            emit RewardFeeDustTo(_rewardToken, owner(), _leftRewardAmount);
163
        }
164
165 }
```

Note this issue also exists in the sendRewards() function of the same contract.

Remediation Execute the \_convertToMCake() function only if the value of feeAmount is greater than 0.

#### [I-1] Meaningful Events for Key Operations

Target	Category	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD	STATUS
MasterCakepie.sol	Coding Practices	N/A	N/A	<b><i>⊗</i></b> Addressed

The event feature is vital for capturing runtime dynamics in a contract. Upon emission, events store transaction arguments in logs, supplying external analytics and reporting tools with crucial information. They play a pivotal role in scenarios like modifying system-wide parameters or handling token operations.

However, in our examination of protocol dynamics, we observed that certain privileged routines lack meaningful events to document their changes. We highlight the representative routines below.

**Remediation** Ensure the proper emission of meaningful events containing accurate information to promptly reflect state changes.

# 4 Appendix

#### 4.1 About AstraSec

AstraSec is a blockchain security company that serves to provide high-quality auditing services for blockchain-based protocols. With a team of blockchain specialists, AstraSec maintains a strong commitment to excellence and client satisfaction. The audit team members have extensive audit experience for various famous DeFi projects. AstraSec's comprehensive approach and deep blockchain understanding make it a trusted partner for the clients.

#### 4.2 Disclaimer

The information provided in this audit report is for reference only and does not constitute any legal, financial, or investment advice. Any views, suggestions, or conclusions in the audit report are based on the limited information and conditions obtained during the audit process and may be subject to unknown risks and uncertainties. While we make every effort to ensure the accuracy and completeness of the audit report, we are not responsible for any errors or omissions in the report.

We recommend users to carefully consider the information in the audit report based on their own independent judgment and professional advice before making any decisions. We are not responsible for the consequences of the use of the audit report, including but not limited to any losses or damages resulting from reliance on the audit report.

This audit report is for reference only and should not be considered a substitute for legal documents or contracts.

#### 4.3 Contact

Phone	+86 176 2267 4194
Email	contact@astrasec.ai
Twitter	https://twitter.com/AstraSecAI