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Ecologists have long sought to understand the general drivers of biodiversity. One of the most robust empirical generalizations in ecology is the positive relationship between species richness and area (the species-area relationship; SAR). In 1921, XXX formulated the SAR as a power-law ( is the number of species observed in a given geographic area ), an equation currently known as the Arrhenius species-area relationship. Since then, the spatial scaling of species richness has been observed in nearly all taxonomic groups, although the underlying mechanisms are still hotly debated. The SAR is ubiquitous because multiple mechanisms produce an apparently similar pattern. Larger ecosystems typically support more diverse metacommunities due to increased habitat diversity, larger metacommunity size, and/or enhanced colonization dynamics. Importantly, the SAR provides the foundation for global conservation efforts. For example, conservation ecologists have used the SAR estimates to design marine and terrestrial protected areas, which currently encompass more than ~ km² globally.

Many ecosystems, however, possess complex spatial structure that cannot be represented by area, a dimension referred to as the scale-invariant complexity. Such complexity is evident in branching ecosystems, including rivers, trees, and mountain ranges, to name just a few. Geomorphic or biological processes generate a pronounced self-similarity in complex branching patterns such that the part and the whole look alike. Even though the branching structure is independent of spatial scale, it forms a physical template that determines habitat diversity and dispersal corridors for living organisms. Evidence suggests that classic theories cannot predict ecological dynamics driven by branching structure, and this recognition has led to recent developments of spatial theories devoted to complex branching ecosystems1–4. However, most research either has explored the consequences of branching complexity for local biodiversity patterns or relied on theoretical arguments with limited replicates of artificial branching networks. At present, no studies explored how ecosystem size and complexity jointly regulate species diversity at the metacommunity level (i. e., diversity). This knowledge gap is critical because conservation policies are generally made at the metacommunity level or beyond. Therefore, there is a clear need to expand our knowledge to the larger spatial scales.

Here, we hypothesize that ecosystem size and complexity dictate diversity in rivers, a prime example of complex branching ecosystems. In branching river networks, diverse streams recurrently join to form a larger, ecologically distinct channel. As such, the complexity of branching structure, which we define here as the probability of branching per unit river distance,4,5 should control the habitat heterogeneity of the ecosystem (habitat diversity per unit area). Meanwhile, ecosystem size (watershed area) should determine the metacommunity size and total habitat diversity (area x heterogeneity). We predict that ecosystem size and branching complexity increase diversity. The present study combines theory and statistical analysis of extensive community data to provide crucial insights into how ecological communities are structured in complex branching networks.

## Theory of riverine biodiversity

First, we theoretically analyzed the influences of ecosystem size and branching complexity on diversity. We constructed a general simulation model of metacommunity dynamics,6 in which 50 competing species disperse and spatially interact in a random branching network. Simulated species with different niche in abiotic conditions (optimum and width) compete for resources with varied strengths. For simplicity, other ecological traits, such as dispersal probability, are assumed to be identical among species. Here, we depicted branching ecosystems as a spatial network of connected habitat patches (or a discretized river section; see **Figure 1**) where mean environmental conditions at each habitat patch are determined by two factors: (1) the headwater environments (the most upstream habitat patch) and (2) local environmental noise. Environmental values at the headwaters are drawn randomly from a normal distribution and propagates downstream with local environmental noise (i.e., the spatial autoregressive process with white noise). These values recurrently ‘mix’ at confluences considering the relative size of joining tributaries (see Methods). Therefore, our simulation resembles natural processes of how branching river networks create diverse habitats in a metacommunity. We prepared 32 sets of parameter combinations with varied ecological (dispersal and competition strength) and landscape scenarios (the environmental variation at headwaters and the degree of local environmental noise). Under each scenario, we simulated metacommunity dynamics in 1000 branching networks that have different ecosystem size (the number of habitat patches: 10 to 150) and complexity (branching probability: 0.01 to 0.99) to examine their effects on diversity.

Our theoretical analysis yielded results consistent with our prediction. Ecosystem size and complexity both increased diversity under a realistic landscape scenario (**Figures 2 and 3**), where the environmental variation at headwaters () is greater than the degree of local environmental noise ().4,7 The relationships had a characteristic of power-law (i.e., linear in a log-log scale) and were consistent under various ecological scenarios. The strength of competition and dispersal processes (dispersal distance and probability) did not change the form of the relationships between diversity and ecosystem properties. Hence, the ecosystem size and complexity are both expected to increase diversity regardless of ecological scenarios.

Dispersal processes, however, affected underlying mechanisms that produce the positive effects of ecosystem size and complexity on diversity. We observed a greater contribution of diversity, which we defined as , to increased diversity in larger and more complex ecosystems when dispersal limitation exists (i.e., species travel short distances). This pattern reflects significant spatial turnover of species composition over the branching network. In contrast, once the dispersal limitation was relaxed (species travel long distances), a clear increase in diversity underpinned the positive relationships between diversity and ecosystem properties. This is understandable because increased dispersal homogenizes community composition while enhancing local diversity through increased immigrants from suitable habitat patches (i.e., mass effects). These patterns were consistent across different levels of emigration probabilities (**cite SI figure**). Our theory, therefore, highlights how the apparently similar patterns in diversity emerges through different ecological pathways.

Influences of ecosystem size and complexity, however, differed significantly in their dependence on landscape scenarios. Ecosystem size had positive effects on diversity regardless of landscape scenarios, although the slopes were steeper with greater environmental variation (higher and/or ). This result is attributable to the fact that larger ecosystems can hold more individuals in a metacommunity. In contrast, we observed limited or no influences of branching complexity when local environmental noise was equal to or exceeded environmental variation at headwaters (). Under this scenario, having more branches may not contribute to the habitat diversity in the ecosystem because local environmental noise masks among-branch environmental differences. Therefore, this landscape scenario decouples the intimate relationship between branching structure and habitat diversity, thereby eliminating the positive effect of branching complexity on diversity. This theoretical prediction may not apply to natural river networks where individual streams with diverse geological and/or climatic backgrounds show distinctiveness in a variety of environmental conditions, including water temperature, water chemistry, substrate, and flow/sediment regimes. Yet, this result illustrates the crucial role of habitat diversity produced by branching structure in the emerging complexity- diversity relationship8.

## Testing the theoretical predictions

We tested our theoretical predictions using a large data set of fish communities in two geographic regions: the Hokkaido island in Japan and the Midwest in the United States. These regions are located in comparable ranges of latitude but support distinct fish communities. Therefore, this data set provides an excellent opportunity to examine the generality of our theoretical predictions. After careful data selection, our data set comprised presence-absence of fish species at 6608 sites that encompassed the majority of the two geographic regions. We estimated diversity (asymptotic species richness; Methods) at 181 watersheds (60 in Hokkaido and 121 in Midwest). Individual watersheds, i.e., metacommunity replicates in our analysis, were considered as separated if they flow into one of the following: the ocean, a large lake ( 10 km² in the areal area) or a large river that may represent lentic habitats ( 5000 km² in the watershed area). We combined this data set with geospatial information (annual mean temperature, annual cumulative precipitation, and percent forest) to account for potential influences of macro-scale drivers of species diversity. We developed global and region-specific models for each of diversity measures (, , and diversity) to examine whether our theoretical predictions are general across the two geographic regions. In the global model, we assumed that effects of ecosystem size (watershed area) and complexity (branching probability) are constant across the two regions. Meanwhile, the region-specific model assumes region-specific slopes of ecosystem size and complexity by including interaction terms with a dummy binary variable of region (Hokkaido = 0; Midwest = 1). We compared the performance of these competing models using the Bayes factor, a measure of the strength of evidence in favor of one model over the alternative.

Despite the substantial difference in fish fauna between Hokkaido and Midwest, the estimated Bayes factor in favor of the global model over the region-specific model was 159.7. This result strongly supports the consistent effects of ecosystem size and branching probability on diversity across the two biogeographic regions. Further, we found patterns consistent with our theoretical predictions. The estimated diversity increased with increasing watershed area (ecosystem size) and branching probability (ecosystem complexity) across watersheds. These effects remained significant even after controlling for the potential influences of other environmental factors (precipitation, temperature, and land use). Overall, our statistical analysis provides strong empirical evidence that ecosystem size and complexity jointly scale riverine biodiversity at the metacommunity level.

Similarly, we found weak supports for the global models when explaining observed patterns of and diversity (Bayes Factor: 1.8 and 9.6, respectively). Therefore, there is no statistical evidence that

# Methods

## Model description

The metacommunity dynamics are described as a function of local community dynamics and dispersal6. Specifically, the realized number of individuals (species at patch and time ) is given as:

where is the expected number of individuals given the local community dynamics at time , (t) the expected number of immigrants to patch , and (t) the expected number of emigrants from patch . Local community dynamics are simulated based on the Beverton-Holt model:

where is the reproductive rate of species given the environmental condition at patch and time , the maximum reproductive rate of species , the carrying capacity at patch , and the interaction coefficient between species and . The parameter is the strength of interspecific competition relative to that of intraspecific competition (interspecific competition is greater than intraspecific competition if > 1; intraspecific competition coefficient equals 1.0). The density-independent reproductive rate is affected by environments and determined by a Gaussian function:

where is the optimal environmental value for species , the environmental value at patch and time , and the niche width of species . The cost of having wider niche is expressed by multiplying 9:

Smaller values of imply greater costs of having wider niche (i.e., decreased maximum reproductive rate). There is no cost if approaches infinity.

The environmental value , which may vary spatially and temporarily, is assumed to follow a multivariate normal distribution:

is the vector of mean environmental conditions of patches and is the variance-covariance matrix. Spatial autocorrelation is considered by describing the off-diagonal elements as:

where denotes the temporal covariance of environmental conditions between patch and , which is assumed to decay exponentially with increasing distance between the patches (randomly generated or specified by argument distance\_matrix). The parameter determines distance decay of environmental correlates.

The expected number of emigrants at time , , is the product of dispersal probability and . The immigration probability at patch , , is calculated given the structural connectivity of patch , in which the model assumes the exponential decay of successful immigration with the increasing separation distance between habitat patches:

where is the separation distance between patch and . The parameter dictates the dispersal distance of species ( corresponds to the expected dispersal distance) and is assumed to be constant across species. The expected number of immigrants is calculated as:
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