Criteria	Explanation	1. Poor	2. Average	3. Good	4. Excellent
Organization of the report	The report is clearly organized into sections and subsections; all the section headings reflect the contents of that section.				
	Sections follow each other in a logical flow.				
	All the figures and tables have appropriate captions; they are explained and cited within the report.				
	Equations are numbered properly.				
	Citations or references are given to sources following IEEE style.				
Algorithm	The algorithm is clearly explained; pseudocode or flowchart is used.				
	Illustrations, images, equations are used to explain ideas.				
Results	All the required simulations are presented.				
	Simulation results are clearly displayed using tables and figures; units are used where necessary; figure axes are appropriately labelled.				
	Quantitative measures are used to compare the reconstructed images with the original.				
Discussion	The results corresponding to different simulation scenarios are appropriately compared and discussed.				
	Limitations or errors in the project are discussed.				
	Recommendations are given to correct errors in the code, if any.				
Writing	Only very few grammar and punctuation errors are present.				
	No part of this report is copied from another source, or another student's report.				
Code	The code is included as an appendix at the end of the report.				
	Variable names clearly reflect their function in the code.				
	There are explanations within the code where necessary.				
	GUI is prepared to run the code.				
	An appendix is given in the report to explain how to run the code.				