

## Single Choice

(1) Let  $\dim V = 4$ . Then, there is a  $\varphi \in V^*$  with  $\dim \ker \varphi = 2$

- (a) True
- (b) False

*Solution.* (b) False.

$\varphi \in V^* = \text{hom}_K(V, K)$  [1], where  $V$  is a vector space over a field  $F$ . Let us assume such a function  $\varphi$  exists. We use rank-nullity [2] to realise that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \text{Im } \varphi &= \dim V - \dim \ker \varphi \\ &= 4 - 2 = 2\end{aligned}$$

The dimension of the image of  $\varphi$  has to be less than the dimensionality of the codomain i.e  $\dim \text{Im } \varphi \leq \dim K = 1$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

(2) Every finite-dimensional vector space is the dual space of another finite-dimensional vector space.

- (a) True
- (b) False

*Solution.* (a) True

We can define an isomorphism from a vector space  $V$  to its dual  $V^*$  in the following manner.

Since  $V$  is finite dimensional, we can pick a basis  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  for  $V$ . Then we can define the dual basis  $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n\}$  of  $V^*$  as  $\varphi_i(v_j) = \delta_{ij}$  **extended linearly**.

That means that for a vector  $v = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n \in V$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i(v) &= a_1\varphi_i(v_1) + a_2\varphi_i(v_2) + \dots + a_n\varphi_i(v_n) \\ &= a_i\end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** Now, just because I call this set a basis for  $V^*$  doesn't make it one. Do convince yourself that this indeed a basis. You can go about doing this by showing linear independence and that  $V^*$  is spanned by this set

This defines an isomorphism between  $V$  and  $V^*$ . It is not a canonical isomorphism as the construction of our isomorphism depends on the choice of our basis.  $\square$

(3) The set of invertible real  $n \times n$  matrices is

- (a) not a real subspace of  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$
- (b) a real subspace of  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$

*Solution.* (a) not a real subspace of  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$

The set of invertible matrices is not closed under addition as we can see from the below example

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + (-1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

as the null matrix is not invertible □

(4) Let  $f : V \rightarrow W$  be an arbitrary homomorphism between two  $K$ -vectorspaces. Which of the following five statements is not equivalent to the others?

- (a)  $f$  is injective
- (b) The dual mapping  $f^* : W^* \rightarrow V^*$  is surjective
- (c) The zero element of  $V$  is the only element that is mapped to the zero element of  $W$
- (d) There is a homomorphism  $g : W \rightarrow V$  with  $f \circ g = \text{id}_W$
- (e) For every  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$  there exists an  $l \in W^*$  with  $l(f(v)) \neq 0$
- (f) All five statements are equivalent.

*Solution.* Statement (d) is not equivalent to the others

Firstly, notice that (a) and (c) are equivalent. An element  $w \in W$  is non-zero only when an  $l \in W^*$  exists with  $l(w) \neq 0$ . (e) is equivalent to saying that  $\forall v \in V \setminus \{0\} : f(v) \neq 0$  which makes (e) equivalent to (a) and (c). You should have seen the equivalence of (b) and (a) in an earlier exercise (d) is equivalent to the surjectivity of  $f$  but not its injectivity. □

## Multiple Choice

(1) For which values of  $x$  is the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & x \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  not invertible?

- (a) 0
- (b) 1
- (c) 2
- (d) 3
- (e) 4

*Solution.* (c) 2

A square matrix is invertible if and only if its determinant is non-zero. Therefore, we can check by setting the determinant of  $A$  to be 0 i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \det A &= 0 \\ \implies 1(3 - 3x) - 3(x - 3) &= 0 \\ \implies x &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

□

## Write it out

(1) Calculate the determinant of the matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

over  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_5$ . Is it invertible?

*Solution.*

**Remark.** For large square matrices, you can compute the determinant in a smart manner by the choice of the row/column you expand along. More often than not, it is the row/column with most 0s.

In this case, you can start by expanding along  $C_1$ . Figure out which rows/columns you should be expanding along for the two  $4 \times 4$  sub-matrices to get to the answer (relatively quickly).

You should obtain  $\det B = 55$ . Notice, that in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $B$  is invertible as the determinant is non zero. In  $\mathbb{F}_5[3]$ ,  $\det B = \overline{55} = \overline{0}$ . Hence,  $B$  is not invertible.

**Remark.** If you have to compute the determinant of a matrix in  $\mathbb{F}_n$ , you can reduce the elements of the matrix modulo  $n$  before computing the determinant to make calculations (and life) easier. You will get the same value of the determinant modulo  $n$ . Reason to yourself why that works.

□

(2) (a) Let  $K$  be a field,  $\lambda \in K$  and let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(K)$ . Show that:

- i. Let  $B$  be so that  $A \xrightarrow{\lambda L_i \rightarrow L_i} B$ . Then,  $\det B = \lambda \det A$
- ii. Let  $B$  be so that  $A \xrightarrow{L_i \leftrightarrow L_i} B$ . Then,  $\det B = -\det A$
- iii. Let  $B$  be so that  $A \xrightarrow{\lambda L_i + L_j \rightarrow L_j} B$  with  $i \neq j$ . Then,  $\det B = \det A$

*Solution.* We follow a general template for all three proofs. **Without loss of generality**, we assume that the operations are being performed on  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ . Consider a matrix  $E$  such  $EA = B$ . If  $E$  is invertible, then  $\det B = \det E \times \det A$  as  $A$  is already known to be invertible.

i.

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then,  $\det E = \lambda$

ii.

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then,  $\det E = -1$

iii.

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then,  $\det E = 1$

**Remark.** Why can you do this without loss of generality? You can make this kind of augmentation to other entries of  $E$  to affect the corresponding rows. To do the same thing for columns, consider the same matrices but with  $B = AE$  instead of  $B = EA$

□

- (b) The numbers 2014, 1484, 3710 and 6996 are all divisible by 106. Show without calculating that

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 4 & 7 & 9 \\ 1 & 8 & 1 & 9 \\ 4 & 4 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

is also divisible by 106

*Hint: Read the numbers in each column from top to bottom.*

*Solution.* Assume  $2014 = 106a_1$ ,  $1484 = 106a_2$ ,  $3710 = 106a_3$  and  $6996 = 106a_4$

$$\begin{aligned} \det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 4 & 7 & 9 \\ 1 & 8 & 1 & 9 \\ 4 & 4 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} &\stackrel{i}{=} \det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 4 & 7 & 9 \\ 1 & 8 & 1 & 9 \\ 2014 & 1484 & 3710 & 6996 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\stackrel{ii}{=} 106 \det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 4 & 7 & 9 \\ 1 & 8 & 1 & 9 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Step i:  $1000R_1 + 100R_2 + 10R_3 + R_4 \rightarrow R_4$

Step ii:  $106R_4 \rightarrow R_4$

The determinant is divisible by 106

□

(3) Compute the determinants for the matrices,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & -4 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 & 5 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & -3 & 1 & -6 & 18 \\ 4 & -3 & 9 & 6 & 10 \\ -2 & 4 & -6 & -1 & -1 \\ -6 & 11 & -23 & -14 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

*Solution.* You can observe that for B,  $R_1 = R_3 + R_5$  which leads you to conclude that  $\det B = 0$ . The rest we compute by Gaussian elimination[4].

$$\det A = -4$$

$$\det B = 0$$

$$\det C = 24$$

□

## References

- [1] Wikipedia contributors, “Dual space — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia.” [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Dual\\_space&oldid=1206157626](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Dual_space&oldid=1206157626), 2024. [Online; accessed 17-February-2024].
- [2] Wikipedia contributors, “Rank-nullity theorem — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia.” [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Rank%E2%80%93nullity\\_theorem&oldid=1181211794](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Rank%E2%80%93nullity_theorem&oldid=1181211794), 2023. [Online; accessed 17-February-2024].
- [3] Wikipedia contributors, “Cyclic group — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia.” [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Cyclic\\_group&oldid=1194831654](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Cyclic_group&oldid=1194831654), 2024. [Online; accessed 17-February-2024].
- [4] Wikipedia contributors, “Gaussian elimination — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia.” [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Gaussian\\_elimination&oldid=1200010964](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Gaussian_elimination&oldid=1200010964), 2024. [Online; accessed 17-February-2024].