

Optimal Discounted Cost in Weighted Graphs

Ashutosh Trivedi

September 22, 2017

Definition 1. A weighted finite graph \mathcal{G} is a tuple (V, E, A, w) where:

- V be a finite set of vertices,
- A be a finite set of actions,
- $E : V \times A \rightarrow V$ be the set of edges, and
- $w : V \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the weight function.

A path of \mathcal{G} is a sequence $\langle v_1, a_1, v_2, a_2, \dots, v_{k+1} \rangle$ of vertices and actions such that for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ we have that $E(v_i, a_i) = v_{i+1}$. Similarly an infinite path of \mathcal{G} is an infinite sequence $\langle v_1, a_1, v_2, a_2, \dots \rangle$ such that for all $i \geq 1$ we have that $E(v_i, a_i) = v_{i+1}$. We say that a path starts from a vertex v if the first vertex in the path sequence is v . Let $\text{FPATH}(v)$ and $\text{PATH}(v)$ be the set of all finite and infinite paths, resp., starting from vertex $v \in V$. We write $\text{FPATH}(v, N)$ for the set of all paths starting from v of length N .

1 Finite-Horizon Total Reward Problems

Given a path $\pi = \langle v_1, a_1, \dots, v_{k+1} \rangle$ we define its cost $\text{COST}(\pi)$ as

$$\text{COST}(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^k w(v_i, a_i).$$

For a vertex v and step N , we write $\text{COST}_N(v)$ as minimum cost of all paths starting from v of length N , and is defined as

$$\text{COST}_N(v) = \min_{\pi \in \text{FPATH}(v, N)} \text{COST}(\pi).$$

Consider the following set of *optimality equations*:

$$\mathcal{C}(v, N) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } N = 0 \\ \min_{a \in A} \{w(v, a) + \mathcal{C}(v', N - 1) : E(v, a) = v'\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1. *If a function $C : V \times \llbracket N \rrbracket \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a solution of optimality equations 1, then $C(v, N) = \text{COST}_N(v)$.*

Proof. The proof is in two parts. First we show that for every path $\pi \in \text{FPATH}(v, N)$ of length N we have that $C(v, N) \leq \text{COST}(\pi)$, and then we show that there is a path $\pi_* \in \text{FPATH}(v, N)$ such that $C(v, N) = \text{COST}(\pi_*)$.

— Consider an arbitrary path $\pi = \langle v_1, a_1, v_2, \dots, v_{N+1} \rangle \in \text{FPATH}(v, N)$ starting from v , i.e. $v = v_1$. We have that:

$$\begin{aligned} C(v_1, N) &\leq w(v_1, a_1) + C(v_2, N-1) \\ &\leq w(v_1, a_1) + w(v_2, a_2) + C(v_3, N-2) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N w(v_i, a_i) + C(v_{N+1}, 0) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N w(v_i, a_i) = \text{COST}(\pi). \end{aligned}$$

— Now consider the path $\pi_* = \langle v_1, a_1, v_2, \dots, v_{N+1} \rangle \in \text{FPATH}(v, N)$ starting from v , i.e. $v = v_1$ such that each a_i is carefully chosen using the solution of optimality equations in the following fashion:

$$a_i = \arg \min_{a \in A} \{w(v_i, a) + C(v', N-i) : (v_i, a, v') \in E\}.$$

Now it is clear to see that for this path we have:

$$\begin{aligned} C(v_1, N) &= w(v_1, a_1) + C(v_2, N-1) \\ &= w(v_1, a_1) + w(v_2, a_2) + C(v_3, N-2) \\ &= \dots \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N w(v_i, a_i) + C(v_{N+1}, 0) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N w(v_i, a_i) = \text{COST}(\pi). \end{aligned}$$

From these two parts it follows that $C(v, N) = \text{COST}_N(v)$. The proof is now complete. \square

Theorem 2. *The finite horizon optimal cost problem can be solved in $O(N \cdot |V| \cdot |A|)$.*

2 Finite-Horizon Discounted Reward Problems

For this section let us fix a discount factor $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. Given a path $\pi = \langle v_1, a_1, \dots, v_{k+1} \rangle$ we define its discounted cost $\text{DCOST}(\pi)$ as

$$\text{DCOST}(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda^{i-1} \cdot w(v_i, a_i).$$

For a vertex v and step N , we write $\text{DCOST}_N(v)$ as minimum discounted cost of all paths starting from v of length N , and is defined as

$$\text{DCOST}_N(v) = \min_{\pi \in \text{FPATH}(v, N)} \text{DCOST}(\pi).$$

Consider the following set of *optimality equations*:

$$\mathcal{D}(v, N) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } N = 0 \\ \min_{a \in A} \{w(v, a) + \lambda \cdot \mathcal{D}(v', N - 1) : E(v, a) = v'\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3. *If a function $D : V \times \llbracket N \rrbracket \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a solution of optimality equations 2, then $D(v, N) = \text{DCOST}_N(v)$.*

3 Infinite-Horizon Discounted Reward Problems

For this section let us fix a discount factor $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. Given an infinite path $\pi = \langle v_1, a_1, \dots \rangle$ we define its discounted cost $\text{DCOST}(\pi)$ as

$$\text{DCOST}(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{i-1} \cdot w(v_i, a_i).$$

For a vertex v , we write $\text{DCOST}(v)$ as minimum discounted cost of all infinite paths starting from v , and is defined as

$$\text{DCOST}(v) = \min_{\pi \in \text{PATH}(v)} \text{DCOST}(\pi).$$

Consider the following set of *optimality equations*:

$$\mathcal{D}(v) = \min_{a \in A} \{w(v, a) + \lambda \cdot \mathcal{D}(v') : E(v, a) = v'\}$$

Theorem 4. *If a function $D : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a solution of optimality equations 3, then $D(v) = \text{DCOST}(v)$.*

Proof. The proof is in two parts. First we show that for every path $\pi \in \text{PATH}(v)$ we have that $D(v) \leq \text{DCOST}(\pi)$, and then we show that there is a path $\pi_* \in \text{PATH}(v)$ such that $D(v) = \text{DCOST}(\pi_*)$.

- Consider an arbitrary path $\pi = \langle v_1, a_1, v_2, \dots \rangle \in \text{PATH}(v)$ starting from v , i.e. $v = v_1$. We have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
D(v_1) &\leq w(v_1, a_1) + \lambda D(v_2) \\
&\leq w(v_1, a_1) + \lambda w(v_2, a_2) + \lambda^2 D(v_3) \\
&\leq \dots \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{i-1} w(v_i, a_i) = \text{DCOST}(\pi).
\end{aligned}$$

- Now consider the path $\pi_* = \langle v_1, a_1, v_2, \dots \rangle \in \text{PATH}(v)$ starting from v , i.e. $v = v_1$ such that for every vertex v_i the action a_i is carefully chosen using the solution of optimality equations in the following fashion:

$$a_i = \arg \min_{a \in A} \{w(v_i, a) + \lambda D(v') : (v_i, a, v') \in E\}.$$

Notice that such path would be eventually periodic. In particular, every time a vertex is visited, same action can be chosen. Now it is clear to see that for this path we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
D(v_1) &= w(v_1, a_1) + \lambda D(v_2) \\
&= w(v_1, a_1) + w(v_2, a_2) + \lambda^2 D(v_3) \\
&= \dots \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{i-1} \cdot w(v_i, a_i) = \text{COST}(\pi).
\end{aligned}$$

From these two parts it follows that $C(v, N) = \text{COST}_N(v)$. The proof is now complete. \square