Weakness and Robustness Evaluation

Objective

- Identify model weaknesses defined by the functionality and metrics
- Test robustness under challenging conditions.
- Guide targeted model improvements without degrading overall performance.
- Create actionable insights for retraining, prompt design, and guardrail for safe deployment.

Weakness Discovery

Methods:

- Marginal Analysis: Evaluate metrics per topic or query type.
 - Functionality metrics:
 Relevancy, Groundedness,
 Completeness, etc.
 - Query types
- Bivariate Analysis: Joint analysis of topic-query interactions.

Picture5_TopicWeakness2.png

Failure Mode Clustering

- Use embedding and distance matrix to group failures.
 - Queries
 - Context
 - Answers
- Use performance metrics as criteria.
- Use Random Forest for supervised clustering.
- Identify frequent failure patterns (e.g., groundedness, "why" questions).

Visualization Techniques

- Marginal Analysis: Distribution plots (e.g., groundedness, answer quality).
- Bivariate Analysis: Heatmaps across topic-query pairs.
- Failure Clustering: Visual summary of common modes.

Robustness in RAG Systems

Goal: Evaluate consistent performance under stress:

- Adversarial Inputs: misleading, ambiguous, or contradictory queries.
- Out-of-Distribution Queries: unfamiliar domain questions.
- Noisy Inputs: typos, grammar errors, paraphrases.

Query Types for Robustness Testing

- Ambiguous / Misleading / Vague
- Paraphrased / Contradictory / Edge Case
- Noisy / Out-of-Context / Implied Context
- Domain-Specific Jargon / Nonstandard Format / Multi-Intent

Sample System Prompts

- **Ambiguous:** Generate a question with multiple interpretations.
 - System Prompt: "From the given context, generate a query with ambiguous phrasing or multiple valid interpretations that could confuse the retrieval process."
- Misleading: Generate a question that contain false premises.
 - System Prompt: "Using the context, create a long and convoluted query that requires careful parsing to understand the question."
- Vague or Underspecified: Generate a question with with insufficient detail.
 - System Prompt: "Given the context, generate a vague or underspecified query that lacks critical details or specifics."

Sample System Prompts

- **Noisy:** Generate a question with typographical errors, incorrect grammar, or mixed languages.
 - System Prompt: "Given the context, create a query by introducing noise, such as typos, grammatical errors, or mixing another language into the question."
- Out-of-Context: Generate a question where information not present in the context.
 - System Prompt: "Based on the context, generate a query that deliberately asks about information not included in or related to the text."
- Implied Context: Generate a question to deduce implied or unstated context.
 - System Prompt: "From the given context, generate a query that relies on implied or unstated information to be understood."

Sample System Prompts

- Paraphrased: Generate a question phrased differently.
 - System Prompt: "Given the following context, generate multiple paraphrased versions of a query asking for the same information in different ways."
- Contradictory: Generate a question where information is contradictory.
 - System Prompt: "Using the context, create a query that contains contradictory statements, requiring the system to identify and address the conflict."
- **Edge Case:** Generate a question to to test rare or boundary conditions in the context.
 - System Prompt: "From the provided context, create a query about edge cases or scenarios that are unusual but theoretically possible."

Robustness Metrics: Adversarial Inputs

- Error Rate on Adversarial Queries: The percentage of incorrect responses to adversarial inputs.
- Rejection Rate: Percentage of cases where the system declines to provide an answer due to uncertainty.
- **Confidence Scores**: Measure how confident the system is when handling adversarial inputs.
- **Robustness Loss**: The increase in the system's error rate when adversarial inputs are introduced

Robustness Metrics: Out-of-Distribution (OOD)

- **Factuality Score**: Measure the factual accuracy of the system's response.
- Relevance Score: Determine whether the system retrieves the most relevant documents, even for unexpected queries.
- Acknowledgment of Limitations: Measure how often the system responds appropriately by stating that the requested information is unavailable.

Robustness Metrics: Input Variations (Perturbations and Noise)

- Semantic Similarity: Compare responses across different perturbations of the same query using cosine similarity of embeddings.
- **Response Stability Score**: Calculate the consistency in answers despite variations in query phrasing.

Key Takeaways

- Weakness detection is critical for trust and safety.
- Use compound analysis and clustering to find systemic issues.
- Evaluate robustness using diverse and challenging query types.
- Visualize results to guide corrective actions.