

DATA130026.01 Optimization

Assignment 1

Due Time: at the beginning of the class Mar. 13, 2024

姓名: 雍崔扬

学号: 21307140051

Problem 1: Convexity of Sets

For each of the following sets, determine whether they are convex or not, explaining your choice.

- (a) $C_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|^2 = 1\}$

◦ **Claim:**

C_1 (which is the surface of an unit norm ball) is not convex.

◦ **Counterexample:**

Consider any $x_0 \in C_1$, we note that $\begin{cases} -x_0 \in C_1 \\ \frac{1}{2}x_0 + \frac{1}{2}(-x_0) = 0_n \notin C_1 \end{cases}$ which implies that C_1 is not convex.

- (b) $C_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \max_{i=1,\dots,n} x_i \leq 1\}$

◦ **Claim:**

C_2 is a convex set.

◦ **Proof:**

Consider any $\begin{cases} x, y \in C_2 \\ \alpha \in (0, 1) \\ i = 1, \dots, n \end{cases}$,

we have $\alpha x_i + (1 - \alpha)y_i \leq \alpha \cdot 1 + (1 - \alpha) \cdot 1 = 1$

Therefore, $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \in C_2$, demonstrating the convexity of C_2 .

- (c) $C_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \min_{i=1,\dots,n} x_i \leq 1\}$

◦ **Claim:**

C_3 is not a convex set.

◦ **Counterexample:**

Denote e_i as the i -th standard unit basis vector of \mathbb{R}^n space.

Let $\begin{cases} x = 2 \cdot 1_n - e_1 \\ y = 2 \cdot 1_n - e_2 \end{cases}$ (where 1_n represents the n -dimensional all-ones vector)

We observe that $\begin{cases} x, y \in C_3 \\ \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y = [\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-2}]^T \notin C_3, \end{cases}$

which implies that C_3 is not convex.

- (d) $C_4 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n : \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \leq 1\}$

◦ **Claim:**

C_4 is not a convex set.

- **Counterexample:**

Let $\begin{cases} x = 1_n \\ y = 1_n - \frac{1}{2}e_1 + e_2 \end{cases}$

We observe that $\begin{cases} x, y \in C_4 \\ \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y = [\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-2}]^T \notin C_4, \end{cases}$

which implies that C_4 is not convex.

Problem 2: Convex Closed Cones

Let K_1 and K_2 be two closed convex cones in \mathbb{R}^n .

- (a) Provide an example that $K_1 + K_2 = \{x + y : x \in K_1, y \in K_2\}$ is not closed.

Example:

Let K_1 be the second-order cone in \mathbb{R}^3 , i.e. $K_1 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq x_3^2\}$

Let $K_2 = \{t(1, 0, -1) : t \geq 0\}$ be a ray in \mathbb{R}^3

Both of them are closed convex cones.

Obviously, the sequence $\{n \cdot (1, 0, -1) + (-n, 1 + \frac{1}{n}, \sqrt{n^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{n})^2})\} \subseteq K_1 + K_2$,

and it holds that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \{n \cdot (1, 0, -1) + (-n, 1 + \frac{1}{n}, \sqrt{n^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{n})^2})\} = (0, 1, 0)$$

It can be proven that the limit point $(0, 1, 0)$ is not an element of $K_1 + K_2$,

because the system

$$\begin{cases} x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq x_3^2 \\ x_1 + t \cdot 1 = 0 \\ x_2 + t \cdot 0 = 1 \\ x_3 + t \cdot (-1) = 0 \end{cases} \text{ has no solution.}$$

- (b) Show that if $(-K_1) \cap K_2 = \{0_n\}$ then $K_1 + K_2$ is a closed convex cone.

Proof:

- Firstly, we prove that $K_1 + K_2$ is a **convex cone**:

Consider any $z_1, z_2 \in K_1 + K_2$, we can decompose z_1, z_2 as $x_1 + y_1$ and $x_2 + y_2$,

where

$$\begin{cases} x_1, x_2 \in K_1 \\ y_1, y_2 \in K_2 \end{cases}$$

For every $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$, since

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 \in K_1 \\ \alpha_1 y_1 + \alpha_2 y_2 \in K_2 \end{cases}$$

we have $\alpha_1 z_1 + \alpha_2 z_2 = (\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2) + (\alpha_1 y_1 + \alpha_2 y_2) \in K_1 + K_2$.

Therefore, $K_1 + K_2$ is a **convex cone**.

- Secondly, we prove that $K_1 + K_2$ is **closed**:

Lemma:

Suppose K is a cone.

- ① $K^* := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, y \rangle \geq 0, x \in K\}$ is a closed convex cone;

- ② $K^{**} = \text{cl}(\text{conv}(K))$

(Therefore $K^{**} = K$ holds if and only if K is a closed convex cone);

- ③ If $K_1 \subseteq K_2$, then $K_1^* \supseteq K_2^*$;

- ④ For any arbitrary cone K_1, K_2 , it holds that $(K_1 + K_2)^* = K_1^* \cap K_2^*$;

- ⑤ If closed convex cones K_1, K_2 satisfy $K_1 \cap K_2 \supset \{0_n\}$, then

$$(K_1 \cap K_2)^* = K_1^* + K_2^*;$$

Given that K_1, K_2 are closed convex sets,

the condition $(-K_1) \cap K_2 = \{0_n\}$ implies that $(-K_1^{**}) \cap K_2^{**} = \{0_n\}$,

which means there is no homogeneous hyperplane that can separate K_1^* and K_2^* .

Therefore, the intersection between K_1^* and K_2^* is not merely $\{0_n\}$,

i.e., $K_1^* \cap K_2^* \supset \{0_n\}$.

By using Lemma ①②④⑤, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cl}(K_1 + K_2) &= (K_1 + K_2)^{**} \\ &= (K_1^* \cap K_2^*)^* \\ &= K_1^{**} + K_2^{**} \\ &= K_1 + K_2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $K_1 + K_2$ is **closed**.

In summary, $K_1 + K_2$ is a closed convex set.

Proof of Lemma:

- **Lemma ①②③** could be found in **Convex Optimization (S.Boyd) 2.6.1**;

- **Lemma ④:**

For any arbitrary cone K_1, K_2 , it holds that $(K_1 + K_2)^* = K_1^* \cap K_2^*$

Proof:

- **Proving $K_1^* \cap K_2^* \subseteq (K_1 + K_2)^*$:**

If $y \in K_1^* \cap K_2^*$, then for every

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in K_1 \\ x_2 \in K_2 \end{cases} \text{ it holds that:}$$

$$\langle x_1 + x_2, y \rangle = \langle x_1, y \rangle + \langle x_2, y \rangle \geq 0$$

so that $y \in (K_1 + K_2)^*$, which implies $K_1^* \cap K_2^* \subseteq (K_1 + K_2)^*$.

- **Proving $(K_1 + K_2)^* \subseteq K_1^* \cap K_2^*$:**

If $y \in (K_1 + K_2)^*$, then for every $x_1 \in K_1$, it holds that:

$$\langle x_1, y \rangle = \langle x_1 + 0_n, y \rangle \geq 0$$

so that $y \in K_1^*$, which implies $(K_1 + K_2)^* \subseteq K_1^*$

Similarly, we have $(K_1 + K_2)^* \subseteq K_2^*$.

Therefore, it holds that $(K_1 + K_2)^* \subseteq K_1^* \cap K_2^*$.

Q.E.D.

- **Lemma ⑤:**

If closed convex cones K_1, K_2 satisfy $K_1 \cap K_2 \supset \{0_n\}$, then

$$(K_1 \cap K_2)^* = K_1^* + K_2^*$$

Proof:

- **Proving $K_1^* + K_2^* \subseteq (K_1 \cap K_2)^*$**

If $y = y_1 + y_2 \in K_1^* + K_2^*$ where

$$\begin{cases} y_1 \in K_1^* \\ y_2 \in K_2^* \end{cases}$$

then for every $x \in K_1 \cap K_2$, it holds that:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, y_1 \rangle + \langle x, y_2 \rangle \geq 0$$

so that $y \in (K_1 \cap K_2)^*$, which implies $K_1^* + K_2^* \subseteq (K_1 \cap K_2)^*$

- **Proving** $(K_1 \cap K_2)^* \subseteq K_1^* + K_2^*$

By using Lemma ① and ③, we have:

$$\begin{cases} K_1^* \subseteq (K_1^* \cup K_2^*) \Rightarrow K_1 = K_1^{**} \supseteq (K_1^* \cup K_2^*)^* \\ K_2^* \subseteq (K_1^* \cup K_2^*) \Rightarrow K_2 = K_2^{**} \supseteq (K_1^* \cup K_2^*)^* \end{cases} \Rightarrow (K_1 \cap K_2) \supseteq (K_1^* \cup K_2^*)^*$$

Therefore, it holds that $(K_1 \cap K_2)^* \supseteq (K_1^* \cup K_2^*)^{**}$

By using Lemma ① and ②, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (K_1^* \cup K_2^*)^{**} &= \text{cl}(\text{conv}(K_1^* \cup K_2^*)) \\ &= \text{conv}(\text{cl}(K_1^* \cup K_2^*)) \\ &= \text{conv}(K_1^* \cup K_2^*) \quad (\text{since } K_1^* \cup K_2^* \text{ is closed}) \\ &= K_1^* + K_2^* \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(K_1 \cap K_2)^* \subseteq K_1^* + K_2^*$

Warning: The proof of Lemma ⑤ may be flawed, since I haven't used the condition

$$K_1 \cap K_2 \supset \{0_n\}$$

Problem 3: Convexity of Various Sets

Determine the convexity of the following sets, with explanations.

- (a) The set of points closer to a given point than a given set,
i.e., $\{x : \|x - x_0\|_2 \leq \|x - y\|_2 \text{ for all } y \in S\}$ where $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

◦ **Claim:**

$$C \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \{x : \|x - x_0\|_2 \leq \|x - y\|_2 \text{ for all } y \in S\} \text{ is a convex set.}$$

◦ **Explanation:**

Suppose that $S \neq \emptyset$.

Consider any $y \in S$,

x is closer to x_0 than y if and only if:

$$\|x - x_0\|_2 \leq \|x - y\|_2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (x - x_0)^T(x - x_0) \leq (x - y)^T(x - y)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x^T x - 2x_0^T x + x_0^T x_0 \leq x^T x - 2y^T x + y^T y$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 2(y - x_0)^T x \leq y^T y - x_0^T x_0$$

So we have:

$$C = \{x : \|x - x_0\|_2 \leq \|x - y\|_2 \text{ for all } y \in S\}$$

$$= \bigcap_{y \in S} \{x : 2(y - x_0)^T x \leq y^T y - x_0^T x_0\}$$

- If $y \neq x_0$,

then $\{x : 2(y - x_0)^T x \leq y^T y - x_0^T x_0\}$ is a halfspace, which is a convex set;

- If $y = x_0$ (this can happen if $x_0 \in S$),

then $\{x : 2(y - x_0)^T x \leq y^T y - x_0^T x_0\} = \mathbb{R}^n$, which is a convex set;

Therefore, C , as the intersection of convex sets, is also a convex set.

- (b) The set of points closer to one set than another,
i.e., $\{x : \text{dist}(x, S) \leq \text{dist}(x, T)\}$ where $S, T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

◦ **Claim:**

$$C \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \{x : \text{dist}(x, S) \leq \text{dist}(x, T)\} \text{ is a convex set.}$$

- **Explanation:**

Assume that $\text{dist}()$ is defined by the Euclidean norm,
and S, T are both nonempty sets.

Consider any $t \in T$,

we denote $C(t, S)$ as the set of points closer to the set S than to the point t .

Similarly to the derivation in (a),

we know that $C(t, S) = \bigcap_{s \in S} \{x : 2(s - t)^T x \geq s^T s - t^T t\}$, as the intersection of
convex sets, is a convex set.

Therefore, $C = \bigcap_{t \in T} C(t, S)$, as the intersection of convex sets, is also a convex set.

- (c) The set $\{x : x + S_2 \subset S_1\}$ where $S_1, S_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with S_1 convex.

- **Claim:**

$C \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \{x : x + S_2 \subset S_1\}$ is a convex set.

- **Explanation:**

we observe that $C = \{x : x + S_2 \subset S_1\} = \bigcap_{z \in S_2} \{x : x + z \in S_1\}$

- Denote $C(z) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \{x : x + z \in S_1\}$,

we first prove that for any $z \in S_2$, $C(z)$ is a convex set:

For every

$$\begin{cases} x_1, x_2 \in C(z) \\ \alpha \in (0, 1) \end{cases}$$

, we have

$$\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2 + z = \alpha(x_1 + z) + (1 - \alpha)(x_2 + z) \in S_1,$$

so $\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2 \in C(z)$, which implies $C(z)$ is a convex set (for all $z \in S_2$).

- Then we know that $C = \bigcap_{z \in S_2} C(z) = \bigcap_{z \in S_2} \{x : x + z \in S_1\}$,

as the intersection of convex sets, is also a convex set.