Course: STAT W4400

Title: Statistical Machine Learning

Semester: Fall 2014

Instructor: John P. Cunningham

MIDTERM EXAM

Explanation

This exam is to be done in-class. You have 75 minutes to complete the entirety. All solutions should be written in the accompanying blue book. No other paper (including this exam sheet) will be graded. To receive credit for this exam, you must submit blue book with the exam paper placed inside. As reference you may use one sheet of 8.5×11 in paper, on which any notes can be written (front and back). A calculator may be used for simple calculations only (e.g., no stored formulas). No other materials are allowed (including textbooks, computers, and other electronics). To receive full credit on multi-point problems, you must thoroughly explain how you arrived at your solutions. Each problem is divided up into several parts. Many parts can be answered independently, so if you are stuck on a particular part, you may wish to skip that part and return to it later. Good luck.

A particular gambling scheme involves n rounds of play. In the first round, the payoff for is $X_1 \sim exp(\theta, \lambda)$ for some payoff parameters θ and λ . For the ith round, the payoff is $X_i|X_{i-1} \sim exp(\theta + X_{i-1}, \lambda + X_{i-1})$. Recall: we say $X \sim exp(a, b)$ if:

$$f_X(x) = ae^{-a(x-b)} \mathbb{1}\{x \ge b\}$$

- (a) (5 points) I give you the observed payouts from all n rounds, $X_1, ..., X_n$. What is the maximum likelihood estimate of λ , namely λ_{ML} ?
- (b) (8 points) Assume we know λ_{ML} . I give you the observed payouts from all n rounds, $X_1, ..., X_n$. Write down an optimization problem for θ_{ML} . Be sure to transform the optimization to a more computationally tractable form.
- (c) (8 points) Write down an optimization algorithm that will optimize the function from the previous part. There are several choices; you may choose the simplest. Describe how the algorithm proceeds, and write an expression for the update rule, which should only involve θ , λ_{ML} , and the data $X_1, ..., X_n$.
- (d) (4 points) In terms of the data $X_1, ..., X_n$, what are the smallest and largest values that λ_{ML} can be?

The following questions all consider a binary classifier $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \{-1, +1\}$.

- (a) (3 points) Do most machine learning algorithms use risk R(f) or empirical risk $\hat{R}_n(f)$, and why?
- (b) (3 points) If the training data $\{(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n)\}$ for a fixed classifier f are n iid draws from the true underlying distribution of the data, what is:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left| R(f) - \hat{R}_n(f) \right|$$

Please make a simple argument; no proof is required. (Technical note: you may assume that R(f) is well behaved such that questions of convergence are all appropriately satisfied).

- (c) (3 points) Under the usual 01 loss, what is the range of R(f)? With this answer, interpret R(f) in words as a probability (one sentence will suffice).
- (d) (2 points) Training procedure 1 chooses linear classifiers f^1 entirely at random. Now the risk $R(f^1)$ is a random variable (a function of the random variable f^1). What is $E(R(f^1))$ under the 01 loss?
- (e) (2 points) Training procedure 2 uses a soft-margin SVM to choose a linear classifier f^2 according to a training set $\{(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n)\}$ drawn iid from the true underlying distribution. By analogy to the previous part, you can consider that training procedure 2 chooses linear classifiers f^2 better than entirely at random. Do you expect $E(R(f^2))$ to be larger or smaller than $E(R(f^1))$, again under the same 01 loss?
- (f) (8 points) Training procedure 3 repeats training procedure 2 independently m times (assume m is odd), each time with a new training set drawn iid from the true underlying distribution, producing classifiers $f_1^2, f_2^2, ... f_m^2$. If I let $f^3(x) = \text{sign}\left(\sum_{k=1}^m f_k^2(x)\right)$. What is $E(R(f^3))$ in terms of $E(R(f^2))$? Do not try to simplify the solution entirely.
- (g) (4 points) Training procedure 4 uses AdaBoost, with m classifiers of type f^2 , on a single training set $\{(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n)\}$ to produce f^4 . Do you expect $E(R(f^4))$ to be larger or smaller than $E(R(f^3))$, again under the same 0-1 loss?

Consider a soft-margin, linear support vector machine:

$$\min_{\mathbf{v}_H, b, \xi} \quad \|\mathbf{v}_H\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2$$
s.t.
$$y_i(\langle \mathbf{v}_H, x_i \rangle - b) \ge 1 - \xi_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\xi_i \ge 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

- (a) (3 points) For increasing C, will the margin increase or decrease, and why?
- (b) (3 points) For increasing C, will $||\mathbf{v}_H||$ increase or decrease, and why?
- (c) (4 points) For increasing C, will training error increase or decrease, and why?
- (d) (4 points) For increasing C, should testing error increase or decrease, and why?
- (e) (7 points) Consider the following training data in \mathbb{R}^2 . For a large but finite value of C, what will be the training error? (Note: do not try to run an SVM by hand. You should draw the data and argue the answer in a few sentences.)

	U					0.5						
Ī	x_i^2	0.0	-1.0	1.0	0.5	-0.5	1.0	-1.0	0.0	-0.5	0.5	0.0
ſ	y_i	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	+1

(f) (4 points) Consider the data in the previous part. For a large but finite value of C, what are the support vectors?

Let \mathcal{G} be a convex, differentiable constraint set on \mathbb{R}^d , and $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be the following convex objective:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{\mathsf{T}}Px + a^{\mathsf{T}}x + b.$$

Let the constraint function g(x) be:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} <0 & x \in in(\mathcal{G}) \\ = 0 & x \in \partial(\mathcal{G}) \\ > 0 & x \notin \mathcal{G}. \end{cases}$$

Let $x^* = \arg\min_x f(x)$ (unconstrained) and $x^*_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the constrained solution to:

$$\min_{x} f(x)$$
s.t. $g(x) \le 0$

- (a) (3 points) Say $g(x^*) \leq 0$. What is $\nabla f(x^*)$?
- (b) (3 points) Say $g(x^*) > 0$. What is $\nabla f(x^*)$?
- (c) (4 points) Using the given form of f(x), what is the update step for a Newton's method in the unconstrained problem?
- (d) (4 points) What does this answer indicate about the convergence of Newton's method for this particular choice of f(x)?
- (e) (4 points) Let $g(x) = |c^{\top}x|$. Draw the constrained problem if d = 2. Include representations of f(x), g(x), c (you need not represent P, a, b explicitly).
- (f) (7 points) Write out the optimality conditions explicitly, and simplify as much as possible. Hint: it is possible to write these conditions as a single matrix-vector solve, which allows us to find $\begin{bmatrix} x_{\mathcal{G}}^* \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix}$ in closed form.