

Lab 1: Units and Orders of Magnitude

September 11, 2024

1 Introduction

Units are one of the most fundamental parts of taking measurements. Even if you don't realize it, you use units *every single day*. When you buy your morning coffee, the cashier will tell you your total in dollars and cents, you'll note how late you are to class in minutes and second (hopefully not hours!), and your favorite restaurant might be blocks or miles away.

Understanding how units work on both large and small scales is an essential skill to have, and this lab will work on teaching you how to with both unit conversions (changing from one unit to another, such as seconds to minutes), and how to work with especially large units, as may be common in astronomy.

For example, the Earth has a mass of about 6 septillion kilograms – that's 6 followed by 24 zeros: 6,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 kg. The Sun's mass is about 300,000 times that: 180,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 kg. And a typical galaxy weighs in at about 100 *billion* Suns. Better yet, a large galaxy cluster can contain up to 1,000 galaxies. I won't write out those numbers, but that's a lot of mass.

In astronomy, we study an extremely wide range of scales, from the tiniest electron to the largest cluster of galaxies. As such, we need some type of mathematical framework for dealing with very big (and very small) numbers – this framework is called **scientific notation**. Because astronomers don't generally enjoy saying (or writing) such large numbers (and you probably won't either), this lab will also introduce you to some of the common units found in astronomy, used for working on such large scales, and will put into perspective for you just how large the Universe really is.

2 Scientific Notation

When writing big numbers – like 340,000,000 – or small numbers – like 0.000034 – writing out all the zeros can be a lot of work. Scientific notation helps solve this issue by representing numbers in terms of **powers of ten**. If we wish to write 100,000 (or 1 followed by 5 zeros) in a more compact way, we can do so by writing 10^5 – in words, we'd pronounce this as “10 to the power of 5,” or “10 to the fifth power.” So, we could write 340,000,000 in scientific notation as 3.4×10^8 – multiplication by “10 to the eighth power” shifts the decimal point in 3.4 to the *right* by 8 places. Similarly, we can use a *negative* power of ten to shift the decimal place to the *left*: 0.000034 can be written as 3.4×10^{-5} , or “3.4 times 10 to the negative fifth power.” When a number is written in scientific notation, we often refer to the leading number (e.g., 3.4 in the examples above) as the **coefficient** and the power of ten as the **order of magnitude**. For instance, 4.5×10^{11} has a coefficient of 4.5 and an order of magnitude of 11; this number is 3 orders of magnitude *greater* than 3.7×10^8 , and 5 orders of magnitude *less* than 1.11×10^{16} .

To apply our understanding of powers of ten, let's try a few short practice problems. **Record your solutions to these problems in your lab write-up** – remember to always show your work and justify your answer!

1. The mass of the Earth is 5.97×10^{24} kg (kg = kilograms). What is the order of magnitude of this number? The mass of the Milky Way is about 6×10^{42} kg – by how many orders of magnitude is the mass of the Milky Way greater than the mass of the Earth? How many times do we need to multiply the mass of the Earth by 10 in order to reach the order of magnitude of the mass of the Milky Way? Why should we be thankful for scientific notation when writing out these big numbers?
2. The average radius of a hydrogen atom is about 5.3×10^{-11} m (m = meters); the average radius of a lithium atom is about 1.1×10^{-10} m. Which atom is larger? What is the order of magnitude difference between the size of a hydrogen atom and the size of a lithium atom?
3. The age of the Sun is 4.6×10^9 yr (yr = years); the age of the next closest star to us, Proxima Centauri, is 485×10^7 yr. Which star is older?

Problem 3 may have confused you a bit – this is because the age of Proxima Centauri was written *incorrectly* in scientific notation. When you write a number in scientific notation, the coefficient should always have only *one* digit to the left of the decimal point; this standardizes the definition of “order of magnitude” and makes it easier to compare measurements expressed in scientific notation. If we can only have one digit to the left of the decimal point, how many digits can we have to the *right* of the decimal point? This is specified by the number of **significant figures** (or “sig figs,” if you're in a hurry).

If we want to write a number with three significant figures, then we should have one digit to the left of the decimal and two to the right (for a total of three digits). Similarly, a number with only one significant figure will have only one digit to the left of the decimal and *nothing* after the decimal. 3.4578×10^9 has five sig figs, while 3.5×10^9 has two sig figs and 3×10^9 only has one sig fig. It's important to keep significant figures in mind when reporting measurements, since your measuring tool may not be precise enough to justify many sig figs – for example, if your ruler only has markings at each centimeter, it would be more proper to cite a measurement of 3.5 cm than to cite a measurement of 3.48825 cm (the ruler is definitely not precise enough to give you a measurement to six significant figures). Usually, keeping two or three significant figures is enough (and often more correct).

Let's try some problems involving scientific notation and significant figures. **Record your solutions in your lab write-up.**

1. The age of the Universe is thought to be around 13787×10^6 years. How many significant figures does this measurement have? Write this number in proper scientific notation using *three* significant figures. Write the number again in scientific notation using *two* significant figures. (hint: when reducing the number of sig figs, you'll need to *round* the original measurement to the appropriate number of decimal places)

2. The speed of light in empty space is 299,792,458 m/s (m/s = meters per second). Write this measurement in scientific notation to *three* significant figures (hint: zeros count as significant figures!). What is the order of magnitude of this number?
3. The ratio of the mass of the Earth to the mass of Jupiter is 0.003146. Write this number in scientific notation to *four* significant figures. How many orders of magnitude smaller than the mass of Jupiter is the mass of the Earth?
4. Your friend is writing a scientific paper about her cat. In her manuscript, she reports her cat's mass to be 4.536782 kg. Why might you be skeptical of this measurement? What additional information (e.g., about the equipment used to make this measurement) could your friend include in her paper that would make this number more believable? Write the cat's mass using three significant figures.

2.1 Arithmetic with scientific notation

Scientific notation gives us a convenient means of compactly writing big numbers and small numbers. By comparing orders of magnitude, scientific notation also gives us a quick and easy way of determining how big (or how small) one measurement is compared to another. In a similar vein, scientific notation can simplify arithmetic, especially when doing calculations involving numbers with differing orders of magnitude. Here are some quick rules about arithmetic with scientific notation:

- When **multiplying** two numbers in scientific notation, we *multiply* the coefficients but *add* the orders of magnitude. For example:

$$(3.4 \times 10^9) \times (5.8 \times 10^4) = (3.4 \times 5.8) \times 10^{9+4} = 19.72 \times 10^{13} = 1.972 \times 10^{14} = \boxed{2.0 \times 10^{14}}. \quad (1)$$

Note that the product 19.72×10^{13} was not in proper scientific notation, so I had to slightly adjust my answer. I also rounded my final answer to two significant figures – usually, when multiplying or dividing numbers in scientific notation, it's proper to write your final answer using the *least* number of sig figs that appear in the original numbers (in this case, 3.4×10^9 and 5.8×10^4 each had two sig figs, so the least number of sig figs appearing in the original numbers was two. If, instead, we had started with 3×10^9 and 5.8×10^4 , our final answer should have had only *one* sig fig).

- When **dividing** two numbers in scientific notation, we *divide* the coefficients but *subtract* the orders of magnitude. For example:

$$\frac{3.4 \times 10^9}{5.8 \times 10^4} = \frac{3.4}{5.8} \times 10^{9-4} = 0.586 \times 10^5 = 5.86 \times 10^4 = \boxed{5.9 \times 10^4}. \quad (2)$$

Again, I had to adjust my final answer to be in proper scientific notation, and I rounded to two significant figures to match the least number of sig figs appearing in the original numbers.

- When **adding** or **subtracting** two numbers in scientific notation, we simply add/subtract the coefficients. **However**, we can only add or subtract these numbers if they're multiplied by the same power of 10 – otherwise, we need to adjust the powers of 10 to match. For example:

$$(3.4 \times 10^9) + (4.2 \times 10^8) = (3.4 \times 10^9) + (0.42 \times 10^9) = 3.82 \times 10^9 = \boxed{3.8 \times 10^9}. \quad (3)$$

Note how I had to rewrite 4.2×10^8 as 0.42×10^9 to get the power of 10 to match with 3.4×10^9 – only then could I properly add the two numbers. I also rounded the final answer to include only *one* digit to the right of the decimal point – when adding or subtracting numbers in scientific notation, it's usually proper to write your final answer using the *least* number of decimal digits that appear in the original number (in this case, 3.4×10^9 and 4.2×10^8 each only had one digit to the right of the decimal, so our final answer also had only one digit to the right of the decimal. If we had instead started with 3.42×10^9 and 4.23×10^8 , our final answer should have had *two* digits to the right of the decimal).

The sig fig rules ensure that our final answer is only as precise as our *least* precise measurement. If you forget these rules, it's usually fine to just keep your final answer to one or two sig figs – it's better to have too few significant figures (i.e., less precision) than too many.

Now that we know how to do some math with scientific notation, let's try some problems. **Record your solutions in your lab write-up.** Be sure to show the intermediate steps of your arithmetic!

1. Light travels at a speed of 1.8×10^7 kilometers per minute. The average distance from the Earth to the Sun is 1.5×10^8 kilometers. Find the time (in minutes) that it takes light to travel from the Sun to the Earth by *dividing* the Earth-Sun distance by the speed of light. Give your answer in scientific notation with the proper number of significant figures. If the Sun were to suddenly stop emitting light, how long would it take us (on Earth) to notice this?
2. Cosmic voids – the emptiest regions in the Universe – have densities lower than 8×10^{-28} kilograms per cubic meter. Voids can also be extremely large. Taking the volume of a void to be 4×10^{56} cubic meters, find the total amount of mass (in kilograms) contained in a typical void by multiplying the density by the volume. Express your answer in scientific notation with the proper number of significant figures. On Earth, the density of dry air at sea level is 1.2 kilograms per cubic meter. By how many orders of magnitude is the density of air greater than the density in cosmic voids?
3. The speed at which the Earth orbits around the Sun depends on the *sum* of the Earth's mass and the Sun's mass. The mass of the Earth is 5.972×10^{24} kg and the mass of the Sun is 1.989×10^{30} kg. Add these two masses together and express your answer in scientific notation with the proper number of significant figures. Do you think the mass of the Earth play a significant role in determining the Earth's orbital speed around the Sun? Explain your answer.

3 Units and Unit Conversions

If someone tells you that the height of a tree is “6,” you might be a little confused – is the height 6 feet? 6 meters? 6 inches? Whenever you report a measurement, you *must* cite the **units** – class is not 3 long, it’s 3 *hours* long. Without units, a measurement doesn’t have as much meaning, and it isn’t as specific.

In the physical sciences, we often use the “International System” of units, or the “SI” system. In the SI system, the typical unit of mass is the kilogram (kg), the typical unit of length is the meter (m), and the typical unit of time is the second (s). These units are fine in many cases, but may not always be the most convenient – what if we instead wanted to measure time in years? Or distance in megaparsecs? Or mass in grams? In these cases, we must **convert** the units of our measurement, or perform a “unit conversion.”

A nice trick for converting units is to multiply the original value by a “conversion factor” that’s equal to one. For instance, since 365 days is equivalent to 1 year, the conversion factor $\frac{1 \text{ year}}{365 \text{ days}}$ is equal to one. So, if we wanted to convert a measurement of 30 days to units of years, we would do

$$30 \text{ days} = (30 \text{ days}) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ year}}{365 \text{ days}} \right) = \frac{(30 \text{ days}) \times (1 \text{ year})}{365 \text{ days}} = \frac{30}{365} \text{ years} = \boxed{8.2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ years}}. \quad (4)$$

Notice that, because “days” appears on both the top and the bottom of the fraction, that unit *cancels out*, just like if we were dividing an ordinary number by itself. It’s very important that you always set up your conversion factor such that this cancellation occurs. Here’s another example, where we convert 3×10^8 meters per second (m/s, a unit of speed or *velocity*) to meters per hour:

$$\begin{aligned} 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s} &= \left(\frac{3 \times 10^8 \text{ m}}{1 \text{ s}} \right) \times \left(\frac{3600 \text{ s}}{1 \text{ hr}} \right) = \frac{(3 \times 10^8 \text{ m}) \times (3600 \text{ s})}{(1 \text{ s}) \times (1 \text{ hr})} = \frac{3 \times 10^8 \times 3600 \text{ m}}{1} \frac{1}{\text{hr}} \\ &= \frac{1 \times 10^{12} \text{ m}}{1} \frac{1}{\text{hr}} = \boxed{1 \times 10^{12} \text{ m/hr}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Note how I wrote $3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}$ as a fraction, and then constructed the conversion factor $\frac{3600 \text{ s}}{1 \text{ hr}}$ such that the units of seconds (s) canceled out. When carrying out a unit conversion, I strongly recommend writing the units explicitly as fractions to help keep track of which units cancel and which units end up in your final answer. As a final example, let’s convert 1.48 inches/year to feet/decade, which will require *two* conversion factors – here, I’ll slash through the units that cancel out:

$$1.48 \text{ inches/year} = \frac{1.48 \cancel{\text{inches}}}{1 \cancel{\text{year}}} \times \frac{1 \text{ foot}}{12 \cancel{\text{inches}}} \times \frac{10 \cancel{\text{years}}}{1 \text{ decade}} = \frac{1.48 \times 10}{12} \frac{\text{feet}}{\text{decade}} = \boxed{1.23 \text{ feet/decade}}. \quad (6)$$

Unit conversions can be easy to mess up, especially when you’re working with some particularly strange ones, so a good rule-of-thumb to check your answers is trying to see if it makes physical

sense. If you're calculating how tall a 6 ft tree would be in inches, it wouldn't make sense if your answer was less than 6! Since inches are smaller than feet, your answer will be much larger than 6.

Unit conversion can be tricky when you first start out, but practice makes perfect! To get some practice with unit conversions, let's do some problems. **Record your solutions in your lab write-up.** Be sure to show your work!

1. Find someone you don't know in the class. Ask them their name, and when their birthday is. How old are they? Record your answer here. You should have the day, month, and year.
2. Now, use unit conversion to figure out how many seconds old they are. Hint: There are 365 days in one year, 24 hours in a day, 60 minutes in an hour, and 60 seconds in one minute. You can use Google to see how many days it's been since their birthdate. You may **ONLY** use Google to find out the number of DAYS.
3. The distance between us and the Andromeda Galaxy is 7.7×10^5 pc (pc = parsec). 1 parsec is equivalent to 3.09×10^{13} kilometers. What is the distance to the Andromeda Galaxy in units of *kilometers*? 1 parsec is also equivalent to 3.26 ly (ly = light years). What is the distance to the Andromeda Galaxy in units of *light years*? How many kilometers are in a light year?
4. Based on your answer to the last question, what type of unit is a light year? Speed, distance, currency, mass, time, etc?
5. The average distance between the Earth and the Sun is defined as the *Astronomical Unit* (or AU), which is equivalent to 1.496×10^8 km. The average distance between the Sun and Jupiter is around 5.2 AU – what is the Jupiter-Sun distance in *kilometers*?
6. The average speed at which the Earth orbits the Sun is around 29.8 km/s. What is the Earth's orbital speed in km/yr? What is the Earth's orbital speed in AU/yr? ($1 \text{ yr} = 3.15 \times 10^7 \text{ s}$).

4 Scaling the Universe

Let's apply what we've learned so far to construct a *scale model* of the Universe. Let's scale the diameter of the Sun (1.39×10^6 kilometers) down to the size of a ballpoint pen tip (1.00 millimeter) to get a sense of just how large the Universe really is. **Record your solutions in your lab write-up.**

1. First, let's set up the *scale factor*, F , that'll connect our scale model to the real thing. The tip of a ballpoint pen is F times *smaller* than the Sun, so

$$R_{\text{pen}} = F \times R_{\text{sun}}.$$

As such, find F (to three significant figures) by dividing the size of the pen tip by the size of the Sun. Make sure that your units are consistent when dividing! ($1 \text{ km} = 10^6 \text{ mm}$)

2. Now, scale down the following distances by multiplying by the scale factor, F .
 - (a) The distance from the Sun to the Earth (1.0 AU)
 - (b) The distance to the edge of the Solar System (1×10^5 AU)
 - (c) The distance to the nearest star (4.25 ly)
 - (d) The distance to the Andromeda Galaxy (0.89 megaparsecs)
 - (e) The distance to the edge of the observable Universe (4.65×10^{10} ly)
3. What are some familiar real-world distances that you can compare each scaled quantity to (e.g. the length of Manhattan, the distance from New York City to Los Angeles, the circumference of the Earth)?

5 Fermi Estimation (if we have time)

Throughout this lab, we've talked a good bit about significant figures and the *precision* of measurements. But, in many problems, all we really need is a rough estimate of the answer – the order of magnitude alone can tell us a fair amount about the problem at hand (as we've discussed, comparing orders of magnitude is a quick and easy way of getting a feel for how big or how small a quantity is). In the process of ***Fermi estimation*** (also, aptly, called *order-of-magnitude* estimation), we seek to estimate (usually to only one significant figure) the answer to a problem by using rough arithmetic and approximations rather than fully rigorous calculations. For instance, if we wanted to Fermi estimate the number of jelly beans in a jar, we shouldn't need to rigorously compute the volume of each bean and the spacing between each bean – we can make some simplifying assumptions about the shape of the beans (i.e., we can estimate the beans as being spherical) and about the geometry of the bean pile (i.e., we can estimate the beans as being in a simple lattice pattern) and use these assumptions to obtain a rough order-of-magnitude estimate for the number of beans.

Fermi estimation is a difficult skill to perfect, but it's a very important tool for developing quantitative intuition. So, let's try an example. **Record your solutions in your lab write-up.** *Show your work and **state** your assumptions.* Don't worry about your estimations being exactly right – the thought process is what counts.

1. The main Columbia campus extends from 114th street to 120th street, between Broadway and Amsterdam Avenue. Assuming there are no buildings or other obstructions in this rectangle, approximately how many people could fit into Columbia's main campus (without needing to stack people on top of one other)?

6 Wrapping things up

Complete this section by yourself. **Record your responses in your lab write-up.** These questions are graded for attendance/completion.

1. What result did you get from the personality quiz?
2. Why is scientific notation useful in astronomy (and in quantitative disciplines in general)?
3. Describe qualitatively what an “order of magnitude” is. Why do we care about orders of magnitude?
4. Why is it important to include units when you report a measurement? When might one want to perform a unit conversion?
5. How do you feel about science, math, and astronomy? This can be a very short answer if you want; are you nervous with numbers, or pretty comfortable?
6. (Optional): Is there anything you would like me to know about you?