MAI114 16/3/22

Pfof distinct e values -> LI e vectors; G. mult < A. mult; diagrable iff n.

Definition T:V-> W is diagonalisable if there exists a basis B of V of eigenvectors of T

Proposition H. V., Vz, ..., Vk are eigenvectors with distinct eigenvalues than EV., Vz, ..., Vs is linearly independent.

Joan

Suppose {v., vz. ---, vn} is linearly dependent. Can choose Mck maximal. such that {v., vz, ---, vn} is linearly dependent

dains { V., ---, Vm., 3 is limarly independent

(combradictory maximility of m)

$$0 = T(0) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \mu_i \cdot v_i\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \mu_i \cdot T(v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \mu_i \cdot \lambda_i \cdot v_i$$

(where n: is an eigenvalue for v:)

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i} \cdot (\mathcal{N}_{i} \wedge A_{i} \wedge A_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i} \wedge A_{i} \wedge A_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i} \cdot (\mathcal{N}_{i} \wedge A_{i} \wedge A_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i} \wedge A_{i} \wedge A_{i} \wedge A_{i}$$

Buppose 2. ... 2 are distinct let 0 xv. be

Suppose $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are distinct let $0 \neq v$; be an eigenvector for λ_i then $B = \{v_i, \ldots, v_n\}$ is linearly independent

So B is a bain by COGOF for boxs

actually can do better,

Fluorin

T'es diagonalisable (>) n=2 to dim (v2)

geometric multiplicity of

(just some case dins(V2) = & 2 distinct.)

Proposition

mare k such that (t-2)*

divides XT(F)

Recoll

Proof of Proposition

het $\{v_1, ..., v_k\}$ be a basis for $v_k \leq v$. Excland to a basis $B = \{v_1, ..., v_k, v_{k+1}, ..., v_n\}$ $(n \cdot dim(v))$

Represent Turing B, A = [T]B

A= [T]0= ([T(v,)]B[T(v2)]0---[T(vn)]0)

= ([T(V1)]0 --- [T(VK)]B[T(VK1)]0--- [T(VA)]0)

$$\chi_{\bar{1}}(\epsilon) = \chi_{A}(\epsilon) = \det (A - I\epsilon)$$

$$= \det \left[\frac{\lambda_{-1}}{\lambda_{-1}} + \frac{\lambda_{-1}}{\lambda_{-1}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \det \left[\frac{\lambda_{-1}}{\lambda_{-1}} + \frac{\lambda_{-1}}{\lambda_{-1}} \right]$$

using column expansion of determinant then is $(x-t)^k p(t)$ for some p(t)

so algebraic mulliplicity of n is at least k = geom mulliplicity

(U)

Escample

$$V_{2} = \langle \langle \langle \rangle \rangle \rangle \qquad V_{3} = \langle \langle \langle \rangle \rangle \rangle$$

$$|+|=2(==)$$
Thetinct

Exercise

eigurnalne 2 1

$$\det(\xi \mathbf{I} - A) = \det \begin{bmatrix} \xi - 0 & +2 \\ -1 & \xi - 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & \xi - 3 \end{bmatrix} = \xi(\xi - 2)(\xi - 3) + 2(\xi - 2)$$