

DATA130026.01 Optimization Assignment 6

Due Time: at the beginning of the class, Apr. 17, 2024

姓名: 雍崔扬

学号: 21307140051

Problem 1

Find a dual problem to the convex problem (P) $\begin{array}{ll} \min & x_1^2 + 0.5x_2^2 + x_1x_2 - 2x_1 - 3x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 + x_2 \leq 2 \end{array}$

Find the optimal solutions of both the dual and primal problems.

Solution:

- (1) Establish the dual problem:

The Lagrangian is given by:

$$L(x, \lambda) = x_1^2 + 0.5x_2^2 + x_1x_2 - 2x_1 - 3x_2 + \lambda(x_1 + x_2 - 2)$$

Since $\nabla_{xx}^2 L(x, \lambda) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$, we deduce that $L(x, \lambda)$ is a convex quadratic function.

By solving $\nabla_x L(x, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 + x_2 - 2 + \lambda \\ x_2 + x_1 - 3 + \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

we have $\begin{cases} x_1 = -1 \\ x_2 = 4 - \lambda \end{cases}$

Thus, the dual function is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} d(\lambda) &= \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} L(x, \lambda) \\ &= L(x, \lambda)|_{x=(-1, 4-\lambda)} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}(4 - \lambda)^2 - (4 - \lambda) + 2 - 3(4 - \lambda) + \lambda(1 - \lambda) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 + \lambda - 5 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the dual problem is:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\text{D}) \max & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 + \lambda - 5 \\ \text{s.t.} & \lambda \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- (2) Find the optimal solutions of both the dual and primal problems:

Given that $d(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 + \lambda - 5 = -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1)^2 - \frac{9}{2}$

it is easy to see that $\begin{cases} \lambda^* = 1 \\ d^* = d(\lambda^*) = -\frac{9}{2} \end{cases}$

Note that the primal problem is **convex** and the **Slater Condition** holds,

hence the **strong duality** holds, i.e., $\begin{cases} p^* = d^* = -\frac{9}{2} \\ x^* = (-1, 4 - \lambda^*) = (-1, 3) \end{cases}$

Problem 2

Find a dual problem to the following convex minimization problem:

$$(P) \quad \begin{aligned} \min & \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i x_i^2 + 2b_i x_i + e^{\alpha_i x_i}) \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1 \end{aligned} \quad \text{where } a, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Solution:

The primal problem can be transformed into:

$$\begin{aligned} \min & \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i x_i^2 + 2b_i x_i + e^{\alpha_i y_i}) \\ (\text{NewP}) \text{ s.t.} & y = x \\ & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1 \end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian function of (NewP) is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} L(x, y, \nu, \mu) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i x_i^2 + 2b_i x_i + e^{\alpha_i y_i}) + \nu^T(y - x) + \mu(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - 1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n [a_i x_i^2 + (2b_i - \nu_i + \mu)x_i + e^{\alpha_i y_i} + \nu_i y_i] - \mu \end{aligned}$$

Denote $L_i(x_i, y_i, \nu, \mu) = a_i x_i^2 + (2b_i - \nu_i + \mu)x_i + e^{\alpha_i y_i} + \nu_i y_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$)

Note that $\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} L = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} L_i & (1 \leq i \leq n) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} L = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} L_i & \end{cases}$

- ① First, we compute the infimum of $a_i x_i^2 + (2b_i - \nu_i + \mu)x_i$:

Since $a \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$, we deduce that for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, it holds that:

$$\inf_{x_i} \{a_i x_i^2 + (2b_i - \nu_i + \mu)x_i\} = -\frac{1}{4a_i}(2b_i - \nu_i + \mu)^2$$

- ② Then we explore the infimum of $e^{\alpha_i y_i} + \nu_i y_i$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} L_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} (e^{\alpha_i y_i} + \nu_i y_i) = \alpha_i e^{\alpha_i y_i} + \nu_i$$

We observe that the equation $\alpha_i e^{\alpha_i y_i} + \nu_i = 0$ has a solution,

specifically $y_i = \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \log(-\frac{\nu_i}{\alpha_i})$, if and only if $\nu_i < 0$.

$$\text{Hence we have } \inf_{y_i} \{e^{\alpha_i y_i} + \nu_i y_i\} = \begin{cases} -\infty, & \text{if } \nu_i > 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } \nu_i = 0 \\ -\frac{\nu_i}{\alpha_i} + \frac{\nu_i}{\alpha_i} \log(-\frac{\nu_i}{\alpha_i}), & \text{if } \nu_i < 0 \end{cases}$$

By combining ①②, we deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned} d_i(\nu, \mu) &\stackrel{\Delta}{=} \inf_{x_i, y_i} L_i(x_i, y_i, \nu, \mu) \\ &= \inf_{x_i, y_i} \{a_i x_i^2 + (2b_i - \nu_i + \mu)x_i + e^{\alpha_i y_i} + \nu_i y_i\} \\ &= \inf_{x_i} \{a_i x_i^2 + (2b_i - \nu_i + \mu)x_i\} + \inf_{y_i} \{e^{\alpha_i y_i} + \nu_i y_i\} \\ &= \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4a_i}(2b_i - \nu_i + \mu)^2 - \frac{\nu_i}{\alpha_i} + \frac{\nu_i}{\alpha_i} \log(-\frac{\nu_i}{\alpha_i}), & \text{if } \nu_i < 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4a_i}(2b_i + \mu)^2, & \text{if } \nu_i = 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{dom}(d_i) = \{(\nu, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \nu_i \leq 0\}$

Therefore, the dual function can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\nu, \mu) &= \inf_{x,y} L(x, y, \nu, \mu) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \inf_{x_i, y_i} L_i(x_i, y_i, \nu, \mu) \right\} - \mu \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(\nu, \mu) - \mu
\end{aligned}$$

where $\text{dom}(d) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{dom}(d_i) = \{(\nu, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \nu \preceq 0_n\}$

Consequently, the dual problem is formulated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(D) \quad &\max_{\nu, \mu} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(\nu, \mu) - \mu \\
\text{s.t.} \quad &\nu \preceq 0_n
\end{aligned}$$

Problem 3

Consider the following optimization problem in the variables $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\min \quad &\alpha \\
(P) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad &Aq = \alpha f \text{ where } A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, f \in \mathbb{R}^m, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++} \\
&\|q\|_2^2 \leq \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Assume in addition that the rows of A are linearly independent.

- (1) Explain why strong duality holds for problem.

- **Solution:**

Note that (P) is a **convex problem**.

We observe that $(0_n, 0)$ is strictly feasible.

This is evident because $\begin{cases} A0_n = 0_m = 0 \cdot f \\ \|0_n\|_2^2 = 0 < \varepsilon \end{cases}$

Consequently, **Slater's Condition** is satisfied, which implies that strong duality holds.

- (2) Find a dual problem to problem (P).

(Do not assign a Lagrange multiplier to the quadratic constraint.)

- **Solution:**

The Lagrangian is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
L(\alpha, q, \nu) &= \alpha + \nu^T(Aq - \alpha f) \\
&= (1 - \nu^T f)\alpha + \nu^T Aq \quad (\|q\|_2^2 \leq \varepsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

- ① We note that $(1 - \nu^T f)\alpha$ is bounded below if and only if $\nu^T f = 1$; and in such cases, the infimum is 0.

- ② As for $\nu^T Aq$, we apply the **Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality** to deduce that:

$$\nu^T Aq \geq -\|A^T \nu\|_2 \cdot \|q\|_2 \geq -\sqrt{\varepsilon} \|A^T \nu\|_2$$

Evidently, equality in both inequalities is achieved when $A^T \nu = 0_n$.

In the case where $A^T \nu \neq 0_n$, equality occurs when $q = -\sqrt{\varepsilon} \frac{A^T \nu}{\|A^T \nu\|_2}$.

Therefore, for any given $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the infimum of $\nu^T Aq$ is $-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \|A^T \nu\|_2$.

By synthesizing results ① and ②, the **dual function** can be formulated as:

$$d(\nu) = \inf_{\alpha, \|q\|_2^2 \leq \varepsilon} = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{\varepsilon} \|A^T \nu\|_2, & \text{if } \nu^T f = 1 \\ -\infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

As a result, the **dual problem** is specified as:

$$\begin{aligned}
(D) \quad &\max \quad -\sqrt{\varepsilon} \|A^T \nu\|_2 \\
\text{s.t.} \quad &f^T \nu = 1
\end{aligned}$$

- (3) Solve the dual problem obtained in part (2) and find the optimal solution of problem (P).

◦ **Solution:**

The dual problem (D) can be reformulated into the following equivalent problem:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{NewD}) \quad & \min \frac{1}{2} \|A^T \nu\|_2^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & f^T \nu = 1 \end{aligned}$$

This problem is convex and only includes an equality constraint.

Consequently, according to Theorems 3.5 and 3.7 in Note 4,

the **KKT conditions** are both necessary and sufficient for optimality.

The Lagrangian for (NewD) is defined as:

$$L_d(\nu, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} \|A^T \nu\|_2^2 - \mu(f^T \nu - 1)$$

where μ represents the Lagrange multiplier.

$$\text{The corresponding KKT conditions are } \begin{cases} \nabla_\nu L_d(\nu, \mu) = AA^T \nu - \mu f = 0_m \\ f^T \nu = 1 \end{cases}$$

Given that the rows of A are linearly independent, it follows that $AA^T \succ 0$.

$$\text{Solving the KKT system yields: } \begin{cases} \nu^* = \frac{(AA^T)^{-1}f}{f^T(AA^T)^{-1}f} \\ \mu^* = \frac{1}{f^T(AA^T)^{-1}f} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the **optimal value** of the dual problem (D) is calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned} d^* &= -\sqrt{\varepsilon} \|A^T \nu^*\|_2 \\ &= -\sqrt{\varepsilon} \frac{\|A^T(AA^T)^{-1}f\|_2}{f^T(AA^T)^{-1}f} \\ &= -\sqrt{\varepsilon} \frac{\|A^T(AA^T)^{-1}f\|_2}{\|A^T(AA^T)^{-1}f\|_2^2} \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\|A^T(AA^T)^{-1}f\|_2} \end{aligned}$$

By invoking **strong duality**, which is established in (1),

we confirm that the **optimal value** of the primal problem (P) is

$$p^* = d^* = -\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\|A^T(AA^T)^{-1}f\|_2}$$

Consequently, the **optimal solution** for (P) is given by:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha^* = p^* = -\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\|A^T(AA^T)^{-1}f\|_2} \\ q^* = -\sqrt{\varepsilon} \frac{A^T \nu^*}{\|A^T \nu^*\|_2} = -\sqrt{\varepsilon} \frac{A^T(AA^T)^{-1}f}{\|A^T(AA^T)^{-1}f\|_2} \end{cases}$$

Problem 4

Consider the convex optimization problem:

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log\left(\frac{x_i}{c_i}\right)$$

$$(P) \text{ s.t. } Ax \succeq b \quad \text{where } c \succ 0_n, A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1$$

Find a dual problem and simplify it as much as possible.

Solution:

- Denote $A = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$

The **Lagrangian** is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} L(x, \lambda, \nu) &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log\left(\frac{x_i}{c_i}\right) + \lambda^T(b - Ax) + \nu(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - 1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ x_i \log\left(\frac{x_i}{c_i}\right) - \lambda^T a_i x_i + \nu x_i \right\} + \lambda^T b - \nu \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} L(x, \lambda, \nu) = \log\left(\frac{x_i}{c_i}\right) + 1 - \lambda^T a_i + \nu$

Setting this derivative to zero for optimization yields the **unique solution** $x_i = c_i e^{\lambda^T a_i - \nu - 1}$.

It's important to note that the derivative, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i}$, is **strictly increasing** as a function of x_i .

Consequently, this observation ensures that the solution **minimizes** the Lagrangian function with respect to the variable x_i .

- As a result, the **dual function** can be formulated as:

$$\begin{aligned} d(\lambda, \nu) &= \inf_x L(x, \lambda, \nu) \\ &= \inf_x \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ x_i \log\left(\frac{x_i}{c_i}\right) - \lambda^T a_i x_i + \nu x_i \right\} + \lambda^T b - \nu \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{\lambda^T a_i - \nu - 1} + \lambda^T b - \nu \\ &= -e^{-\nu-1} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{\lambda^T a_i} + \lambda^T b - \nu \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the **dual problem** is specified as:

$$\begin{aligned} (D) \quad \max \quad & -e^{-\nu-1} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{\lambda^T a_i} + \lambda^T b - \nu \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \lambda \succeq 0_m \end{aligned}$$

- Given that ν is unconstrained and independent from λ , we can optimize ν to **simplify the problem formulation**.

The partial derivative of the dual function $d(\lambda, \nu)$ with respect to ν is given by:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} d(\lambda, \nu) = e^{-\nu-1} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{\lambda^T a_i} - 1$$

Setting this derivative to zero for optimization yields the **unique solution**:

$$\nu^* = \log\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{\lambda^T a_i}\right) - 1.$$

Given that $c \succ 0$,

it's important to note that the derivative, $\frac{\partial d}{\partial \nu}$, is **strictly decreasing** as a function of ν .

Consequently, this observation ensures that ν^* **maximizes** the Lagrangian function with respect to the variable ν .

- Substituting $\nu^* = \log(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{\lambda^T a_i}) - 1$ into the dual function, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{R}} d(\lambda, \nu) &= d(\lambda, \nu^*) \\ &= -e^{-\nu^*-1} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{\lambda^T a_i} + \lambda^T b - \nu^* \\ &= -1 + \lambda^T b - \log(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{\lambda^T a_i}) + 1 \\ &= -\log(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{\lambda^T a_i}) + b^T \lambda\end{aligned}$$

Ultimately, this simplifies the dual problem, which can be reformulated as:

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{SimpleD}) \quad \max & \quad -\log(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{\lambda^T a_i}) + b^T \lambda \\ \text{s.t.} & \quad \lambda \succeq 0_n\end{aligned}$$

Problem 5

Weak duality for unbounded and infeasible problems.

The weak duality inequality, $d^* \leq p^*$, clearly holds when $d^* = -\infty$ or $p^* = \infty$.

Show that it holds in the other two cases as well:

- (1) If $p^* = -\infty$, then we must have $d^* = -\infty$

Solution:

Consider the following general form of an optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned}(P) \quad \min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} & \quad f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & \quad g(x) \preceq 0_m \\ & \quad h(x) = 0_p\end{aligned}$$

Denote the **feasible region** for primal problem as $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{X} \cap \text{dom}(f) \cap \{x : \begin{cases} g(x) \preceq 0_m \\ h(x) = 0_p \end{cases}\}$

The **Lagrangian** is given by:

$$\begin{cases} L(x, \lambda, \nu) = f(x) + \lambda^T g(x) + \nu^T h(x) \\ \text{dom}(L) = \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}^p \end{cases}$$

The **dual function** can be expressed as:

$$\begin{cases} d(\lambda, \nu) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} L(x, \lambda, \nu) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{f(x) + \lambda^T g(x) + \nu^T h(x)\} \\ \text{dom}(d) = \{(\lambda, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}^p : d(\lambda, \nu) > -\infty\} \end{cases}$$

The **dual problem** is formulated as:

$$(D) \quad \begin{aligned} \max & \quad d(\lambda, \nu) \\ \text{s.t.} & \quad (\lambda, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}^p \end{aligned}$$

Given that $p^* = -\infty$,

indicating that the primal problem (P) is unbounded below,

we can derive the following for any given $(\lambda, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}^p$:

$$\begin{aligned}d(\lambda, \nu) &= \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} L(x, \lambda, \nu) \\ &= \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{f(x) + \lambda^T g(x) + \nu^T h(x)\} \\ &\leq \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{f(x) + I_{g(x) \preceq 0_m}(x) + I_{h(x)=0_p}(x)\} \\ &= \inf_{x \in \mathcal{F}} f(x) \\ &= -\infty\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $d(\lambda, \nu) = -\infty$ holds for all $(\lambda, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}^p$,

which implies that the domain of the dual function, $\text{dom}(d)$, is an **empty set**,

rendering the dual problem (D) **infeasible**.

Consequently, the optimal value of the dual problem $d^* = -\infty$

- (2) if $d^* = \infty$, then we must have $p^* = \infty$

Solution:

Given that $d^* = \infty$,

indicating that the dual problem (P) is unbounded above,

we can derive the following for any given $x \in \mathcal{F}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(x) + I_{g(x) \leq 0_m}(x) + I_{h(x)=0_p}(x) \\ &\geq \sup_{(\lambda, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}^p} \{f(x) + \lambda^T g(x) + \nu^T h(x)\} \\ &\geq \sup_{(\lambda, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}^p} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{f(x) + \lambda^T g(x) + \nu^T h(x)\} \\ &= d^* \\ &= +\infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f(x) = +\infty$ holds for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$,

which implies that the **feasible region** of the primal function, \mathcal{F} , is an **empty set**, rendering the primal problem (P) **infeasible**.

Consequently, the optimal value of the primal problem $p^* = \infty$

Problem 6

Problems with one inequality constraint.

Express the dual problem of

$$(P) \begin{array}{ll} \min & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & f(x) \leq 0 \end{array} \text{ with } c \neq 0_n, \text{ in terms of the conjugate } f^*.$$

Explain why the problem you give is convex.

Note that we do not assume f is convex.

Solution:

- (1) Express the dual problem in terms of the conjugate f^* :

The Lagrangian is given by:

$$L(x, \lambda) = c^T x + \lambda f(x)$$

The **dual function** can be expressed using the conjugate function f^* :

$$\begin{aligned} d(\lambda) &= \inf_{x \in \text{dom}(f)} L(x, \lambda) \\ &= \inf_{x \in \text{dom}(f)} \{c^T x + \lambda f(x)\} \\ &= \lambda \inf_{x \in \text{dom}(f)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} c^T x + f(x) \right\} \\ &= -\lambda \sup_{x \in \text{dom}(f)} \left\{ -\frac{1}{\lambda} c^T x - f(x) \right\} \\ &= -\lambda f^*\left(-\frac{c}{\lambda}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the **domain** of the dual function is also expressed

in terms of the domain of the conjugate function f^* :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom}(d) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ : d(\lambda) > -\infty\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ : f^*\left(-\frac{c}{\lambda}\right) < \infty\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ : -\frac{c}{\lambda} \in \text{dom}(f^*)\} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the dual problem can be formulated as:

$$(D) \max -\lambda f^*(-\frac{c}{\lambda})$$

s.t. $\lambda \geq 0$

- (2) Explain why the dual function (D) is convex.

Solution:

The **conjugate function** is defined as:

$$f^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \text{dom}(f)} \{y^T x - f(x)\}$$

This function is inherently **convex**

because it is the pointwise supremum over $x \in \text{dom}(f)$ of a family of functions $y^T x - f(x)$, each of which is affine with respect to y .

Similarly, the **dual function** $d(\lambda) = \inf_{x \in \text{dom}(f)} \{c^T x + \lambda f(x)\}$ is **concave**,

because it is the pointwise infimum over $x \in \text{dom}(f)$ of a family of functions $c^T x + \lambda f(x)$, each of which is affine with respect to λ .

Therefore, the dual problem involves maximizing a concave function over a convex feasible region, which inherently characterizes the problem as **convex**.

THE END