Comprehensive Analysis of Cell Type Differences Significance levels: *** p<0.001, ** p<0.01, * p<0.05, ns = not significant Statistical Significance of Pairwise Comparisons MP1 MP2 ns Proagio+Folfiri Proagio+Folfiri ns ns ns Proagio ns Proagio ns Folfiri Folfiri -log10(adj.P) 2.5 FORT Folfiti Group 2 2.0 1.5 MP3 MP4 1.0 0.5 Proagio+Folfiri Proagio+Folfiri ns ns ns ns ns Proagio ns Proagio ns ns ns Folfiri ns Folfiri ns Control Group 1 Effect Sizes of Pairwise Comparisons MP1 MP2 6 2 Cohen's d Effect Size Folfiri. Proagio+Folfiri Control. Proagio Folfiri. Proagio Control. Proagio+Folfiri Folfiri. Proagio+Folfiri Proagio. Proagio + Folfiri Folfiri. Proagio Proagio.Proagio+Folfiri Control.Proagio significance MP3 MP4 2 Folfiri. Proagio+Folfiri Folfiri.Proagio+Folfiri Control.Proagio Folfiri.Proagio Control.Proagio+Folfiri Control.Proagio Folfiri.Proagio Proagio.Proagio+Folfiri Proagio. Proagio+Folfiri Comparison Log2 Fold Changes of Pairwise Comparisons Log2 Fold Change significance MP3 MP4 -2 Comparison Cell Type Proportions Across Treatment Groups Significance levels: *** p<0.001, ** p<0.01, * p<0.05 MP3 MP4 MP1 MP2 0.5 0.06 1.2 0.4 0.4 Proportion 0.3 0.04 0.2 0.2 0.02 0.1 0.1 Treatment Group