

Ouflows and rotation: possible causes for an atypical Lyman- α dwarf galaxy

Jaime E. Forero-Romero¹ ^{*}, Max Gronke², María Camila Remolina-Gutiérrez¹, Nicolás Garavito-Camargo³, Mark Dijkstra²

¹ *Departamento de Física, Universidad de los Andes, Cra. 1 No. 18A-10 Edificio Ip, CP 111711, Bogotá, Colombia*

² *Institute of Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Oslo, Postboks 1029 Blindern, NO-0315 Oslo, Norway.*

³ *Department of Astronomy, University of Arizona, 933 North Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA.*

23 February 2017

ABSTRACT

Star-forming Compact Dwarf Galaxies (CDGs) resemble the expected pristine conditions of the first galaxies in the Universe. Before the observational detection of the first galaxies becomes reality, CDGs are the best systems to test our ideas on primordial galaxy formation and evolution. Here we report on one of such CDGs, Tololo 1214-277, which presents a broad symmetric Lyman- α line emission that had evaded theoretical interpretation so far. In this paper we explain these features by two different models: an interstellar medium composed by outflowing clumps with additional random motions and an homogeneous gaseous sphere undergoing bulk rotation. It is the first time that an observed Ly α spectrum can be explained assuming either of these physical conditions. We find that both models independently require high velocities (either a clump velocity dispersion of 54.3 ± 0.6 km s⁻¹ with outflows of 54.3 ± 5.1 km s⁻¹ or a bulk rotation of 348^{+75}_{-48} km s⁻¹) consistent with a dynamical mass of at least a 10^{10} M_⊙, ten times larger than its baryonic mass. We argue that a possible explanation for this excess of dynamical mass is the presence of a supermassive black hole at the center of Tololo 1214-277. This work demonstrates the importance of considering multiphase physics and rotation among the possible conditions shaping the Ly α spectra of the first galaxies. Additionally, if future kinematic maps of Tololo 1214-277 confirm the high velocities postulated in our model, it would provide new evidence for dwarf galaxies as hosts of supermassive black holes.

Key words: Methods: data analysis - numerical

1 INTRODUCTION

Primordial galaxies have not been detected yet. However, dwarf star forming galaxies with a low metallicity content are seen as templates to understand the early galaxy evolution process. Almost fifty years ago (Partridge & Peebles 1967) it was realized that young galaxies could be detected through a strong Lyman- α line emission.

This theoretical prediction was only confirmed thirty years later on distant, relatively young, not primordial, galaxies (Dey et al. 1998). Currently Lyman Alpha Emitting (LAE) galaxies are commonly targeted in surveys. The presence of the Ly- α emission line provides confirmation of the distance of a galaxy while provides clues about the stellar population and inter-stellar medium conditions regulating the Ly- α emission.

The Ly- α emission line is not exclusive of distant galaxies. Any galaxy with low dust content and ongoing star formation has the right conditions to show this line. There are, for instance, local Universe surveys that target Ly- α emission in nearby dwarf star forming galaxies (Östlin et al. 2014). The study of nearby LAE samples has allowed the study of other indicators that might be more difficult to obtain for distant galaxies such as morphology, dust attenuation, neutral hydrogen contents and ionization state.

However, the physical interpretation of Ly- α observations is not straightforward (Rivera-Thorsen et al. 2015). This is due to the resonant nature of the Ly- α line. A Ly- α photon follows a diffusion-like process before escaping the galaxy or being absorbed by dust. The resulting line profile becomes sensitive to the dynamical, chemical and thermal conditions in the interstellar medium. There are very few analytically tools available to interpret the emerging Ly- α line. They are applicable only in very few cases of highly

* je.forero@uniandes.edu.co

symmetrical conditions, which are hardly met in real astrophysical systems. For these reasons the interpretation of Ly- α observations requires state-of-the-art Monte Carlo radiative transfer simulations.

Tololo 1214-277 is a compact star forming dwarf galaxy that presents a strong Ly- α emission (Thuan & Izotov 1997) with two puzzling features: the line is symmetric and single peaked. Usually the Ly- α line has an asymmetric single or double peak. These two special features in Tololo 1214-277 cannot be explained with conventional models (Verhamme et al. 2006; Gronke et al. 2015).

In this paper we show how the Tololo 1214-277's Ly α profile can be explained either by or the recently developed class of complex multiphase models (Gronke & Dijkstra 2016) or by a simple rotation model (Garavito-Camargo et al. 2014).

2 OBSERVATIONS

Tololo 1214-277's basic observational characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Its receding velocity is $7785 \pm 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which translates into a distance of 106.6 Mpc (with the Hubble constant $H_0 = 73 \text{ Mpc km s}^{-1}$).

The observed flux for the Lyman alpha line is $\sim 8.1 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Thuan & Izotov 1997) and a Equivalent Width of 70 \AA and its H β flux is $1.62 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ (Izotov et al. 2004) which gives a Ly α /H β flux ratio of 4.9 ± 0.1 . The Ly- α flux values correspond to luminosities of $L_{\text{Ly}\alpha} = 2.2 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ over a 20 \AA bandwidth, which in turns translates into a star formation rate of $2.0 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ using a standard conversion factor between luminosity and star formation rate of $9.1 \times 10^{-43} L_{\text{Ly}\alpha} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. The absolute magnitude in the V band translates into a luminosity of $8.9 \times 10^8 L_{\odot}$. Comparing this ratio with the theoretical expectation from case B recombination of 23.3 (Hummer & Storey 1987) one can estimate an escape fraction of 20% for Ly α radiation. The bolometric UV luminosity is $9.43 \pm 1.94 \times 10^8 L_{\odot}$ as measured by GALEX. Its metallicity is $\sim Z_{\odot}/24$ (Izotov et al. 2004) as derived from optical spectroscopy.

There is an upper limit for the integrated flux of $< 0.10 \text{ Jy km s}^{-1}$, which translates into an upper limit for the HI mass of $M < 2.65 \times 10^8 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ (Pustilnik & Martin 2007).

The near-infrared fluxes at $3.6 \mu\text{m}$ and $4.5 \mu\text{m}$ are $7.71 \pm 0.55 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Jy}$ and $7.98 \pm 0.71 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Jy}$ (Engelbracht et al. 2008). Using a conversion between fluxes and stellar mass calibrated on the Large Magellanic Cloud $M_{\star} = 10^{5.65} \times F_{3.6}^{2.85} \times F_{4.5}^{-1.85} \times (D/0.05)^2 \text{ M}_{\odot}$, where fluxes are in Jy and D is the luminosity distance to the source in Mpc, we find $M_{\star} = 1.45 \pm 0.45 \times 10^8 \text{ M}_{\odot}$, with a 30% uncertainty coming from the calibration process (Eskew et al. 2012).

We computed the projected half-luminosity radius to be $R_s = 1.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ kpc}$ from the surface intensity profiles reported by (Noeske et al. 2003). Assuming spherical geometry, one can translate this value into a 3D half-luminosity radius of $r_s = 3R_s/2 = 2.25 \text{ kpc}$.

$\alpha(2000)^a$	12h17min17.1s
$\delta(2000)^b$	-28d02m32s
l, b (deg)	294, 34
m_V	17.5
M_V	-17.6
$v(\text{km s}^{-1})$	7795
Ly- α ($\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$)	8.1×10^{-14}
Ly- α Equivalent Width (\AA)	70
21cm (Jy km s^{-1})	< 0.10

Table 1: Basic observational characteristics of TOL1214-277 (Thuan & Izotov 1997)

3 THEORETICAL MODELS AND PARAMETER EXPLORATION

3.1 Multiphase ISM

The idealized multiphase model consists of spherical, cold, dens clumps of neutral hydrogen (and dust) embedded in a hot, ionized medium. The clumps also have a random and an outflowing velocity component which totals the number of parameters describing the model to be 14. **These parameters are: MAX**

In order to map out this large parameter space, we randomly drew 2500 sets of parameters within a observationally realistic range (based on the considerations of (Laursen et al. 2013)) yielding a large variety of single-, double- and triple-peaked spectra. The full analysis of the the spectral features as well as more details on the radiative transfer are presented in (Gronke & Dijkstra 2016).

We compare each resulting spectra to the observational results from Tololo 1214-277 after normalizing the observed and simulated spectra to have a flux integral of one. We then build a χ^2 on the normalized flux measurements for each one of the 2500 models, and then select for further analysis the best 1% models according to the lowest χ^2 values. These best 50 models have a large span in χ^2 going from values of 1000 to 3000.

3.2 Bulk Rotation

The rotation model corresponds to the work presented in (Garavito-Camargo et al. 2014) based on the Monte Carlo code CLARA (Forero-Romero et al. 2011). In that model the Ly- α photons are propagated within a spherical and homogeneous cloud of HI gas undergoing solid body rotation. The sphere is fully characterized by three parameters: the HI line's center optical depth τ measured from the center to its surface, the HI temperature T , and the linear surface velocity V_{max} . Including the effect of dust only changes the overall line normalization but not its shape. The results we report do not include any dust model. In this paper we use an analytical solution that captures the most important effects of rotation onto the Ly α line as explained by Garavito-Camargo et al. (2014).

The analytical solution for the rotation sphere is the base to perform the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) calculation using the `emcee` Python library (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). `emcee` is an open source optimized implementation of the affine-invariant ensemble sampler for MCMC. The algorithm creates a number of walkers that,




Figure 1. Broad, single peaked and symmetric Ly- α emission of Tololo 1214-277. Dots correspond to the observational data. The line shows the results of our best model from a full radiative transfer simulation both for the rotation and multiphase models.

during a sufficient number of steps, generate parameters' combinations for a specific model. For each time, the code calculates the likelihood of the combination with respect to the observational data. The walkers explore the parameter space sampling the likelihood function.

4 RESULTS

Figure 1. summarizes our findings. Dots represent the observational data for Tololo 1214-277 with the overplot from our best fits from the analytical solution for a rotating homogeneous gas sphere (thin line) and the multiphase model (thick line). This is the first time that these models have been introduced with success to explain an observed Ly α profile.

MCMC methods are optimal for sampling parameters at a high number of dimensions. In this case we explore flat priors on four parameters: $200 < V_{\max}/\text{km s}^{-1} < 600$, $6.0 < \log_{10} \tau < 9.0$, $4.0 < \log_{10} T/10^4\text{K} < 4.5$ and $0 < \theta < 90$ using 500 steps with 24 walkers for a total of 12000 points in the chain. The results are summarized in Figure 3. From this model we find that the fiducial parameters that could explain the broad features in Tololo 1214-277 are $V_{\max} = 348_{-48}^{+75} \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $\log \tau = 6.96_{-0.18}^{+0.26}$, $\log_{10} T/\text{K} = 4.27_{-0.18}^{+0.11}$ and $\theta = 35.78_{-1.88}^{+2.13}$ degrees.

The best parameters in the rotation model are a rotational velocity of $V_{\max} = 348_{-48}^{+75} \text{ km s}^{-1}$, a neutral Hydrogen optical depth of $\log_{10} \tau = 6.96_{-0.18}^{+0.26}$, and an inter-stellar medium temperature of $\log_{10} T/\text{K} = 4.27_{-0.18}^{+0.11}$. This model is also able to constrain the angle between the plane per-

pendicular to the rotation axis and the observational line-of-sight to $\theta = 35.78_{-1.88}^{+2.13}$ degrees.

For the current work, we computed the χ^2 for each of the 2500 models. We selected the best 50 models with the lowest χ^2 . The χ^2 gap in those 50 models is close to 3000, the lowest χ^2 is close to 1200. The total number of degrees of freedom is 104.

Then we performed a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to compare each parameter distribution in the best 50 models against the parent distribution of 2500 models. If we obtain a p-value < 0.05 for a given parameter, we conclude that this parameter does influence the χ^2 fit, as the distribution for the best χ^2 models is statistically different to the distribution from the global sample of 2500 models.

From this test we found that only three parameters influence the χ^2 : the clump outflow velocity $v_{\infty, \text{cl}}$ (p-value 10^{-18}), the clump velocity dispersion σ_{cl} (p-value 10^{-4}) and the probability that the Ly α emission comes from the clumps P_{cl} (p-value 10^{-4}). This does not mean the other parameters do not influence the resulting spectra at all; it means that they cannot be constrained from Tololo 1214-277's observations.

The best values for those parameters that we report here correspond to the values that produce the minimum χ^2 . The $1\text{-}\sigma$ uncertainty comes from a parabolic fit to the χ^2 as a function of $v_{\infty, \text{cl}}$, σ_{cl} , P_{cl} around its corresponding minimum. Under these conditions we find $\sigma_{\text{cl}} = 54.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $v_{\infty, \text{cl}} = 54.3 \pm 5.1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $P_{\text{cl}} = 0.96 \pm 0.01$.

Qualitatively as Tololo 1214-277 possesses a very wide spectrum which can be achieved by subsequent scatterings off (relatively) fast moving clumps while the multi-phase




Figure 2. Results from the multiphase model. The left column corresponds to the parameter's integrated distributions for models with the lowest χ^2 values (dotted line) compared against the parameter's integrated distributions (continous line) used as a prior. These are the only three parameters that show a significant statistical difference from the prior distributions, for all the other eleven parameters we cannot find any significant difference.

nature (i.e., the existence of low-density channels) ensures the high flux at line center as observed.

5 DISCUSSION

Having constrains for velocity dipersion σ of some dynamical tracers (clumps in the case of the multiphase model) in a spherical system located in a region of size r we estimate the dynamical mass within r .

$$M_{\text{dyn}} = 3 \frac{\sigma^2 r}{G} = 3.48 \times 10^9 \left(\frac{\sigma}{100 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{r}{\text{kpc}} \right) M_{\odot} \quad (1)$$

We use the 3D half-luminosity radius, r_s , as the typical size for the HI region.

In the case of rotational velocity v in a region of size r we estimate the dynamical mass by

$$M_{\text{dyn}} = \frac{v^2 r}{G} = 1.16 \times 10^9 \left(\frac{v}{100 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{r}{\text{kpc}} \right) M_{\odot} \quad (2)$$

In the multiphase model the best constrained parameters by the observational data are the clump velocity dispersion $\sigma_{\text{cl}} = 54.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, the clump's outflowing velocity $v_{\infty, \text{cl}} = 54.3 \pm 5.1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and the fraction of the Ly α emission that is coming from the cold clumps $P_{\text{cl}} = 0.96 \pm 0.01$.




Figure 3. Results from the Markov Chain Monte Carlo computation for the rotation model. The dotted vertical lines in the outer histograms represent the 16th, 50th and 84th percentiles.

Assuming that the clumps are located in a spherical region of radius $r_s = 2.25$ kpc (corresponding to Tololo 1214-277's estimated 3D half-luminosity radius), this corresponds to dynamical masses of $M_{\text{dyn}} = 3.2^{+1.6}_{-1.0} \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ and $M_{\text{dyn}} = 2.31 \pm 0.04 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ for the rotation and multiphase models, respectively,

Tololo 1214-277's stellar mass is $M_{\star} = 1.45 \pm 0.45 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ (Madden et al. 2014) and its total neutral HI mass is $M_{\text{HI}} < 2.65 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$. (Pustilnik & Martin 2007); the dynamical mass is at least 12 to 160 times the baryonic mass, depending if one considers the multiphase or rotation estimate.

We lean towards the lower dynamical mass estimate from the multiphase model as it seems easier to reconcile with the following two astrophysical mechanisms for its origin. The first way to explain a dynamical mass of $10^9 M_{\odot}$ in a sphere of 2.25 kpc in radius, could be a dark matter halo of at least $10^{12} M_{\odot}$ in mass (Tollerud et al. 2011), which leaves open the question as to why Tololo 1214-277 is not more similar to the Milky Way galaxy as it would be hosted

by a dark matter halo of similar mass. A second possibility is that Tololo 1214-277 hosts a supermassive black hole of $10^9 M_{\odot}$. This is almost two orders of magnitude higher than the supermassive black hole found in the compact dwarf galaxy M60-UCD1 (Seth et al. 2014), which has a similar stellar mass as Tololo 1214-277. This would leave open the question about the formation process of such a system.

Another perspective to appreciate the atypically high dynamical mass estimates comes from the observed scaling relations for dwarf galaxies. Assuming that Tololo 1214-277 followed the fundamental plane relationship between its mean surface brightness I_e , the projected half-light radius R_e and the velocity dispersion σ , described by $\log I_e = 1.6 \log \sigma - 1.21 \log R_e + 0.55$ (Graves et al. 2009), the expected velocity dispersion should be on the order of $5 \pm 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which is a factor of $\sim 10 - 60$ lower than the results from the multiphase and rotation models, respectively. These are equivalent to factors of $\sim 100 - 3600$ on the dynamical mass. Once again, Tololo 1214-277 seems to be significantly more massive than expected.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we presented two theoretical models that explain the main observational feature of Tololo 1214-277, which have been unexplained so far. One model is based on a multiphase ISM and the other on gas rotation. It is the first time that an observed Ly α profile can be fully reproduced by either of these two conditions. Furthermore, the kinematics of both models suggest a dynamical mass ten times larger than the baryonic mass inferred from observations.

A new observational test is needed to clarify the physical nature of Tololo 1214-277. We suggest that integral field unit measurements spatially resolving its spatial extent are up to the task. Tololo 1214-277 spans a region of 4 arcseconds, an instrument such as the Multi Unit Spectroscopic Explorer (Bacon et al. 2014) with its nominal 0.2 arcseconds spatial sampling over a 1.0 arcminute field in wide-field mode could provide a coarse mapping of different ionization lines to infer a kinematic map. Another observational test includes the measurement of the Ly α ionizing continuum escape fraction. In the rotational model this fraction should be zero, while the multiphase model predicts that averaging over all sightlines it should be around $0.5^{+1.0}_{-0.4}\%$, with the possibility of strong variations depending on viewing angle (Gronke & Dijkstra 2014).

All in all, the mere existence of a strong LAE galaxy with a broad, symmetric line is interesting. It raises the question whether some high redshift LAEs have asymmetric lines because the blue half was truncated by the intergalactic medium. In this case the Ly α radiation could emerge as a low surface brightness glow, which may be connected to Ly α halos, while also influencing the way LAEs can be used as a probe of reionization (Dijkstra 2014).

These findings demonstrate the importance of including rotation and multiphase conditions as features to model the Ly α line in high redshift galaxies. Additionally, if the hypothesis of a supermassive black hole in Tololo 1214-277 proves to be consistent with future observational kinematic maps, it could correspond to a so far undetected supermassive black hole in a dwarf galaxy, providing a new way to test and probe theories on the co-evolution of galaxies and black holes in the first generation of galaxies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

- Bacon R. et al., 2014, *The Messenger*, 157, 13
 Dey A., Spinrad H., Stern D., Graham J. R., Chaffee F. H., 1998, *ApJL*, 498, L93
 Dijkstra M., 2014, *PASA*, 31, e040
 Engelbracht C. W., Rieke G. H., Gordon K. D., Smith J.-D. T., Werner M. W., Moustakas J., Willmer C. N. A., Vanzì L., 2008, *ApJ*, 678, 804
 Eskew M., Zaritsky D., Meidt S., 2012, *AJ*, 143, 139
 Foreman-Mackey D., Hogg D. W., Lang D., Goodman J., 2013, *PASP*, 125, 306
 Forero-Romero J. E., Yepes G., Gottlöber S., Knollmann S. R., Cuesta A. J., Prada F., 2011, *MNRAS*, 415, 3666
 Garavito-Camargo J. N., Forero-Romero J. E., Dijkstra M., 2014, *ApJ*, 795, 120
 Graves G. J., Faber S. M., Schiavon R. P., 2009, *ApJ*, 698, 1590
 Gronke M., Bull P., Dijkstra M., 2015, *ApJ*, 812, 123
 Gronke M., Dijkstra M., 2014, *MNRAS*, 444, 1095
 Gronke M., Dijkstra M., 2016, *ApJ*, accepted
 Hummer D. G., Storey P. J., 1987, *MNRAS*, 224, 801
 Izotov Y. I., Papaderos P., Guseva N. G., Fricke K. J., Thuan T. X., 2004, *A&A*, 421, 539
 Laursen P., Duval F., Östlin G., 2013, *ApJ*, 766, 124
 Madden S. C. et al., 2014, *PASP*, 126, 1079
 Noeske K. G., Papaderos P., Cairós L. M., Fricke K. J., 2003, *A&A*, 410, 481
 Östlin G. et al., 2014, *ApJ*, 797, 11
 Partridge R. B., Peebles P. J. E., 1967, *ApJ*, 147, 868
 Pustilnik S. A., Martin J.-M., 2007, *A&A*, 464, 859
 Rivera-Thorsen T. E. et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 805, 14
 Seth A. C. et al., 2014, *Nature*, 513, 398
 Thuan T. X., Izotov Y. I., 1997, *ApJ*, 489, 623
 Tollerud E. J., Bullock J. S., Graves G. J., Wolf J., 2011, *ApJ*, 726, 108
 Verhamme A., Schaerer D., Maselli A., 2006, *A&A*, 460, 397