The Journal of Open Source Software

Sensipy: simulate gamma-ray observations of transient astrophysical sources

Jarred G. Green [1,2], **Barbara Patricelli** [3,4], **Antonio Stamerra** [3,5], and **Monica Seglar-Arroyo** [6]

1 Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Boltzmannstr. 8, 85748 Garching, Germany **2** Technische Universität München, 85748 Garching, Germany **3** INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, Via di Frascati 33, 00078, Monteporzio Catone, Italy **4** University of Pisa, Largo B. Pontecorvo 3, 56127 Pisa, Italy **5** Cherenkov Telescope Array Observatory, Via Gobetti, 40129 Bologna, Italy **6** Institut de Fisica d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Campus UAB, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain

DOI: 10.xxxxxx/draft

Software

- Review
- Repository
- Archive

Editor: Open Journals

Reviewers:

- @openjournals

Submitted: 01 January 1970
Published: unpublished

Summary

We present sensipy, an open-source Python toolkit for simulating observations of transient astrophysical sources, particularly in the high-energy (HE, keV-GeV) and very-high-energy (VHE, GeV-TeV) gamma-ray ranges. The most explosive events in our universe are often short-lived, emitting the bulk of their energy in a relatively small time window. Due to often rapidly fading emission profiles, understanding how and when to observe these sources is crucial to both test theoretical predictions and efficiently optimize available telescope time.

The information extracted from the tools included in sensipy can be used to help astronomers investigate the detectability of sources considering different theoretical assumptions about their emission processes and mechanisms. This information can further help to justify the feasibility of proposed observations, estimate detection rates (events/year) for various classes of sources, and provide scheduling in realtime during gamma-ray and multi-messenger observational campaigns.

Statement of need

The need for a toolkit like sensipy became clear while attempting to estimate the detectability of VHE counterparts to gravitational wave (GW) signals from binary neutron star mergers (BNS) with the upcoming Cherenkov Telescope Array Observatory (CTAO) (Green et al., 2024; Patricelli et al., 2022). During development, it became apparent that the included tools could be applied not only to VHE counterparts of BNS mergers, but also to other transient sources like gamma-ray bursts (GRBs), active galactic nuclei flares, novae, supernovae, and more.

Between GW, neutrino, optical, and space-based gamma-ray experiments, thousands of low-latency alerts are sent out to the greater community each year (Abac et al., 2025; Abbasi et al., 2023; Kienlin et al., 2020). However, very few of these events actually result in detections in the VHE gamma-ray regime. This is due to many factors, including the rapid decay of fluxes, delay in telescope repointing, uncertainty on the sky localization of the source, and observatory duty cycles. In the face of these challenges, sensipy aims to help answer the following questions for gamma-ray astronomers interested in optimizing their follow-up campaigns:

- Given a theoretical emission model, what are the detection possibilities with a given instrument?
- How much observing time is needed to detect a source given a delay in starting observations?

- At what significance level is a source detectable given a certain observation time?
- How long does a source remain detectable after the onset of emission?
- How can intrinsic source properties (eg distance, flux), and observing conditions (eg latency, telescope pointing) affect detectability?
- How can these results for catalogs of simulated events inform follow-up strategies in realtime?

Functionality

The two main inputs to any `sensipy` pipeline are:

- an instrument response function (IRF), which describes how a telescope performs under specific observing conditions.
- intrinsic time-dependent emission spectra for a source, which can be provided in either a FITS or CSV format.

Given these inputs, the `sensipy` toolkit builds upon primitives provided by `astropy` and `gammapy` to provide the following main functionalities (Donath et al., 2023; The Astropy and Price-Whelan Collaboration et al., 2022). In addition, mock datasets are provided with working code examples, and batteries are included for easy access to publicly-available IRFs, eg (Cherenkov Telescope Array Observatory and Consortium, 2021).

Sensitivity Curve Calculation with `sensipy.sensitivity`

Sensitivity curves represent the minimum flux needed to detect a source at a given significance (usually 5σ) given an exposure time t_{exp}. Such curves are often used to compare the performances of different instruments, and `sensipy` can produce them in two flavors: integral and differential sensitivity curves. The sensitivity itself depends heavily on the rapidly-changing spectral shape of an event, which itself may be highly affected by distance due to the extragalactic background light (EBL). All of these factors are automatically taken into account.

[Plot of differential and integral sensitivity curves for CTAO calculated with sensipy]

Simulating Observations with `sensipy.source`

This class addresses the fundamental question: if we begin observations with a latency of $t_L = X$ min after an alert, what observation time is required in order to achieve a detection? In addition, the class can also determine the inverse: given an observation time, at what significance can a source be detected. Given that the user has already calculated the sensitivity curve for an event, `sensipy` can determine if the source is actually detectable, given t_L. When detectable, the exposure time necessary for detection is also calculated.

Working with large catalogs with `sensipy.detectability`

`sensipy` can further estimate the overall detectability of entire classes of objects, given a catalog or survey of simulated events under various conditions. By performing and collating a large number of observation simulations for various events and latencies t_L, the toolkit can help produce visualizations which describe the optimal observing conditions for such events.

[Two example heatmap plots calculated with sensipy]

Realtime applications with `sensipy.followup`

Tables of observation times can also be used as lookup tables (LUTs) during telescope observations in order to plan observation campaigns. For example, the following workflow can be implemented within `sensipy`:

1. a catalog of simulated spectra is processed with the above pipeline considering various observation conditions, and a LUT is created
2. a transient alert arrives during normal telescope operation and telescopes begin observing the event position with a latency of t_L
3. the LUT is filtered and interpolated in realtime in order to quickly calculate an informed estimate on the exposure time needed for a detection

Such workflows based on `sensipy` modules are already being internally evaluated within the MAGIC, Large-Size Telescope (LST), and CTAO collaborations for follow-up of both GW and GRB alerts (eg Patricelli et al. (2022), Green et al. (2024)).

Follow-ups of poorly localized events

In addition, the functions included in `sensipy.followup` may be used in tandem with scheduling software like `tilepy` for the realtime follow-up of poorly-localized events, including GRB, GW, and neutrino alerts (Seglar-Arroyo et al., 2024). These scheduling tools create an optimized list of telescope pointings on the sky, while `sensipy` is used simultaneously to optimize the exposure time needed at each new pointing.

[Show example of a GW pointing scheduling for a well-localized event, if possible overlay pointing durations on top of each pointing]

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge contributions from some people

AI usage disclosure

The GitHub Copilot tool was used to proofread documentation and pull requests. All AI-assisted suggestions were reviewed and approved by the human authors of this manuscript. AI tools were not used in the writing of this manuscript.

References

Abac, A. G., Abouelfettouh, I., Acernese, F., Ackley, K., Adhicary, S., Adhikari, D., Adhikari, N., Adhikari, R. X., Adkins, V. K., Afroz, S., Agarwal, D., Agathos, M., Aghaei Abchouyeh, M., Aguiar, O. D., Ahmadzadeh, S., Aiello, L., Ain, A., Ajith, P., Akcay, S., … Bulashenko, O. (2025). GWTC-4.0: An introduction to version 4.0 of the gravitational-wave transient catalog. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *995*, L18. https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ae0c06

Abbasi, R., Ackermann, M., Adams, J., Agarwalla, S. K., Aguilar, J. A., Ahlers, M., Alameddine, J. M., Amin, N. M., Andeen, K., Anton, G., Argüelles, C., Ashida, Y., Athanasiadou, S., Axani, S. N., Bai, X., Balagopal V, A., Baricevic, M., Barwick, S. W., Basu, V., … Zhelnin, P. (2023). IceCat-1: The IceCube event catalog of alert tracks. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, *269*(1), 25. https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/acfa95

Cherenkov Telescope Array Observatory and Consortium. (2021). *CTAO instrument response functions - prod5 version v0.1*. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5499840

Donath, A., Terrier, R., Remy, Q., Sinha, A., Nigro, C., Pintore, F., Khélifi, B., Olivera-Nieto, L., Ruiz, J. E., Brügge, K., Linhoff, M., Contreras, J. L., Acero, F., Aguasca-Cabot, A., Berge, D., Bhattacharjee, P., Buchner, J., Boisson, C., Fidalgo, D. C., … Zanin, R. (2023). Gammapy: A python package for gamma-ray astronomy | astronomy & astrophysics (a&a). *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, *678*, A157. https://www.aanda.org/component/article?access=doi&doi=10.1051/0004-6361/202346488

Green, J. G., Carosi, A., Nava, L., Patricelli, B., Schussler, F., Seglar-Arroyo, M., Stamerra, A., Abe, K., Abe, S., Acharyya, A., Adam, R., Aguasca-Cabot, A., Agudo, I., Alfaro, J., Alvarez-Crespo, N., Alves Batista, R., Amans, J.-P., Amato, E., Ambrosino, F., … Zuriaga-Puig, J. (2024). *Chasing gravitational waves with the cherenkov telescope array*. https://doi.org/10.22323/1.444.1534

Kienlin, A. von, Meegan, C. A., Paciesas, W. S., Bhat, P. N., Bissaldi, E., Briggs, M. S., Burns, E., Cleveland, W. H., Gibby, M. H., Giles, M. M., Goldstein, A., Hamburg, R., Hui, C. M., Kocevski, D., Mailyan, B., Malacaria, C., Poolakkil, S., Preece, R. D., Roberts, O. J., … Wilson-Hodge, C. A. (2020). The fourth fermi-GBM gamma-ray burst catalog: A decade of data. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *893*(1), 46. https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab7a18

Patricelli, B., Carosi, A., Nava, L., Seglar-Arroyo, M., Schussler, F., Stamerra, A., Adelfio, A., Ashkar, H., Bulgarelli, A., Di Girolamo, T., Di Piano, A., Gasparetto, T., Green, J. G., Longo, F., Agudo, I., Berti, A., Bissaldi, E., Cella, G., Circiello, A., … Vergani, S. (2022). *Searching for very-high-energy electromagnetic counterparts to gravitational-wave events with the cherenkov telescope array*. https://doi.org/10.22323/1.395.0998

Seglar-Arroyo, M., Ashkar, H., Bony de Lavergne, M. de, & Schüssler, F. (2024). Cross observatory coordination with tilepy: A novel tool for observations of multimessenger transient events. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, *274*, 1. https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ad5bde

The Astropy and Price-Whelan Collaboration, A. M., Lim, P. L., Earl, N., Starkman, N., Bradley, L., Shupe, D. L., Patil, A. A., Corrales, L., Brasseur, C. E., Nöthe, M., Donath, A., Tollerud, E., Morris, B. M., Ginsburg, A., Vaher, E., Weaver, B. A., Tocknell, J., Jamieson, W., Kerkwijk, M. H. van, … Contributors, A. P. (2022). The astropy project: Sustaining and growing a community-oriented open-source project and the latest major release (v5.0) of the core package*. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *935*(2), 167. https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac7c74