Dynamic instances

Albert ten Napel

```
-- Dynamic instances
-- First let's see why dynamic instances of effects are needed
-- Let's say we'd like to implement the following imperative algorithm
function f(b: boolean, input: int) {
 var x = input;
 if (b) {
   var y = x + 1;
   x = y * y;
 } else {
   x = x + 1;
 return x;
-- Let's define the State effect
effect State t {
 get : () -> t
 set : t -> ()
-- with a standard state handler
-- with koka style parameterized handlers
runState : t -> r!<State t | e> -> r!e
runState = handle v {
 get () k -> k v v
 set v k -> k v ()
-- Now a possible implementation of f using the state effect
```

```
-- we need two state cells, so we use a pair
f : Bool -> Int -> Int
f b input = runState (input, 0) $ do
  (if b then do
    (x, y) \leftarrow get();
    set (x, x + 1); -- var y = x + 1
    (_, y) <- get ();
    set (y * y, y) -- x = y * y
  else do
    (x, y) \leftarrow get();
    set (x + 1, y) -- x = x + 1
  (x, _) \leftarrow get ();
  return x
-- The state is encapsulated, but we have to give an initial value
-- for y even though we might not need y
-- so we want to actually use runState inside of the if
f' b input = runState input $ do
  (if b then do
    x <- get ();
    return $ runState (x + 1) $ do --var y = x + 1
      y <- get ();
      set (y * y)) -- x = y * y
      -- Problem: we want to set x but the inner runState
      -- handles the set operation for y!
-- we actually want two state effects, so that
-- the operations don't interfere
effect State1 t {
 get1 : () -> t
  set1 : t -> ()
}
effect State2 t {
 get2 : () -> t
 set2 : t -> ()
}
```

```
-- with the obvious runStates
runState1 : t -> r!<State1 t | e> -> r!e
runState2 : t -> r!<State2 t | e> -> r!e
-- now we can implement the function properly
f'' b input = runState1 input $ do
 (if b then do
   x <- get1 ();
   return $ runState2 (x + 1) $ do --var y = x + 1
     y <- get2 ();
      set1 (y * y) -- x = y * y
 else do
   x <- get1 ();
   set1 (x + 1); --x = x + 1
 x <- get1 ();
 return x
-- This works but requires us to know exactly how many
-- state values we want to manipulate
-- Now let's look at the following imperative function
-- that replaces each element in the list with the
-- greatest element that came before it (or itself)
function runningMax(list) {
 var max = 0;
 for(var i = 0; i < list.length; i++) {</pre>
   var cur = list[i];
   if(cur > max) {
     max = cur;
   } else {
      list[i] = max;
   }
 }
 return list;
}
-- Implementation in Haskell
-- (I could have used MArray, but I wanted to
```

```
-- show the list of references being created)
runningMax :: [Int] -> ST s [Int]
runningMax 1 = do
 max <- newSTRef 0
 newl <- mapM newSTRef 1</pre>
 runningMax' max newl 0
   where
      runningMax' max newl i = do
        if i >= (length newl) then
          mapM readSTRef newl
        else do
          cmax <- readSTRef max</pre>
          let cur = 1 !! i
          if cur > cmax then
            writeSTRef max cur
          else
            writeSTRef (newl !! i) cmax
          runningMax' max newl (i + 1)
-- Here we first create a list of new references
-- because we don't know the size of the list, we
-- also don't know how many references we are creating.
-- Furthermore we are looping through the list,
-- so we are also dynamically choosing which reference
-- to dereference.
-- similar to the state1 and state2 defined above,
-- we want state1 to staten, where n is the length of the list,
-- but since the length of the list is not statically known
-- we don't know how many state effects we need.
-- Also we are calling different references (operations) dynamically
-- So we don't know statically which state effect we are using.
-- This is where dynamic instances come in.
-- Similar to state1, state2 they create a new instance of the effect,
-- where the operations are called on the new instance, providing an
-- isolated version of the effect.
-- For the state effect an instance can be seen as a reference.
```

```
-- Now we can write the function f'' using instances
-- We have the following type constructors (in our hypothetical language)
State : Type -> Eff
Inst : Eff -> Type
Handler : Eff -> Type
-- And the following runState
-- (that takes an instance and a initial value as argument)
runState : Inst (State t) -> t -> t
fInst : Bool -> Int -> Int
fInst b input =
 let ref1 = new State in
 runState ref1 input $ do
  (if b then do
   x <- ref1#get ();
   let ref2 = new State;
   return $ runState ref2 (x + 1) $ do --var y = x + 1
      y <- ref2#get ();</pre>
      ref1#set (y * y) -- x = y * y
 else do
   x <- ref1#get ();</pre>
   ref1#set (x + 1); --x = x + 1
 x <- ref1#get ();
 return x
-- References in this style are just new instances of the State effect
-- followed by a runState
-- Let's make a new effect that does this for us
New : Eff -> Eff
-- The New effect as one operation called new' which
-- takes an effect, a handler for that effect and returns
-- an instance of the effect
new' : (e:Eff) -> Handler e -> (Inst e)!<New e>
-- The default handler of new
handler handleNew {
 new' e h k ->
   let inst = new e in -- create a new instance of the give effect
   handle (k inst) with (h inst)
```

```
-- run the continuation with the instance and handle with h
}
-- now we can define ref in terms of the New effect
-- ref gives the runState as the handler to wrap with to new'
ref : t -> Inst (State t)!<New (State t)>
ref v = new' (State t) (\inst -> runState inst v)
-- and we can define a function that uses refs
fRef : Int!<New (State Int)>
fRef = do
 r1 <- ref 1;
 r2 <- ref 2;
 x <- r1#get ();
 r2#set (x * 2);
 y <- r#set ();
 return (x + y)
-- we can run the state with handleNew
-- which will wrap every reference by a runState handler
result : Int
result = handleNew fRef
```