

Some math

From binary star orbits, we have a relation between the orbital separation, a , the orbital period, P_{orb} , and the two stellar component masses, M_1 and M_2 :

$$\left(\frac{2\pi}{P_{\text{orb}}}\right)^2 = \frac{\mathcal{G}(M_1 + M_2)}{a^3}. \quad (1)$$

For a binary with an eccentricity e , the separation of the two components as a function of the true anomaly, f , is:

$$r = \frac{1 - e^2}{1 + e \cos f} a. \quad (2)$$

If we want to split this separation into two separations corresponding to the distance of each component to the binary's center of mass, we multiply by a mass factor:

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= \frac{1 - e^2}{1 + e \cos f} \frac{M_2}{M_1 + M_2} a \\ r_2 &= \frac{1 - e^2}{1 + e \cos f} \frac{M_1}{M_1 + M_2} a. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Under observation, all binaries suffer from perspective effects depending on the orientation of the binary relative to an observer. These are summarized by three angles: the inclination angle, I , the argument of periape, ω , and the longitude of the ascending node, Ω . Dividing by the distance to the binary, d , provides an equation for the angular position of stellar component of a binary relative to its center of mass. Adding the center of mass coordinate gives an equation for the absolute angular position of a star in a binary as a function of f :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \alpha + \frac{r_1}{d} [\cos \Omega \cos(\omega + f) - \sin \Omega \sin(\omega + f) \cos I] \\ \delta_1 &= \delta + \frac{r_1}{d} [\sin \Omega \cos(\omega + f) + \cos \Omega \sin(\omega + f) \cos I]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

An analogous equation expresses the position of the secondary star, or it can be expressed as a function of (α_1, δ_1) :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2 &= -\frac{M_1}{M_2} (\alpha_1 - \alpha) \\ \delta_2 &= -\frac{M_1}{M_2} (\delta_1 - \delta). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Velocity suffers from similar perspective effects as position. It can be shown that the

radial velocity of a stellar component in a binary can be expressed as a function of f :

$$\text{RV}_1 = \gamma + \frac{M_2}{M_1 + M_2} \frac{2\pi}{P_{\text{orb}}} a \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}} [\cos(\omega + f) \sin I + e \cos \omega \sin I]. \quad (6)$$

Subtracting the minimum radial velocity from the maximum radial velocity and dividing by two yields K :

$$K = \frac{M_2}{(M_1 + M_2)^{2/3}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{G} 2\pi}{P_{\text{orb}}} \right)^{1/3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}} \sin I. \quad (7)$$

Therefore, for a known (observed) K , e , P_{orb} , and I , one obtains the function:

$$M_1 = \left(\frac{M_2}{C_0} \right)^{2/3} - M_2, \quad (8)$$

where:

$$C_0 = \sqrt{1 - e^2} \left(\frac{P_{\text{orb}}}{\mathcal{G} 2\pi} \right)^{1/3} \frac{K}{\sin I}. \quad (9)$$

Good orbital coverage with radial velocities can provide, P_{orb} , K , and e . I can be determined separately if the absolute scale of the orbit can be observed with precise astrometry combined with a parallax distance.