

## Video 2.1 A Customer Analytics Dataset to Illustrate Indicator Variables

The instructor used a simulated data set which mimics data from a direct marketing firm. The data set contains variables including indicator variables and numerical variables. **Indicator variable is also known as dummy variable.** We are trying to predict the amount customers spent on buying products using customer characteristics such as age (indicator variable), salary (numerical variable), location (indicator variable). A quick look of the dataset:

### First 10 Rows of the *dirmkt* Dataframe

Age	Gender	OwnHome	Married	Location	Salary	Children	History	Catalogs	AmountSpent
Old	Female	Own	Single	Far	47500	0	High	6	75.5
Middle	Male	Rent	Single	Close	63600	0	High	6	131.8
Young	Female	Rent	Single	Close	13500	0	Low	18	29.6
Middle	Male	Own	Married	Close	85600	1	High	18	243.6
Middle	Female	Own	Single	Close	68400	0	High	12	130.4
Young	Male	Own	Married	Close	30400	0	Low	6	49.5
Middle	Female	Rent	Single	Close	48100	0	Medium	12	78.2
Middle	Male	Own	Single	Close	68400	0	High	18	115.5
Middle	Female	Own	Married	Close	51900	3	Low	6	15.8
Old	Male	Own	Married	Far	80700	0	None	18	303.4

The instructor first ran a regression on whether salary has an influence on AmountSpent. The result is as follows:

	Estimate	S.E.	t Value	Pr> t
<i>Intercept</i>	-1.531783	4.537416	-0.338	0.736
<i>Salary</i>	0.002196	0.000071	30.930 ***	<.001

R-squared	Adjusted R-squared
0.722	0.721

Note that the adjusted R-squared is the R-squared value adjusted for degree of freedom.

## Video 2.2 Creating and Using Indicator (Dummy) Variables

The instructor first tests whether categorical variable 'Age' has an effect on AmountSpent. 'Age' has three possible values: Young, Middle, or Old. To create indicator variables for Age, we need two indicator (dummy) variables. The base case, with both dummy variables set to 0, is Age = Young. It is up to modeler to determine which value of the categorical variable is used as the base case. Therefore, the two dummy variables we have are:

$$\text{AgeMid} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if Age} = \text{Middle} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{AgeOld} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if Age} = \text{Old} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For example, when AgeMid = 0, AgeOld = 1, the record is for someone whose age is old. Note that AgeMid and AgeOld cannot be 1 at the same time since every individual has to be in exactly one age category.

## Video 2.3 Interpreting the Coefficients of Indicator Variables

The instructor runs the regression model

$$\text{AmountSpent} = b_0 + b_1 * \text{AgeMid} + b_2 * \text{AgeOld}$$

Here is the result,

	Estimate	S.E.	t Value	Pr> t
Intercept	55.862	5.112	10.93***	<.001
AgeMid	94.307	6.395	14.75***	<.001
AgeOld	87.350	7.919	11.03***	<.001

Note that with AgeMid and AgeOld are 0,  $b_0$  captures the average AmountSpent of customers who are Young. With AgeMid = 1 and AgeOld = 0,  $b_0 + b_1$  capture the average AmountSpent of customer who are middle-aged. \$94.307 is the increase in AmountSpent (on average) for middle-aged customers compared to young customers.

In R, we can use a Factor Variable in regression to create dummy variables

**lm(AmountSpent ~ Age, data = dirmkt)**

where dirmkt is the dataset. R's indicator variable coding scheme can be found by using:

**contrasts(dirmkt\$Age)**

Next the instructor runs 2<sup>nd</sup> regression with Salary and dummy variable Age.

$$\text{AmountSpent} = b_0 + b_1 * \text{Salary} + b_2 * \text{AgeMid} + b_3 * \text{AgeOld}$$

The result is as follows:

	Estimate	S.E.	t Value	Pr> t
Intercept	-6.12	4.72	-1.30	0.20
Salary	.002	.00009	25	<.001
AgeMid	-4.81	6.39	-0.75	0.45
AgeOld	23.28	6.72	3.46	<.001

For one unit increase in salary, the average AmountSpent increases by \$0.002.

Here is a quiz in the video, I think the answer is straightforward:

- What does this result mean?
  - A. Middle-aged customers spend the most
  - B. Old customers spend the least
  - C. Old customers spend more than young customers
  - D. At the same salary level, old customers spend more than young customers

What is the correct answer?

**D. At the same salary level, old customers spend more than young customers**

D is the correct answer.

#### Video 2.4 Interaction Term and Interpreting its Coefficient

The instructor first runs a regression using variables Salary and Location. Location is a categorical variable with 'Close' if the customer lives close to a store and 'Far' otherwise.

$$\text{AmountSpent} = b_0 + b_1 * \text{Salary} + b_2 * \text{Far}$$

The regression result is:

	Estimate	S.E.	t Value	Pr> t
Intercept	-20.480	4.413	-4.64	<.0001
Salary	0.002	0.00007	34.05	<.0001
Far	59.060	4.414	13.38	<.0001

Multiple R-Squared: 0.5672, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5663

However, in this above model, **we assume that customers who live far away from a store that sells similar products will spend at the same rate as customers who live close to a store.** For example, if the salary increases by \$1000, no matter the customer lives far away or close, the

average AmountSpent increase is \$2. One way of extending this model to allow for interaction effects is to include a third predictor, called an **interaction term**. In this case, we add a new variable SalaryFar which is Salary \* Far.

$$\text{AmountSpent} = b_0 + b_1 * \text{Salary} + b_2 * \text{Far} + b_3 * \text{SalaryFar}$$

The regression result is:

	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>S.E.</b>	<b>t Value</b>	<b>Pr&gt; t </b>
Intercept	1.448	4.808	0.30	0.76
Salary	0.002	0.000	24.72	<.0001
Far	-13.460	8.680	-1.55	0.12
SalaryFar	0.001	0.000	9.57	<.0001

Multiple R-Squared: 0.6036,     Adjusted R-squared: 0.6024

The coefficient  $b_3$  is the amount to add to  $b_1$  to get the slope for individuals who live far away. If the salary of a customer who lives close increases by \$10,000, the predicted increase in AmountSpent is  $0.002 * \$10000 = \$20$ .

If the salary of a customer who lives far away increases by \$10,000, the predicted increase in AmountSpent is  $(0.002 + 0.001) * \$10000 = \$30$ .

## Video 2.5 Another Example of Using Indicator Variables

The instructor uses a concrete example to summarize what we have learnt. The dataset is an AirBnB for Los Angeles Rental Market.

Listing data on AirBnB is publicly available at <http://insideairbnb.com/los-angeles/> and <http://insideairbnb.com/get-the-data.html>

About the data used:

- Listing data collected on May 2, 2017
- We discarded listings with price greater than \$1000 and missing values for beds, baths, and rating

```
$ Price          : num  50 55 150 30 45 80 120 55 50 50 ...
$ Reviews        : int   33 14 22 3 38 42 15 58 19 1 ...
$ Beds           : int   1 1 3 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 ...
$ Baths          : num   1 1 1 1 1 1.5 1 2 0 2 ...
$ Capacity       : int   2 2 6 1 2 2 2 3 1 2 ...
$ Monthly_Reviews : num   1.91 1.72 2.12 0.18 7.92 1.89 1.96 2.98 0.53 0.04 ...
$ Room_Type      : Factor w/ 3 levels "Shared room",...: 2 2 3 2 2 2 3 2 2 2 ...
$ Rating         : int   93 100 100 93 98 99 99 92 89 NA ...
```

We are going to find whether there is a relationship between capacity and price and whether Room\_Type (shared, private, or full house) changes this relationship.

## Data wrangling

```
la_listing <- la_listing %>%
  mutate(Price = str_replace(Price, "[\$]", "")) %>%
  mutate(Price = str_replace(Price, "[,]", "")) %>%
  mutate(Price = as.numeric(Price)) %>%
  mutate(Room_Type = factor(Room_Type, levels = c("Shared room", "Private room", "Entire home/apt"))) %>%
  mutate(Capacity_Sqr = Capacity * Capacity) %>%
  mutate(Beds_Sqr = Beds * Beds) %>%
  mutate(Baths_Sqr = Baths * Baths) %>%
  mutate(In_Reviews = log(1+Reviews)) %>%
  mutate(In_Monthly_Reviews = log(1+Monthly_Reviews))
  mutate(In_Price = log(1+Price)) %>%
  mutate(In_Beds = log(1+Beds)) %>%
  mutate(In_Baths = log(1+Baths)) %>%
  mutate(In_Capacity = log(1+Capacity)) %>%
  mutate(In_Rating = log(1+Rating)) %>%
  mutate(Shared_ind = ifelse(Room_Type == "Shared room", 1, 0)) %>%
  mutate(House_ind = ifelse(Room_Type == "Entire home/apt", 1, 0)) %>%
  mutate(Private_ind = ifelse(Room_Type == "Private room", 1, 0)) %>%
  mutate(Capacity_x_Shared_ind = Shared_ind * Capacity) %>%
  mutate(Capacity_x_House_ind = House_ind * Capacity) %>%
  mutate(Capacity_x_Private_ind = Private_ind * Capacity) %>%
  mutate(In_Capacity_x_Shared_ind = Shared_ind * In_Capacity) %>%
  mutate(In_Capacity_x_House_ind = House_ind * In_Capacity) %>%
  mutate(In_Capacity_x_Private_ind = Private_ind * In_Capacity)
  filter(Price < 1000 , !is.na(Beds), !is.na(Baths), !is.na(Price), !is.na(Rating))
```

Convert price to numeric and room\_type to factor

Create squared terms for testing non-linear relations

Create log terms for testing non-linear relations

Create dummy variables for room\_type

Create interaction terms

Filter unwanted data



First we run regression on Price against Capacity.

$$Price = b_0 + b_1 * Capacity$$

The result is

	Estimate	S.E.	t Value	Pr> t
Intercept	15.039	1.141	13.19***	<.001
Capacity	38.272	0.316	114.72***	<.001

R-squared	Adjusted R-squared
0.367	0.367

Next, we use Room\_Type to run regression:

$$Price = b_0 + b_1 * Private\_ind + b_2 * House\_ind$$

Note that the base case is 'Shared'. The result is

	Estimate	S.E.	t Value	Pr> t
Intercept	37.149	2.954	12.58***	<.001
Private_ind	35.666	3.123	11.42***	<.001
House-ind	133.442	3.058	43.64***	<.001

The shared room's average price is \$37.149; the private room's average price is \$37.149 + \$ 35.666; the house's average price is \$37.149 + \$133.442.

Next we run regression using Capacity and Room\_Type,

$$Price = b_0 + b_1 * Capacity + b_2 * Private\_ind + b_3 * House\_ind$$

The regression result is

	Estimate	S.E.	t Value	Pr> t
Intercept	-19.017	2.678	-7.101	<.001
Capacity	29.292	0.355	82.605	<.001
Private_ind	30.339	2.739	11.076	<.001
House-ind	75.776	2.771	27.346	<.001

The average price increase for each additional individual is \$29.292.

Next we are adding interaction terms:

$$Price = b_0 + b_1 * Capacity + b_2 * Private\_ind + b_3 * House\_ind + b_4 * P\_Cap + b_5 * H\_Cap$$

where  $P\_Cap = Private\_ind * Capacity$ ,  $H\_Cap = House\_ind * Capacity$ .

The regression result is

	Estimate	S.E.	t Value	Pr> t
Intercept	35.885	4.111	8.728***	<.001
Capacity	0.659	1.687	0.391	0.695980
Private_ind	20.684	4.672	4.427***	<.001
House_ind	2.293	4.423	0.518	0.604147
P_Cap	7.080	1.947	3.636***	<.001
H_Cap	33.414	1.729	19.323***	<.001

$b_4$  is the amount to add to  $b_1$  to get the slope for a private room.

$b_5$  is the amount to add to  $b_1$  to get the slope for a house.