Kernel trick

Victor Kitov

v.v.kitov@yandex.ru

Yandex
School of Data Analysis.

Table of Contents

Making predictions

1. Solve dual task to find α_i^*, $i = 1, 2, ...N$

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \langle x_i, x_j \rangle \to \max_\alpha \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i = 0 \\ 0 \le \alpha_i \le C \end{cases}$$

2. Find optimal w_0:

$$w_0 = \frac{1}{n_{\tilde{SV}}} \left(\sum_{j \in \tilde{SV}} y_j - \sum_{j \in \tilde{SV}} \sum_{i \in SV} \alpha_i^* y_i \langle x_i, x_j \rangle \right)$$

3. Make prediction for new x:

$$\widehat{y} = \text{sign}[w^T x + w_0] = \text{sign}[\sum_{i \in SV} \alpha_i^* y_i \langle x_i, x \rangle + w_0]$$

Making predictions

1. Solve dual task to find α_i^*, $i = 1, 2, ...N$

$$\begin{cases} L_D = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \langle x_i, x_j \rangle \to \max_\alpha \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i = 0 \\ 0 \le \alpha_i \le C \end{cases}$$

2. Find optimal w_0:

$$w_0 = \frac{1}{n_{\tilde{SV}}} \left(\sum_{j \in \tilde{SV}} y_j - \sum_{j \in \tilde{SV}} \sum_{i \in SV} \alpha_i^* y_i \langle x_i, x_j \rangle \right)$$

3. Make prediction for new x:

$$\widehat{y} = \text{sign}[w^T x + w_0] = \text{sign}[\sum_{i \in SV} \alpha_i^* y_i \langle x_i, x \rangle + w_0]$$

- On all steps we don't need exact feature representations, only scalar products $\langle x, x' \rangle$!

Kernel trick generalization

1. Solve dual task to find α_i^*, $i = 1, 2, ...N$

$$\begin{cases} L_D = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j K(x_i, x_j) \to \max_\alpha \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i = 0 \\ 0 \le \alpha_i \le C \end{cases}$$

2. Find optimal w_0:

$$w_0 = \frac{1}{n_{\tilde{SV}}} \left(\sum_{j \in \tilde{SV}} y_j - \sum_{j \in \tilde{SV}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{SV}} \alpha_i^* y_i K(x_i, x_j) \right)$$

3. Make prediction for new x:

$$\widehat{y} = \text{sign}[w^T x + w_0] = \text{sign}[\sum_{i \in \mathcal{SV}} \alpha_i^* y_i K(x_i, x) + w_0]$$

- We replaced $\langle x, x' \rangle \to K(x, x')$ for $K(x, x') = \langle \phi(x), \phi(x') \rangle$ for some feature transformation $\phi(\cdot)$.

Kernel results









Kernel trick

Kernel trick

If solution depends from x_n only through pairwise scalar products $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle$ and $\langle x_i, x \rangle$, extend it by replacing $\langle x, z \rangle$ with Mercer kernel $K(x, z)$.

- $K(x, z)$ corresponds to ordinary scalar product $\langle \phi(x), \phi(z) \rangle$ after feature transformation $x \to \phi(x)$.
- Kernelizable algorithms: ridge regression, K-NN, K-means, PCA, SVM, ...
- Specific types of kernels:
  - $K(x, x') = K(x - x')$ - stationary kernels (invariant to translations)
  - $K(x, x') = K(\|x - x'\|)$ - radial basis functions

Illustration



Example of feature extension

Consider SVM with $\phi(x^1, x^2) = [x^1, x^2, (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2]$.

- So $K(x, z) = \langle x, z \rangle + \|x\|^2 \|z\|^2$.





Example video.

Kernel trick use cases

Kernel trick use cases:

- x not enough, need transformation $\phi(x)$ for better prediction.
- $\langle \phi(x), \phi(z) \rangle$ - long to compute, but $K(x,z)$ can be computed easily.
  - applies when $\phi(x)$ is high dimensional (polynomial kenrel) or infinite dimensional (rbf-kernel).
- cannot represent objects as fixed size vector, but natural scalar prodict (similarity function) $K(x,z)$ exists:
  - strings of different lengths ($K()$ depends on common substrings)
  - sets ($K()$ depends on sets intersection)
  - graphs ($K()$ depends on common subgraphs)
  - images of different sizes

Polynomial kernel[1]

- Example 1: let $D = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} K(x,z) &= (x^T z)^2 = (x_1 z_1 + x_2 z_2)^2 = \\ &= x_1^2 z_1^2 + x_2^2 z_2^2 + 2 x_1 z_1 x_2 z_2 \\ &= \phi^T(x)\phi(z) \end{aligned}$$

for $\phi(x) = (x_1^2, x_2^2, \sqrt{2} x_1 x_2)$

[1] What kind of feature transformation will correspond to $K(x,z) = (x^T z)^M$ for arbitrary M and D?

Polynomial kernel[2]

- Example 2: let $D = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} K(x,z) &= (1 + x^T z)^2 = (1 + x_1 z_1 + x_2 z_2)^2 = \\ &= 1 + x_1^2 z_1^2 + x_2^2 z_2^2 + 2x_1 z_1 + 2x_2 z_2 + 2x_1 z_1 x_2 z_2 \\ &= \phi^T(x)\phi(z) \end{aligned}$$

for $\phi(x) = (1,\ x_1^2,\ x_2^2,\ \sqrt{2}x_1,\ \sqrt{2}x_2,\ \sqrt{2}x_1 x_2)$

[2]What kind of feature transformation will correspond to $K(x,z) = (1 + x^T z)^M$ kernels for arbitrary M and D?

Kernel properties

Theorem (Mercer): Function $K(x, x')$ is a Mercer kernel is and only if

1. it is symmetric: $K(x, x') = K(x', x)$
2. it is non-negative definite:
   - definition 1: for every function $g : X \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_X \int_X K(x, x')g(x)g(x')dxdx' \geq 0$$

   - definition 2 (equivalent): for every finite set $x_1, x_2, ...x_M$ Gramm matrix $\{K(x_i, x_j)\}_{i,j=1}^M \succeq 0$ (p.s.d.)

Kernel construction

- Kernel learning - separate field of study.
- Hard to prove non-negative definitness of kernel in general.
- Kernels can be constructed from other kernels, for example from:
  1. scalar product $\langle x, z \rangle$
  2. constant $K(x, z) \equiv 1$
  3. $x^T A z$ for any $A \succ 0$[3]

[3] Prove that it is a Mercer kernel. You may use Choletsky decomposition.

Constructing kernels from other kernels

If $K_1(x,z)$, $K_2(x,z)$ are arbitrary kernels, $c > 0$ is a constant, $q(\cdot)$ is a polynomial with non-negative coefficients, $h(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$ are arbitrary functions $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}^M$ respectively, then these are valid kernels[4]:

1. $K(x,z) = cK_1(x,z)$
2. $K(x,z) = K_1(x,z)K_2(x,z)$
3. $K(x,z) = K_1(x,z) + K_2(x,z)$
4. $K(x,z) = K_1(\varphi(x),\varphi(z))$
5. $K(x,z) = h(x)K_1(x,z)h(z)$
6. $K(x,z) = e^{K_1(x,z)}$

[4] prove some of these statements

Commonly used kernels

Kernel	Mathematical form
linear	$\langle x, z \rangle$
polynomial	$(\alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \beta)^M$
RBF	$\exp(-\gamma \|x - z\|^2)$

- Parameter constraints: $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \gamma > 0, M = 1, 2, 3, ...$
- Linear kernel reduces to method in its original form ($\phi(x) \equiv x$).
- Polynomial kernel corresponds to extension of x with polynomial features of order $\leq d$.
  - direct scalar product takes $O\left(C^D_{M+D}\right)$
  - $K(x, z)$ takes $O(D)$
- Gaussian kernel corresponds to $\phi(x)$ mapping to infinite dimensional space!

Addition[5]

- Kernelization of distance:

[5] How can we calculate scalar product between normalized (unit norm) vectors $\phi(x)$ and $\phi(x')$?

Addition[5]

- Kernelization of distance:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x,z)^2 &= \langle\phi(x)-\phi(z),\phi(x)-\phi(z)\rangle \\ &= \langle\phi(x),\phi(x)\rangle+\langle\phi(z),\phi(z)\rangle-2\langle\phi(x),\phi(z)\rangle \\ &= K(x,x)+K(z,z)-2K(x,z)\end{aligned}$$

- So all distance based algorithms are kernelizable: K-NN, K-means? nearest centroid, PCA, etc.

[5] How can we calculate scalar product between normalized (unit norm) vectors $\phi(x)$ and $\phi(x')$?

Table of Contents

Kernel SVM prediction

Kernel SVM prediction for x:

$$\widehat{y}(x) = \text{sign}[w^T x + w_0] = \text{sign}[\sum_{i \in \mathcal{SV}} \alpha_i^* y_i K(x_i, x) + w_0]$$

- $\alpha_i^* = 0$ for non-informative vectors
- $\alpha_i^* = C$ for violating support vectors
- $\alpha_i^* \in [0, C]$ for boundary support vectors

Solution for kernels:

- $(\alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \beta)^M$
- $\exp(-\gamma \|x - z\|^2)$

Kernel SVM prediction

Kernel SVM prediction for x:

$$\widehat{y}(x) = \text{sign}[w^T x + w_0] = \text{sign}[\sum_{i \in \mathcal{SV}} \alpha_i^* y_i K(x_i, x) + w_0]$$

- $\alpha_i^* = 0$ for non-informative vectors
- $\alpha_i^* = C$ for violating support vectors
- $\alpha_i^* \in [0, C]$ for boundary support vectors

Solution for kernels:

- $(\alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \beta)^M$ - polynomial degree M boundary.
- $\exp(-\gamma \|x - z\|^2)$ - weighted Parzen window method among support vectors.

Linear kernel - variable C



Linear kernel - variable C



Linear kernel - variable C



Linear kernel - variable C



RBF kernel - variable γ



RBF kernel - variable γ



RBF kernel - variable γ



RBF kernel - variable γ



RBF kernel - variable C



RBF kernel - variable C



RBF kernel - variable C



Polynomial kernel - variable d



Polynomial kernel - variable d



Polynomial kernel - variable d



Polynomial kernel - variable d



Polynomial kernel - variable C



Polynomial kernel - variable C



Polynomial kernel - variable C



Summary

- Kernel trick applies when:
  - x not enough, need transformation $\phi(x)$ for better prediction.
  - $\langle \phi(x), \phi(z) \rangle$ is long to compute, $K(x,z)$ is fast to compute.
  - cannot represent objects as fixed size vector, but natural scalar prodict (similarity function) $K(x,z)$ exists.
- Kernelizable algorithms: SVM, ridge regression, K-NN, K-means, PCA and more.
- Mostly used kernels: polynomial, RBF.
- Mercer theorem: condition for $K(x,z)$ to be a kernel.
- Kernels can be constructed from other kernels.