Thesis report

Albert ten Napel

1 Simply typed lambda calculus

As the core of the calculi that follow we have chosen the fine-grain call-by-value[?] variant of the simply typed lambda calculus (STLC-fg). Terms are divided in values and computations, which allows the system to be extended to have effects more easily, since values never have effects but computations do.

$\tau ::=$	(types)
$\quad ()$	(unit type)
$\quad \tau \to \tau$	(type of functions)
$\nu ::=$	(values)
$\quad x, y, z, k$	(variables)
$\quad ()$	(unit value)
$\quad \lambda x.c$	(abstraction)
$c ::=$	(computations)
$\quad \textit{return}\, \nu$	(return value as computation)
$\quad \nu\ \nu$	(application)
$\quad x \leftarrow c; c$	(sequencing)

For the typing rules there are two judgements, $\Gamma \vdash \nu : \tau$ for assigning types to values and $\Gamma \vdash c : \tau$ for assigning types to computations.

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash () : ()} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash c : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.c : \tau_1 \to \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \nu : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathit{return}\, \nu : \tau} \qquad \frac{\begin{array}{c}\Gamma \vdash \nu_1 : \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \\ \Gamma \vdash \nu_2 : \tau_1\end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \nu_1\ \nu_2 : \tau_2} \qquad \frac{\begin{array}{c}\Gamma \vdash c_1 : \tau_1 \\ \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash c_2 : \tau_2\end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash (x \leftarrow c_1; c_2) : \tau_2}$$

We define the relation $\rightsquigarrow$ for the small-step operational semantics.

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x.c)\ \nu \rightsquigarrow c[\nu/x]} \qquad \frac{c_1 \rightsquigarrow c_1'}{(x \leftarrow c_1; c_2) \rightsquigarrow (x \leftarrow c_1'; c_2)}$$

$$\frac{}{(x \leftarrow \mathit{return}\, \nu; c) \rightsquigarrow c[\nu/x]}$$

```
-- As an example we define a forking combinator.

-- In the usual formulation of
-- the simply typed lambda calculus:
\f g h x . f (g x) (h x)

-- In the fine-grain call-by-value
-- simply typed lambda calculus:
\f g h x . (y <- g x; z <- h x; f y z)
-- In this system we have to be explicit
-- about the order of evaluation.
```

2 STLC-fg with effects

We now add effects to STLC-fg. Computations can use effects, these will be annotated in function types. We assume there is a predefined set of effects $E := \{\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n\}$, where ε is a single effect name and ε^* is some subset of E. In a real programming language these effects would include IO, non-determinism, concurrency, mutable state and so on.
In the syntax we only change the type of functions to include the effects that will be performed when applying the function.

$$\begin{aligned} \tau ::=& ... && \text{(types)} \\ & \tau \rightarrow \varepsilon^* \; \tau && \text{(type of functions)} \end{aligned}$$

In the typing judgment of computations we now also capture the effects that are performed: $\Gamma \vdash c : \tau \; ; \varepsilon^*$.
For the value typing rules only the abstraction rule changes:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash c : \tau_2 \; ; \varepsilon^*}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.c : \tau_1 \rightarrow \varepsilon^* \; \tau_2}$$

In the computation typing rules we now have to pass through the effects:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \nu : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathit{return}\, \nu : \tau \; ; \varnothing} \qquad \frac{\begin{array}{l}\Gamma \vdash \nu_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \varepsilon^* \; \tau_2 \\ \Gamma \vdash \nu_2 : \tau_1\end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \nu_1 \; \nu_2 : \tau_2 \; ; \varepsilon^*} \qquad \frac{\begin{array}{c}\Gamma \vdash c_1 : \tau_1 \; ; \varepsilon^* \\ \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash c_2 : \tau_2 \; ; \varepsilon^*\end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash (x \leftarrow c_1; c_2) : \tau_2 \; ; \varepsilon^*}$$

To be able to combine effects we need to allow weakening of effect sets.

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l}\Gamma \vdash c : \tau \; ; \varepsilon_1^* \\ \varepsilon_1^* \subseteq \varepsilon_2^*\end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash c : \tau \; ; \varepsilon_2^*}$$

The semantics do not need to be changed.

```
-- Example program
-- assume the following effects:
-- IO (input/output), RND (non-determinism), State (mutable state)
-- and the following functions:
-- getBool : () -> {IO} Bool -- get boolean from standard input
-- showBool : Bool -> {IO} () -- print boolean to standard output
--
-- randBool : () -> {RND} Bool -- get a random boolean
--
-- get : () -> {State} Bool -- get the current (boolean) state
-- put : Bool -> {State} () -- change the state

showRandBool : () -> {IO, RND} ()
showRandBool = \u.
  b <- randBool ();
  showBool b

changeBoolFromInput : () -> {IO, State} ()
changeBoolFromInput = \u.
  b <- getBool ();
  put b

changeBoolAndShowRandBool : () -> {IO, State, RND} ()
changeBoolAndShowRandBool = \u.
  changeBoolFromInput ();
  showRandBool ()
```

3 Algebraic effects

We extend the calculus with basic algebraic effects. For every effect ε we now have a set of operations O^{ε}, where op is a single operation. Each operation op has a parameter type τ^0_{op} and a return type τ^1_{op}. We extend the syntax of the previous calculus as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
\tau ::= \ldots & & \text{(types)} \\
& \varepsilon^* \; \tau \Rightarrow \varepsilon^* \; \tau & \text{(type of handlers)} \\
\nu ::= \ldots & & \text{(values)} \\
& \mathit{handler} \; \{\mathit{return} \; x \to c, \mathit{op}_1(x; k) \to c, \ldots, \mathit{op}_n(x; k) \to c\} & \text{(handler)} \\
c ::= \ldots & & \text{(computations)} \\
& \mathit{op}(\nu; \lambda x.c) & \text{(operation call)} \\
& \mathit{with} \; \nu \; \mathit{handle} \; c & \text{(handle computation)}
\end{array}
$$

Note that the operation call also packages a value and a continuation inside of it, having the continuation makes the semantics easier. We can get back the simpler operation calls such as seen in Eff and Koka by defining $op := \lambda x.op(x; \lambda y.return\; y)$ (Pretnar calls these Generic Effects in [**?**]).

3.1 Typing rules

We have to be able to weaken the handler types in order to make sure we can handle a computation that has more effects than just the ones that the handler handles. Note that we have to make sure the same effects are added on both sides of the arrow.

$$
\frac{\begin{array}{l}\Gamma \vdash \nu : \varepsilon^*_1 \; \tau_1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon^*_2 \; \tau_2 \\ \varepsilon^*_1 \subseteq \varepsilon^*_3 \\ \varepsilon^*_2 \subseteq \varepsilon^*_4 \\ \varepsilon^*_3 - \varepsilon^*_1 = \varepsilon^*_4 - \varepsilon^*_2\end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \nu : \varepsilon^*_3 \; \tau_1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon^*_4 \; \tau_2}
$$

The typing rules for the values of the previous calculus stay the same. For the handler we go over the operations in the handler, check that they are operations of the same effect and get the type of the computation in the cases. The effects in each case must match.

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} op_i \in O^{\varepsilon_1^*} \\ \Gamma, x_r : \tau_1 \vdash c_r : \tau_2 \; ; \varepsilon_2^* \\ \Gamma, x_i : \tau^0_{op_i}, k_i : \tau^1_{op_i} \to \varepsilon_2^* \; \tau_2 \vdash c_i : \tau_2 \; ; \varepsilon_2^* \end{array}}{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash \mathit{handler} \; \{\mathit{return} \; x_r \to c_r, op_1(x_1; k_1) \to c_1, ..., op_n(x_n; k_n) \to c_n\} \\ \quad : \varepsilon_1^* \; \tau_1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_2^* \; \tau_2 \end{array}}$$

The typing rules of the computations of the previous calculus stay the same. For operation calls we have to check that the effect that belongs to the operation is contained in the resulting effect set.

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash \nu : \tau^0_{op} \\ \Gamma, x : \tau^1_{op} \vdash c : \tau \; ; \varepsilon^* \\ op \in O^{\varepsilon} \wedge \varepsilon \in \varepsilon^* \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash op(\nu; \lambda x.c) : \tau \; ; \varepsilon^*} \qquad \frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash \nu : \varepsilon_1^* \; \tau_1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_2^* \; \tau_2 \\ \Gamma \vdash c : \tau_1 \; ; \varepsilon_1^* \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathit{with} \; \nu \; \mathit{handle} \; c : \tau_2 \; ; \varepsilon_2^*}$$

3.2 Semantics

Following are the small-step operational semantics of the calculus taken from [?]. The rule for abstractions stays the same. With the computations we can always either get to *return* ν or $op(\nu; \lambda x.c)$ by floating out the operation call. This makes the semantics of the handle computation easier since we only have to consider the cases of return and operation calls.

$$\frac{c_1 \rightsquigarrow c_1'}{(x \leftarrow c_1; c_2) \rightsquigarrow (x \leftarrow c_1'; c_2)} \qquad \frac{}{(x \leftarrow \mathit{return} \; \nu; c) \rightsquigarrow c[\nu/x]}$$

$$\frac{}{(x \leftarrow op(\nu; \lambda y.c_1); c_2) \rightsquigarrow op(\nu; \lambda y.(x \leftarrow c_1; c_2))}$$

$h := \mathit{handler} \; \{\mathit{return} \; x_r \to c_r, op_1(x_1; k_1) \to c_1, ..., op_n(x_n; k_n) \to c_n\}$, in the following rules:

$$\frac{c \rightsquigarrow c'}{\mathit{with} \; h \; \mathit{handle} \; c \rightsquigarrow \mathit{with} \; h \; \mathit{handle} \; c'} \qquad \frac{}{\mathit{with} \; h \; \mathit{handle} \; (\mathit{return} \; \nu) \rightsquigarrow c_r[\nu/x_r]}$$

$$\frac{op_i \in \{op_1, ..., op_n\}}{\textit{with } h \textit{ handle } op_i(\nu; \lambda x.c) \rightsquigarrow c_i[\nu/x_i, (\lambda x.\textit{with } h \textit{ handle } c)/k_i]}$$

$$\frac{op \notin \{op_1, ..., op_n\}}{\textit{with } h \textit{ handle } op(\nu; \lambda x.c) \rightsquigarrow op(\nu; \lambda x.\textit{with } h \textit{ handle } c)}$$

3.3 Examples

```
-- Assume the following effects, operations and operation signatures.
effect Flip {
  flip : () -> Bool
}

-- Defining generic effects for easier use
flip : () -> {Flip} Bool
flip = \u. flip((); \x.return x)

-- Defining a handler for Flip
flipTrue : {Flip} Bool => Bool
flipTrue = handler {
  return x -> return x
  flip(x; k) -> k True
}

-- A program that uses Flip
flipProgram : Bool -> {Flip} Bool
flipProgram = \b.
  x <- flip ();
  b && x

-- Handling the program
flipProgramResult : () -> Bool
flipProgramResult =
  \u. with flipTrue handle (flipProgram False)
```

References

[1] Levy, PaulBlain, John Power, and Hayo Thielecke. "Modelling environments in call-by-value programming languages." Information and computation 185.2 (2003): 182-210.

[2] Pretnar, Matija. "An introduction to algebraic effects and handlers. invited tutorial paper." Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science 319 (2015): 19-35.

[3] Bauer, Andrej, and Matija Pretnar. "An effect system for algebraic effects and handlers." International Conference on Algebra and Coalgebra in Computer Science. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013.