

Alp Tuğrul Ağçalı

21801799

Section-2

Q1-) To determine whether the decomposition of R is lossless, we first need to find common attributes of R1 and R2. In our example common attribute is A.

a-) $F = \{A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow D, E \rightarrow D\}$

We see that $A \rightarrow C$ and $A \rightarrow D$. So, we can say that $A \rightarrow ACD$ by Union Rule and $ACD \neq R1 \parallel R2$. So, decomposition is not lossless.

b-) $F = \{A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow E\}$.

We see that $A \rightarrow D$ and $D \rightarrow E$. So, $A \rightarrow E$ by Transitivity Rule. $A \rightarrow ADE$ by Union Rule Rule. $ADE = R2$ so, this composition is lossless.

Q2-)

a-) By using Decomposition Rule if $A \rightarrow BC$ we can say that $A \rightarrow C$. And we know that $C \rightarrow E$. So, using Transitivity Rule $A \rightarrow E$ holds.

b-) We only have $B \rightarrow D$. So, $B \rightarrow E$ does not hold.

c-) R is not BCNF and initially all of the FDs violate BCNF.

1. ABCDE ($A \rightarrow BC$ violates)
2. ABC (BCNF) & ADE (BCNF Because, in $A \rightarrow BC$ FD, A is super key. ($A \rightarrow B$ by Decomposition and $B \rightarrow D$ by transitivity $A \rightarrow D$, $A \rightarrow C$ by Decomposition and $C \rightarrow E$ by transitivity $A \rightarrow E$))

Q3-)

a-) To find candidate key(s) we should try all functional dependencies. If we cannot find a candidate key, we should combine them to find minimal key.

Firstly, we should try the shortest possibilities.

(E)+ = EC ($E \rightarrow C$) (-)

(G)+ = GEC ($G \rightarrow E$), ($E \rightarrow C$) (-)

(AD)+ = ADFBC ($AD \rightarrow F$), ($DF \rightarrow BC$) (-)

(AE)+ = AEGC ($AE \rightarrow G$), ($E \rightarrow C$) (-)

(DF)+ = DFBC ($DF \rightarrow BC$) (-)

(ADE)+ = ABCDEFG ($AD \rightarrow F$), ($DF \rightarrow BC$), ($AE \rightarrow G$) (+)

(ADG)+ = ABCDEFG ($AD \rightarrow F$), ($DF \rightarrow BC$), ($G \rightarrow E$) (+)

(AEG)+ = AEGC ($E \rightarrow C$) (-)

$(DFE)^+ = BCDFE$ ($DF \rightarrow BC$) (-)

$(DFG)^+ = BCDFEG$ ($DF \rightarrow BC$), ($G \rightarrow E$) (-)

As you can see, only ADE and ADG have + near them, so they are candidate keys.

b-) We found that none of our FDs is a super key and none of them is trivial. So, R is not in BCNF.

C-) R is not BCNF, so we do not need to check the first two condition on 3NF. We only check that for $a \rightarrow b$ FD, each attribute x in b-a is part of candidate key.

$AD \rightarrow F$, F is not part of candidate key, so this FD violates 3NF.

Q4-)

a-) Firstly, there are no combinations in FDs.

1. B is extraneous in $AB \rightarrow C$ because without it we can imply by $A \rightarrow C$, $C \rightarrow DE$, $D \rightarrow BE$. Set is $\{A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow E, C \rightarrow DE, D \rightarrow BE\}$
2. If we remove E from $C \rightarrow DE$ we can imply E using $A \rightarrow E$. Set is $\{A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow E, C \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow BE\}$
3. We can remove $A \rightarrow E$ because it is not changing F+. Set is $\{A \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow BE\}$

b-) we know that A is the candidate key of R.

1. None of FDs are trivial.
2. Not all of FD's left side is super key.
3. C is not part of candidate key. (from FD $A \rightarrow C$).

So, R is not 3NF.

Decomposing 3NF:

1. $A \rightarrow C$: No schema contains A and C, $R_1 = AC$.
2. $A \rightarrow E$: No schema contains A and E, $R_2 = AE$.
3. $C \rightarrow DE$: No schema contains C and DE, $R_3 = CDE$.
4. R_1 and R_2 contains the candidate key Aa.
5. No schema contained by another.
6. R_1, R_2, R_3 are decompositions of R.

Q5-)

a-) Employee is not BCNF because, none of FDs are trivial. And except id, from, to other left sides are not super key.

Decomposing to BCNF:

1. id, name, from, to, section, email, address ($id \rightarrow name$ violates)
2. id, name (BCNF) id, from, to, section, email, address (BCNF because id, from, to is superkey and does not violate.)

b-) we know that $id \rightarrow name$ then $id \twoheadrightarrow name$ so R1 is 4NF.

R2 is not 4NF because $id \twoheadrightarrow email$ and $id \twoheadrightarrow address$ violates

1. $id, email$ (4NF) $id, from, to, section, address$ (NOT 4NF)
2. $id, email$ (4NF), $id, address$ (4NF) $id, from, to, section$ (is 4NF because $id, from, to \twoheadrightarrow section$ and $id, from, to$ is super key.)