MSc. Data Science

Linear Algebra and its applications - Midterm

SOLUTIONS

Time allowed: 3 hours

Total: 100 points

Instructions:

- Show all your work; writing only the answer will not carry any points.
- Any instances of copying will be severely dealt with.
- There is no partial marking for bonus points; you get all or none.
- 1. (5 points) Let $A = U\Sigma V^*$ be the SVD of an $m \times n$ matrix A of rank r. Define $\hat{A} = V\hat{\Sigma}U^*$, where $\hat{\Sigma} = diag(1/\sigma_1, \dots, 1/\sigma_r, 0, \dots, 0)$ (\hat{A} is called the pseudoinverse of A). Prove that if A is invertible, then \hat{A} is the inverse of A.

Answer: A invertible implies A must be square and A must be full rank. So m = n = r. Further,

$$A^{-1} = (U\Sigma V^*)^{-1} = V^{*-1}\Sigma^{-1}U^{-1} = V\hat{\Sigma}U^* = \hat{A},$$

since U and V are unitary and $\Sigma^{-1} = diag(1/\sigma_1, \dots, 1/\sigma_r)$ is the inverse of $\Sigma = diag(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$.

- 2. (25 points) Consider the matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 11 \\ -10 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$.
 - (a) Find an SVD $A = U\Sigma V^*$ of A.
 - (b) List the right singular vectors and left singular vectors of A.
 - (c) Calculate the pseudoinverse \hat{A} .
 - (d) What are the 1, 2, ∞ and Frobenius norms of A?
 - (e) Draw a labelled picture of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^2 and its image under A. What are the images of the unit vectors [-1,0] and [0,-1]?

Answer:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 11 \\ -10 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 10\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 5\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3/5 & 4/5 \\ 4/5 & 3/5 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (b) The right singular vectors are the columns of V and left singular vectors of A are the columns of U.
- (c) Since A is invertible, the pseudoinverse of A is same as the inverse of A, which is:

$$\frac{1}{100} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 5 & -11 \\ 10 & -2 \end{array} \right)$$

(d)
$$||A||_1 = 16; \quad ||A||_2 = 10\sqrt{2}; \quad ||A||_{\infty} = 15; \quad ||A||_F = 5\sqrt{10}.$$

- (e) The image of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^2 under A is an ellipse with the length of the semi-major axis being of $10\sqrt{2}$ and that of the semi-minor axis being $5\sqrt{2}$.
- 3. (10 points) Consider the following system of equations:

$$x + y = 2$$
$$x + 1.0001y = 2$$

- (i) Solve the linear system Ax = b associated to the above system of equations.
- (ii) Solve the linear system Ax = b', where $b' = (2, 2.0001)^t$
- (iii) Explain the variation in the solutions obtained in (i) and (ii) using $\kappa(A)$. Use the 1-norm for your calculations.

Answer:

- (i) x = 0, y = 2.
- (ii) x = y = 1.

(iii) Note that
$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{10^{-4}} \begin{pmatrix} 1.0001 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 10001 & -10000 \\ -10000 & 10000 \end{pmatrix}$$
, so that

$$\kappa_1(A) = ||A||_1 ||A^{-1}||_1 = (2.0001)(20001) \approx 40002.$$

The fact that the condition number of A is so large explains the large variation in the solutions even when there is such little perturbation in the data b.

- 4. (25 points) Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ (unless otherwise indicated). For each of the following statements, prove that it is true or give an example to show it is false.
 - (a) The rank of a square matrix A is the number of non-zero singular values of A.

Answer: TRUE.

Let $A = U\Sigma V^*$, then $AV = U\Sigma$ i.e. $Av_i = \sigma_i u_i$. The right singular vectors $\{v_i\}$ form an orthonormal basis of the domain, hence the range space of A is spanned by $\{Av_i\} = \{\sigma_i u_i\}$ where $\sigma_i \neq 0$. Hence rank(A) = dimension of the range space of A = number of non-zero singular values of A.

(b) If all the eigenvalues of A are zero, then A=0.

Answer: FALSE. Eg. the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a nonzero matrix having all eigenvalues zero. Nilpotent matrices have all eigenvalues zero.

(c) If A is hermitian and λ is an eigenvalue of A, then $|\lambda|$ is a singular value of A.

Answer: TRUE.

Let $Av = \lambda v$, then $A^*Av = A^2v = \lambda^2 v$ implies λ^2 is an eigenvalue of A^*A , i.e. $|\lambda|$ is a singular value of A.

(d) If A is diagonalizable and all its eigenvalues are equal, then A is diagonal.

Answer: TRUE.

Suppose $A = P^{-1}\Lambda P$ is the eigenvalue decomposition of A. If all eigenvalues of A are equal (to λ , say) then $\Lambda = \lambda I$ so that $A = P^{-1}\Lambda P = P^{-1}\lambda IP = \lambda IP = \lambda I$. Hence A is diagonal.

(e) Two square matrices A and B are unitarily equivalent (i.e. there exists a unitary matrix Q such that $A = QBQ^*$) iff they have the same singular values.

Answer: FALSE.

Let $A = U_1 \Sigma_1 V_1^*$ and $B = U_2 \Sigma_2 V_2^*$ be SVDs.

$$A = QBQ^* \implies A = Q(U_2\Sigma_2V_2^*)Q^*$$

$$\implies A = U\Sigma_2V^* \text{ where } U = QU_2, \ V = QV_2$$

$$\implies U_1\Sigma_1V_1^* = U\Sigma_2V^*$$

$$\implies \Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2.$$

However, the converse is not true. Consider the matrices $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. They have the same singular values, namely 1,1 (check!), but they are not unitarily equivalent. (For if they were unitarily equivalent, the eigenspaces of A and B corresponding to the same eigenvalue (i.e. 1) would have to have the same dimension; but $\dim \ker(A - 1 \cdot I) = 2$, while $\dim \ker(B - 1 \cdot I) = 1$.)

(f) A zero pivot is encountered while applying Gaussian elimination without pivoting to A. So A must be singular.

Answer: FALSE.

The matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ has the first pivot as zero, but is invertible since $\det A = -1 \neq 0$.

5. (20 points)

- (a) Let A be a $m \times n$ matrix and B be a $n \times k$ matrix. Write a pseudocode for the matrix multiplication C = AB. What is the operation count for the matrix multiplication using your code?
- (b) Write a pseudocode for back substitution, i.e. solving the system of equations Ux = y, where U is a $m \times m$ upper triangular matrix. What is the operation count?
- (c) Let A be an invertible matrix. In solving the linear system $A^2u=b$, is it more advantageous (in terms of flops) to calculate the matrix A^2 or to solve the two linear systems Av=b and Au=v in turn making use of the same LU factorization?

Answer:

(a) Let $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}), C = (c_{ij}).$ Pseudocode:

for
$$i=1$$
 to m do
$$\begin{vmatrix}
\mathbf{for} \ j=1 \ to \ k \ \mathbf{do} \\
c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} b_{kj} \\
\mathbf{end} \\
\mathbf{end}
\end{vmatrix}$$

Operation count:

Number of flops required to calculate one entry of the product matrix = 2n - 1 (n multiplications and n - 1 additions).

Number of entries in the product matrix = $m \times k$.

Therefore, total number of flops required to multiply a $m \times n$ matrix with a $n \times k$ matrix equals

$$m \times k \times (2n-1)$$
.

(b) Suppose

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & \dots & u_{1m} \\ 0 & u_{22} & \dots & u_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & u_{mm} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{pmatrix}$$

Back Substitution:

$$x_{m} = \frac{y_{m}}{u_{mm}}$$

$$x_{m-1} = \frac{y_{m-1} - u_{m-1,m}x_{m}}{u_{m-1,m-1}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_{j} = \frac{y_{j} - \sum_{k=j+1}^{m} u_{jk}x_{k}}{u_{jj}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_{1} = \frac{y_{1} - \sum_{k=2}^{m} u_{1k}x_{k}}{u_{11}}$$

Pseudocode:

for
$$i=0$$
 to $m-1$ do
$$\begin{vmatrix} j=m-i \\ x_j = \left(y_j - \sum_{k=j+1}^m u_{jk} x_k\right) / u_{jj} \end{vmatrix}$$
end

Operation count: The i-th step in the loop requires (1 + 2(m - i)) floating point operations. So total number of flops required equals-

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} (1 + 2(m-i)) = m + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} (m-i) = m + 2\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} i = m + \frac{2(m-1)(m)}{2} = m^{2}.$$

(c) Solving $A^2u = b$ would involve:

i. calculating A^2 : $n^2(2n-1) = 2n^3 - n^2$ flops;

ii. LU factorization for A^2 : $\approx \frac{2n^3}{3}$ flops;

iii. solving (LU)u = b: involves solving Lx = b for x and then Uu = x for u, so a total of $2n^2$ flops.

Thus the operation count for this method would be $\approx (2n^3 - n^2 + \frac{2n^3}{3} + 2n^2) = \frac{8n^3}{3} + n^2$ flops.

On the other hand, the second method would involve:

i. LU factorization of A: $\approx \frac{2n^3}{3}$ flops;

ii. 2 forward and 2 back substitutions: $\approx 4n^2$ flops.

So the operation count would be $\approx \frac{2n^3}{3} + 4n^2$ flops, which is definitely cheaper than the first method.

- 6. (5 points) Consider an algorithm for computing the full SVD $U\Sigma V^*$ of a given matrix A. Let \tilde{U} , $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and \tilde{V} denote the computed matrices. Explain what it would mean for this algorithm to be:
 - (a) accurate;
 - (b) forward stable;
 - (c) backward stable.

Answer: The algorithm \tilde{f} takes data A and produces 3 matrices \tilde{U}, \tilde{V} and $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Let \tilde{A} denote the product $\tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{V}^*$.

(a) The algorithm would be said to be accurate if

$$\frac{||\tilde{A} - A||}{||A||} = O(\epsilon_{mach}).$$

(b) The algorithm would be said to be (forward) stable if

$$\frac{||\tilde{A} - A'||}{||A'||} = O(\epsilon_{mach}),$$

for some $A' = A + \delta A$ such that $\frac{||\delta A||}{||A||} = O(\epsilon_{mach})$.

(c) The algorithm would be said to be backward stable if

$$\tilde{A} = A'$$
,

for some $A' = A + \delta A$ such that $\frac{||\delta A||}{||A||} = O(\epsilon_{mach})$.

- 7. (5 points) Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 6 \\ 9 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$.
 - (a) Calculate the LU factorization of A in exact arithmetic.
 - (b) Calculate the LU factorization of A in base 10, precision 2, floating point arithmetic. Let these computed matrices be denoted by \tilde{L} , \tilde{U} .
 - (c) (5 points bonus) Write $\tilde{L}\tilde{U}$ as $A + \delta A$. What can you say about $\frac{||\delta A||}{||L|| \cdot ||U||}$? Can you draw conclusions about the stability of LU factorization in this case?

Answer:

(a) In exact arithmetic:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 7 & 6 \\ 9 & 8 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 9/7 & 1 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 7 & 6 \\ 0 & 2/7 \end{array}\right).$$

(b) In base 10, precision 2 floating point arithmetic:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 7 & 6 \\ 9 & 8 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 1.2 & 1 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 7 & 6 \\ 0 & 2.8 \times 10^{-1} \end{array}\right).$$

(c)
$$\tilde{L}\tilde{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 6 \\ 8.4 & 7.4 \end{pmatrix} = A + \delta A$$
, where $\delta A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -0.6 & -0.6 \end{pmatrix}$. So $||\delta A||_1 = 0.6$. Now $||L||_1 = 16/7, ||U||_1 = 7$, so that $||L||_1||U||_1 = 16$, so

$$\frac{||\delta A||}{||L||\cdot||U||} = \frac{0.6}{16} = 0.0375 < \frac{1}{20} = \epsilon_{mach},$$

which is as expected. The growth factor $\rho = \frac{\max|u_{ij}|}{\max|a_{ij}|} = \frac{7}{9} < 1$, so stability is expected.