Solutions of problem 3, problem 6 of Problem Set 2

October 5, 2020

Problem 1. We find the *greatest common divisor (gcd)* of two positive integers, using divide-and-conquer.

a. Show that the following rule is true

$$\gcd(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}) = \begin{cases} 2 g c d(\mathfrak{a}/2,\mathfrak{b}/2) & \text{if } \mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b} \text{ are even} \\ g c d(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}/2) & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} \text{ is odd, and } \mathfrak{b} \text{ is even} \\ g c d((\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{b})/2,\mathfrak{b}) & \text{if } \mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b} \text{ are odd} \end{cases}$$

- b. Using part a, or otherwise, give an efficient divide-and-conquer algorithm for greatest common divisor.
- c. Compare the efficiency of your algorithm to Euclid's algorithm if $\mathfrak a$ and $\mathfrak b$ are $\mathfrak n$ -bit integers.
- **Solution 1.** a. Let $k = \gcd(a, b)$. Then we have a = ka', and b = kb', where k, a', b' are positive integers, k > 1, and a' and b' are co-prime. We consider all the cases:
 - (a) If a, b are even. The gcd k must be even in this case. We can write, a/2 = (k/2).a' and b/2 = (k/2).b'. Since a' and b' are co-prime and k/2 is an integer, it is clearly the gcd of a/2, b/2. Hence gcd(a, b) = 2gcd(a/2, b/2).
 - (b) If $\mathfrak a$ is odd and $\mathfrak b$ is even. The gcd k must be odd here since 2 is not a common factor. Hence $\mathfrak b'$ must be even since $\mathfrak b$ is even. We can write $\mathfrak a=k\mathfrak a'$ and $\mathfrak b/2=k(\mathfrak b'/2)$. Since $\mathfrak a',\mathfrak b'/2$ are co-prime, k is the gcd of $(\mathfrak a,\mathfrak b/2)$.
 - (c) If a, b are odd. (Assume $a \ge b$ w.l.o.g) The gcd k, and a', b' must be odd here. Also, if a', b' are co-prime, then so must

be a' - b', b', for if not they would have a common factor k' that is not 1. Then we would have b' = k'b'' and a' - b' = k'c' for some positive integers b'', c', which gives a' = k'(b'' + c'). This would imply that k' is a non trivial common factor to a', b', contradicting that a', b' are co-prime.

We can write (a - b)/2 = k(a' - b')/2, and b = kb'. (a' - b')/2 is an integer since a', b' are odd, and (a' - b')/2 and b are co-prime as observed, hence k is the gcd of (a - b)/2, b.

b. The algorithm is as follows:

```
gcd(a,b)
swap (a, b) if a < b;
if b == 0 then
| return a;
end
else if a, b are both even then
| \operatorname{return}(2\operatorname{gcd}(a/2,b/2));
end
else if a is even, b is odd then
| \operatorname{return}(\operatorname{gcd}(a/2,b));
end
else if a is odd, b is even then
| \operatorname{return}(\operatorname{gcd}(a, b/2));
end
else if a, b are odd then
| \operatorname{return}(\operatorname{gcd}((a-b)/2,b));
end
```

c. Let a, b be m, n bits each respectively. Let T(m+n) denote the time taken by algorithm to compute gcd of m, n bit numbers. In every case, at least one of either a or b is reduced by at least half, which means at least one bit is reduced in either a, or b (or both). Therefore we have:

$$T(m+n) \leqslant T(m+n-1) + cm + dn$$

(where c and d are constants). This can easily be evaluated as:

$$T(m+n) \leqslant T(m+n-2) + 2cn + 2dm$$

$$T(m+n) \leqslant T(m+n-3) + 3cn + 3dm$$

$$\vdots$$

$$T(m+n) \leqslant T(1) + (m+n-1)cn + (m+n-1)dn$$

The running time is therefore upper bounded by $\mathcal{O}(\max(m^2, n^2))$. (Skipping a formal induction proof since the recurrence is very simple). This is the same upper bound as we get in Euclid's algorithm.

Problem 2. A positive sequence is a finite sequence of positive integers. Sum of a sequence is the sum of all the elements in the sequence. We say that a sequence A can be embedded into another sequence B, if there exists a strictly increasing function $\phi:\{1,2,\ldots,|A|\}\to\{1,2,\ldots,|B|\}$, such that $\forall i\in\{1,2,\ldots,|A|\},A[i]\leqslant B[\phi(i)]$, where |S| denotes the length of the sequence S.

Given a positive integer n, construct a positive sequence U with sum $O(n \log n)$, such that all the positive sequences with sum n, can be embedded into U.

Solution 2. We define a function Seq(n) that recursively computes the solution sequence as follows:

```
Seq(n)
if n == 1 then
| \operatorname{return}([1, 1]);
end
S = \operatorname{Seq}(n/2);
Return(S.n.S); (. is concatenation)
```

Proof of correctness can be done using induction. Clearly for n = 1, [1, 1] is a trivial solution. Assume the function works correctly for n/2.

By induction hypothesis, the sum of sequence S is upper bounded by $c(n/2) \log n/2$, for some constant c. Then the sequence constructed for input n has sum bounded by $c(n/2) \log n/2 + n + c(n/2) \log n/2$, which is $\leq cn(\log n/2 + 1)$, and hence bounded by $cn \log n$ (the base for log is assumed to be 2).

To see that any sequence A of sum n can be embedded in S.n.S, we observe that in any sequence A of sum n, there exists an index $1 \le i < |A|$, such that $A[1] + ... A[i-1] \le n/2$ and $A[1] + ... A[i] \ge n/2$, which would imply that $A[i+1] ... A[|A|] \le n/2$. (We abuse notation and in the case when the index i is 1 or |A|, A[1] ... A[i-1] and A[i+1] ... A[|A|] respectively denote empty sets). Then using induction hypothesis, we embed the first part, A[1] ... A[i] in S, map A[i] to n, and embed the latter part A[i+1] ... A[|A|] in S.

Hence we can embed |A| in S.n.S and the sum of S.n.S is bounded by $c \log n$.