

Authentication and Availability

中英雙語解釋 / Bilingual Explanation

生物特徵驗證概述 / Biometrics Overview

- 定義：對能夠識別個人身份的生物或行為特徵進行自動化測量。
 - **Definition:** Automated measurement of **biological or behavioral features** that identify a person.
-

常見的生物特徵類型 / Common Biometric Types

1. 指紋 / Fingerprints

- 技術：使用光學或電學技術。
 - *Techniques: optical or electrical techniques.*
- 方法：將指紋映射為一個圖形，然後與資料庫進行比較。
 - *Method: Maps fingerprint into a graph, then compares with database.*
- 挑戰：測量不精確，因此使用近似匹配演算法。
 - *Challenge: Measurements imprecise, so approximate matching algorithms used.*

2. 聲紋 / Voices

- 說話者驗證：使用統計技術來檢驗「說話者是否為其所聲稱之人」的假設（與特定說話者相關）。
 - *Verification: uses statistical techniques to test hypothesis that speaker is who is claimed (speaker dependent).*
- 說話者辨識：檢查回答的內容（與說話者無關）。
 - *Recognition: checks content of answers (speaker independent).*

3. 眼睛 / Eyes

- 技術：虹膜中的紋理是獨一無二的。
 - *Technique: patterns in irises unique.*
- 方法：測量紋理模式，判斷差異是否為隨機性；或使用統計測試來關聯圖像。

- Method: Measure patterns, determine if differences are random; or correlate images using statistical tests.

4. 人臉 / Faces

- 方法：分析整個圖像，或特定的特徵（如從鼻子到下巴的距離）◦ Method: image, or specific characteristics like distance from nose to chin.
- 挑戰：光照、臉部角度、其他噪點都可能妨礙辨識◦ Challenge: Lighting, view of face, other noise can hinder this.

5. 鍵盤動力學 / Keystroke Dynamics

- 原理：被認為是獨一無二的行為特徵◦ Principle: believed to be unique.
 - 測量內容：擊鍵間隔、按壓力度、按鍵持續時間、擊鍵位置◦ Measurements: Keystroke intervals, pressure, duration of stroke, where key is struck.
 - 方法：使用統計測試進行分析◦ Method: Statistical tests used.
-

注意與風險 / Cautions and Risks

這些方法都可能被欺騙！

These can be fooled!

- 設備準確性假設：該技術假設生物特徵設備在其實際使用的環境中是準確的！◦ Assumes biometric device accurate *in the environment it is being used in!*
- 資料傳輸風險：假設傳輸到驗證器的資料是防篡改且正確的。◦ Assumes *transmission of data to validator is tamperproof, correct.*
- 相關威脅：這與單次驗證協定的問題有關，也涉及對抗性機器學習技術的最新進展。◦ Related to issues with one-pass authentication protocols, as well as latest advancements of *adversarial machine learning techniques.*

實例：使用眼鏡欺騙人臉辨識系統

Example: Fooling face recognition systems using glasses

- 研究人員設計了一副特殊圖案的眼鏡框，可以欺騙最先進的人臉辨識系統，讓系統將一個陌生人識別為特定目標人物。◦ Researchers designed eyeglass frames with a specific pattern that could fool state-of-

the-art face recognition systems into misidentifying a stranger as a specific target.

- 這說明了什麼？生物特徵感測器本身可能就在敵對環境中運行，其輸入可以被惡意操縱。
 - *What does this show? The biometric sensor itself operates in an adversarial environment, and its inputs can be maliciously manipulated.*
-

結合多種方法 / Combining Multiple Methods

- **核心原則：**當使用者執行越來越敏感的任務時，必須透過**更多、更嚴格**的方式進行身份驗證。
 - *Core Principle: As users perform more and more sensitive tasks, must authenticate in more and more ways (presumably, more stringently).*
- **例子：結合位置資訊**
 - *Example: combined with location*
 - 如果你知道使用者的位置，可以透過確認此人是否在使用者所在的位置來驗證身份。
 - *if you know where user is, validate identity by seeing if person is where the user is.*
 - **技術實現：**GPS 設備提供實體的位置簽名、電話來電顯示、IP 位址、無線區域網路定位資料等。
 - *Technical Implementation: GPS device gives location signature of entity, telephone caller ID, Internet address, Wireless LAN location data, etc.*

回顧：為了實現高安全性，需要**結合多種識別技術**，以降低誤接受/誤拒絕率、權杖被盜、人為疏失、中繼攻擊和冒用身分等風險。

Recall: For high security, several identification techniques need to be combined to reduce the risks of false-accept/false-reject rates, token theft, carelessness, relaying and impersonation.

經驗總結 / Lessons Learned

- 生物特徵驗證很方便，但**可能被欺騙**。
 - *Biometric authentication is convenient, but it can be fooled.*
- 生物特徵設備可能在敵對環境中運行（其感測器輸入可能被干擾）。
 - *The biometric device might operate in the adversarial environment.*
- 傳輸到驗證器的資料可能並不總是正確或防篡改的。
 - *Transmission of data to validator may not always be correct or tamperproof.*

- 對於更敏感的任務，必須以更多元、更嚴格的方式對使用者進行身份驗證（例如多因素驗證）。
 - *More sensitive tasks must authenticate users in more ways more stringently.*
-

小測試 / Quick Test

問題：一家高安全性實驗室使用指紋辨識作為唯一的門禁控制。一名心懷不滿的員工用透明膠帶從鍵盤上提取了經理的指紋，並用它製作了一個仿製指紋膜，成功進入實驗室。請分析此事件中生物特徵驗證失敗的根本原因，並提出改進方案。

Question: A high-security lab uses fingerprint recognition as the sole access control. A disgruntled employee used clear tape to lift the manager's fingerprint from a keyboard and created a replica to successfully enter the lab. Analyze the root cause of this biometric authentication failure and propose improvements.

1. 失敗的根本原因：

- **單一因素依賴：**系統僅依賴「所具之形」（指紋）這一單一因素。一旦此因素被複製，安全防線即被完全突破。
 - *Reliance on a Single Factor: The system relied solely on "Something You Are" (fingerprint). Once this factor was replicated, the security was completely breached.*
- **生物特徵的不可撤銷性：**密碼洩露後可以更改，但指紋洩露後是無法「更改」的，這使得其一旦被複製，風險將長期存在。
 - *Irrevocability of Biometrics: Passwords can be changed after a leak, but fingerprints cannot be "changed," making the risk permanent once replicated.*
- **感測器缺乏活體檢測：**簡單的指紋掃描器可能無法有效區分真實的手指和仿製的指紋膜。
 - *Lack of Liveness Detection: The simple fingerprint scanner may not effectively distinguish between a real finger and a replica.*

2. 改進方案：

- **實施多因素驗證：**這是最關鍵的改進。要求員工在掃描指紋的同時，必須輸入PIN碼（所知之物）或使用門禁卡（所持之物）。這樣，即使指紋被複製，攻擊者仍然缺少另一個因素。
 - *Implement Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA): This is the most critical improvement. Require employees to enter a PIN (**Something You Know**) or use an access card (**Something You Have**) in addition to the fingerprint scan.*

- 升級生物特徵設備：採用具有活體檢測功能的指紋掃描器，能夠檢測皮膚溫度、心率或毛孔等特徵，以防範仿製攻擊。
 - Upgrade Biometric Equipment: Use fingerprint scanners with *liveness detection* capabilities that can measure skin temperature, pulse, or pores to prevent replica attacks.
- 結合行為或情境因素：在異常時間存取或多次嘗試失敗時，觸發額外的驗證步驟或發出警報。
 - Incorporate Behavioral or Contextual Factors: Trigger additional verification or alerts for access at unusual times or after multiple failed attempts.

答案：失敗的根本原因在於過度依賴單一、靜態且可能被複製的生物特徵。改進方案的核心是轉向多因素驗證，將指紋與其他類別的憑證（如PIN碼或門禁卡）結合使用。同時，應部署具有活體檢測功能的先進感測器，並輔以基於情境的風險評估，從而構建一個深度防禦的安全體系。

*Answer: The root cause of the failure was over-reliance on a single, static, and replicable biometric factor. The core of the improvement is to shift towards **Multi-Factor Authentication**, combining fingerprints with credentials from other categories (like a PIN or access card). Additionally, advanced sensors with liveness detection should be deployed, complemented by context-based risk assessment, to build a defense-in-depth security architecture.*

中英雙語解釋 / Bilingual Explanation

資訊安全三要素 / The CIA Triad of Information Security

歷史上，資訊安全通常被定義為包含以下三個核心要素：

Historically, information security is often defined to encompass:

- 機密性：（也稱為保密性）誰可以讀取資訊？
 - *Confidentiality: (also called secrecy) who can read information?*
- 完整性：誰可以寫入、修改或產生資訊？
 - *Integrity: who can write, modify or generate information?*
- 可用性：資源在需要時是否可用？
 - *Availability: are resources available when needed?*

可用性攻擊：阻斷服務 / Availability Attacks: Denial of Service

- 定義：針對可用性的攻擊稱為阻斷服務攻擊。

- **Definition:** Attacks on availability are called **Denial of Service** or **DoS attacks**.
 - **目標：**攻擊者阻止使用者存取或利用可用的系統資源。
 - **Goal:** An attacker prevents a user from accessing or utilizing available system resources.
 - **分散式阻斷服務攻擊：**一類特殊的 DoS 攻擊被標記為**分散式阻斷服務攻擊**。
 - **Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS):** A particular class of DoS attacks are labeled **Distributed Denial of Service or DDoS attacks**.
 - **方法：**這類攻擊通常涉及協迫許多其他機器（稱為**殭屍網路**）的服務來參與攻擊。
 - **Method:** These typically involve co-opting the services of many other machines to participate in the attack, a **botnet**.
-

Gresty 的分類框架 / Gresty's Framework

格林威治大學的 David Gresty 將 DoS 攻擊分為兩大類：

David Gresty at University of Greenwich classifies DoS attacks into two groups:

1. **消費者問題：**（也稱為中間人攻擊）攻擊者在邏輯上介於客戶端和服務之間，並以某種方式中斷通訊。
 - **The Consumer Problem:** (also called **man-in-the-middle attack**) the attacker gets logically between the client and service and somehow disrupts the communication.
 2. **生產者問題：**攻擊者產生、提供或請求過多的服務，以致伺服器不堪重負。
 - **The Producer Problem:** the attacker produces, offers or requests **so many services** that the server is overwhelmed.
-

典型攻擊情境：SYN Flooding / Typical Scenario: SYN Flooding

在典型的生產者問題攻擊中：

In a typical producer attack:

- 請求的數量可能壓垮伺服器。
 - *the volume of requests may overwhelm the server.*
- 交易可能涉及某些交握協定；攻擊者不回應，導致伺服器佔用資源等待回應。
 - *the transaction may involve some handshake (protocol); the attacker does not respond and the server ties up resources waiting for a response.*

TCP 三向交握 / TCP Handshake

透過這個三向交握，客戶端與伺服器建立 TCP 連線：

Via this three-way handshake a client establishes a TCP connection with a server:

1. **SYN**：客戶端發送一個 SYN 封包給伺服器，請求建立連線。
 - *Client sends a SYN packet to the server to initiate a connection.*
 2. **SYN-ACK**：伺服器收到 SYN 封包，在內部表格中分配空間，然後發送 SYN-ACK 封包回給客戶端。
 - *Server receives the SYN packet, allocates space in an internal table and sends SYN/ACK back to the caller.*
 3. **ACK**：客戶端發送 ACK 封包回覆伺服器，連線正式建立。
 - *Client sends an ACK packet back, and the connection is established.*
- **半開連線**：在伺服器收到 ACK 之前，或連線逾時之前，該連線處於「半開」狀態，並持續佔用伺服器資源。
 - *Half-open connection: The connection remains "half-open" until the ACK is received by the server or the connection times out.*

SYN Flooding 攻擊 / SYN Flooding Attack

- **攻擊手法**：當攻擊者偽造大量 SYN 封包的回傳位址時，就會發生 SYN Flooding 攻擊。伺服器的內部表格被這些**半開連線**填滿。
 - *Attack Method: A SYN Flooding attack happens when an attacker forges the return address on a number of SYN packets. The server fills its table with these half-open connections.*
- **後果**：在這些連線逾時之前，所有合法的存取都會被拒絕，因為伺服器沒有多餘的資源處理新連線。
 - *Consequence: All legitimate accesses are denied until the connections time-out.*

SYN Flooding 的解決方案提案 / SYN Flooding Solution Proposals

SYN Flooding 問題是否內建於 TCP 連線的建立方式？我們該如何防堵這個漏洞？

Is the SYN flooding problem inherent in the way TCP connections are established? How could you close the vulnerability?

1. **增加伺服器的佇列大小**：通常只允許 8 個半開連線；增加數量可能會消耗大量資源。
 - *Increase the server's queue size: typically only 8 connections are allowed; could consume considerable resources.*
2. **縮短逾時時間**：可能會使連線速度較慢的合法客戶端無法連線。

- Shorten the time-out period: might disallow connections by slower clients.
3. 過濾可疑封包：如果回傳位址與 apparent 來源不符，則丟棄該封包。可能難以判斷真正的合法來源。
- Filter suspicious packets: if the return address does not match the apparent source, discard the packet. May be hard to determine legit source.
4. 改變演算法：例如，SYN Cookie。
- Change the algorithm: E.g., SYN Cookie.
 - 運作方式：伺服器不將連線記錄儲存在記憶體佇列中，而是對每個連線請求發送一個 SYN-ACK 封包（並從記憶體中移除請求）。一個合法的客戶端會用 ACK 回覆，伺服器再根據 Cookie 中的資訊重建連線狀態。
 - Instead of storing the record in queue, responds to each connection request with a SYN-ACK packet (removing the request from memory). A legitimate client will send it back with ACK, and then server reconstructs connections.

現代化緩解方案：

Modern Mitigation Efforts (e.g., Cloudflare):

- 將處理虛假 SYN 封包的負擔從目標伺服器轉移到雲端基礎設施。
 - Shifting burdens of maintaining connections with bogus SYN packets off the targeted server to cloud-based CDN.
- 在初始 SYN 請求發出時，雲端服務在雲端處理交握過程，暫時不將連線傳遞給目標伺服器，直到 TCP 三向交握完成為止。
 - When the initial SYN request is made, the cloud service handles the handshake process in the cloud, withholding the connection with the targeted server until the TCP handshake is complete.

經驗總結 / Lessons Learned

- 針對可用性的攻擊稱為阻斷服務攻擊。
 - Availability attacks are called denial of service attacks.
- 攻擊者可以阻塞客戶端的流量（消費者問題），也可以癱瘓伺服器（生產者問題）。
 - An attacker can either block traffic from clients (the consumer problem) or flood the server (the producer problem).
- SYN Flooding 是一個經典的 DoS 攻擊，它利用了 TCP 協定設計中的狀態管理特性。
 - Syn flooding is a classic DoS attack that exploits the state management in the TCP protocol design.

小測試 / Quick Test

問題：一個線上遊戲伺服器最近遭受了大規模的 DDoS 攻擊，導致玩家無法登入。攻擊流量分析顯示，有數十萬個不同的 IP 地址向伺服器的登入端口發送大量的 TCP SYN 封包。請解釋這最可能是哪種類型的攻擊（根據 Gresty 的分類），並說明其攻擊原理。從防禦方來看，除了增加頻寬，有哪些更具體的技術手段可以緩解此類攻擊？

Question: An online game server recently suffered a large-scale DDoS attack, preventing players from logging in. Traffic analysis showed that hundreds of thousands of different IP addresses were sending a massive number of TCP SYN packets to the server's login port. Explain what type of attack this most likely is (according to Gresty's classification) and its principle. From a defender's perspective, what specific technical measures, beyond increasing bandwidth, can be taken to mitigate such an attack?

1. 攻擊分類與原理：

- 這屬於 **生產者問題** 的 DDoS 攻擊。
 - *This is a Producer Problem DDoS attack.*
- **原理**：攻擊者利用殭屍網路中的機器，偽造來源 IP，向遊戲伺服器發送海量的 TCP SYN 封包。伺服器為每個封包分配資源（半開連線表），並回傳 SYN-ACK。由於來源 IP 是偽造的，伺服器永遠收不到第三次的 ACK，導致半開連線表被迅速填滿，無法為合法玩家建立新的連線。
 - *Principle: The attacker uses bots to send a flood of TCP SYN packets with spoofed IPs. The server allocates resources for each, but never receives the final ACK, exhausting its connection table.*

2. 防禦手段：

- **部署專用 DDoS 緩解服務**：使用 Cloudflare、Akamai 等服務商。它們的全球網路可以吸收並過濾攻擊流量，只將清洗後的合法流量轉發到您的伺服器。
 - *Deploy a dedicated DDoS mitigation service (e.g., Cloudflare). Their global network can absorb and scrub attack traffic, forwarding only clean traffic.*
- **啟用 SYN Cookie**：在伺服器作業系統層面啟用此功能。這能讓伺服器在**不消耗記憶體資源**的情況下處理 SYN Flooding，從根本上解決半開連線表被佔用的問題。
 - *Enable SYN Cookies on the server OS. This allows the server to handle SYN floods without consuming memory resources.*
- **實施速率限制**：在路由器或防火牆上，對特定端口（如登入端口）的 SYN 封包來源 IP 進行速率限制，單一 IP 在短時間內發起過多連線則暫時封鎖。
 - *Implement Rate Limiting on routers/firewalls for SYN packets per source IP to a specific port.*

- 流量塑形與過濾：利用邊緣路由器丟棄明顯惡意的流量（例如來自已知殭屍網路 IP 區段的流量）。
 - Use **Traffic Shaping and Filtering** to drop obviously malicious traffic at the edge.

答案：這是一次典型的基於「生產者問題」的 DDoS 攻擊，具體表現為 SYN Flood。防禦不能僅靠增加頻寬，而應採用分層策略：前端使用雲端 DDoS 防護服務進行流量清洗，後端在伺服器上啟用 SYN Cookie 等技術性防禦機制，並在網路邊界實施速率限制和流量過濾，共同構建防禦體系。

Answer: This is a classic "Producer Problem" DDoS attack, specifically a SYN Flood. Defense cannot rely solely on bandwidth increase. A layered strategy should be adopted: using cloud DDoS protection services for traffic scrubbing upfront, enabling technical defenses like SYN Cookies on the backend servers, and implementing rate limiting and filtering at the network edge to build a comprehensive defense system.

中英雙語解釋 / Bilingual Explanation

阻擋洪水攻擊 / Blocking Flooding Attacks

- **過濾器與封包嗅探**：過濾器或封包嗅探器可以檢測請求流中的識別符模式，並阻擋符合該模式的訊息。
 - **Filter or Packet Sniffer:** A filter or packet sniffer can detect patterns of identifiers in the request stream and block messages in that pattern.
- **輸入過濾**：意指嗅探傳入的封包，並丟棄那些來源 IP 位址超出特定範圍的封包。
 - **Ingress Filtering:** Ingress filtering means sniffing incoming packets and discarding those with source IP addresses outside a given range.
 - **例子**：例如，丟棄那些已知不應從該網路介面到達的封包（如來自內部網路的封包，其來源 IP 却是外部位址）。
 - E.g., discarding packets with source IPs that are known **NOT** to be reachable via that interface (e.g., internal IPs from the outside).
- **核心挑戰**：一般來說，能夠區分攻擊模式與標準使用模式是一個非常困難的問題。
 - **Core Challenge:** In general, it is a very hard problem to be able to discriminate patterns of attack from patterns of standard usage.
- **過度防禦的風險**：一個過於激進的過濾器也會因為丟棄過多的合法請求，而導致另一種形式的阻斷服務。
 - **Risk of Over-Defense:** An overly aggressive filter also gives a type of denial of service by discarding too many legitimate requests.

防範 DoS 攻擊的傳統手段 / Protection from DoS Attacks - Traditional Means

防火牆 / Firewall

- **作用：**一個好的防火牆可以透過過濾非法請求來提供幫助。
 - *Role:* A good firewall can help by filtering out illegal requests.
- **局限性：**然而，典型的 DoS 洪水攻擊可能僅包含合法的請求（例如大量的 HTTP GET），使得傳統的基於規則的防火牆難以應對。
 - *Limitation:* However, a typical DoS flooding attack may comprise **only legal requests**, making rule-based firewalls less effective.

入侵檢測系統 / Intrusion Detection System (IDS)

- **作用：**入侵檢測系統可以分析流量模式，並對異常模式做出反應。
 - *Role:* An intrusion detection system (IDS) can analyze traffic patterns and react to anomalous patterns.
- **局限性：**
 - *Limitations:*
 - 通常情況下，除了請求的量之外，並沒有明顯的異常。
 - *Often there is nothing apparently wrong but the **volume** of requests.*
 - IDS 是在攻擊開始後才做出反應，屬於被動防禦。
 - *An IDS reacts **after the attack has begun.***

入侵預防系統 / Intrusion Prevention System (IPS)

- **作用：**入侵預防系統透過更積極地阻擋嘗試性的攻擊來試圖預防入侵。
 - *Role:* An intrusion prevention system (IPS) attempts to prevent intrusions by more aggressively blocking attempted attacks.
- **前提假設：**這假設攻擊流量可以被識別，這在複雜的 DDoS 攻擊中往往非常困難。
 - *Assumption:* This assumes that the **attacking traffic can be identified**, which is often challenging with sophisticated DDoS.
- **應用範圍：**IDS/IPS 不僅對 DoS 攻擊有用，對機密性和完整性攻擊也同樣有效。
 - *Scope:* IDS/IPS are useful for **confidentiality and integrity attacks**, not just DoS attacks.

潛在的 DDoS 解決方案提案 / Potential DDoS Solution Proposals

DDoS 攻擊發生在攻擊者掌控網路中的許多節點，並將它們作為殭屍程式來發動協調一致的生產者問題攻擊時。我們該如何反制？

A DDoS attack comes when an attacker takes over a number of nodes in a network and uses them as bots to launch a coordinated producer attack. How might you counter them?

1. 過度配置資源：配置過多的網路伺服器，使其無法被壓垮。
 - *Over-provisioning the network* — have too many servers to be overwhelmed.
 - 評語：成本高昂且不切實際，攻擊者總是可以發動更大規模的攻擊。
 - Comment: *Expensive and unworkable; attackers can always scale up.*
 2. 過濾攻擊封包：以某種方式將攻擊封包與正常封包區分開來。
 - *Filtering attack packets* — somehow distinguish the attack packets from regular packets.
 - 評語：在許多情況下可能無法實現，尤其是在攻擊使用合法協定的情況下。
 - Comment: *May not be possible, especially if the attack uses legitimate protocols.*
 3. 減慢處理速度：降低對所有請求的處理速度。
 - *Slow down processing* — disadvantages all requestors.
 - 評語：對所有請求者都不利，但也許會不成比例地對攻擊者造成更多不便（如果合法用戶更能容忍延遲）。
 - Comment: *Disadvantages all, but perhaps disproportionately disadvantages attackers (if legitimate users are more tolerant of delay).*
 4. 「發聲」解決方案：(Mike Walfish 提出) — 請求所有請求者發送更多的流量。
 - *Speak-up solution (Mike Walfish)* — request additional traffic from all requestors.
 - 評語：一個具代表性的研究提案，利用了攻擊者與合法用戶的資源差異。
 - Comment: *One of the representative research proposals that exploits resource differences.*
-

深度探討：「發聲」解決方案 / Deep Dive: The "Speak-up" Solution

- 核心假設：Walfish 的「發聲」解決方案假設攻擊者的殭屍程式已經用盡了它們的上傳頻寬。
 - *Core Assumption: Walfish's Speak-up solution assumes that the attacker's bots are already maxed out (in terms of upload bandwidth).*
- 運作原理：因此，這個解決方案透過提高整體流量，來改變合法請求與無效請求的比例。
 - *Mechanism: So this solution raises the proportion of valid to invalid requests by increasing the overall traffic volume from cooperative clients.*

背後的原理（摘自論文摘要）：

Rationale behind (excerpt from the abstract):

- 「發聲」：在資源允許的情況下，受攻擊的伺服器會鼓勵所有客戶端自動發送更高流量的資料。
 - *With speak-up, a victimized server encourages all clients, resources permitting, to automatically send higher volumes of traffic.*
 - 攻擊者困境：我們假設攻擊者已經使用了他們的大部分上傳頻寬，因此無法對這個「鼓勵」做出反應。
 - *We suppose that attackers are already using most of their upload bandwidth so cannot react to the encouragement.*
 - 合法用戶優勢：然而，好的客戶端通常有備用的上傳頻寬，因此可以透過急遽提高流量來回應伺服器的鼓勵。
 - *Good clients, however, have spare upload bandwidth so can react to the encouragement with drastically higher volumes of traffic.*
 - 預期結果：這種流量膨脹的預期結果是，好的客戶端擠占了壞的客戶端，從而獲得了伺服器資源中比之前大得多的份額。
 - *The intended outcome is that the good clients crowd out the bad ones, thereby capturing a much larger fraction of the server's resources than before.*
 - 實驗發現：我們在各種條件下進行實驗，發現「發聲」方案使伺服器將資源花費在一組客戶端上，大致與其總體上傳頻寬成比例，這正是預期的結果。
 - *We experiment under various conditions and find that speak-up causes the server to spend resources on a group of clients in rough proportion to their aggregate upload bandwidths, which is the intended result.*
-

經驗總結 / Lessons Learned

- 可用性攻擊難以抵禦，因為區分合法流量與非法流量非常困難。
 - *Availability attacks are difficult to counter because it is very hard to distinguish legitimate from illegitimate traffic.*
- 各種解決方案試圖透過阻擋傳入流量或檢測異常活動來應對。
 - *Various solutions attempt to block incoming traffic or to detect anomalous activity.*
- 沒有一勞永逸的解決方案，從傳統的過濾到創新的「發聲」策略，防禦方式需要根據攻擊特性動態調整。防禦的本質是一場關於資源（頻寬、計算能力）和策略的競賽。
 - *There is no silver bullet. From traditional filtering to innovative strategies like "Speak-up", defenses must be dynamically adjusted. The essence of defense is a contest of resources (bandwidth, compute) and strategy.*

