## LPCO Problem Set 4

March 27, 2021

**Problem 1.** An  $m \times n$  matrix A is said to be doubly stochastic if all entries are non-negative and if each row sum and column sum is 1. Show that for a doubly stochastic matrix, m = n. Show that every doubly stochastic matrix can be written as a convex combination of permutation matrices. (Hint: Look at the bipartite matching polytope)

**Problem 2.** Recall that for any graph G, the matching polytope is given by:

$$\sum_{e \ v} x_e \le 1, \ \forall v \in V$$
 
$$\sum_{e=(u,v),u,v \in U} x_e \le \lfloor \frac{1}{2} |U| \rfloor, \ \forall \ U \subset V, \ |U| \text{ odd}$$
 
$$x_e > 0, \ \forall e \in E$$

Write the dual LP for finding a max weight matching using the variables  $y_v$  for  $v \in V$  and  $z_U$  for  $U \subset V$  with |U| odd. Show that if the weight function is integral, then we can take y and z to be integral as well<sup>1</sup>. Further, we can also satisfy that the set  $\{U \mid z_U > 0\}$  is laminar<sup>2</sup>.

**Problem 3.** We try to find optimal strategies in a simple two-player card game. Suppose the game is played over m rounds, and each round has an associated payoff  $w_i \geq 0$ . Assume wlog that  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \ldots \geq w_m$ . Player 1 has a set  $F = \{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m\}$  of cards that she has drawn, and player 2 has a set  $G = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m\}$  of cards that he has drawn. There is a total order on all the cards  $(F \cup G)$  that says which card wins against which card. A pure strategy for player 1 is a function  $p:[m] \to F$  that says which card she plays in which round. Similarly, a pure strategy for player 2 is a function  $q:[m] \to G$  which says that he plays his card q(i) in round i. Given a pair of pure strategies (p,q) of both players, the payoff is given as follows:

In each round i, player 1 plays her card p(i) and player 2 plays his card q(i). If p(i) > q(i), then player 1 receives payoff  $w_i$  from this round. Else if q(i) > p(i), then player 2 receives payoff  $w_i$ . The total payoff for each player is the sum of payoffs over all the rounds. Suppose player 1 knows the strategy q of player 2 beforehand. Give an algorithm for player 1 to find her optimal strategy to obtain the highest payoff possible.

Instead of only pure strategies, each player can also have a probability  $(p_{ix})$  of playing card x in round i. Note that the matrix  $P=(p_{ix})$  is doubly stochastic since each card can only be used in one round, and only one card can be used in each round. If both players are allowed to use impure/mixed strategies, then give an LP that returns the optimal payoff for player 1. If the LP has exponentially many constraints, then also give a polynomial time separation oracle for it.

**Problem 4.** Obtain a 2-approximation algorithm for the minimum multicut problem on trees. Let G = (V, E) be a graph with non-negative capacities  $c_e \geq 0$  on each edge. Let  $\{(s_1, t_1), \ldots, (s_k t_k)\}$  be a specified set of pairs of vertices where  $s_i \neq t_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . A multicut is a set of edges whose removal separates each pair  $(s_i, t_i)$ . The minimum multicut problem asks for a minimum cost multicut, and we ask for a 2-approximation to the minimum multicut problem when the graph is a tree.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This shows that the matching polytope is totally dual integral.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A collection of sets is said to be laminar if for every pair of sets U, W in the collection, either  $U \cap W = \emptyset$  or one of them is contained in the other.

**Problem 5.** Give an f-approximation for the set cover problem. Given a ground set of elements  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ , some subsets of those elements  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m \subseteq E$ , and a non-negative weight  $w_j$  for each subset  $S_j$ , the set cover problem asks for a minimum-weight collection of subsets that covers the entirety of E: Find an  $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$  with minimum  $\sum_{j \in I} w_j$  satisfying  $\bigcup_{j \in I} S_j = E$ . We define f as the maximum number of subsets that a single element e belongs to. Concretely,  $f = \max_i |\{j : e_i \in S_j\}|$ .