

# **Classroom Quiz System**

Final Report for CS39440 Major Project

*Author:* Alexander Michael Levi Taylor (amt22@aber.ac.uk)

*Supervisor:* Mr. Chris Loftus (cwl@aber.ac.uk)

7th May 2017

Version: 1.9 (Release)

This report was submitted as partial fulfilment of a BSc degree in  
Computer Science (Inc Integrated Industrial And Professional  
Training) (G401)

Department of Computer Science  
Aberystwyth University  
Aberystwyth  
Ceredigion  
SY23 3DB  
Wales, UK

## **Declaration of originality**

I confirm that:

- This submission is my own work, except where clearly indicated.
- I understand that there are severe penalties for Unacceptable Academic Practice, which can lead to loss of marks or even the withholding of a degree.
- I have read the regulations on Unacceptable Academic Practice from the University's Academic Quality and Records Office (AQRO) and the relevant sections of the current Student Handbook of the Department of Computer Science.
- In submitting this work I understand and agree to abide by the University's regulations governing these issues.

Name .....Alexander Michael Levi Taylor.....

Date .....07/05/2017.....

## **Consent to share this work**

By including my name below, I hereby agree to this dissertation being made available to other students and academic staff of the Aberystwyth Computer Science Department.

Name .....Alexander Michael Levi Taylor.....

Date .....07/05/2017.....

## **Acknowledgements**

I'd like to thank my supervisor Chris Loftus for his continues support and advice throughout the project, and my second marker Laurence Tyler for his feedback from the mid project demonstration. In addition, I would like to thank Alun Jones, Stephen James and Max Atkins for helping set me up a server to use for hosting the project.

I am also grateful to Carly Jackson and Kerey Taylor for taking time out to proof read my dissertation.

# Abstract

The focus of this project was to create an application that can be used by lecturers to allow students to answer questions and display these results live in lectures. The project was based on a pre-existing application, called Qwizdom.

The system is a web based application built in Laravel, a PHP based framework. The system provides two main functions, the running of questions on their own, and streaming lecture slides with questions embedded within the slides to students. This system improves upon Qwizdom in several ways. It runs via a website rather than via a PowerPoint extension, meaning more lecturers can use it. Qwizdom also has security flaws, it allowed students to change the HTML of a the page and submit their own answers to be displayed by the lecturer.

Development followed an Extreme Programming approach, utilising a number of practices to help development. Stories were created and used as the functional requirements for the system, and stories were worked on individually within weekly iterations. Analysis, design, implementation and testing were done for each story rather than having an upfront design stage or end testing stage.

TODO: mention user testing when thats done

TODO: summary of eval when written?

# CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Background &amp; Objectives</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background . . . . .	1
1.1.1	Qwizdom . . . . .	1
1.1.2	Replacement . . . . .	1
1.2	Analysis . . . . .	1
1.2.1	Two parts . . . . .	1
1.2.2	Part 1 . . . . .	2
1.2.3	Part 2 . . . . .	2
1.3	Process . . . . .	4
1.3.1	Practices . . . . .	4
1.3.2	Stories - functional requirements . . . . .	4
1.4	Planning Game . . . . .	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Iterations</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Outline . . . . .	6
2.2	Tools used . . . . .	7
2.3	Iteration 0 16/02 - 22/02 . . . . .	9
2.3.1	Initial work . . . . .	9
2.4	Iteration 1 23/02 - 01/03 . . . . .	11
2.4.1	Story: Admins can create quizzes via the website . . . . .	11
2.4.2	Story: Admins can log into the backend . . . . .	12
2.4.3	Story: They are presented a list of their quizzes . . . . .	13
2.4.4	Non-story work . . . . .	14
2.4.5	Review and restrospective . . . . .	15
2.5	Iteration 2 02/03 - 08/03 . . . . .	16
2.5.1	Story: They can create a new quiz in the backend . . . . .	16
2.5.2	Story: They can edit an existing quiz they own . . . . .	18
2.5.3	Non-story work . . . . .	20
2.5.4	Review and restrospective . . . . .	21
2.6	Iteration 3 09/03 - 15/03 . . . . .	22
2.6.1	Story: Admins can login to the website and run a session with a quiz . . . . .	22
2.6.2	Non-story work . . . . .	23
2.6.3	Review and restrospective . . . . .	24
2.7	Iteration 4 16/03 - 22/03 . . . . .	25
2.7.1	Story: Admins can log into the website and run a session with a quiz . . . . .	25
2.7.2	Review and retrospective . . . . .	29
2.8	Iteration 5 23/03 - 29/04 . . . . .	30
2.8.1	Story: Admins can login to the backend and run a session with a quiz . . . . .	30
2.8.2	Non-story work . . . . .	32
2.8.3	Review and retrospective . . . . .	33
2.9	Iteration 6 30/03 - 05/04 . . . . .	34
2.9.1	Story: The Admin can show the results of the question in a sensible format e.g. graph . . . . .	34
2.9.2	Story: Users should not be able to submit their own answers by altering the HTML, as they did with Qwizom . . . . .	36

2.9.3	Story: The Admin can see what percentage of users connected to the session have answered . . . . .	37
2.9.4	Review and restrospective . . . . .	38
2.10	Iteration 7 06/04 - 12/04 . . . . .	39
2.10.1	Story: Site should be mobile responsive . . . . .	39
2.10.2	Part two re-design . . . . .	40
2.10.3	Story: The admin can upload these slides to a quiz they have created in the past . . . . .	41
2.10.4	Story: The admin can reorder the questions within the quiz to move them around the slides . . . . .	43
2.10.5	Story: When the quiz is run, it should render the slides as well as question in the order specified . . . . .	44
2.10.6	Review and restrospective . . . . .	45
2.11	Iteration 8 13/04 - 19/04 . . . . .	46
2.11.1	Report writing . . . . .	46
2.11.2	Review and retrospective . . . . .	47
2.12	Iteration 9 20/04 - 26/04 . . . . .	48
2.12.1	Report work . . . . .	48
2.12.2	Security testing . . . . .	48
2.12.3	Server setup . . . . .	48
2.12.4	Bug fixing . . . . .	48
2.12.5	Review and retrospective . . . . .	50
2.13	Iteration 10 27/04 - 03/05 . . . . .	51
2.13.1	Story: Admins can then save the results as csv or xml . . . . .	51
2.13.2	Extra implemenation based on feedback from admin user tester . . . . .	53
2.13.3	Bugs . . . . .	53
2.13.4	User testing . . . . .	54
2.13.5	Report writing . . . . .	54
2.13.6	Review and retrospective . . . . .	55
<b>3</b>	<b>Final design</b>	<b>56</b>
3.1	Overall architecture . . . . .	56
3.2	Database design . . . . .	56
<b>4</b>	<b>Testing</b>	<b>61</b>
4.1	Overview . . . . .	61
4.2	Application testing . . . . .	61
4.2.1	Limitations of dusk . . . . .	62
4.3	Security testing . . . . .	63
4.4	User testing . . . . .	64
4.5	Stress testing . . . . .	64
4.6	Automated testing . . . . .	64
<b>5</b>	<b>Evaluation</b>	<b>65</b>
5.1	Story/ requirements comparison . . . . .	65
5.2	Methodology . . . . .	66
5.3	Tools and technologies . . . . .	66
5.4	User testing evaluation . . . . .	67

5.5	Things to do differently and future work . . . . .	67
5.6	Summary . . . . .	68
<b>Appendices</b>		<b>69</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Third-Party Code and Libraries</b>	<b>70</b>
1.1	Laravel . . . . .	70
1.2	Laravel Dusk . . . . .	70
1.3	Bootstrap . . . . .	70
1.4	EchoJS . . . . .	70
1.5	Pusher . . . . .	70
1.6	JQuery . . . . .	70
1.7	ChartJS . . . . .	70
<b>B</b>	<b>Ethics Submission</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Code Examples</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>User survey results</b>	<b>74</b>
4.1	Desktop . . . . .	74
4.2	Mobile . . . . .	74
4.3	Comments . . . . .	74
4.4	Lecturer results . . . . .	74
<b>E</b>	<b>User stories</b>	<b>75</b>
5.1	Initial user stories . . . . .	75
5.2	Final user stories . . . . .	77
<b>F</b>	<b>Maintenance guide</b>	<b>78</b>
6.1	Installing and running . . . . .	78
6.2	Useful commands . . . . .	78
6.3	Layout and MVC . . . . .	78
6.4	Major components . . . . .	78
<b>Annotated Bibliography</b>		<b>79</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Part of the Trello board used for this project, showing a few iterations and the high level tasks within them. . . . .	7
2.2	Entity relationship digram for the initial database . . . . .	10
2.3	Use case diagram for the admin backend for login . . . . .	12
2.4	Use case diagram for the admin backend including show quizzes . . . . .	13
2.5	Use case diagram for the admin backend with create quiz functionality . . . . .	16
2.6	Use case diagram for the admin backend with edit quiz functionality . . . . .	19
2.7	Design for a quiz on a desktop . . . . .	26
2.8	Design for a quiz on a mobile device . . . . .	26
2.9	Use case diagram with the quiz control functionality. Omitted backend extends and includes to make more readable. See previous iterations for more complete backend use case. . . . .	27
2.10	Use case for front end now including what students can do . . . . .	30
2.11	Use case for the users page . . . . .	32
2.12	Use case for front end now including show results . . . . .	34
2.13	Use case for quiz creation featuring moving questions and the add slides function. See Iteration 2 for more detailed use case diagram of the rest of the backend. . . .	41
3.1	Use case for backend of system . . . . .	57
3.2	Use case for frontend of system . . . . .	58
3.3	Entity relationship digram for final database . . . . .	59



# Chapter 1

## Background & Objectives

### 1.1 Background

#### 1.1.1 Qwizdom

Currently lecturers at Aberystwyth University have the option to use a service called Qwizdom [1] which allows lecturers to embed quiz questions into their slideshow presentations. During a lecture, students can join a session through an online portal and have the slides and questions streamed through to their devices. Quiz questions can then be answered by the students and their results can be displayed live by the lecturer, who can also save these results for later analysis.

#### 1.1.2 Replacement

A replacement system was wanted to fix some of the problems that Qwizdom has. Primarily that the university only has one session key, which means only one session can be used by all lecturers at any one time, leading to some clashes. With a new system, there would be no limit to the number of sessions that can be run. Other problems with Qwizdom include:

- Maximum of 6 answers
- Students can submit their own answers by changing the HTML on the page
- Creating quizzes is tied into Microsoft PowerPoint which not all lecturers use
- Ageing interface on the student end

### 1.2 Analysis

#### 1.2.1 Two parts

It was decided that the project would be split into two main parts, the first was to create an application that lecturers can run a simple quiz from without any slides. The second part involves developing an application or extension to the first part that allows the lecturer to create the quiz as

part of a set of slides. The slide the lecturer is viewing would then be displayed in the quiz session on the web where students can access it. Once a relevant quiz slide appears, the students will be given the quiz options to select an answer in the same way as the first part. The lecturer can then display the results in the same way, though it would not be via the web application as before. This part of the project behaves in much the same way as Qwizdom. The reason for having two parts is that the first would be mostly built as part of the development for the second part but if built as a standalone part it allows lecturers to create quizzes rather than a set of slides with quizzes within it. Features like the session joining, front-end view for students and the way answers are submitted would be used in the second part, which means only creating quizzes would need to be added for the first part for it to be stand alone. Additionally, the second part had the potential to be much larger in scope than originally anticipated and as such having the first part to extend in a different direction should the second part become unviable would be provide a safety net.

## **1.2.2 Part 1**

A web based approach was chosen for the first part. This application would allow lecturers to log in to an admin panel and from there create and run quizzes for the students. This would also lay the groundwork for the second part, setting up the front end for students, how sessions are run and how answering questions worked.

### **1.2.2.1 Framework**

PHP was the language selected due to two primary reasons. The first is that the developer was familiar with PHP after using it in an industrial job. The second is that a large number of University projects are PHP based which will make integrating this with the University easier in the future. Whilst Ruby or Javascript might be applicable, the familiarity and ability to extend PHP made it the best choice.

### **1.2.2.2 Laravel**

Laravel is a web framework written in PHP, and has been chosen for the web server part of this project [2]. There are a number of reasons for choosing Laravel in this project over other available frameworks. The first and most important is familiarity with Laravel as the developer has used it in the past, and the main framework used in their year in industry was based on Laravel.

Laravel is also the most popular PHP framework available [3], which means there is an enormous amount of support available in the form of user forums, video guides and its own tag on Stack Overflow.

Laravel 5 also natively supports WebSockets, a technology that was being considered for the first part of the project. Other features of Laravel is its conformance to PSR-2, a PHP coding standard, meaning it would be easier to follow good coding standards more easily.

## **1.2.3 Part 2**

The second part required more extensive research to be done when it was reached in development. But the main suggestion was to create a Microsoft PowerPoint extension much like Qwizdom

to create slides embedded with questions [4]. Due to the size of such an undertaking, another potential solution was to create the web application in such a way that an extension could be worked on in the future, i.e. set up the system for future extensions.

## 1.3 Process

The methodology chosen for this project was an Extreme Programming [5] (XP) based approach. One of the core advantages of using an XP based approach is the ability to adapt to change. The second part of this project, implementing a method to stream slides to students, was much more open to change than the first part where the scope was far more understood. If another process such as Feature Driven Development was used, it would work for the first part of the project as all the requirements and features are known but most likely would be a struggle to use in the second part. XP gives the flexibility needed to complete the second part of the project whilst also allowing the first part to be done with ease.

### 1.3.1 Practices

XP does not enforce the use of any single practice and as such a number of practices were selected for the project that work in a single developer project:

- Test Driven Development - Writing tests before coding any of the application logic helps to enhance both the design and also mean tests should actually be written rather than left until the end and "hacked" in.
- Coding Standards - Keeping to strict coding standards helps ensure the code is good quality and easy to extend in the future as this project may well be.
- Small Releases - Small releases help enforce releases bit of working code regularly and results in a better overall project if the sub parts are all working.
- On Site Customer - This practice is somewhat applicable, the developer can act as a customer, and the project supervisor can also somewhat act as a customer, due to them being the originator of the idea and also a lecturer, one of the main users of this application.
- Merciless Refactoring - Refactoring is already encouraged by using TDD, but it can also be used at other points in the project to ensure the code is structured sensibly.
- Planning Game - This can be adapted to be done by one person at the start of the project, the list of stories was written and then iterations and releases planned out.
- Continuous Integration - Some online tools can be used to provide an CI workflow, to run tests continually with the constant small releases.

On the flip side, a number of practices were less appropriate and had to be dropped, such as Pair Programming and Collective Code Ownership, due to there only being one developer.

### 1.3.2 Stories - functional requirements

Before any development could start, an initial list of functional requirements was needed. For an XP based project, these would be in the form of "stories". The stories have a difficulty ranking associated with each item in relation to the other stories. 1 would be the easiest and 10 the hardest. See appendix 5.1 for the initial list of user stories.

## 1.4 Planning Game

A plan was created early in development:

- 10 iterations beginning on Thursdays remaining from when the original plan was made (22/02/2017)
- Leave 2 iterations for Report Writing and emergency bug fixing at the end, from iterations beginning 20/04/2017
- 8 iterations between planning and iteration 8, these to be devoted to majority of coding
- 3 iterations before mid-project demonstration from initial planning

It was decided that it would be beneficial to try and get the majority of coding done within the 8 iterations specified, this would give the final two iterations breathing room to put together the final document more formally and give some bug fixing time and cleaning up time. In terms of releases, the aim was to have sets of features that would be releasable at the end of every iteration, though no planning of which features being released when was made.

## Chapter 2

# Iterations


Iterations were used during the development of this project, each iteration typically consisted of at least one story and often included non story based work. However, due to the iteration process, each story consisted of analysis, design, implementation and testing. Therefore, each of these bits of work are given within the iteration they were completed in, negating the need for an initial design section. This chapter also acts more like a diary as it documents what happened in each iteration.

### 2.1 Outline

TODO: could this be past tense instead?

1. Iteration 0 mostly involves the setting up of the tools to be used in the project, some research and the creation of an initial database design.
2. Iteration 1 is concerned with the implementation of the database design and setting up the initial admin area with a list of quizzes owned by the admin who logged in.
3. Iterations 2 focusses on the creation of quizzes by admin users.
4. Iterations 3, 4 and 5 involves the implementation of running sessions.
5. Iteration 6 focusses on the submission of answers and displaying results. It also deals with the security issue from Qwizdom.
6. Iteration 7 involves making the application mobile responsive, the part two redesign which led to implementation of the way slideshows could be run on the application.
7. Iteration 8 is only concerned with report writing.
8. Iteration 9 contains security testing, more report work, the server setup , and bug fixing.
9. Iteration 10 is the last iteration and followed the final bits of report writing, the user testing, and the implementation of downloading results and various bug fixes.

Figure 2.1: Part of the Trello board used for this project, showing a few iterations and the high level tasks within them.



## 2.2 Tools used

There were a number of tools used throughout development that do not fit under a single iteration. The main three were a Wordpress diary, a Trello board and Git.

The diary was used to keep track of the major pieces of development done each week and any questions/ issues that needed to be raised during supervisor meetings. This was a simple Wordpress site that was set up several years ago and repurposed for the use in this project.

Trello is a project management tool that allows users to create a "board" for a project that then allows the creation of cards that tasks can be added to [6]. Feature tracking is a key part of the system as tasks and cards can be marked as complete, Trello suits XP based approaches as cards can be created and edited on the fly for each iteration. For this project, a card was created for each iteration, with a list of stories and tasks to be completed within that iteration. Once a task was completed, it was checked as such giving an easy to read percentage completion for the story. See 2.1 below for an example of the board used in this project. TODO: move this image onto correct page

Git was used extensively during development, primarily for version control but also a form of backup and as an easy way to deploy the system. Version control is very important as it allows tracking changes to the project and if a mistake it made, can be used to undo these mistakes. It also allows branching out bits of functionality, so developing features can be done separate from the rest of the project and once working, merged back in. Github was used as the repository service due to it being free and easy to use [7].

Laravel comes with a custom Command Line Interface, CLI, called Artisan [8]. Artisan is used to run the majority of commands needed in Laravel, from the migrations to the creation of controllers. An example would be *php artisan migrate* which migrates the database. It is always prefixed with "php".

The last main tool used was Microsoft Visio [9] which was used to create the majority of diagrams in the design sections of the report.



## **2.3 Iteration 0 16/02 - 22/02**

### **2.3.1 Initial work**

This iteration did not consist of any development, that begins in iteration 1. It consisted mainly of research and some design work. But most importantly it included writing the stories for the project.

Other smaller bits of work involved setting up a diary, a Trello board for documenting all the work and setting up the initial Git repository along with linking it to Github.

#### **2.3.1.1 Research**

Research was focussed on several areas, and some documents were produced from this research. The first area of research was on the frameworks available, after which Laravel was selected. Hosting options were also explored and a document discussing both of these was produced.

Some additional research was also completed on the Microsoft PowerPoint Add-In for the second part of the project. This was mainly to get a sense of the amount of work involved, and what language/ environments would be needed. This research did not go into much depth as further research will be undertaken when that part of the work is reached.


#### **2.3.1.2 Design**

The design work completed was a database design. This will be needed throughout the whole project so makes sense to write now, though it may be subject to significant changes. Figure 2.2 is the ER diagram.

#### **2.3.1.3 Stories**

A list of stories was produced and written up in a separate document. These stories will be the basis of the project and act as the functional requirements for the evaluation at the end of development (appendix 5.1).

Figure 2.2: Entity relationship diagram for the initial database



## **2.4 Iteration 1 23/02 - 01/03**


### **2.4.1 Story: Admins can create quizzes via the website**

#### **2.4.1.1 Analysis - breakdown of tasks**

This story is quite large and should be broken down into several substories:

- (3) Admins can log into a backend
- (2) They are presented a list of their quizzes
- (5) They can create a new quiz in the backend
- (3) They can edit an existing quiz they own

Figure 2.3: Use case diagram for the admin backend for login



## 2.4.2 Story: Admins can log into the backend

### 2.4.2.1 Analysis - breakdown of tasks

- Create users table
- Add login page
- Add register page

### 2.4.2.2 Design

A use case diagram was produced to show the actions a lecturer needs for logging in 2.3


### 2.4.2.3 Implementation

Implementing this was far easier than originally anticipated, Laravel comes with the needed tables out of the box and has a command to run that sets up simple auth for users: `php artisan make:auth`

### 2.4.2.4 Testing

Because the login and auth is handled by Laravel by default, testing it was deemed to not be a priority. However, three simple tests were written to ensure it never breaks due to future changes.

Figure 2.4: Use case diagram for the admin backend including show quizzes



### 2.4.3 Story: They are presented a list of their quizzes

#### 2.4.3.1 Analysis - breakdown of tasks

- Make the homepage the QuizController rather than the HomeController
- Check and get the user who is logged in
- Display a list of quizzes for that user

#### 2.4.3.2 Design

The HomeController to be removed in this period of work and the QuizController used in its place.  
(TODO: Digitize UI mock) 2.4

#### 2.4.3.3 Implementation

Quite an easy amount of work, changing the controller was a simple change to the routes and then updating the quiz view file to use the same layout as the original home views. To get the user, a helper function is provided: `auth()->user()` which gets the user object. Obtaining the id from this is simple and then using an Eloquent ORM call it is easy to find all the quizzes owned by that user.

#### 2.4.3.4 Testing

The tests written here highlighted a problem with the testing framework. For each test a new migration is made within the test database, thereby wiping the data created within each test. An unintended side effect however is that every new user created starts at id=1 in the users table (a user has to be created for all these tests.) Chrome is used as the Remote Web Driver for running these application tests in and seems to remember that the user of id=1 logged in in the previous test, and therefore skips the auth step. This means the test order is messed up due to the test trying to log in even though it is already logged in. The solution to this is to create each new user in the next id record, whilst this is somewhat convoluted, it seems to work.

## 2.4.4 Non-story work

### 2.4.4.1 Database work

Some initial work that is needed for almost all the stories is having a working database set up to store the users, quizzes, questions etc. Seeing as the amount of work to setup all the tables and their relationships would not take long, it was decided that this could be done all at once at the start of the iteration.

While creating these tables it was possible to create the controllers needed within the application at the same time using: `php artisan make:model *name* -mc`

### 2.4.4.2 Seed data

Because of the amount of changes to the database that were being made, the tables were repeatedly wiped and seeding some data was needed. To do this some seeders were generated with `php artisan make: seed *name*`. These were created under `database/seeds/` and simply required creating new objects of the desired Model and adding the various fields as parameters of the objects. These objects are then saved to the database. These seeders can be run when a migration is called such that the data is replaced as soon as its lost.

### 2.4.4.3 Layout changes

The initial `make:auth` command created a default home page with a menu bar and some basic styling. This styling was created with Bootstrap [10] and looks quite nice so the basic styling has been kept. This layout was modified somewhat to add some menu options that persist across pages. This layout is then used by all the backend pages created by extending it.

## 2.4.5 Review and retrospective

### 2.4.5.1 Review

Completed stories:

1. (6) Admins can create quizzes via the website
2. (3) Admins can login to a backend
3. (2) They are presented a list of their quizzes

The first story was quite large so was split up, thus making it quite an easy task with no problems.

The second and third were not that challenging either, 2 in particular was made much easier thanks to the built in auth builder from Laravel. This means that the rating of 3 is wrong and it should be lower at a 1. Story 3 fits a difficulty of 2 as it provided no major problems, but it did give ample opportunity to learn Laravel much better. For example learning the MVC structure a bit more and how to use helper functions such as the one to get the currently authenticated user.


All implementation tasks were completed, but some documentation for story 2 and 3 was missed. That is to be completed at the start of the next iteration.

### 2.4.5.2 Retrospective

On the good side of things, breaking the stories up into substories and then those stories into sub-tasks is very useful for the project. It splits the functionality well allowing individual components to be built and showcased on the development site.

However, the documentation did not go well. A majority of the design, testing and implementation documentation was missed during the iteration. This was mostly due to overestimating the amount of time available to write this. In further iterations, more emphasis should be placed the documentation section of work.

Figure 2.5: Use case diagram for the admin backend with create quiz functionality



## 2.5 Iteration 2 02/03 - 08/03

### 2.5.1 Story: They can create a new quiz in the backend

#### 2.5.1.1 Analysis - breakdown of tasks

- Need quiz/new form to add name
- Add validation
- Redirect to quiz.show for new quiz
- Add a button for adding a question

#### 2.5.1.2 Design

The design is split into two parts, design for the quiz/new page and a design for the question/new page: (TODO: digitise images for these) Use case at this point 2.5

#### 2.5.1.3 Implementation

A create page was added for quizzes, this was linked to from the home page. This was mapped to `/quizzes/create` address. A simple form was added to this page with the name and description of the quiz. Questions would be added on an individual quiz page. The form sends the form as an HTTP POST request to the `/quizzes` page which then maps onto the 'Store' action in the Quiz



Controller. This action is used for server side validation and saving the data to the database using an Eloquent function. This Eloquent function also returns the id of the new quiz. The `user_id` is assigned to the quiz by simply getting the current user. Using this id, this Store function redirects to the newly created quiz.

On a quiz page, it displays the name and description and has a button to add any questions to the quiz. This button links to the questions/create page that functions in the same way as the quiz creation, albeit with the relevant fields in the form. The questions are assigned to the quiz by passing the quiz id to the question creation page in a GET variables via the url. This allows the question to be assigned to the quiz during its creation in the database and to be redirected back to the quiz page.

For validation there are two parts, one on the HTML form and the other handles server side. The HTML validation (client side) uses simple HTML 5 validation like the "required" attribute in the form inputs. Further frontend validation could be added utilising JavaScript but the HTML solution was far easier to implement, being the addition of one word and not several lines of code that have to be built and added to the correct pages. The server side complements this as client side validation can be circumnavigated by determined users.

The server side validation in Laravel is well supported and made as easy as possible to implement. The Store function used to save data from the POST request simply calls `$this->validate()` on the request data. Inside this validation function, various rules can be imposed on individual pieces of the request data such as simply requiring that is is filled or that it has to have a minimum length [11].

Something that Laravel enforces is the use of CSRF tokens to prevent cross site request forgery attacks on the site. A token is generated for the project during its creation, and this token must be placed in a hidden field within every form so that it can verify that the authenticated user is the one actually making the requests to the application [12].

#### 2.5.1.4 Testing

A problem encountered in this set of tests is the speed of testing. Running six tests takes about a minute. The reason for this is that the tests use DatabaseMigrations rather than DatabaseTransactions, meaning that the database is migrated for every test. Using transactions would reduce the time taken by only doing a migration at the start and then using a transaction for each test. Alternatively having a pre migrated test database on which you run transactions would work too, but this would mean any time you change your database, you would have to remember to migrate the test database. TODO: describe transactions vs migrations

After attempting to use the transactions it appears as though they are not usable within Dusk. This is because Dusk is running in another process and migrations is the only choice [13].

## 2.5.2 Story: They can edit an existing quiz they own

### 2.5.2.1 Analysis - breakdown of tasks

- There is an edit button on quizzes that links to quiz/edit
- You can edit the name of the quiz
- You can remove questions
- You can add questions
- You can edit the content of a question
- You can delete a quiz and its associated questions

### 2.5.2.2 Design

There was not much design for this stage as it only really adds an edit page which should look the same as the create pages in the above story except that the input fields are pre-filled with data that is being editing. Additionally a delete button should be added for quizzes and questions. (TODO: more design here) Figure 2.6

### 2.5.2.3 Implementation

Pre filling the edit page was done by getting the record in the database by the id and just setting the default values of the input fields. The main difference to the creation stage was that the form had to use the HTTP PATCH method rather than POST. This PATCH method is automatically routed to the update function in the controller [14]. Within this function, server side validation is performed and and Eloquent called to update the row in the database. It then redirects back to the quiz page for editing either a question or quiz.


Delete buttons were added to the quizzes and questions, these were not a simple <a >tag that linked to a delete page like the create and edit pages. This had to be a small form that sent an HTTP DELETE request along with an id to the /quizzes or /questions page. This was mapped to the destroy functions in the respective controllers which simply called an Eloquent function that removed the record. Due to the way the database is designed when a quiz or question is deleted, the linking row inside the quiz\_question table must also be deleted.

Another thing that had to be changed was to make the foreign key reference columns in the quizzes and questions table cascade delete on deletion of the record. This meant that they would delete the rows in the quiz\_question table that referenced the row being deleted. For a quiz deletion, this had to go further and also find the questions associated with the quiz and delete all of those, which used a simple Eloquent function to find them using the quiz\_question table before the rows in that table were deleted and then delete the questions using those ids.

### 2.5.2.4 Testing

Some simple tests written for editing and deleting quizzes and questions, no issues encountered.

Figure 2.6: Use case diagram for the admin backend with edit quiz functionality



### **2.5.3 Non-story work**

#### **2.5.3.1 Seeding**

Some more data needed to be seeded for this subsection of work, the question data. This involved creating some new Model Factories and using them in the seeders correctly. One issue was trying to create many questions for individual quizzes, but this was overcome using some very basic looping and calling the model factories in the right places.

#### **2.5.3.2 Quiz description**

It was decided that quizzes should probably have descriptions attached to them, in case the lecturer needs reminding of what it is in 6 months time. This involved creating a new migration and simply adding a column to the table.

#### **2.5.3.3 Travis setup**

Travis is a CI tool that can be used to run tests automatically on git pushes. Setting it up involved doing some spike work with another git project. It is really easy to set up, needing you to simply add a travis.yml file which specifies what Travis will do after a push. The project also has to be added from Github which is simple.

## 2.5.4 Review and retrospective

### 2.5.4.1 Review

1. (5) Admins can create a new quiz in the backend
2. (3) They can edit an existing quiz they own

The difficulties were about right, with the work taking about the expected amount. Some of the extra work here involved trying to set up Travis for continuous integration which could be used for running tests automatically was somewhat problematic but did not take up too much time. These stories were almost fully completed with the exception of some testing as described below.

### 2.5.4.2 Retrospective

The documentation stage went much better this week, even with the added responsibility of finishing documentation from the week before.

Unfortunately, testing took a hit this week, this is mainly due to the attempted use of test driven development. This practice was originally done to try and improve the code quality. However the nature of a web application and the use of a large framework is problematic for TDD. Trying to write tests for all the various parts of the web application is hard, and the framework also does a lot of work for you, so writing things like unit tests beforehand is hard. Application tests using Laravel Dusk are more appropriate but they test the story itself rather than underlying logic, which is less applicable to TDD.

To mitigate the lack of tests written in future, TDD will be dropped due to the above reasons.

## 2.6 Iteration 3 09/03 - 15/03

### 2.6.1 Story: Admins can login to the website and run a session with a quiz

#### 2.6.1.1 Analysis - breakdown of tasks

This story is quite large and whilst it will probably be split into a number of tasks, the first thing to do was some spike work on the way this system would work. One route is with WebSockets, a relatively new technology that allows the server to push data to the page quickly and easily. This would be nice solution as it is relatively future proof and seems like a better alternative to other solutions that involve a lot of JavaScript and forcing page changes on the users. TODO: add [https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/API/WebSockets\\_API](https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/API/WebSockets_API)

#### 2.6.1.2 Design

WebSockets were introduced into Laravel 5 and have become one of the defacto ways to update the front end in real time. Unlike in some other web frameworks such as Ruby on Rails the web sockets in Laravel requires some extra set up. In Rails the WebSockets can be run on the main web server that is used to run the site [15], in Laravel however another server has to be set up to run these. Laravel offers several different drivers for running the WebSockets, including a Redis server and a third party application called Pusher [16]. Pusher handles most of the work for you and requires little set up other than creating a free account [17].

#### 2.6.1.3 Implementation

Pusher was chosen due to its ease of use and due to its high recommendation rate within the Laravel community. A problem with Pusher is that due to it being a third party service, it is not free forever (it has a number of users limitation). However you could host your own Redis server to mitigate this cost. This means that the system had to be designed in a way that the driver for WebSockets could be changed with ease.

After configuring the spike work application to use Pusher, a simple Laravel Event was created to send a message to the Pusher. To test this event there were a couple methods implemented. The first was to simply register a route that triggers it when the page is visited. (TODO: show an example of an event call?)

Or with a custom made artisan command that can trigger the event: `php artisan quiz:send message`. A command is very useful for testing the event as it can be used without building a button on the front end to trigger it.

Two online guides were used to help write this section of the system due to WebSockets being a new technology [18] [19]. Though they were used to get the basic concepts working, the final code used within the project is tailored to the system and is therefore different overall.

#### 2.6.1.4 Testing

There was no testing as it was spike work.

## 2.6.2 Non-story work

### 2.6.2.1 Refactor controllers

The first major piece of work was to refactor the controllers and models into a far more sensible structure. The problem was that the Eloquent functions for modifying and reading from the database was within the controllers. In a full MVC system, this functionality should be inside the models. To fix this, the Eloquent functions were refactored into the respective quiz and question models. This would make it easier if anything ever needed to change within the logic for any database interactions.

### 2.6.2.2 Changing the DB structure

The original design was changed, and the `quiz_questions` table for linking quizzes and questions together was removed. The original reason for this table was most likely such that questions could be reused. However, after thinking about the potential for that to happen, and the issues that the structure was causing in the model logic it was decided that the `quiz_question` table was more of a hindrance than a help.

The questions table now simply has a `quiz_id` column that references the quiz it belongs to. Doing this means that the relationships between the two tables are much easier to define in the models, simply having a `belongsTo` and `hasMany` function in both that automatically return the necessary data. Thanks to the previous refactoring of model logic, changing this functionality was quite quick. Deleting rows in the database was also simpler now that there was no `quiz_questions` table, all the related questions when a quiz is deleted can be deleted at the same time using the `onDelete cascade` property in the database.

### 2.6.2.3 Front-end setup

This was the first time that any custom CSS was written and Laravel uses sass to generate its css. To build this SASS into CSS, and also to build any future JavaScript, Laravel Mix was needed to run builds for this code. For this, npm and node had to be installed so that they could run their Webpack build scripts. There were some issues trying to get the build scripts to run, even though it worked on fresh installs of Laravel, but eventually a Github issue was discovered that had some solutions. TODO <https://github.com/JeffreyWay/laravel-mix/issues/478>

### 2.6.2.4 Dusk and travis

The aim was to try and set up Laravel Dusk on Travis. Dusk can use a few different browser drivers for running the tests in, by default this is Chrome but Travis comes preconfigured with PhantomJS which is also supported by Dusk. It should be a simple swap in drivers and then to set Travis to run a PhantomJS server. Unfortunately this does not seem to work, and no reason can be found. A supposedly working copy was even cloned and that does not work for me therefore I have to conclude it is currently not working.

### **2.6.3 Review and restrospective**

#### **2.6.3.1 Review**

No actual story work was completed, however a large amount of non story work was completed. Additionally a large amount of spike work was completed for the story: Admins can login to the website and run a session with a quiz

There was a significant amount of refactoring in this iteration which was not too challenging. The most problematic bit was trying to get the database migrations done properly.

The spike work was particularly challenging this week because it was attempting to work with a new technology. It also involved the use of various parts of the Laravel framework not encountered before, like events and writing custom artisan commands.

#### **2.6.3.2 Retrospective**

The spike work was very useful for the overrall development of the application. Additionally the refactoring and restructuring of the database and testing has helped a lot with the future outlook of the application.

One bad thing was to do with the continuous integration on Travis. Laravel Dusk is a relatively new testing framework and Travis does not seem to work well with it. This means that the tests written cannot be run on Travis. It therefore makes CI somewhat pointless if the tests cannot be run and this will be left for now.



## 2.7 Iteration 4 16/03 - 22/03

### 2.7.1 Story: Admins can log into the website and run a session with a quiz

#### 2.7.1.1 Analysis - breakdown of tasks

After doing some spike work last week, this task can now be approached and broken into several sub tasks:

- Set up config for Pusher
- Add event for broadcasting
- Write a command to trigger this event
- Add a button to quizzes to trigger this event
- Display this on the front end using the JavaScript which listens for Pusher events
- Add a session key box to front page
- Add session id to users
- Allows admins to change their id
- When admin clicks run, this id can be entered into the key box to join a channel with the name of the id
- The user will be presented with the initial quiz page which will be default filled with the name and description of the quiz
- The admin will see the same page but with an "admin panel"
- This admin panel has a next and previous button for questions
- When these buttons are pressed, the question is sent to pusher
- These question are rendered as a form on the user end and admin end
- The user can submit the form

#### 2.7.1.2 Design

Mockups of the student end of the system:

Use case of the new system 2.9

Figure 2.7: Design for a quiz on a desktop




Figure 2.8: Design for a quiz on a mobile device





Figure 2.9: Use case diagram with the quiz control functionality. Omitted backend extends and includes to make more readable. See previous iterations for more complete backend use case.



### 2.7.1.3 Implementation

It began by configuring pusher, creating an event and writing some basic JavaScript to append to the front page. Some work was done on the admin panel as well, making it only visible to logged in users (the lecturer running the quiz), and adding the functionality for previous and next question buttons. These are buttons that send AJAX requests to quiz controller actions which then trigger the event for WebSockets with the appropriate question data.

Early into the iteration a major flaw with using the WebSockets came up, whilst new content was easy to add to the page, if a new user joined the session late, they would see a blank page/ or the original content of the page that has not yet been removed with JavaScript. To remedy this, the current position in the quiz should be kept track of and the PHP on the page should load the question specified at that position. At the same time, the WebSockets will be running and updating the page from that point onwards in the session. It was decided the best place for keeping track was within the session table, which now has appropriate columns for the position, quiz and if it is running.

Rendering the actual questions calls an action in the question controller, which takes the type of question and the quiz id and position. Using this data it renders one of several available views, one for each type of question like multiple choice or boolean. The views render a simple form showing the question, the possible answers as buttons and a submit button.

For a question to be rendered by default on page load as described above, the page includes the question by rendering the above view. However, it does not know the type of question to render, so it loops over the types and checks if the type of the question is equal to the ones defined earlier in the config file and renders the view if they are the same type. One problem with this is accessing the custom config file. The function to do this was inside the question controller and not the quiz controller.

Whilst the function could be copied, it was better to create one single helper function that is global to the application. This involved creating a helpers file and adding the function there and then registering the helper function in the composer.json file [20].

### 2.7.1.4 Testing

No testing as the story is not yet complete.

## **2.7.2 Review and retrospective**

### **2.7.2.1 Review**


Once again this week no actual story has been completed. This is due to the size of the story and also that some stories are a struggle to work on individually. It seems that the current work covers about six stories rather than just one. Something to not then is that once this piece of work is complete, the velocity will shoot up.

### **2.7.2.2 Retrospective**

The amount of work feels good, even if project velocity is 0. But as mentioned this is due to many stories being worked on simultaneously.

However, finishing stories and breaking them up into smaller bits of work that can be finished to give a velocity.

Figure 2.10: Use case for front end now including what students can do



## 2.8 Iteration 5 23/03 - 29/04

### 2.8.1 Story: Admins can login to the backend and run a session with a quiz

#### 2.8.1.1 Analysis - breakdown of tasks

Tasks left over from the previous week:

- Form on front page redirects to quiz
- Questions rendered as a form
- User can submit the form with their answers
- Questions need limiting on going above/ below max and min

#### 2.8.1.2 Design

The only new design for this story from the last iteration is to add to the use case for students on the frontend. 2.10

#### 2.8.1.3 Implementation

Users still had to connect to the quizzes from the welcome page. A problem with this is that the sessions are being run under the url /quiz/sessionb.name. This means that submitting a GET or

POST form will not work as the url cannot be specified, as the user enters the session key. A solution was to have an input field that took the session key and then used JavaScript to redirect to that session. An alternative solution would be to use a form that redirected to a controller action that then itself redirected to the correct session page. Whilst this method would work, having multiple redirections in one request is not good practice or nice for the users browser.

The JavaScript also allowed some client side checking to be done, but there also needed to be server side checks. A custom Middleware class was written to handle the checking of valid session keys. Middleware provide the functionality to filter incoming HTTP requests within controllers [21]. When the form was submitted, the Middleware function would check the database, and if the requested key was not in the database, would redirect back to the welcome page with an error. Else, it would allow the redirection to the quiz session.

When the page receives a message through the Web Sockets, it first removes the content of the page with JQuery. It then checks the position of the quiz, if it is zero, then it appends the quiz start data on to the page. This start data includes information about the quiz, like the name and description. If the position is not zero, then it will render the question at that position in the quiz.

To render a question, an Ajax request is made to a question page that will be populated with the appropriate data using the information from the Web Sockets as the variables for finding the correct question. The Ajax'ed page content is then appended on to the current page using more JQuery.

For the user to submit an answer, the form again had to use JavaScript. This was primarily to make the form user friendly and for different questions types. JS was used to add a class to the options and highlight the one that were chosen, be it one option in a multiple choice question or multiple answers on a multiple selection question. When the student hits submit, it gets the answers by selecting the options with the classes that are added when an option is selected. It then sends a POST request with Ajax to the quiz session page. The POST'ed data consisted of the answers given, in the form "answer\*" where the \* was the answer number as well as the question number. This data is not yet handled by the server.


An interesting bug with multiple selection questions was that when the answers were selected, to get just the answer value, the array of elements was iterated over and a new array with the answers is produced. However, due to using a JQuery array function to iterate over these, it created a JQuery collection, rather than a simple array. This collection was not accepted by the Ajax request and this collection has to be transformed into an array with a vanilla JS toArray() function.

The last part of development for this iteration involved adding some simple checks to the next and previous position functions to ensure they did not go below 0 or above the number of questions in the quiz.

#### 2.8.1.4 Testing

A number of tests were written for this large part of the system split into three main areas: admins running quizzes, students joining quizzes, and multiple sessions being run simulataneously. Some of tests used Dusks ability to create two browsers and imitate two users of the system, this was used to check WebSocket functionality. TODO: explain problems here?

Figure 2.11: Use case for the users page



## 2.8.2 Non-story work

### 2.8.2.1 User page

A large amount of work was done on the user pages, this was to ensure that admin could view and edit their details including changing their session keys. This work was rather simple and just involved creating the user blade pages, adding the various functions to the controller and model and adding a little bit of functionality to the authentication actions for registering a new user. Very similar work took several hours when the initial quiz pages were created, however with the experience from that work this only took an hour. Figure 2.11

### 2.8.2.2 JavaScript clean up

A lot of JavaScript that was being rendered on the page is not used and useless to the project, in fact the libraries are quite large and take up a significant amount of space. Removing these unused libraries, VueJs being the largest, frees up the JavaScript output file considerably, which will increase load times significantly.



### 2.8.3 Review and retrospective

#### 2.8.3.1 Review

This week seven stories have been completed:

1. (7) Admins can login to the website and run a session with a quiz
2. (8) Multiple different sessions can be run simultaneously
3. (3) The Admin specifies which question is being run by clicking next/prev question etc
4. (5) Sessions can be joined by users via the website
5. (3) Up to 300 users should be able to join and answer questions
6. (1) Users answer the question being displayed by the quiz
7. (2) Admins should be able to change their session key

The first six were completed at the end of three iterations of work, though the work was listed under the first story in that list, which means they some were undoubtedly finished before this iteration but not counted as being done. This was primarily because of the large amount of cross over between the stories but also that some stories are not easily made into tasks and act more as functional requirements, such as the story about 300 users.

In terms of difficulty, because of the way this worked on, breaking down difficulty is hard. However, the first one is relatively accurate, the second story is not however. Once one channel was established, creating a second was trivial. Story 3 also really stands out as this is where a lot of work went during the last iteration, rendering the question was a large amount of work and also involved changing the database structure alongside the html, php and javascript work.


Story 4 is also overestimated, joining a channel was relatively easy, so should probably be lowered to a difficulty of around 3. Number 5 is subjective, as Pusher the WebSocket service provider says it can support up to 100 users, though there has been no large scale test as of this moment. Story 6 should probably be increased as it was a bit more work than simply rendering a form, there is some javascript for submitting answers. The last story is quite accurate, even though it was a large amount of work, it was easy after having done a lot of similar work earlier in the project.

#### 2.8.3.2 Retrospective

The actual work went really well, with a lot of completed stories the project is in a much better place now.

Unfortunately breaking all the work into their respective stories was not done, and this could have been used to track progress much better. Also it seems as though a lot of the predicted difficulties for the stories were off, both above and below estimate.

Figure 2.12: Use case for front end now including show results



## 2.9 Iteration 6 30/03 - 05/04

### 2.9.1 Story: The Admin can show the results of the question in a sensible format e.g. graph

#### 2.9.1.1 Analysis - breakdown of tasks

- Save the data
- Ensure no users submit twice
- Read from that data in the admin panel
- Prettify this results tab

#### 2.9.1.2 Design

TODO: add initial design images here of the admin panel

#### 2.9.1.3 Implementation

There was quite a lot of work to do in this story, the first item in particular was the majority of work. At first it was decided that a simple database could be used to store all the responses however

it was soon realised that users could probably submit more than once if we don't associate users with a response. The best way to deal with users that don't login is to use the Laravel session cookie to identify them anonymously.

To store all these responses in a database would be quite a lot of data, rather than having say six rows for a question with six answers that just tallies up the answers the actual session name had to be stored with the answer given so it could be changed. This meant that now there would be a row per answer, equalling at worst case 300 rows per question. This was thought to be a bit drastic so an alternative was suggested: to use a CSV file with each row having the user and an answer, with each question being a separate csv file. These would be stored locally under a session folder in the public directory. These files would be created and destroyed during quiz cycle. This method would also be a good for the downloading of answers from another story.

Ultimately however, trying to write all this data to a csv was too troublesome to be worth it. If a user changed their answer then the entire csv had to be copied with one line changed, editing a single line is not possible with PHP. Therefore it was decided that going back to a database based method would be better, with the idea that at the end of a quiz, all the data would be deleted.

An answers table was created that specified the session, question, user and the answer given. The first three of those were used in a unique composite key to prevent multiple answers from the same users on the same questions in the same session. The model was then written to save this data when data was POST'ed to the /results url. Other functions include those to delete the data when a quiz was ended and various association functions.

To read this data on the front end, an AJAX request was set up from an admin panel button that GETs the same results url which calls an action to render the results data in a JSON format. The results are in a very basic format in a key-value pairs of answer, total number of selections.

This JSON data could then be used in the ChartJS library which was selected to render the results bar chart on the page [22]. The ChartJS library allows the creation of an object that can be attached to an HTML canvas tag. Within this object, the options and variables that make it up can be specified using JSON. The JSON data is split into two arrays of equal length, one of the keys and one of the values, they are then passed to the chart object and the results are rendered as a bar chart. This chart is then deleted if it already exists and rendered when the results button is pressed. This means every time it is pressed, the most up to date results will be displayed.

#### 2.9.1.4 Testing

Two areas were tested here, the first is that users can submit their answers and that they are saved correctly in the database, thus mostly involving normal unit testing on the database records rather than using Dusk.

The second area is the testing of results being shown on the lecturers view. Testing this is relatively hard as the results box is rendered using an iframe and canvas HTML tags. It seems that anything within these cannot be seen by the assertions within Laravel Dusk and therefore cannot be reliably tested. A single test was written to check whether or not the iframe was made visible when the results button was clicked.

## **2.9.2 Story: Users should not be able to submit their own answers by altering the HTML, as they did with Qwizom**

### **2.9.2.1 Analysis - breakdown of tasks**

Very few tasks as will be explained in the design subsection:

- Add check for empty arrays on frontend
- Add check for empty arrays on backend

### **2.9.2.2 Implementation**

Thanks to the design of the previous subsection, changing the data meant an empty key was sent if the user tried to change the data submitted to the server. This could be checked in either the JavaScript or PHP end, but it was decided both would be the best for maximum security.

### **2.9.2.3 Testing**

This is hard to test, as Dusk does not allow the changing of HTML on the page or the possibility to submit false data. Additionally, even if the data could be submitted the tests in the previous story indicate that the results cannot be read and therefore this story cannot be tested fully. User testing might be a good replacement for this.

### **2.9.3 Story: The Admin can see what percentage of users connected to the session have answered**

#### **2.9.3.1 Analysis - breakdown of tasks**

- Get total number of responses
- Post this number on the page as part of the results form

#### **2.9.3.2 Design**

This should appear above the results table, possibly as part of the title.

#### **2.9.3.3 Implementation**

After attempting to get a number of users connected to the channel it became clear that this number is not readily accessible for public channels. It can be obtained via the Pusher API but implementing that would mean locking some functionality down with Pusher and the long term plan is likely to involve changing to a Redis based WebSocket system. Therefore this number has just been changed to the total number of responses which should give a good idea to the lecturer of what percentage of users have responded. This was simply added to the title attribute of the chart.

#### **2.9.3.4 Testing**

Like with the above stories this iteration, this cannot be tested because this information is contained within the iframe that cannot be seen in Dusk tests.

## **2.9.4 Review and restrospective**

### **2.9.4.1 Review**

Three stories have been completed this week:

- The Admin can show the results of the question in a sensible format e.g. graph
- Users should not be able to submit their own answers by altering the HTML, as they did with Qwizom
- The Admin can see what percentage of users connected to the session have answered

TODO: assess these

### **2.9.4.2 Retrospective**

An XP approach really helped with the design stage of the results, as it changed a few times during this iteration. If this was being done in a more planned way, those changes might have been harder to implement.

## **2.10 Iteration 7 06/04 - 12/04**

### **2.10.1 Story: Site should be mobile responsive**

#### **2.10.1.1 Analysis - breakdown of tasks**

- Create standard media query sizes
- Create media query for quiz questions
- Media query for welcome page

#### **2.10.1.2 Design**

A quiz page should look like the design specified in iteration 4 (2.9).

#### **2.10.1.3 Implementation**

Finding the media query sizes was simple, Bootstrap recommends some default sizes for phones, tablets etc [23]. It was then a simple task of using the Chrome developer tools to view the page in mobile view and change the various sizes of buttons and titles in the CSS editor to be more mobile friendly.

#### **2.10.1.4 Testing**

This is not really possible to test with automated testing, but should be easy to test with users later in the project. Additionally once live the system could be tested with the Google Responsive Test site that gives a score for the usability of a site in mobile view.

### 2.10.2 Part two re-design

Originally planned to be an extension to Microsoft PowerPoint or a similar technology, such as Libre Office, this was determined to be a large amount of work (TODO: cite this). This meant an alternative solution had to be devised or some extra requirements had to be added to the system. An alternative solution was found rather than abandon the idea of having slides within the quizzes. Slideshow programs usually have the ability to render their slides as PDF, a format which is more heavily supported compared to a propriety format such as .pptx provided by Microsoft. If a PDF is uploaded to the application, PHP can be used to turn these PDF slides into images, which can then be rendered on the quiz pages.


This new approach means some changes to the original stories for the second part, here are the revised stories for this part:

- (1) The admin creates slides in their preferred editor and exports them as a PDF
- (4) The admin can upload these slides to a quiz they have created in the past
- (3) The admin can reorder the questions within the quiz to move them around the slides
- (2) When this quiz is run, it should render the slides as well as questions in the order specified

There are some disadvantages to this however. The main issues is that slide animations are not rendered as separate slides on the PDF. There are extensions for Microsoft PowerPoint that let the slides be rendered with animations occupying separate slides so as to provide a "fake" animation. Another problem is that the slides would have to be uploaded before a lecture as it can take a few minutes to render PDF slides as images. This could be argued as an advantage however, if lecturers upload their slides before a lecture they only need to log in to the application when then want to run them, no need to bother carrying the slides on a memory stick or saving them to their University storage.



Figure 2.13: Use case for quiz creation featuring moving questions and the add slides function. See Iteration 2 for more detailed use case diagram of the rest of the backend.



### 2.10.3 Story: The admin can upload these slides to a quiz they have created in the past

#### 2.10.3.1 Analysis - breakdown of tasks

- Upload pdf slides
- Convert these slides to images
- Save references to these slides in the database
- Show the slides on the Quiz.show page

#### 2.10.3.2 Design

See 2.13

#### 2.10.3.3 Implementation

To upload the slides, it was done with a standard HTML file input but the backend used techniques native to Laravel [24]. These images are then saved in the `/storage/app/public/slides` folder. Within this, they are organised into folders named after the session id and then quiz id within those.

These slides are then converted using a library that uses Imagick [25]. Whilst saving them, references are also saved to the database. This is so that the images can be referenced quickly later on when displaying an slide or question as database reading is quick. For this functionality, a new table for slides was added to the system, storing the file name, quiz it was associated with and a position.

The last task involved showing the slides and questions on the Quiz.show page. Seeing as the new story involved reordering them, simply rendering the slides after the questions would not do, it should render them in order of the position. The main problem is that there is no simple SQL query that can select two sets of results and then order them by a common column. The easier solution was to create a PHP function to create an array of all the items in the order wanted. (TODO: Cite this function in appendix, should I explain here or in the appendix?)

#### **2.10.3.4 Testing**

TODO

## **2.10.4 Story: The admin can reorder the questions within the quiz to move them around the slides**

### **2.10.4.1 Analysis - breakdown of tasks**

- Add buttons to reorder questions
- This button increases or decreases position of question
- It swaps the positions of two questions or a slide and question if required
- Add some limits to positioning like not going below 1

### **2.10.4.2 Design**

As a minor change to the system, no major design choices were made here. Simple Bootstrap icons can be used for the position changing arrows, which is the only front end change. See 2.13

### **2.10.4.3 Implementation**

Main functionality to add was the changing of positions. This was rather simple, adding a simple Route and buttons that triggered the actions associated with the new route. It was decided that the Route would be a POST request rather than GET as technically it is submitting some data, the direction and id of the question. The action it calls simply updates the position in the database after doing some checks of the position it wants to move to. If it is already occupied, it swaps the object at the new position with its current position. This object could be a question or slide.

### **2.10.4.4 Testing**

TODO

### **2.10.5 Story: When the quiz is run, it should render the slides as well as question in the order specified**

#### **2.10.5.1 Analysis - breakdown of tasks**

- Find the position in the database and decide whether its a slide or question
- Render a question like normal
- If a slide, render a simple img tag and populate with the image name from the WebSockets
- Resize image to fit the page, including for mobile responsive
- Render question by default for users joining late

#### **2.10.5.2 Design**

This should work in much the same way as the questions, in that it will send an Ajax request to a page with the image name and then copy the content of the ajax page into the live page. The content page to Ajax should be pretty simple, a simple container with an img tag. The other tasks are extensions to previously created functions.

#### **2.10.5.3 Implementation**

The first task is to determine what is at the position, this involved changing the current function to check if the question at the requested position was null, if it was then it should find a slide at the specified position. As well as the data about the slide or question, it would return a type so that when it was called the system knew what type of content it was to expect. The data was then sent to the WebSockets and another case was added to the JavaScript receiving end for triggering an AJAX call to a slide page. This page would render a simple img tag with the slide specified which is then added to the current page like the questions.

A problem with rendering the images was the files stored within the /storage folder are not publicly accessible, usually only files within the /public folder are. However, there is a simple artisan command, *php artisan storage:link*, that creates a symbolic link from the public folder to the storage/app/public folder. This means that the images can be accessed via /storage when specifying the image path.

#### **2.10.5.4 Testing**

TODO

## 2.10.6 Review and retrospective

### 2.10.6.1 Review

- (5) Site should be mobile responsive
- (4) The admin can upload these slides to a quiz they have created in the past
- (3) The admin can reorder the questions within the quiz to move them around the slides

The difficulties associated with the stories are relatively accurate, and due to more time being spent on this iteration than others before means they could all be completed, even though some earlier iterations had less stories with lesser difficulties completed. Most of the difficulties for these stories came from the first one, which involved a lot of CSS, something with which I am not that comfortable in writing. Story two also had some difficulty associated with saving files, however this was well documented online and in the end rather simple.

After doing this work, including the incomplete story, a design decision has been called into question. Instead of saving the position of slides and questions within their prospective tables, it might make sense to have a table for the position that then links to a relevant question or slide. It would make selecting all the data easier. This could be a potential future change.

Only one story was not fully completed: When the quiz is run, it should render the slides as well as question in the order specified. This is because only a tiny part is missing, which is that the images of slides it renders are somewhat out of position on the page, they just need some css and possible resizing before the story as a whole is finished.

### 2.10.6.2 Retrospective

This iteration really showcased the advantages of an iteration and story based workflow. The second part of the system needed a redesign due to the amount of time left and amount of work still required if the original idea was followed. Seeing as only some research had been done on the original idea, not much time has been lost moving over to the new design. This new design was also a lot smaller in scope, meaning that it was almost finished completed within the same iteration it was designed.

Something of note that is good is the previously written documentation. Due to a few of the stories in this iteration requiring changes to existing functions that were written a while ago I had somewhat forgotten how the system worked. Thanks to my PHPDoc and comments, it was easy to piece together how the system worked again.

Unfortunately, testing did not go that well, some was missed due to the rushing of development on the new design of part two.

## **2.11 Iteration 8 13/04 - 19/04**

### **2.11.1 Report writing**

The majority of this iteration was devoted to report writing. Whilst there is still some development to do, the report accounts for 30% of the final grade and needed to be worked on. There were some small changes to phpdoc and comments added to the code, but no actual functionality was changed. In terms of the report, the first chapter concerning the background had been added. All the iterations documents have also been added into the final report, though they will likely need changing before hand in. There are a lot of TODOs scattered throughout, mostly for citations but also some to check with the project supervisor about certain details. Finally, some content was fleshed out for the testing chapter, giving an overview and descriptions of the technologies.

**2.11.2 Review and retrospective**

Not applicable as no development took place.

## **2.12 Iteration 9 20/04 - 26/04**

### **2.12.1 Report work**

A lot of report work was completed this last week. This primarily focussed on trying to get through the TODOs scattered throughout the report. It also included adding most of the citations for the system that were noted down during development. The testing and design chapters were also fleshed out far more, and once all this was completed a draft was sent to the project supervisor for some feedback.

### **2.12.2 Security testing**

Five tests were written to test the security of the system. These tested SQL Injection and Cross Site Scripting (XSS) across the site. Whilst Laravel is supposed to handle much of this it should still be tested to ensure the security of the system. There are only two main places that these attacks can take place, the session search field on the front page of the application, and the question and quiz creation pages in the admin area. The tests proved that Laravel does indeed stop XSS and SQL injection by escaping the inputs. For further information see section 4.3.

### **2.12.3 Server setup**

There was some time spent on setting up the server for use in the user tests in the final iteration, the server was provided by the Department of Computer Science. The setup included moving the project onto the server via Git, setting up the MySQL server, and making the site visible to the internet with an htaccess. With this task, help was obtained from two fellow students Stephen James and Max Atkins, and also from the Computer Officer in IMPACS, Alun Jones. This was due to having little knowledge in the area of server setup.

### **2.12.4 Bug fixing**

There was a significant amount of bug fixing in this iteration. The main two were fixing the CSS of the slides in quizzes and multiple selection questions not submitting correctly.

For the first, a lot of time was spent on trying to write custom CSS for the image tag that would centre it and fill the page. However, there was no actual need to write any CSS, instead the solution was to add a simple bootstrap container around the image. Whilst the image might be too high for the page, scrolling up and down is not much of a usability problem assuming most slides have blank space at the bottom. This Bootstrap container fixes the image sizing problems that were encountered beforehand, both on a standard desktop and on mobile and tablet devices automatically.

The second major bug fix was to how answers were saved for multiple selection questions. The difference between this and a boolean or multiple choice question is that multiple answers are submitted, and an array was chosen to do this. However, this array was not handled correctly when saving these results. The solution was to create entries of the string versions of the answers joined together, so an answer row would contain the answer of "answer1, answer3". TODO: code snippet of this stuff. Displaying these results did not require much changing except needing to



split this string and loop over the items and replacing the "answer1" fields with the actual answer given in the database.

## **2.12.5 Review and retrospective**

### **2.12.5.1 Review**

No story development this iteration

### **2.12.5.2 Retrospective**

The bug fixing resulted in the major features of the system being ready for testing with users. However, there was some time wasted on trying to fix the image CSS when the solution was just to use a standard bootstrap container.

## 2.13 Iteration 10 27/04 - 03/05

Note: This iteration is slightly longer as it includes the half week between where iteration 10 would have ended and the hand in.

### 2.13.1 Story: Admins can then save the results as csv or xml

#### 2.13.1.1 Analysis - breakdown of tasks

The most convenient way to save these results would be to convert the data to a csv format, as that can be read by many Spreadsheet programs. After some researching, an extension for Laravel was found that could easily create spreadsheets within a Laravel application [26].

There the list of tasks for this story:

- Add route, button and an action for this
- Install Laravel spreadsheet converter extension
- Pass the formatted answer data into the csv creator

#### 2.13.1.2 Design

Due to the way the original answer handling was designed, results are only saved for a session and not for quizzes. This means when a new quiz is run, the results are wiped. This means a good place for the download action would be the quiz admin panel, the one next and previous buttons. This would be an ideal place as it means lecturers can hit download before they end the quiz.

The action itself does not seem to fit onto any of the other controllers, and a new one should be created to handle this function. A sessionController would be the best fit as this action falls under a session and not quizzes so would not be sensible in a quiz controller.

The csv format would be in the form of a single sheet with many rows. The first row would be the question, the second row the answers and the third the number of times the answer was chosen. These three rows would then repeat for each question, so a three question quiz would contain nine rows.

#### 2.13.1.3 Implementation

Setting up the new route, button and controller was a quick task. Artisan (TODO have i mentioned artisan before?) was used to generate the new controller and then all that needed manually doing was adding a route to the web routing file and creating a new button on the admin panel to call this route.

Installing the extension was done with Composer which installed it under the vendor/ folder. It was then added as a Facade [27] which allow the quick use and access to the functions it contained. The extension took an array of data and turned that into a spreadsheet of the type specified, in this case a csv. The array is looped over and each item in the array is a row in the sheet. If an item of

the array is an arrays itself, then each item within these sub arrays would be a cell within the row. This means the sheet is built from an array of arrays.

Formatting the arrays was the primary task here. First all the questions from the session were selected, then looped over. Within this loop the question was added as the first row. Then all the answers associated with that question needed to be added. Getting them from the database used a simple Eloquent query. Two arrays needed to be created from this data, an array of the answer text and an array of the number of times these options were submitted. The total times these were submitted had not been calculated so this was done first, looping over the answers and counting the times an answer was given by creating a new array of the answers and times clicked in a key= value pairing. This array of answer=answeredNumberTimes could then be looped over and the each key added to the first array, and the values to the second array for the two rows.

The problem here was that the answer text in the database is stored as "answer1" rather than what the student actually clicked. This meant that these answers had to be changed, this was accomplished by using the answer value, like "answer1", as the key in the current question variable and adding this value to a new "keys" array. Another problem was the way multi selection questions were stored, such as "answer1, answer2". This meant adding another extra step to break the string into an array and replacing them with the actual answer values and recombining them into a single string.

(TODO add code snippet and image of a csv)

#### 2.13.1.4 Testing

TODO

### 2.13.2 Extra implementation based on feedback from admin user tester

An admin user effectively tested the application whilst using the system to build a quiz that would be used in the user testing. During this, some feedback was received about the application which could be worked on to improve it in small ways.

#### 2.13.2.1 Quiz control buttons should be greyed out

The next and previous buttons on the quiz were changed so that they should be greyed out if the quiz was at the start or end of the quiz. To disable them the "disabled" HTML attribute was added to the buttons. The position and total number of items in the quiz were passed to the page from the controller and could be used to determine whether or not the buttons should be disabled. For these to be disabled when the page loads, some embedded PHP was used to render the buttons with disabled if needed. When the quiz was running, JavaScript was used to add and remove the disabled attribute whenever next or previous was pressed.

#### 2.13.2.2 Question creation true/ false changes

Some JavaScript was used to change the question creation and question edit pages. It was needed for true/ false questions which should be submitted with answer1 as 'True', and answer2 as 'False', with the other fields left blank. The other fields would be ignored when the question was rendered, but allowing them to be filled in could be confusing. So JavaScript was used to hide the other fields, fill the first two with 'True' and 'False', and disable the fields so they could not be edited. This was actually part of the original design for the question creator but was left due to more pressing tasks such as the running of quizzes.

#### 2.13.2.3 Cancel button on question edit pages

Finally, the tester asked if a cancel button could be added, as pressing the back button would work but having a button would probably be better for the users. This was simple a back button placed at the bottom of the edit page.

### 2.13.3 Bugs

Various bugs were fixed in this final iteration after being found by the admin user. One was a bug concerning the upload time of slides, which took long enough for the PHP execution time to be exceeded. Whilst normally this would require a change to the php.ini file, this was not easily accessible on the server, so a call to the php function, `set_time_limit()` was made to increase this past the default for the function in question. This was probably a better solution due to it only increasing the limit for the one function, whereas changing the times in the ini file would change the whole system and possibly lead to unintentional side effects.

Another small bug was a "LogicException" found by going to an incorrect url, which is not the standard 404 thrown by an incorrect url. This was caused by a route which had no defined action in the router, something left over from an earlier iteration that ought to have been deleted.

#### **2.13.4 User testing**

Used the system in a first year workshop to gather some module feedback for the lecturer and to test the system with real users.

#### **2.13.5 Report writing**

A lot of report work in this final iteration, including the restructuring of the iteration and design chapters, writing the design, evaluation, appendices, and generally editing the whole document based on feedback from proof readers.

### **2.13.6 Review and retrospective**

#### **2.13.6.1 Review**

Just the one story concerning the downloading of slides done this week. The story was given a difficulty of 2, which is fairly accurate, even at the end of development. The use of a library made it easier, but there were still some minor issues as described above. The rest of the work this iteration also went well, as it was the last iteration and had little more than small bug fixes or corrections and report writing.

#### **2.13.6.2 Retrospective**

Everything seemed to go well, especially used testing which was a concern.

## Chapter 3

# Final design

This chapter will give an overview of the final design of the system reached by iteration 10. It will not go into detail for individual parts of the system as these were covered in the iteration chapter but instead will offer a top level perspective of the system.

### 3.1 Overall architecture

The Laravel framework uses a Model-View-Controller design pattern [28] to build applications. It works in the same way as a normal MVC application. Data is stored and manipulated within the models, controllers handle most of the interactions the user has with the system, and the views are the web pages presented to the users. Within Laravel, there is also a front controller, which is used to route incoming HTTP requests to the appropriate views and controllers [29].

There are two basic sections of the system, the student end, and the lecturer end. The lecturer end is an admin panel that they can login to, to create and manage their quizzes. The student end consists of the portal for connecting to a session, and the session pages themselves that display the slides and questions for users to answer.

Students only have two bits of functionality available to them, being able to join a quiz and then being able to answer questions. Lecturers however can do much more on the site. On the admin panel they can create new quizzes, create and add questions to the quizzes and also add slides to the quizzes. They can also change their user details including their session key. The main operation they can perform is that they can run a quiz, this then takes them to the same view as the students, albeit with a small control panel for changing questions or slides, and displaying the results of the quiz. TODO: add final activity diagram, maybe a ui diagram too? See 3.1 and 3.2

### 3.2 Database design



Figure 3.1: Use case for backend of system




Figure 3.2: Use case for frontend of system





Figure 3.3: Entity relationship digram for final database



There are seven tables within the application. Two of these were generated by running a Laravel command to make the authentication part of the site, the users and password\_resets tables. These provide basic user login.

The quizzes table contains information about the quizzes themselves, and are associated with a user. The questions and slides tables both store information about their respective parts of a quiz, with each row within these tables associated with a quiz. Questions store information about the actual question including all the answers, not in the design above are the other eight fields for answers up to answer10. The slides table stores the file name of a slide image that has been converted from a pdf slide. Both of these tables store the positions of their items within a quiz.

The sessions table stores the information about the runnable session, each user has one associated session row. Within this row, the session\_key is stored, which is the key that students would use to connect to a session. Additionally it stores information about the session when its running, specifying if it is running, and what position it is at. This position references the positions specified in the questions and slides tables, though there is no actual foreign key relationship between them.

The final table, answers, stores all the responses from users to questions. It stores which question, the answer given and the user that submitted the answer. The user\_session is a cookie value rather than a user from the database.

## Chapter 4

# Testing

### 4.1 Overview

At the start of the project, testing was to use two practices from Agile. These were Test Driven Development (TDD) and Continuous Integration (CI). Whilst these two practices both failed due to a mixture of reasons as described in the Iterations chapter, testing was still performed within each iteration. Rather than go over the tests made each week within the previous chapter, this section will summarise the tests. Overall there were x tests (TODO: fill in once development finished) that test the overall functionality of the system. Unit tests were dropped for a number of reasons in favour of application tests.

Unit testing suits a situation where more of the base code is written by the developer, in this case the majority of the code is built on a pretested framework. Testing the final implementation would fit better than trying to test if a view is rendered by a controller correctly. Application tests can also be used to test the stories, meaning that they can give a much clearer indication of whether a story is complete and whether the customer will be happy.

Unit testing also takes up a lot of time if done as well as application testing which would have affected the final product and dropping it was a necessary exchange to save development time.

### 4.2 Application testing

TODO: screenshot final output of all tests. Also could list all tests somewhere in appendix? To do application tests, a testing framework called Laravel Dusk was used, which is the default testing framework provided with Laravel 5.4 [30] [31]. It runs the tests within a Chrome instance by running a standalone server which then calls the Chrome application installed on the machine that the tests are being run on. The advantages of running tests like this rather than say executing PHP on the server and reading a virtual DOM is that this allows JavaScript on the page to be evaluated and executed. JavaScript is vital to many of the components of the application so if it was not usable within tests, many parts of the system would have to be ignored within the tests.

These tests test the application as whole, rather than individual bits of code. This allows the tests to be written with the original requirements, the stories, in mind and so makes these tests a good way to evaluate the application at the end of its development. Tests were organised by story,

as these provided an area of the application that needed to be checked, which makes them more human readable for any future development.

Tests use a test database rather than the production one to ensure the integrity of data on the production system. This test database is left intentionally empty when, with each test performing a migration for the test. Within each test the database is populated with relevant data using a number of database factories. These factories allow the easy and quick creation of any data needed within the test, though using a normal Model to input data would also still work. At the end of each test, the database is rolled back for the next test to run, leaving an empty database for a new migration and data.

Database transactions could have been used instead, which use a pre migrated database and then any data input during each test is deleted at the end of the test. Whilst the test db could be migrated before any tests were run to allow the use of transactions, this would mean that any future changes to the migration files would mean that the developer would have to remember to re-migrate the test database as well. Doing a migration for every test might be somewhat resource intensive, but it allows the tests to be written and run completely standalone from each other with no need to tie into any earlier setup functions.

#### 4.2.1 Limitations of dusk

There were various problems encountered with Dusk throughout the project. These are probably due to the age of Dusk, only being released in January of this year. A major one was that Dusk could not be run on Travis, the CI tool chosen for this project, which meant that CI had to be abandoned. The reasons for why are not understood, but it was better to just run the tests manually than to spend a large amount of time trying to fix it, if at all possible.

As for the actual tests, there were a number of problems with the asserts and navigating pages that slowed how quickly tests could be written. The selectors in particular did not seem to all have the same functionality, some of them can take a HTML selector, similar to JQuery, where an HTML object could be selected by id or class. However, some could only be found by the name attribute and some by the content of the tag and nothing else. For more details see Appendix A - Laravel Dusk. TODO: write about dusk selectors in the appendix. The problems with lack of consistency is that a lot of assumptions were made about the way selectors worked, and the errors produced tended to simply say that the thing being looked for was not found. This led to a lot of frustration and confusion at the framework.

Other problems with selectors included that it could not see inside iframes or canvas tags, a major part of showing results of quizzes. And if a selector did find a collection, it returned the first item on the page, and not an iterable collection of items as one might expect. This problem in particular meant more work adding various unique ids or classes to items that were repeated on the page.

There was additionally the issue that due to the amount of initial database seeding used within each test, the actual tests could get quite long in terms of line numbers. There was a lot of code repetition and this is something that could be improved upon in future by refactoring out some of the functionality into initialiser functions.

### 4.3 Security testing

One story specifically concerns security: Users should not be able to submit their own answers by altering the HTML, as they did with Qwizdom. The tests for this however are not that easy to do within the confines of Dusk due to the nature of the framework. The framework is concerned with user facing application tests and has no ability to change the DOM of the page or send custom POST requests to the server. This means that this story is better tested in the user testing section.

Other aspects of security can be checked however, being a web application there are a number of well known attack types including SQL injection, cross site scripting (XSS) and cross site request forgery (CSRF) attacks. SQL injection attacks involves attackers trying to execute their own SQL code on the server, thereby giving them access to the system or to try and damage the system by say dropping some tables. XSS attacks are when attackers attempt to inject their own JavaScript into the system that may be run on another users page. Typically this would include injecting a script tag with malicious code into the database that would then be executed when written to the page by another user viewing a page. (TODO: cite these?). These tests are located in SecurityTest.php. There are five tests that test both SQL Injection and XSS attacks.

For the SQL injection tests, a string that tries to insert a new database entry is used:

```
"INSERT INTO `quizzes`  
(`name`, `desc`, `user_id`, `created_at`, `updated_at`)  
VALUES ('SQL Injection', 'this is an attack', '1', now(), now());"
```

And for the XSS attacks it tries to add a script tag with an alert:

```
<script>alert('hey xss');</script>
```

There are two main places that these attacks can be carried out. The first is on the front page, the session search field could be used to insert malicious data. Though nothing is saved from this input, it does query the database, which means it could be subject to an SQL injection attack. If the search returned the original search query, then it could be used to embed some javascript, and if the search was then linkable (i.e. in the URL parameters), it could be sent on to other users. The other place that these two attacks can be carried out are on the admin area, in the quiz and question creation pages where SQL or XSS code could be added to the body of the various quiz and question fields.

For SQL injection attacks, the easiest way to check that this data has not been added is to look for the record in the quizzes table. With the XSS attack, the page source can be searched for the script tag. Laravel copes with these attacks by default by escaping the inputs [?]. With SQL, the entire string is quoted and not seen as an actual SQL command. With the XSS the HTML tags are escaped in a similar fashion, the < and > symbols are turned into &lt; and &gt; which are not rendered as HTML when written to the page.

The tests prove that the system works as intended and these two attack types are not possible. Cross site request forgery attacks are another form of attack but harder to test as they rely on the browsers weaknesses and come from another website. Dusk cannot simulate this sort of environment. However, for forms to be submitted within the system a CSRF token has to be submitted with the form. This token is compared to the user session to ensure they are the ones submitting this data, not a third party site. Laravel forces forms to contain this token, ensuring this attack cannot take place.

## 4.4 User testing

At the end of development, the system was tested in a first year workshop, where they were asked to give some feedback about the module. Feedback asked about their experience answering questions, viewing the graphs on the lecturers screen and the general user interface. The quiz was made by the lecturer beforehand rather than the developer, allowing some feedback from both a lecturer and the students. Feedback from students was split between those using mobile devices and desktops, with a larger number using desktops and only two responses for mobile. The feedback from desktops was very positive, with all the responses rating four or five out of five. There were a number of comments that indicated a problem was lack of feedback when an answer was submitted, which would be an easy task for any further work.

As for mobile, due to the lack of responses it is hard to tell what users thought, however, the two responses were split on their experiences. The first response indicated a somewhat positive experience, with a final rating of three out of five. The other response was much more negative, with a final rating of one. In the bug feedback it was stated that the quiz boxes were out of position and the wrong sizes. They also stated that they were using the default Samsung browser, which is not a browser that was tested on beforehand. Without knowing what the positive response was using, it is hard to tell if this is restricted to the Samsung browser. Mobile testing did use Chrome for Android which may be why this was missed as it appears like they behave differently.

The lecturer for the session also gave some feedback based on their experience of using both the quiz creator and running the quiz in the workshop. The results indicated a generally positive experience, with all but one question receiving four or five out of ten. Just one received a three, which was how easy it was to run the quiz from the backend. There were a number of suggested additions and extensions. They also stated "Overall, very nice system that I think I could use.". TODO: how make this sound better?

For a more detailed breakdown of results, see appendix D.

## 4.5 Stress testing

Though there are a number of tools out there to do exactly that, there was no time to set up and any official stress testing. However, the user tests within the lecture helped to give a good idea of the stress the system could take. It was able to support about twenty students connecting and answering questions without any issues. While the system is supposed to be up to 300, this is the best indicator at this point, and there were no problems.

## 4.6 Automated testing

Whilst CI was abandoned early on into the development of the application, the Dusk tests are easy to run and automatically execute within a browser if the appropriate browser drivers are available. This means that it should not be too hard to integrate these tests into a CI tool if required in any future development.



## Chapter 5

# Evaluation

### 5.1 Story/ requirements comparison

For the final list of stories see appendix 5.2. When looking at the final application and the final stories, they can all be argued as being completed with the exception of stories 7, 9 and 12.

Concerning story 7, whilst technically the application only supports up to a hundred users simultaneously, this is not throttled by the application. But instead is due to the WebSockets provider Pusher, which for free use is limited at a hundred users. If the system were to go live, then this would be replaced by using an in house Redis server, or by paying Pusher for more slots. These would be able to meet this upper capacity and therefore meet the story. For story 9, a percentage of answers was decided to be too hard to do without relying too much on the WebSocket server being used, which as mentioned above could be changed therefore a simpler solution was added, just giving the number of answers submitted. This number would give a rough estimate of the percentage, as if the lecturer knew the class size, they could compare it to the number answered. It was important to not rely on Pusher and instead offer an alternative solution that did not quite meet the story.

As for the final story that was not completed, 12, the downloading of stories was done in the last iteration. It was decided that the reuploading of these to view on the application would have taken too long given how much other work was yet to be completed. Additionally, due to the download being a csv rather than the other potential format (XML), if the lecturer wished to view the results in a more graphical view, their preferred spreadsheet can easily be used to create graphs of the data. Whilst this is more work for the lecturer, it seemed like a minor issue and one worth causing if it meant more time for writing the report.

Of course, there were significant difference between the initial list of stories, 5.1, and the final list. A couple of new ones were added, such as number 14 and 15 on the final list. However, all the stories for part two were changed. The reasons for these changes have already been explained but it is obviously different from the initial set of stories. However, the redesign and production of new stories fills the same functionality as originally specified and therefore it can be argued that the original specifications are still met.

## 5.2 Methodology

I am very happy with the methodology used. XP's main strength is its adaptability and the first thing to do was adapt a set of its practices to fit the needs of the project. This was very beneficial as some practices were not relevant and would have not worked or even hindered the development. As development progressed, some of the practices had to be further adapted, such as dropping test driven development, allowing even more flexibility to the way I worked.

I particularly liked working to stories and in iterations. The iterations allowed small bits of working functionality to be written on a weekly basis. They were particularly useful in that they enforced small bits of design, implementation and testing each week, meaning there was a good mix of things to do. But most importantly, the iterations helped adapt to change. The best example is the part two redesign, which was originally planned to include a lot of work, two or three iterations worth, but instead came down to less than a full iteration of work. The iteration also included the creation of a review document at the end. This was used to evaluate the work done and the methodology itself, such as deciding that TDD should be dropped.

There were some problems though, the design and testing sections sometimes suffered within the iterations. This was because I was more interested in getting the implementation done. Its not to say that design and testing didn't happen when they were supposed to, its just that occasionally it had to be done the following iteration.

Another major problem is that due to a lack of overall design at the start, as encouraged by the use of XP, there was some redesigning and reimplementation happening throughout due to some bad or short sighted design choices. A good example would be when the position was added to the questions, whilst quite an important part of the implementation, it was not in the original designs at all. Storing this position in the question and session tables and using those both to find the right question could have instead used a separate table to store the position and a reference to the relevant question. This would have put less work on the database and required less coding. Another example of where upfront design might have worked is the QuizController is quite large and some of functionality could be split into sub controllers or split better amongst existing ones.

Its problems like these that could have been avoided if the system had been designed from the ground up. An upfront design might also fix what sometimes feels like lots of small bits of functionality tied together into something that feels more cohesive. On the flip side though, it might have caused there to be too much work, for example without the iterations, part two might have been designed in a way similar to the original proposals which appeared to be a lot of work.

Whilst not really a part of the methodology, the time spent refactoring and documenting the code really helped. As mentioned above, some stories built on top of old ones, and some of the implementations changed a lot. Without the time spent documenting and refactoring the functions the code base would have been impossible to navigate and modify. Just writing the PHPDoc for function calls helped tremendously when doing any future work.

## 5.3 Tools and technologies

A number of tools and technologies were used during development and overall I am happy with the majority. Using PHP and Laravel seemed to fit the project very well as there were no features that were impossible to do in the language or framework. Not all parts of the framework were

great however, the testing framework, Dusk, was particularly troublesome. This was mainly due to how new Dusk is, however the problems slowed work quite a lot. There was no easy alternative for application tests however, so Dusk was probably still the best choice. And over time, the framework will hopefully iron out its problems so for future work will probably be in a much better state.

The JavaScript side of things could probably have been handled better, I never got all the JS into a single minified file like you are supposed to with Laravel. This meant that it was a little disorganised and sometimes hard to navigate and change things. It probably would have been better to spend some more time figuring out how to get it all into JS files rather than as script tags, however I deemed it not a high priority to better focus on getting the system working.

As for things that I would not change, WebSockets is an easy one. They were easy to set up, did exactly what was needed without the need for any strange behaviour, such as forcing a page refresh and are relatively future proof due to being such a new standard. Most of the tools I used I had no problem with and would also use without hesitation a second time. Git was very important and whilst I did not need to use it for much version control, it gave me the option should it ever arise. My diary and Trello however were very important in day to day development. They were used to keep track of all progress in the project and also to try and plan some of the upcoming work. Without them, the project would have been much tougher and I am happy with the way I used them.

Other tools I am happy with include the Chrome developer tools which I used for debugging a lot and my editor, Vim. A program that could potentially have replaced both of these is an editor called PhpStorm [32]. As an editor it filled Vims role but it also provides a number of other features like a debugger. Debuggers for PHP are quite unusual as the code is executed by the server and not locally meaning debugging is usually manual with a lot of "die()" commands being used to figure out what is wrong. This is clearly not the best as a good debugger can speed up finding problems very easily. PhpStorm also comes with a live preview feature, this can be debugged and edited in much the same way the Chrome dev tools are used. I did not however use it because I thought that overall the lack of a debugger and other features did not slow me down enough to warrant getting and learning a new piece of software.

## 5.4 User testing evaluation

As discussed in the Testing chapter, the results were overall positive, with the majority of users and the lecturer having a good experience with the system. There were a number of issues and possible improvements raised, though none of them need rectifying immediately. I am a little disappointed in not having much feedback on the mobile side of things. Hopefully the one user with an issue was an outlier and from my experience of the system on phones when developing it, it was working.

## 5.5 Things to do differently and future work

There are a number of design choices that could have been done differently in retrospect. I think the main one is a different way to track the positions of slides and questions. It was designed initially without much thought to how it was going to work further down the line, leading to a bit

of a strange system. As mentioned before, using a reference table for keeping track of positions may have been a good choice for this.

The other main thing that could have changed was the use of testing. When TDD failed, a possible replacement that I did not look into at the time was Behaviour Driven Development [33]. BDD is generally used to explain the behaviour of the application in regards to the story, and then used to evaluate the outcome based on the expected outcome. Whilst BDD and TDD could have been used together, BDD can itself be used alone. It also would have suited the development process followed in this project, as the stories were worked on in a roughly one by one basis and the Dusk tests are a form of application tests. The way that BDD would evaluate the story would involve application tests. It would have fit in quite nicely but it never got suggested and might have taken some times to set up.

The last major change would probably be to the way a lot of the models are used, on the whole almost all model functionality is static. The main reason for this is that the majority of functions in the models update the database or retrieve small bits of information from the tables or only needed to be called once in the process so there was no point in instantiating so many objects. There is object orientation in the controllers however there could have been a lot more within the models. A lot of the position changing functionality could work very well if done as an object parameter and then saved at the end. Currently the position variable is retrieved from the database and passed around a lot rather than used as an object parameter. Another reason a lot of the later potential non static functions were building on the already written static functions so it was easier just to add more static functions than refactor the whole system. From my perspective I would have preferred more object orientated programming in the application however it was far easier to just keep writing the static functions.

As for future work there are a number of potential tasks. The most pressing would undoubtedly be moving the WebSocket host from Pusher to Redis or something similar. This would be an important first task as it raises the limit on number of users without costing anything. An extension that may be quite important for the system would be to integrate the university login system, which I believe uses Lightweight Directory Access Protocol, LDAP. This would mean lecturers would have accounts linked to their uni accounts and would potentially allow the use of SSO. In general the user authorisation could be improved substantially. All lecturers can see all the other lecturers quizzes and questions, and do anything to them. Limiting their actions was not a part of the original set of stories and therefore the authentication and authorisation is very basic. More authorisation should realistically be added to the system.

There are also a number of smaller things that could be added with little development time, such as adding more question types or adding some keyboard commands for the quiz controls allowing the lecturer to press enter for the next slide.

## 5.6 Summary

Overall, I am happy with the project, I think there are a lot of different ways it could have been implemented which might have been better now that I know how to do it. There are also a number of potential improvements and extensions that could be developed but the final application meets the majority of its requirements and the user feedback was good which is very positive.

# Appendices

## Appendix A

# Third-Party Code and Libraries

### 1.1 Laravel

The PHP framework used as the basis for the application [2].

### 1.2 Laravel Dusk

### 1.3 Bootstrap

Bootstrap is popular HTML, CSS and JavaScript framework for building responsive, mobile-frist websites and applications [10].

### 1.4 EchoJS

### 1.5 Pusher

### 1.6 JQuery

### 1.7 ChartJS

## **Appendix B**

# **Ethics Submission**

**AU Status**

Undergraduate or PG Taught

**Your aber.ac.uk email address**

amt22@aber.ac.uk

**Full Name**

Alexander Michael Levi Taylor

**Please enter the name of the person responsible for reviewing your assessment.**

Reyer Zwiggelaar

**Please enter the aber.ac.uk email address of the person responsible for reviewing your assessment**

rrz@aber.ac.uk

**Supervisor or Institute Director of Research Department**

cs

**Module code (Only enter if you have been asked to do so)**

CS39440

**Proposed Study Title**

MMP - Classroom Quiz System - Providing a similar system to the Qwizdom system already used by lecturers, that allows lecturers to run interactive quizzes during lectures to enhance student participation and learning.

**Proposed Start Date**

30/01/2017

**Proposed Completion Date**

08/05/2017

**Are you conducting a quantitative or qualitative research project?**

Mixed Methods

**Does your research require external ethical approval under the Health Research Authority?**

No

**Does your research involve animals?**

No

**Are you completing this form for your own research?**

Yes

**Does your research involve human participants?**

Yes

**Institute**

IMPACS



## **Appendix C**

# **Code Examples**

## **Appendix D**

# **User survey results**

For all the raw results, please contact [amt22@aber.ac.uk](mailto:amt22@aber.ac.uk). TODO:

### **4.1 Desktop**

### **4.2 Mobile**

### **4.3 Comments**

### **4.4 Lecturer results**

## Appendix E

# User stories

A list of stories was produced that act as the functional requirements of the system. The stories have a difficulty ranking associated with each item in relation to the other stories. 1 would be the easiest and 10 the hardest.

### 5.1 Initial user stories

Stories for the first part (just quizzes):

1. (6) Admins can create quizzes via the website
2. (4) Quizzes contain a variety of questions
3. (7) Admins can login to the website and run a session with a quiz
4. (8) Multiple different sessions can be run simultaneously
5. (3) The Admin specifies which question is being run by clicking next/prev question etc
6. (5) Sessions can be joined by users via the website
7. (3) Up to 300 users should be able to join and answer questions
8. (1) Users answer the question being displayed by the quiz
9. (2) The Admin can see what percentage of users connected to the session have answered
10. (4) The Admin can show the results of the question in a sensible format e.g. graph
11. (2) Admin can then save results as CSV or XML
12. (2) The Admin can load from saved file to display again
13. (4) Users should not be able to submit their own answers by altering the HTML, as they did with Qwizom

These stories fit the second part (streaming slides), though there are some stories from the first part that are applicable within this part:

1. (1) The Admin creates slides in a slideshow editor (Most likely Microsoft Office Power Point)
2. (2) The Admin can place question slides within the slides during creation
3. (10) The Admin can stream these slides to a session
4. (5) Users can join the session and follow the slides as they are used
5. (4) The specified question slides will act as questions for the users connected to the session
6. (2) Results are handled in the same way as the first web only part
7. (3) The Admin can embed HTML content in quiz slides during creation

## 5.2 Final user stories

Due to the redesign of part two, all the stories fit into the same part, and do not need to be split up. This final list was refined over the development period:

1. (6) "Admins can create quizzes via the website". This is then broken into these sub stories:
  - (a) (3) Admins can log into a backend
  - (b) (2) They are presented a list of their quizzes
  - (c) (5) They can create a new quiz in the backend
  - (d) (3) They can edit an existing quiz they own
2. (4) Quizzes contain a variety of questions
3. (7) Admins can login to the website and run a session with a quiz
4. (8) Multiple different sessions can be run simultaneously
5. (3) The Admin specifies which question is being run by clicking next/prev question etc
6. (5) Sessions can be joined by users via the website
7. (3) Up to 300 users should be able to join and answer questions
8. (1) Users answer the question being displayed by the quiz
9. (2) The Admin can see what percentage of users connected to the session have answered
10. (4) The Admin can show the results of the question in a sensible format e.g. graph
11. (2) Admin can then save results as CSV or XML
12. (2) The Admin can load from saved file to display again
13. (4) Users should not be able to submit their own answers by altering the HTML, as they did with Qwizom
14. (5) The site should be mobile responsive
15. (2) Admins should be able to change their session key
16. (1) The admin creates slides in their preferred editor and exports them as a PDF
17. (4) The admin can upload these slides to a quiz they have created in the past
18. (3) The admin can reorder the questions within the quiz to move them around the slides
19. (2) When this quiz is run, it should render the slides as well as questions in the order specified

## **Appendix F**

# **Maintenance guide**

### **6.1 Installing and running**

### **6.2 Useful commands**

### **6.3 Layout and MVC**

### **6.4 Major components**

# Annotated Bibliography

- [1] Qwizdom, Inc., “Experts in Audience Response Systems & Training — Qwizdom UK,” <http://qwizdom.com/uk/>, 2017.

Qwizdom is the software that this project is primarily based off.

- [2] T. Otwell, “Laravel - the php framework for web artisans,” <https://laravel.com/>, 2017.

Main site for the Laravel framework, used as the primary framework in this project.

- [3] B. Skvorc, “The best php framework for 2015: Sitepoint survey results,” <https://www.sitepoint.com/best-php-framework-2015-sitepoint-survey-results/>, March 2015.

A comparison of the most popular PHP frameworks

- [4] Microsoft, “Get an office add-in for powerpoint,” <https://support.office.com/en-gb/article/Get-an-Office-Add-in-for-PowerPoint-41e3e84e-17e7-4fa7-807a-d027046230bd?ui=en-US&rs=en-GB&ad=GB>, 2017.

Microsoft guide on how to get and use add-ins for PowerPoint.

- [5] B. Kent, *Extreme programming explained : embrace change*, 2nd ed. Boston, MA : Addison-Wesley, 2005.

Book on extreme programming, by one of the ideas originators, Kent Beck.

- [6] “Trello,” <https://trello.com/home>, 2017.

Homepage for Trello, the project management and feature tracking site used during development.

- [7] “Github,” <https://github.com/>, 2017.

Github, one of the leading Git repository hosting services available, and used in this project.

- [8] “Artisan - laravel,” <https://laravel.com/docs/5.4/artisan>, 2017.

Documentation describing Artisan, the custom CLI provided with Laravel. Its used to run just about everything Laravel related, from database migrations to making new controllers etc.

- [9] “Flowchart maker and diagramming software - microsoft visio,” <https://products.office.com/en-gb/visio/flowchart-software?tab=tabs-1>, 2017.
- Description of Visio, a tool for building diagrams that was used extensively in the design stages of the project.
- [10] “Bootstrap,” <https://getbootstrap.com/>, 2017.
- Bootstrap is a CSS, HTML and JavaScript framework that makes styling and building mobile responsive sites very easy. The basic Bootstrap CSS was used extensively throughout this project.
- [11] “Validation - laravel,” <https://laravel.com/docs/5.4/validation>, 2017.
- Documentation for how server side validation works within Laravel
- [12] “Csrf protection - laravel,” <https://laravel.com/docs/5.4/csrf/>, 2017.
- Documentation for how Laravel handles protection from cross site request forgery attacks
- [13] gaddygab and georaldc, “[question] why dusk page test uses databasemigrations instead of databasetransactions? issue #110 laravel/dusk,” <https://github.com/laravel/dusk/issues/110>, February 2017.
- Describes why migrations have to be used rather than transactions, posted as an issue to the test frameworks Github page
- [14] “Controllers - laravel,” <https://laravel.com/docs/5.4/controllers#resource-controllers>, 2017.
- A list of the routes automatically bound when a controller is specified as a resource controller.
- [15] “Action cable overview - ruby on rails guides,” [http://edgeguides.rubyonrails.org/action\\_cable\\_overview.html](http://edgeguides.rubyonrails.org/action_cable_overview.html), 2017.
- Describes how RoR uses WebSockets. Good for a comparison of other languages and another framework.
- [16] “Broadcasting - laravel,” <https://laravel.com/docs/5.4/broadcasting>, 2017.
- Documentation for broadcasting within Laravel, which is what it calls WebSockets
- [17] “What is pusher? building real-time laravel apps with pusher,” <https://pusher-community.github.io/real-time-laravel/introduction/what-is-pusher.html>, 2017.
- Guide on what pusher is and how to set it up with Laravel, though this guide was not used for that purpose, merely to gain an understanding of how Pusher worked.
- [18] “Writing realtime apps with laravel 5 and pusher - pusher blog,” <https://blog.pusher.com/writing-realtime-apps-with-laravel-5-and-pusher/>, June 2016.
- Guide that was used to get the basics of Pusher and how to do use WebSockets within Laravel



- [19] “Introducing laravel echo: an in-depth walk through,” <https://mattstauffer.co/blog/introducing-laravel-echo>, June 2016.

Guide that was used to get the basics of Laravel Echo and how to do use Web-Sockets within Laravel

- [20] Way, Jeffrey and calebeoliveria, “Best practices for custom helpers on laravel 5,” <https://laracasts.com/discuss/channels/general-discussion/best-practices-for-custom-helpers-on-laravel-5>, May 2016.

Discussion of how global helper functions should be registered and used and used within Laravel

- [21] “Middleware - laravel,” <https://laravel.com/docs/5.4/middleware>, 2017.

Description of Middleware in Laravel, a way of filtering incoming HTTP requests to a controller. An example would be like checking if a user is logged in.

- [22] “Chartjs — open source html5 charts for your website,” <http://www.chartjs.org/>, 2017.

Library used for rendering the results as a bar chart.

- [23] “Media queries - css . bootstrap,” <https://getbootstrap.com/css/#grid-media-queries>, 2017.

Bootstraps recommended media query sizes for phones, tablets and desktops.

- [24] “What’s new in laravel 5.3: Super simple file uploading,” <https://laracasts.com/series/whats-new-in-laravel-5-3/episodes/12>, August 2016.

Video tutorial on how to upload and save files in Laravel.

- [25] Spatie, “spatie pdf-to-image: Convert a pdf to an image,” <https://github.com/spatie/pdf-to-image>, 2017.

A package for converting pdfs to images, used in the slide conversion part of the system.

- [26] Maatwebsite Team, “Facades - laravel,” <http://www.maatwebsite.nl/laravel-excel/docs>, 2017.

Library used for the creation of CSV files that the lecturers can download.

- [27] “Laravel excel,” <https://laravel.com/docs/5.4/facades>, 2017.

Documentation on facades in Laravel.

- [28] E. Freeman, E. Robson, K. Sierra, and B. Bates, *Head First design patterns*. Sebastopol, CA : O’Reilly, 2004, p. 51.

Description of the Model-View-Controller design pattern in more depth

- [29] “Architecture of laravel applications - laravel book,” <http://laravelbook.com/laravel-architecture/>, 2017.

Describes the architecture of the Laravel framework in more detail

- [30] “Browser tests (laravel dusk) - laravel,” <https://laravel.com/docs/5.4/dusk>, 2017.

Laravel Dusk documentation page

- [31] M. Stauffer, “Introducing laravel dusk,” <https://mattstauffer.co/blog/introducing-laravel-dusk-new-in-laravel-5-4>, February 2017.

A comprehensive introduction to Laravel Dusk which gives a better introduction than the documentation

- [32] “Phpstorm ide,” <https://www.jetbrains.com/phpstorm/>, 2017.

PHPStorm is a dedicated PHP editor with a lot of built in functionality to help development, including a debugger and native support for major frameworks like Laravel.

- [33] Agile Alliance, “Behavior driven development (bdd),” <https://www.agilealliance.org/glossary/bdd/>, 2017.

Behaviour Driven Development information from the Agile Alliance. Describes what it is and how it is beneficial.