

A Python API for OTTER

Noah Franz ¹, Kate D Alexander  ¹, and Sebastian Gomez  ²

³ Department of Astronomy and Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 North Cherry Avenue,
⁴ Tucson, AZ 85721-0065, USA ² Department of Astronomy, The University of Texas at Austin, 2515
⁵ Speedway, Stop C1400, Austin, TX 78712, USA

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Open Journals](#) 

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright
and release the work under a
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0
International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

In partnership with



This article and software are linked
with research article DOI [update](#)
[this with the DOI from AAS once](#)
you know it., published in the
Astrophysical Journal.

Summary

The Open multiWavelength Transient Event Repository (or “OTTER”) is a new catalog of published transient data. Here we present a thick Python wrapper on the REpresentational State Transfer (REST) application programming interface (API) built-in to the OTTER backend database (the “OTTER API”). Since the OTTER backend is built on the document database ArangoDB, using the REST API directly requires learning the Arango Query Language (AQL). Since AQL has a niche user base, OTTER users unfamiliar with it may face a roadblock to programmatic access of OTTER. To overcome this barrier, we created the OTTER Python API to make programmatic access easy and fast. In addition to wrapping the REST API, the OTTER Python API also provides additional methods for 1) Converting the stored photometry to standard units; 2) Helper methods for querying additional astronomy database services; and 3) Methods for quickly plotting the photometry stored in OTTER.

Statement of need

Transient astrophysical events provide a unique high energy laboratory that evolves on human timescales. Examples include supernovae, gamma ray bursts, tidal disruption events, and many other exotic transients (Colgate & White, 1966; Eichler et al., 1989; Heger et al., 2003; Hills, 1975; Kouveliotou et al., 1993; Maoz et al., 2014; Narayan et al., 1992; Norris et al., 1984; Rees, 1988; Smartt, 2009; Woosley & Weaver, 1995). Developing an understanding of the many astrophysical transients observed is not easy and typically requires detailed multiwavelength observations and analyses of the population of events (Alexander et al., 2025; Christy et al., 2024; Costa et al., 1997; Eftekhari et al., 2018, 2021; Gezari et al., 2017; Gomez et al., 2024; Guolo et al., 2024; Hajela et al., 2025; Laskar et al., 2022, 2023; Margutti et al., 2023; Masterson et al., 2024; Olivares E. et al., 2015; Pasham & Velzen, 2018). However, it can take years to gather the multiwavelength datasets from the literature, necessitating publicly available transient event data archives.

Furthermore, transient classification is a non-trivial process that typically requires detailed spectroscopic and/or multiwavelength follow-up observations (e.g., Arcavi et al., 2014; Charalampopoulos et al., 2022), a method that is only feasible with the current transient discovery rates. With the advent of Rubin Observatory’s Legacy Survey of Space and Time (Ivezic et al., 2019) the number of known transients will increase by at least an order of magnitude (Bricman & Gomboc, 2020; Sjoert van Velzen et al., 2011). Therefore, additional methods for classifying transients, such as machine learning, are required (Boesky et al., 2025; Gomez et al., 2020, 2023; Soto et al., 2024; Stein et al., 2024; V. A. Villar et al., 2019; V. Ashley Villar et al., 2020, 2021). However, machine learning classifiers require large training datasets that can be laborious to curate. This further motivates archival services for cataloging transient metadata and photometry, and will be necessary to maximize the scientific output of the Rubin time domain survey.

43 For both of these reasons we created the Open multiWavelength Transient Event Repository
 44 (OTTER, [Franz et al., 2025](#)), a scalable catalog of transient event metadata and photometry.
 45 OTTER is a successor to the Open Astronomy Catalogs (OAC, [Auchettl et al., 2017; Guillochon](#)
 46 [et al., 2017](#))¹, but designed and optimized for multiwavelength datasets. To store the various
 47 nuances of multiwavelength photometry (e.g., the model used to reduce and extract a flux from
 48 an X-ray observation), we chose to use a flexible document database management system as
 49 our backend: ArangoDB. The nested structure of the document database files also provides an
 50 intuitive way to store multiple values of a single measurement when different sources disagree.
 51 One of our primary goals of OTTER is ease of access to the dataset, including a way to
 52 programmatically access it to make the curation of large transient samples easier. ArangoDB
 53 has a built-in REST API for programmatic access to the data. However, the API endpoints
 54 expect queries in the syntax of the “Arango Query Language” (AQL). Learning a new query
 55 language creates a barrier for user programmatic access to the indispensable dataset available
 56 in the OTTER catalog.
 57 To help overcome this barrier, we present a Python API for access to the OTTER dataset.
 58 This API acts as a thick wrapper on the AQL-based API, with many additions that make it
 59 easier to access and analyze the dataset. Some of these features include:
 60

- In OTTER we store photometry as close to the actual published value as possible to
 61 make the data more reproducible. However, this also means that the data is not stored
 62 in consistent units (but the unit of the photometry point is stored). In the OTTER API
 63 we automatically convert the photometry into the user-requested units. Specifically, the
 64 conversion is done in the `Otter.get_phot` and `Transient.clean_photometry` methods
 65 which use astropy ([Astropy Collaboration et al., 2013, 2018, 2022](#)) and synphot ([STScI](#)
 66 [Development Team, 2018](#)).
- The same raw data from an astronomical observation may be reduced² by multiple,
 67 distinct, teams. Depending on the differences in the reduction methodology this may
 68 produce different flux measurements. If this is the case, we store both flux measurements
 69 in the OTTER database to allow the user to choose their preferred reduction. However,
 70 to help users de-duplicate these datasets while curating large samples, we provide an
 71 (optional) automated algorithm for finding duplicates and choosing only one of the
 72 multiple reductions. This is done in the `Transient.deduplicate_photometry` method.
- Sometimes users want to quickly view the photometry for a specific transient event as
 73 either a light curve (flux as a function of time) or a spectral energy distribution (flux as
 74 a function of wavelength, frequency, or energy; i.e., an “SED”). In the plotter module
 75 of the OTTER API we provide numerous methods for quickly and automatically plotting
 76 the photometry ([Hunter, 2007; Inc., 2015](#)).
- Identifying the host galaxy of a transient event can be difficult and it is important to
 77 store identifying information (e.g., name and coordinates) for a host galaxy, if it is known.
 78 However, there are numerous existing astronomical databases that store galaxy properties
 79 and we do not want to duplicate their efforts. We therefore provide methods as part
 80 of the Host object to query other public services for e.g., host photometry or spectra.
 81 These other services include Simbad ([Wenger et al., 2000](#)), ATLAS ([Tonry et al., 2018](#)),
 82 ZTF ([Sjoert van Velzen et al., 2019](#)), iPTF ([Blagorodnova et al., 2017](#)), ASAS-SN
 83 ([Hart et al., 2023; Kochanek et al., 2017; Shappee et al., 2014](#)), Vizier ([Ochsenbein](#)
 84 [et al., 2000](#)), WISE ([Hwang & Zakamska, 2020](#); [Mainzer et al., 2011, 2014](#); [Wright](#)
 85 [et al., 2010](#)), FIRST ([White et al., 1997](#)), NVSS ([Condon et al., 1998](#)), HEASARC, and
 86 Sparcl ([Juneau et al., 2024](#)) — most of which are queried using the astropy-affiliated
 87 astroquery package ([Ginsburg et al., 2019](#)).
- Users may want to compare new observations stored locally with the publicly available

¹The OAC was an indispensable resource but has not been maintained since 2022, further necessitating a successor like OTTER.

²By “reduced” we mean that the proper calibrations are applied and a flux, flux density, or magnitude is extracted from the raw data.

92 data in OTTER. As part of the OTTER API we make this very easy as long as their
93 data is stored in a well-documented CSV file format (see the OTTER web application
94 upload form or the example jupyter notebook titled “Interfacing with Private Data”).
95 When the data is stored like this a user is able to use the `Otter.from_csvs` method to
96 construct an `Otter` object that will pass their queries to both the public OTTER dataset
97 and the one locally stored and return all relevant information in a consistent format.
98 ■ We allow for the storage of different measurements (e.g., redshift, discovery date, etc.)
99 associated with the same property of the transient. The OTTER API will automatically
100 choose a default value if multiple measurements are present for a single property.

101 Software Impact and Conclusions

102 Moving forward, OTTER, in its entirety, will be a useful infrastructure tool for time domain
103 science. Even more, the OTTER API described here will make access to that dataset easier for
104 users by lowering the API learning curve. Evidence of this is the impact of the Open Astronomy
105 Catalogs, which has > 500 citations (Guillochon et al., 2017) and is still used today, despite
106 being deprecated.

107 There are already multiple astronomers using the software for their research, spanning from
108 undergraduate students to faculty. There are currently two papers citing OTTER (Alexander
109 et al., 2025; Christy et al., 2025) and at least another in preparation (Farley et al., in prep.).
110 Additionally, our immediate research groups has already used OTTER for writing successful
111 telescope observing proposals. We presented this work at the Kavli Institute for Theoretical
112 Physics: Towards a Physical Understanding of Tidal Disruption Events session, Astronomical
113 Data Analysis Software and Systems: 2025 Monsoon Workshop, and the 2025 X-ray Quasi-
114 Periodic Eruptions and Repeating Nuclear Transients Conference. It was positively received at
115 all of these conferences and we accrued ~ 15 beta testers who provided invaluable feedback.
116 We welcome GitHub issues with comments and feedback (or even pull requests!) from the
117 community on our [GitHub repository](#).

118 Acknowledgements

119 N.F. acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship
120 Program under Grant No. DGE-2137419. KDA acknowledges support provided by the NSF
121 through award SOSPAA9-007 from the NRAO and award AST-2307668. KDA gratefully
122 acknowledges support from the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation. This research was supported in
123 part by grant NSF PHY-2309135 to the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics (KITP).

124 References

- 125 Alexander, K. D., Margutti, R., Gomez, S., Stroh, M., Chornock, R., Laskar, T., Cendes, Y.,
126 Berger, E., Eftekhari, T., Franz, N., Hajela, A., Metzger, B. D., Terreran, G., Bietenholz,
127 M., Christy, C., Colle, F. de, Komossa, S., Nicholl, M., Ramirez-Ruiz, E., ... Wu, W. (2025).
128 *The Multi-Wavelength Context of Delayed Radio Emission in TDEs: Evidence for Accretion-
129 Driven Outflows*. arXiv. <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2025arXiv250612729A>
- 130 Arcavi, I., Gal-Yam, A., Sullivan, M., Pan, Y.-C., Cenko, S. B., Horesh, A., Ofek, E. O., De Cia,
131 A., Yan, L., Yang, C.-W., Howell, D. A., Tal, D., Kulkarni, S. R., Tendulkar, S. P., Tang,
132 S., Xu, D., Sternberg, A., Cohen, J. G., Bloom, J. S., ... Laher, R. R. (2014). A Continuum
133 of H- to He-rich Tidal Disruption Candidates With a Preference for E+A Galaxies. *The
134 Astrophysical Journal*, 793, 38. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/793/1/38>
- 135 Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., Lim, P. L., Earl, N., Starkman, N., Bradley, L.,
136 Shupe, D. L., Patil, A. A., Corrales, L., Brasseur, C. E., Nöthe, M., Donath, A., Tollerud,

- 137 E., Morris, B. M., Ginsburg, A., Vaher, E., Weaver, B. A., Tocknell, J., Jamieson, W., ...
 138 Astropy Project Contributors. (2022). The Astropy Project: Sustaining and Growing a
 139 Community-oriented Open-source Project and the Latest Major Release (v5.0) of the Core
 140 Package. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 935, 167. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac7c74>
- 141 Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., Sipőcz, B. M., Günther, H. M., Lim, P. L.,
 142 Crawford, S. M., Conseil, S., Shupe, D. L., Craig, M. W., Dencheva, N., Ginsburg, A.,
 143 VanderPlas, J. T., Bradley, L. D., Pérez-Suárez, D., Val-Borro, M. de, Aldcroft, T. L.,
 144 Cruz, K. L., Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., ... Astropy Contributors. (2018). The Astropy
 145 Project: Building an Open-science Project and Status of the v2.0 Core Package. *The
 146 Astronomical Journal*, 156, 123. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aabc4f>
- 147 Astropy Collaboration, Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., Greenfield, P., Droettboom, M., Bray,
 148 E., Aldcroft, T., Davis, M., Ginsburg, A., Price-Whelan, A. M., Kerzendorf, W. E., Conley,
 149 A., Crighton, N., Barbary, K., Muna, D., Ferguson, H., Grollier, F., Parikh, M. M., Nair,
 150 P. H., ... Streicher, O. (2013). Astropy: A community Python package for astronomy.
 151 *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 558, A33. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201322068>
- 152 Auchettl, K., Guillochon, J., & Ramirez-Ruiz, E. (2017). New Physical Insights about Tidal
 153 Disruption Events from a Comprehensive Observational Inventory at X-Ray Wavelengths.
 154 *The Astrophysical Journal*, 838(2), 149. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa633b>
- 155 Blagorodnova, N., Gezari, S., Hung, T., Kulkarni, S. R., Cenko, S. B., Pasham, D. R., Yan, L.,
 156 Arcavi, I., Ben-Ami, S., Bue, B. D., Cantwell, T., Cao, Y., Castro-Tirado, A. J., Fender,
 157 R., Fremling, C., Gal-Yam, A., Ho, A. Y. Q., Horesh, A., Hosseinzadeh, G., ... Wozniak,
 158 P. (2017). iPTF16fnl: A Faint and Fast Tidal Disruption Event in an E+A Galaxy. *The
 159 Astrophysical Journal*, 844(1), 46. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa7579>
- 160 Boesky, A., Villar, V. A., Gagliano, A., & Hsu, B. (2025). SPLASH: A Rapid Host-Based
 161 Supernova Classifier for Wide-Field Time-Domain Surveys. arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2506.00121>
- 162 Bricman, K., & Gomboc, A. (2020). The Prospects of Observing Tidal Disruption Events
 163 with the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 890, 73. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab6989>
- 164 Charalampopoulos, P., Leloudas, G., Malesani, D. B., Wevers, T., Arcavi, I., Nicholl, M.,
 165 Pursiainen, M., Lawrence, A., Anderson, J. P., Benetti, S., Cannizzaro, G., Chen, T.-W.,
 166 Galbany, L., Gromadzki, M., Gutiérrez, C. P., Inserra, C., Jonker, P. G., Müller-Bravo, T. E.,
 167 Onori, F., ... Young, D. R. (2022). A detailed spectroscopic study of tidal disruption events.
 168 *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 659, A34. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142122>
- 169 Christy, C. T., Alexander, K. D., Laskar, T., Franz, N., Goodwin, A. J., Pearson, J., Berger,
 170 E., Cendes, Y., Chornock, R., Coppejans, D., Eftekhari, T., Margutti, R., Miller-Jones, J.
 171 C. A., Krips, M., Ramirez-Ruiz, E., Sand, D. J., Saxton, R., Shrestha, M., & van Velzen,
 172 S. (2025). Dichotomy in Long-Lived Radio Emission from Tidal Disruption Events AT
 173 2020zso and AT 2021sdu: Multi-Component Outflows vs. Host Contamination. *arXiv
 174 e-Prints*, arXiv:2509.14317. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.14317>
- 175 Christy, C. T., Alexander, K. D., Margutti, R., Wieringa, M., Cendes, Y., Chornock, R., Laskar,
 176 T., Berger, E., Bietenholz, M., Coppejans, D. L., De Colle, F., Eftekhari, T., Holien, T.
 177 W.-S., Matsumoto, T., Miller-Jones, J. C. A., Ramirez-Ruiz, E., Saxton, R., & Velzen, S.
 178 van. (2024). The Peculiar Radio Evolution of the Tidal Disruption Event ASASSN-19bt.
 179 *The Astrophysical Journal*, 974, 18. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad675b>
- 180 Colgate, S. A., & White, R. H. (1966). The Hydrodynamic Behavior of Supernovae Explosions.
 181 *The Astrophysical Journal*, 143, 626. <https://doi.org/10.1086/148549>
- 182 Condon, J. J., Cotton, W. D., Greisen, E. W., Yin, Q. F., Perley, R. A., Taylor, G. B., &
 183 Broderick, J. J. (1998). The NRAO VLA Sky Survey. *The Astronomical Journal*, 115(5),

- 186 1693–1716. <https://doi.org/10.1086/300337>
- 187 Costa, E., Frontera, F., Heise, J., Feroci, M., Zand, J. in't, Fiore, F., Cinti, M. N., Dal Fiume,
 188 D., Nicastro, L., Orlandini, M., Palazzi, E., Rapisarda#, M., Zavattini, G., Jager, R.,
 189 Parmar, A., Owens, A., Molendi, S., Cusumano, G., Maccarone, M. C., ... Butler, R. C.
 190 (1997). Discovery of an X-ray afterglow associated with the γ -ray burst of 28 February
 191 1997. *Nature*, 387, 783–785. <https://doi.org/10.1038/42885>
- 192 Eftekhari, T., Berger, E., Zauderer, B. A., Margutti, R., & Alexander, K. D. (2018). Radio
 193 Monitoring of the Tidal Disruption Event Swift J164449.3+573451. III. Late-time Jet
 194 Energetics and a Deviation from Equipartition. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 854, 86.
 195 <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaa8e0>
- 196 Eftekhari, T., Margalit, B., Omand, C. M. B., Berger, E., Blanchard, P. K., Demorest, P.,
 197 Metzger, B. D., Murase, K., Nicholl, M., Villar, V. A., Williams, P. K. G., Alexander, K.
 198 D., Chatterjee, S., Coppejans, D. L., Cordes, J. M., Gomez, S., Hosseinzadeh, G., Hsu,
 199 B., Kashiyama, K., ... Yin, Y. (2021). Late-time Radio and Millimeter Observations of
 200 Superluminous Supernovae and Long Gamma-Ray Bursts: Implications for Central Engines,
 201 Fast Radio Bursts, and Obscured Star Formation. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 912, 21.
 202 <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/abe9b8>
- 203 Eichler, D., Livio, M., Piran, T., & Schramm, D. N. (1989). Nucleosynthesis, neutrino
 204 bursts and γ -rays from coalescing neutron stars. *Nature*, 340(6229), 126–128. <https://doi.org/10.1038/340126a0>
- 206 Franz, N., Alexander, K. D., Gomez, S., Christy, C. T., Laskar, T., van Velzen, S., Earl,
 207 N., Gezari, S., Karmen, M., Margutti, R., Pearson, J., Villar, V. A., & Zabludoff, A. I.
 208 (2025). The Open multiWavelength Transient Event Repository (OTTER): Infrastructure
 209 Release and Tidal Disruption Event Catalog. *arXiv e-Prints*, arXiv:2509.05405. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.05405>
- 211 Gezari, S., Cenko, S. B., & Arcavi, I. (2017). X-Ray Brightening and UV Fading of Tidal
 212 Disruption Event ASASSN-15oi. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 851, L47. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaa0c2>
- 214 Ginsburg, A., Sipócz, B. M., Brasseur, C. E., Cowperthwaite, P. S., Craig, M. W., Deil,
 215 C., Guillochon, J., Guzman, G., Liedtke, S., Lian Lim, P., Lockhart, K. E., Mommert,
 216 M., Morris, B. M., Norman, H., Parikh, M., Persson, M. V., Robitaille, T. P., Segovia,
 217 J.-C., Singer, L. P., ... a subset of astropy Collaboration. (2019). Astroquery: An
 218 Astronomical Web-querying Package in Python. *The Astronomical Journal*, 157, 98.
 219 <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aaafc33>
- 220 Gomez, S., Berger, E., Blanchard, P. K., Hosseinzadeh, G., Nicholl, M., Villar, V. A., & Yin,
 221 Y. (2020). FLEET: A Redshift-agnostic Machine Learning Pipeline to Rapidly Identify
 222 Hydrogen-poor Superluminous Supernovae. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 904, 74. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/abbf49>
- 224 Gomez, S., Nicholl, M., Berger, E., Blanchard, P. K., Villar, V. A., Rest, S., Hosseinzadeh, G.,
 225 Aamer, A., Ajay, Y., Athukorralage, W., Coulter, D. C., Eftekhari, T., Fiore, A., Franz,
 226 N., Fox, O., Gagliano, A., Hiramatsu, D., Howell, D. A., Hsu, B., ... Wang, Q. (2024).
 227 The Type I superluminous supernova catalogue I: Light-curve properties, models, and
 228 catalogue description. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 535, 471–515.
 229 <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae2270>
- 230 Gomez, S., Villar, V. A., Berger, E., Gezari, S., Velzen, S. van, Nicholl, M., Blanchard,
 231 P. K., & Alexander, Kate. D. (2023). Identifying Tidal Disruption Events with an
 232 Expansion of the FLEET Machine-learning Algorithm. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 949, 113.
 233 <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acc535>
- 234 Guillochon, J., Parrent, J., Kelley, L. Z., & Margutti, R. (2017). An Open Catalog for Supernova

- 235 Data. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 835, 64. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/835/1/64>
- 236 Guolo, M., Gezari, S., Yao, Y., Van Velzen, S., Hammerstein, E., Cenko, S. B., & Tokayer,
237 Y. M. (2024). A Systematic Analysis of the X-Ray Emission in Optically Selected Tidal
238 Disruption Events: Observational Evidence for the Unification of the Optically and X-Ray-
239 selected Populations. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 966(2), 160. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad2f9f>
- 240
- 241 Hajela, A., Alexander, K. D., Margutti, R., Chornock, R., Bietenholz, M., Christy, C. T., Stroh,
242 M., Terreran, G., Saxton, R., Komossa, S., Bright, J. S., Ramirez-Ruiz, E., Coppejans,
243 D. L., Leung, J. K., Cendes, Y., Wiston, E., Laskar, T., Horesh, A., Schroeder, G., ...
244 Zauderer, B. A. (2025). Eight Years of Light from ASASSN-15oi: Toward Understanding
245 the Late-time Evolution of TDEs. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 983(1), 29. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/adb620>
- 246
- 247 Hart, K., Shappee, B. J., Hey, D., Kochanek, C. S., Stanek, K. Z., Lim, L., Dobbs, S., Tucker,
248 M., Jayasinghe, T., Beacom, J. F., Boright, T., Holoiien, T., Ong, J. M. J., Prieto, J.
249 L., Thompson, T. A., & Will, D. (2023). ASAS-SN Sky Patrol V2.0. *arXiv e-Prints*,
250 arXiv:2304.03791. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2304.03791>
- 251 Heger, A., Fryer, C. L., Woosley, S. E., Langer, N., & Hartmann, D. H. (2003). How
252 Massive Single Stars End Their Life. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 591(1), 288–300. <https://doi.org/10.1086/375341>
- 253
- 254 Hills, J. G. (1975). Possible power source of Seyfert galaxies and QSOs. *Nature*, 254(5498),
255 295–298. <https://doi.org/10.1038/254295a0>
- 256 Hunter, J. D. (2007). Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment. *Computing in Science &
257 Engineering*, 9(3), 90–95. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.55>
- 258 Hwang, H.-C., & Zakamska, N. L. (2020). Lifetime of short-period binaries measured from
259 their Galactic kinematics. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 493(2),
260 2271–2286. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa400>
- 261 Inc., P. T. (2015). *Collaborative data science*. Plotly Technologies Inc. <https://plot.ly>
- 262 Ivezic, {\v{Z}}eljko., Kahn, S. M., Tyson, J. A., Abel, B., Acosta, E., Allsman, R., Alonso,
263 D., AlSayyad, Y., Anderson, S. F., Andrew, J., Angel, J. R. P., Angeli, G. Z., Ansari, R.,
264 Antilogus, P., Araujo, C., Armstrong, R., Arndt, K. T., Astier, P., Aubourg, É., ... Mills, D.
265 J. (2019). LSST: From Science Drivers to Reference Design and Anticipated Data Products.
266 *The Astrophysical Journal*, 873, 111. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab042c>
- 267 Juneau, S., Jacques, A., Pothier, S., Bolton, A. S., Weaver, B. A., Pucha, R., McManus, S.,
268 Nikutta, R., & Olsen, K. (2024). SPARCL: SPectra Analysis and Retrievable Catalog Lab.
269 arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2401.05576>
- 270 Kochanek, C. S., Shappee, B. J., Stanek, K. Z., Holoiien, T. W.-S., Thompson, T. A.,
271 Prieto, J. L., Dong, S., Shields, J. V., Will, D., Britt, C., Perzanowski, D., & Pojmański,
272 G. (2017). The All-Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae (ASAS-SN) Light Curve
273 Server v1.0. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, 129(980), 104502.
274 <https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aa80d9>
- 275 Kouveliotou, C., Meegan, C. A., Fishman, G. J., Bhat, N. P., Briggs, M. S., Koshut, T. M.,
276 Paciesas, W. S., & Pendleton, G. N. (1993). Identification of Two Classes of Gamma-Ray
277 Bursts. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 413, L101. <https://doi.org/10.1086/186969>
- 278 Laskar, T., Alexander, K. D., Margutti, R., Eftekhari, T., Chornock, R., Berger, E., Cendes, Y.,
279 Duerr, A., Perley, D. A., Ravasio, M. E., Yamazaki, R., Ayache, E. H., Barclay, T., Duran,
280 R. B., Bhandari, S., Brethauer, D., Christy, C. T., Coppejans, D. L., Duffell, P., ... Williams,
281 P. K. G. (2023). The Radio to GeV Afterglow of GRB 221009A. *The Astrophysical Journal*,
282 946, L23. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acbfad>

- 283 Laskar, T., Escorial, A. R., Schroeder, G., Fong, W., Berger, E., Veres, P., Bhandari, S.,
 284 Rastinejad, J., Kilpatrick, C. D., Tohuavavohu, A., Margutti, R., Alexander, K. D., DeLaunay,
 285 J., Kennea, J. A., Nugent, A., Paterson, K., & Williams, P. K. G. (2022). The First Short
 286 GRB Millimeter Afterglow: The Wide-angled Jet of the Extremely Energetic SGRB 211106A.
 287 *The Astrophysical Journal*, 935, L11. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac8421>
- 288 Mainzer, A., Bauer, J., Cutri, R. M., Grav, T., Masiero, J., Beck, R., Clarkson, P., Conrow,
 289 T., Dailey, J., Eisenhardt, P., Fabinsky, B., Fajardo-Acosta, S., Fowler, J., Gelino, C.,
 290 Grillmair, C., Heinrichsen, I., Kendall, M., Kirkpatrick, J. D., Liu, F., ... Yan, L. (2014).
 291 Initial Performance of the NEOWISE Reactivation Mission. *The Astrophysical Journal*,
 292 792(1), 30. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/792/1/30>
- 293 Mainzer, A., Bauer, J., Grav, T., Masiero, J., Cutri, R. M., Dailey, J., Eisenhardt, P., McMillan,
 294 R. S., Wright, E., Walker, R., Jedicke, R., Spahr, T., Tholen, D., Alles, R., Beck, R.,
 295 Brandenburg, H., Conrow, T., Evans, T., Fowler, J., ... Wilkins, A. (2011). Preliminary
 296 Results from NEOWISE: An Enhancement to the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer for
 297 Solar System Science. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 731(1), 53. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/731/1/53>
- 299 Maoz, D., Mannucci, F., & Nelemans, G. (2014). Observational Clues to the Progenitors
 300 of Type Ia Supernovae. *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 52, 107–170.
 301 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-082812-141031>
- 302 Margutti, R., Bright, J. S., Matthews, D. J., Coppejans, D. L., Alexander, K. D., Berger,
 303 E., Bietenholz, M., Chornock, R., DeMarchi, L., Drout, M. R., Eftekhari, T., Jacobson-
 304 Galán, W. V., Laskar, T., Milisavljevic, D., Murase, K., Nicholl, M., Omand, C. M. B.,
 305 Stroh, M., Terraran, G., & VanderLey, B. A. (2023). Luminous Radio Emission from the
 306 Superluminous Supernova 2017ens at 3.3 yr after Explosion. *The Astrophysical Journal*,
 307 954, L45. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acf1fd>
- 308 Masterson, M., De, K., Panagiotou, C., Kara, E., Arcavi, I., Eilers, A.-C., Frostig, D., Gezari,
 309 S., Grotova, I., Liu, Z., Malyali, A., Meisner, A. M., Merloni, A., Newsome, M., Rau, A.,
 310 Simcoe, R. A., & Velzen, S. van. (2024). A New Population of Mid-infrared-selected Tidal
 311 Disruption Events: Implications for Tidal Disruption Event Rates and Host Galaxy Properties.
 312 *The Astrophysical Journal*, 961, 211. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad18bb>
- 313 Narayan, R., Paczynski, B., & Piran, T. (1992). Gamma-Ray Bursts as the Death Throes
 314 of Massive Binary Stars. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 395, L83. <https://doi.org/10.1086/186493>
- 316 Norris, J. P., Cline, T. L., Desai, U. D., & Teegarden, B. J. (1984). Frequency of fast, narrow
 317 γ -ray bursts. *Nature*, 308(5958), 434–435. <https://doi.org/10.1038/308434a0>
- 318 Ochsenbein, F., Bauer, P., & Marcout, J. (2000). The VizieR database of astronomical
 319 catalogues. *Astronomy and Astrophysics, Supplement*, 143, 23–32. <https://doi.org/10.1051/aas:2000169>
- 321 Olivares E., F., Greiner, J., Schady, P., Klose, S., Krühler, T., Rau, A., Savaglio, S., Kann,
 322 D. A., Pignata, G., Elliott, J., Rossi, A., Nardini, M., Afonso, P. M. J., Filgas, R.,
 323 Nicuesa Guelbenzu, A., Schmidl, S., & Sudilovsky, V. (2015). Multiwavelength analysis of
 324 three supernovae associated with gamma-ray bursts observed by GROND. *Astronomy and
 325 Astrophysics*, 577, A44. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321936>
- 326 Pasham, D. R., & Velzen, S. van. (2018). Discovery of a Time Lag between the Soft X-Ray and
 327 Radio Emission of the Tidal Disruption Flare ASASSN-14li: Evidence for Linear Disk-Jet
 328 Coupling. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 856, 1. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aab361>
- 329 Rees, M. J. (1988). Tidal disruption of stars by black holes of 106–108 solar masses in nearby
 330 galaxies. *Nature*, 333, 523–528. <https://doi.org/10.1038/333523a0>
- 331 Shappee, B. J., Prieto, J. L., Grupe, D., Kochanek, C. S., Stanek, K. Z., De Rosa, G., Mathur,

- 332 S., Zu, Y., Peterson, B. M., Pogge, R. W., Komossa, S., Im, M., Jencson, J., Holoién, T.
 333 W.-S., Basu, U., Beacom, J. F., Szczygieł, D. M., Brimacombe, J., Adams, S., ... Yoon, Y.
 334 (2014). The Man behind the Curtain: X-Rays Drive the UV through NIR Variability in the
 335 2013 Active Galactic Nucleus Outburst in NGC 2617. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 788(1),
 336 48. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/788/1/48>
- 337 Smartt, S. J. (2009). Progenitors of Core-Collapse Supernovae. *Annual Review of Astronomy
 338 and Astrophysics*, 47, 63–106. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-082708-101737>
- 339 Soto, K. M. de, Villar, V. A., Berger, E., Gomez, S., Hosseinzadeh, G., Branton, D., Campos,
 340 S., DeLucchi, M., Kubica, J., Lynn, O., Malanchev, K., & Malz, A. I. (2024). Superphot+:
 341 Real-time Fitting and Classification of Supernova Light Curves. *The Astrophysical Journal*,
 342 974, 169. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad6a4f>
- 343 Stein, R., Mahabal, A., Reusch, S., Graham, M., Kasliwal, M. M., Kowalski, M., Gezari,
 344 S., Hammerstein, E., Nakoneczny, S. J., Nicholl, M., Sollerman, J., van Velzen, S.,
 345 Yao, Y., Laher, R. R., & Rusholme, B. (2024). tdescore: An Accurate Photometric
 346 Classifier for Tidal Disruption Events. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 965(2), L14.
 347 <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ad3337>
- 348 STScI Development Team. (2018). Synphot: Synthetic photometry using Astropy. *Astro-
 349 physics Source Code Library*, ascl:1811.001. [https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018ascl.soft11001S](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018ascl.

 350 soft11001S)
- 351 Tonry, J. L., Denneau, L., Heinze, A. N., Stalder, B., Smith, K. W., Smartt, S. J., Stubbs,
 352 C. W., Weiland, H. J., & Rest, A. (2018). ATLAS: A High-cadence All-sky Survey
 353 System. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, 130(988), 064505.
 354 <https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aabadf>
- 355 van Velzen, Sjoert, Gezari, S., Cenko, S. B., Kara, E., Miller-Jones, J. C. A., Hung, T.,
 356 Bright, J., Roth, N., Blagorodnova, N., Huppenkothen, D., Yan, L., Ofek, E., Sollerman,
 357 J., Frederick, S., Ward, C., Graham, M. J., Fender, R., Kasliwal, M. M., Canella, C.,
 358 ... Tachibana, Y. (2019). The First Tidal Disruption Flare in ZTF: From Photometric
 359 Selection to Multi-wavelength Characterization. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 872(2), 198.
 360 <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aafe0c>
- 361 Velzen, Sjoert van, Farrar, G. R., Gezari, S., Morrell, N., Zaritsky, D., Östman, L., Smith, M.,
 362 Gelfand, J., & Drake, A. J. (2011). Optical Discovery of Probable Stellar Tidal Disruption
 363 Flares. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 741, 73. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/741/2/73>
- 364 Villar, V. A., Berger, E., Miller, G., Chornock, R., Rest, A., Jones, D. O., Drout, M. R., Foley,
 365 R. J., Kirshner, R., Lunnan, R., Magnier, E., Milisavljevic, D., Sanders, N., & Scolnic,
 366 D. (2019). Supernova Photometric Classification Pipelines Trained on Spectroscopically
 367 Classified Supernovae from the Pan-STARRS1 Medium-deep Survey. *The Astrophysical
 368 Journal*, 884, 83. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab418c>
- 369 Villar, V. Ashley, Cranmer, M., Berger, E., Contardo, G., Ho, S., Hosseinzadeh, G., & Lin,
 370 J. Y.-Y. (2021). A Deep-learning Approach for Live Anomaly Detection of Extragalactic
 371 Transients. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 255, 24. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ac0893>
- 373 Villar, V. Ashley, Hosseinzadeh, G., Berger, E., Ntampaka, M., Jones, D. O., Challis, P.,
 374 Chornock, R., Drout, M. R., Foley, R. J., Kirshner, R. P., Lunnan, R., Margutti, R.,
 375 Milisavljevic, D., Sanders, N., Pan, Y.-C., Rest, A., Scolnic, D. M., Magnier, E., Metcalfe, N.,
 376 ... Waters, C. (2020). SuperRAENN: A Semisupervised Supernova Photometric Classification
 377 Pipeline Trained on Pan-STARRS1 Medium-Deep Survey Supernovae. *The Astrophysical
 378 Journal*, 905, 94. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/abc6fd>
- 379 Wenger, M., Ochsenbein, F., Egret, D., Dubois, P., Bonnarel, F., Borde, S., Genova, F.,
 380 Jasniewicz, G., Laloë, S., Lesteven, S., & Monier, R. (2000). The SIMBAD astronom-

- 381 ical database. The CDS reference database for astronomical objects. *Astronomy and*
382 *Astrophysics, Supplement*, 143, 9–22. <https://doi.org/10.1051/aas:2000332>
- 383 White, R. L., Becker, R. H., Helfand, D. J., & Gregg, M. D. (1997). A Catalog of 1.4
384 GHz Radio Sources from the FIRST Survey. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 475(2), 479–493.
385 <https://doi.org/10.1086/303564>
- 386 Woosley, S. E., & Weaver, T. A. (1995). The Evolution and Explosion of Massive Stars. II.
387 Explosive Hydrodynamics and Nucleosynthesis. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement
388 Series*, 101, 181. <https://doi.org/10.1086/192237>
- 389 Wright, E. L., Eisenhardt, P. R. M., Mainzer, A. K., Ressler, M. E., Cutri, R. M., Jarrett, T.,
390 Kirkpatrick, J. D., Padgett, D., McMillan, R. S., Skrutskie, M., Stanford, S. A., Cohen, M.,
391 Walker, R. G., Mather, J. C., Leisawitz, D., Gautier, T. N., III, McLean, I., Benford, D.,
392 Lonsdale, C. J., ... Tsai, C.-W. (2010). The Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE):
393 Mission Description and Initial On-orbit Performance. *The Astronomical Journal*, 140(6),
394 1868–1881. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/140/6/1868>

DRAFT