## Weakness Detection in ML Models

Using ModEva Framework for Identifying Underperforming Regions

Machine Learning Expert

July 5, 2025

## Outline

- Introduction to Weakness Detection
- Weakness Detection in ModEva
- 3 Error Slicing for Weakness Detection
- 4 Advanced Slicing Techniques
- Model Comparison
- 6 Advanced Diagnostic Approaches
- Acting on Weakness Insights

# Understanding Weakness Detection

#### Definition

Weakness detection is the process of identifying areas in the input space where a machine learning model underperforms or makes incorrect predictions.

#### Characteristics of Weak Regions:

- High residual errors
- Poor prediction accuracy
- Patterns of bias or inconsistency
- Unexpected behavior on certain data subsets

**Goal:** Identify and understand these regions to improve model reliability, robustness, and fairness across all data segments.

# Why Weakness Detection is Important

#### Improve Model Performance

Identify struggling areas and implement targeted improvements

#### Guide Data Collection

Pinpoint where additional data can enhance model performance

#### **Enhance Trustworthiness**

Address systematic biases and recurring errors to build confidence

## Mitigate Risks

Detect and address weaknesses before deployment

## Key Approaches to Weakness Detection

### Residual Analysis

- Examine prediction errors
- Identify patterns in residuals
- Locate regions with systematic errors

#### **Data Slicing**

- Divide dataset into smaller subsets
- Evaluate performance in each slice
- Compare across slices

### Feature Sensitivity

- Identify features linked to poor performance
- Analyze feature interactions
- Understand sensitivity to feature changes

#### Visualization

- Plot performance across feature ranges
- Visualize error distributions
- Highlight underperforming regions

## Introduction to Error Slicing

### Concept

Error slicing involves dividing data into segments to assess model performance in specific regions of the feature space.

#### Primary Binning Methods in ModEva:

- Uniform Binning: Equal-sized intervals across feature range
- Quantile Binning: Equal number of samples in each bin
- Automatic Binning: Using tree-based methods (XGBoost) to find optimal splits
- User-defined Binning: Custom-defined bin boundaries

**Goal:** Identify specific feature ranges or data segments where model performance drops below acceptable thresholds.

# **Uniform Binning**

#### How It Works:

- Divides feature range into equal-sized intervals
- Simple and easy to interpret
- Works well with uniformly distributed features

### Disadvantages:

 Can result in empty or sparse bins for skewed distributions

```
1 # Analyze residual feature
      importance
2 \text{ results} = \text{ts}.
      diagnose_residual_fi(
      method="uniform")
4 results.plot()
  # Uniform binning numerical
      feature
7 results = ts.
      diagnose_slicing_accuracy(
      features=(("LIMIT_BAL", ),
       ("PAY_1", )),
      method="uniform",
9
      bins=10,
10
      metric="AUC",
      threshold=0.65)
12
  results.plot()
14
```

## Quantile Binning

#### How It Works:

- Divides data so each bin has equal number of samples
- Handles skewed distributions effectively
- Ensures equal representation in bins

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Bin widths may vary, complicating interpretation
- Sensitive to outliers

```
# Quantile binning
results = ts.
    diagnose_slicing_accuracy(
    features="LIMIT_BAL",
    method="quantile",
    bins=10,
    metric="AUC",
    threshold=0.65)
results.plot()
```

This generates a visualization of performance across quantile bins

## Automatic Binning with Tree-Based Models

#### How It Works:

- Uses depth-1 or depth-2 XGBoost trees
- Automatically finds optimal split<sub>4</sub>
   points
- Splits based on relationship with <sup>6</sup>
   target

#### Advantages:

- Optimized for target performance
- Captures meaningful feature-target relationship
- More intelligent than fixed-width binning

```
# Automatic binning
2 results = ts.
     diagnose_slicing_accuracy(
     features="LIMIT_BAL",
     method="auto-xgb1",
     bins=10,
     metric="AUC",
     threshold=0.75)
 results.plot() # Display
     results in plot
9 results.table # Display
     results in table
```

This automatically identifies meaningful bins based on model performance

# **Custom Binning**

```
# Custom binning
results = ts.diagnose_slicing_accuracy(

features="LIMIT_BAL",
    method="precompute",
    bins={"LIMIT_BAL": (0.0, 50000, 1000000)},
    metric="AUC")
results.table # Display results in table
```

This allows defining custom bin boundaries for specific feature ranges of interest

### When to use custom binning:

- When specific feature thresholds are meaningful for the business context
- To focus on particular regions of interest (e.g., high-value customers)
- When domain expertise suggests particular breakpoints
- For comparing with established industry benchmarks

# Multiple Feature Slicing

```
# Slicing for a set of features
results = ts.diagnose_slicing_accuracy(
features=(("PAY_1", ), ("BILL_AMT1",), ("PAY_AMT1", )),
method="quantile",
metric="AUC",
threshold=0.6)
results.table
```

This analyzes performance across multiple features independently

#### **Benefits:**

- Provides a comprehensive view of performance across multiple feature dimensions
- Identifies which features are most associated with weak performance areas
- Allows prioritization of feature improvement efforts

# Feature Interaction Slicing

```
# 2-Feature interaction slicing
results = ts.diagnose_slicing_accuracy(
    features=("PAY_1", "PAY_AMT1"),
    method="uniform",
    bins=10,
    metric="AUC",
    threshold=0.5)
results.table
```

This examines performance across combinations of feature values

### Why interaction slicing matters:

- Models may perform well for individual feature ranges but struggle with specific combinations
- Reveals complex relationships that cause model weaknesses
- Identifies rare but important feature interaction scenarios
- Helps detect potential biases in specific feature combinations

# Analyzing Weak Regions

```
1 # Retrieving samples below threshold value
2 from modeva.testsuite.utils.slicing_utils import
     get_data_info
3 data_info = get_data_info(res_value=results.value)[("PAY_1",
      "PAY_AMT1")]
4 data_info
6 # Testing distribution difference between weak samples and
     the rest
7 data_results = ds.data_drift_test(
     **data_info,
     distance_metric="PSI",
10
   psi_method="uniform",
     psi_bins=10)
11
data_results.plot("summary")
13
```

This identifies data points in weak regions and compares their distribution to the overall dataset

# Visualizing Weak Regions

```
# To get the list of figure names in the "data_results"
   object

data_results.get_figure_names()

# Example of plotting from the list of figures
data_results.plot(('density', 'PAY_1'))
```

This visualizes the distribution differences between weak samples and normal samples

### Key visualizations for understanding weak regions:

- Density plots showing feature distributions
- PSI (Population Stability Index) summary plots
- Feature importance plots for weak regions
- Interaction heatmaps highlighting problematic combinations

# Comparing Weaknesses Across Models

```
# Compare models on numerical features
tsc = TestSuite(ds, models=[model_lgbm, model_xgb])
results = tsc.compare_slicing_accuracy(
    features="PAY_AMT1",
    method="quantile",
    bins=10,
    metric="AUC")
results.plot()
```

This compares performance of different models across feature slices

### Benefits of comparative weakness analysis:

- Identifies which model performs better in specific regions
- Reveals complementary strengths across models
- Informs potential ensemble strategies
- Guides targeted model improvement efforts

# Comparing Categorical Feature Performance

```
# Compare models on categorical features
tsc = TestSuite(ds, models=[model_lgbm, model_xgb])
results = tsc.compare_slicing_accuracy(
    features="EDUCATION",
    metric="AUC",
    threshold=0.6)
results.plot()
```

This compares model performance across categorical feature values

#### What to look for:

- Categories where models show significant performance differences
- Segments where all models struggle (potential data issues)
- Categories with inconsistent performance across models
- Low-frequency categories with high performance variance

# Beyond Basic Weakness Detection

#### **Robustness Testing**

- Tests model sensitivity to input noise
- Identifies regions vulnerable to small perturbations
- Evaluates stability of predictions

### **Reliability Testing**

- Focuses on prediction uncertainty
- Identifies regions with low confidence
- Evaluates calibration of probability estimates

## **Resilience Testing**

- Tests performance under distribution shift
- Evaluates behavior across heterogeneous data
- Measures degradation under changing conditions

### **Integration with Other Tests**

- Combine with fairness analysis
- Link to explainability assessments
- Connect with feature importance

For details, refer to the corresponding sections in ModEva documentation  $\eta_{20}$ 

# From Weakness Detection to Model Improvement

### Data Strategies

- Collect more data in weak regions
- Balance representation of underperforming segments
- Engineer new features to address specific weaknesses
- Apply targeted transformations to problematic features

### Model Strategies

- Adjust hyperparameters to focus on weak areas
- Create specialized models for challenging segments
- Implement higher capacity approaches such as mixture of experts

# From Weakness Detection to Model Deployment

## Deployment Strategies

- Implement guardrails for detecting edge cases
- Add uncertainty estimates to flag low-confidence predictions
- Create monitoring dashboards focused on weak regions
- Design fallback mechanisms for known weak spots

## **Business Integration**

- Communicate limitations to stakeholders
- Align model capabilities with business risk tolerance
- Design workflows that accommodate model weaknesses
- Prioritize improvements based on business impact

# Summary: Weakness Detection with MoDeVa

- Comprehensive Approach: MoDeVaprovides multiple methods for identifying model weaknesses across feature spaces
- Plexible Binning: Choose from uniform, quantile, automatic, or custom binning to effectively slice data
- Feature Interactions: Identify weaknesses in specific feature value combinations
- Distribution Analysis: Understand the characteristics of weak regions compared to the overall dataset
- Model Comparison: Compare weakness patterns across different models to guide improvement strategies
- Advanced Diagnostics: Link weakness detection to robustness, reliability, and resilience testing

## Key Takeaway

Weakness detection transforms model development from a metrics-focused process to one that addresses specific underperforming regions.