15/11/F1 4101AM

Subsequences & Cauchy Sequences.

Proof of (an) ne Z bounded above

Then let L=L.U.B { On:nEN}

Need to prove $a_n \rightarrow L$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

suppose we are given any 2 >0

L is the least upper bound

⇒ L- & is not an upper bound

⇒ INFN such that an > L- &

u, - az -- .

If we know (an) is monotonic increasing ann >, an tr., so if

n> N we have an > an

80 an > L- € ∀n > N

lan-LI<& Un>N

a An converges to L

Bosic Law for Linits of Sequences Suppose (an) nEN (bn) nEN are both convergent, with limits L then (antbn)new converges to L+M (ank) new converges to kL (anbn) nEN converges to LM (if M to) converges $\begin{cases} \alpha_{N} = \frac{1+N}{N} = 1 + \frac{1}{N} \longrightarrow 1 = L \text{ as } N \to \infty \\ b_{N} = \frac{1}{N} \longrightarrow 0 = M \text{ as } N \to \infty \end{cases}$ diverges $\frac{a_n}{b_n} = 1 + n$ unbounded So not convergent

Proofs of limit laws are similar to those in topic 2 "5" "N"

Penching Theorems

suppose that $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $((n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ are 3 sequences such that

Dansbusch Ynzk

@ (an) neN, (Cn) new convert

3 their timits are <u>equal</u>

Then $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ also converges to this limit

Proof Suppose un > L, cn > L vas 1>00

given any E>0, so we know

∃Na ∈N: n> Na ⇒ |an-L| < €

3 Nc EN n> Nc => 1Cn-L1 < E

N= mare (Na, Nr, K) N>N => L-E (un & bas Ca (L+E

1-8 - 1-8 => 16n-1118

i.e bn > L as n > 0

We know convergent sequences are bounded, but the converse is general the converse is false

Escample an = (-1)"

1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -- bounded <u>not</u> convergent

But it does have convergent

subsequences

Still bounded but now also convergent bimilarly $a_{2n+1} = -1$ of the

<u>Definition</u> A subsequence of (an) n e N is a subsequence (ban) m e N defined by bm = ann Vm

where no < n, < nz < nz < ...

is a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers-