

BLOCKCHAIN AS A DATABASE FOR STORING AND ANALYZING BGP
ANNOUNCEMENTS

BGP-Sentry

Extending Trust Coverage Beyond RPKI
Through Behavioral Assessment

Wayne State University

Introduction: The Problem

BGP lacks mandatory, global authentication.

- ▶ BGP route announcements are accepted without verification
- ▶ RPKI is the most widely accepted authentication mechanism
- ▶ However, only **37%** of ASes have RPKI enabled
- ▶ **63%** of Internet routing remains unverifiable

Real-World BGP Hijacking Attacks:

- ▶ YouTube (2008): Pakistan Telecom hijacked YouTube's prefix [1]
- ▶ MyEtherWallet (2018): \$150K+ stolen via BGP hijack [2]
- ▶ Amazon Route 53 (2018): Cryptocurrency theft via BGP hijack [3]

Motivation: Why Existing Solutions Fail

RPKI Limitations

- ▶ Limited coverage
- ▶ Policy barriers
- ▶ No audit trail
- ▶ Cannot assess non-RPKI

Prior Blockchain (Onboarding)

- ▶ Trust-based onboarding
- ▶ No identity verification
- ▶ Dishonest nodes can join
- ▶ Sybil vulnerability

Prior Blockchain (Consensus)

- ▶ Asymmetric observations
- ▶ Assumes symmetric views
- ▶ No scalable consensus
- ▶ No persistent intelligence

Key Insight: RPKI will never achieve 100% coverage.

We need to extend trust to the 63% outside RPKI.

Three Critical Challenges

1. Challenge 1: Incomplete RPKI Adoption

- ▶ Only 37% of ASes enforce RPKI
- ▶ Economic misalignment causes free-riding
- ▶ 63% of routing remains unverifiable

2. Challenge 2: No Authenticated Observers

- ▶ Blockchain validators are randomly selected
- ▶ May include malicious nodes
- ▶ Circular trust problem

3. Challenge 3: Limited Scalable Consensus

- ▶ BGP observations are asymmetric across vantage points
- ▶ Traditional consensus assumes symmetric views
- ▶ No persistent security intelligence

How We Address Each Challenge

Challenge 1: Incomplete RPKI Adoption

→ **Solution:** Expanding trust by monitoring non-RPKI ASes and assessing their behavior

Challenge 2: No Authenticated Observers

→ **Solution:** RPKI verification during onboarding secures the observer network

Challenge 3: Limited Scalable Consensus

→ **Solution:** Proof of Population consensus provides scalable agreement

Challenge → Solution → Contribution

#	Challenge	Solution	Contribution
1	Incomplete RPKI Adoption	Extended Coverage and Trust Propagation	Trust-based Routing for Non-RPKI ASes
2	No Authenticated Routing Observers	RPKI-Verified Observers with Incentives	Behavioral Monitoring and Observer Accountability
3	Limited Security Intelligence Consensus	Scalable PoP Consensus with Persistent Intelligence	Auditable Trust Rating via Post-Hoc Forensic Analysis

Our Solution: BGP-Sentry

A permissioned consortium blockchain that transforms RPKI-enabled ASes into distributed observers that monitor and rate non-RPKI ASes

RPKI Observer Network	Secure Onboarding	Proof of Population
Real-time detection <i>and</i> long-term post-hoc forensic analysis	RPKI-verified identity. Token rewards incentivize honesty.	Three-way voting: approve / no knowledge / reject. One RPKI node = one vote.

Scalable Nodes | **PoP** Consensus | **4** Attack Types | **P2P** Network

System Architecture

BGP Announcements (CAIDA dataset)



Attack Detection

Prefix Hijack · Subprefix Hijack · Bogon Injection · Route Flapping



RPKI Observer Network (PoP Consensus)



Trust Scoring



Blockchain Storage

Non-RPKI behavioral ratings

BGP blocks + verdict blocks



Post-Hoc Forensic Analysis

Post-Hoc Forensic Analysis

Why: Real-time detection catches attacks as they happen.

Post-hoc analysis *reconstructs the full history* from immutable blockchain records.

Who runs it

- ▶ Network operators (NOC teams)
- ▶ Security researchers / CERT teams
- ▶ Automated scheduled jobs (cron)
- ▶ Regulatory auditors

How it runs

- ▶ Queries the blockchain offline
- ▶ No live network access needed
- ▶ Reproducible from any chain replica

Three analysis modules:

1. Blockchain Forensics

Attacker profiling, prefix history, observer cross-reference, audit trail generation

2. Targeted Attack Analyzer

Single-witness patterns, consensus escalation detection, temporal clustering

3. Longitudinal Behavior Analysis

Trust score trajectories, rating drift, repeat offenders, BGPCoin economy health

Post-Hoc Analysis: Output

Output	Contents	Security Use Case
Forensic Audit Report	Attacker profiles, affected prefixes, observer coverage	Incident response evidence package
Escalation Detection	Chronological vote-count patterns per (prefix, AS)	Identify learning attackers before they succeed
Trust Trajectories	Per-AS rating history over time	Flag ASes drifting toward malicious behavior
Cross-Observer Correlation	Which observers detected the same attack independently	Measure detection coverage and consensus quality

Key property: All outputs are *reproducible* from blockchain data alone.
Any party with a chain replica can independently verify every conclusion.

Summary and Contributions

Key Contributions:

1. **Trust Expansion** — Extend RPKI trust to 63% non-participating ASes
2. **Authenticated Observers** — RPKI-verified onboarding eliminates Sybil attacks
3. **Proof of Population** — Novel consensus where each RPKI node = one vote
4. **Post-Hoc Forensic Audit** — Immutable blockchain enables offline attacker profiling, escalation detection, and reproducible audit trails
5. **Economic Incentives** — BGPCoin token rewards create accountability

Thank You — Questions?

Wayne State University

References |

- [1] RIPE NCC. *YouTube Hijacking: A RIPE NCC RIS Case Study*. RIPE NCC. 2008. URL: <https://www.ripe.net/publications/news/industry-developments/youtube-hijacking-a-ripe-ncc-ris-case-study>.
- [2] Cloudflare. *BGP leaks and cryptocurrencies*. Cloudflare Blog. MyEtherWallet BGP hijack, \$150K+ stolen. 2018. URL: <https://blog.cloudflare.com/bgp-leaks-and-crypto-currencies/>.
- [3] BGPSStream / Oracle. *Amazon Route 53 BGP Hijack*. BGPSStream. Cryptocurrency theft via BGP hijack of AWS Route 53 DNS. 2018.