# **Legal Semantic Modeling**

Understanding the Structure of Sentences in BVA PTSD Claims Cases

Harout Boujakjian, Clara Buss, Anh Hoang, Elizabeth Kenis, Tim Rogers June 20, 2020

George Mason University

## **Background**

- Veterans submit tons of appeals each year to BVA (Board of Veterans' Appeals) regarding PTSD diagnosis.
- BVA must sift through all of these cases.
- · Automating argument mining can be very helpful!
- Do legal documents have any sort of structure?

## **BVA** sample raw case

#### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERANS CLAIMS

No. 11-2074

CATHERINE A. SHEPHARD, APPELLANT,

Ψ,

ERIC K. SHIPSEKI, SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS, APPELLEE.

On Appeal from the Board of Veterans' Appeals

(Argued January 15, 2013

D.C., for the appellee.

Decided February 27, 2013)

Kavrine J. Engle, of San Diego, California, for the appellant.

Savak W. Fasina, of Washington, D.C., argued for the appeller. Will A. Goor, General Coursel: and Goyle E. Srawson, Deputs estimate General Coursel: An American General Coursel Coursel

Before KASOLD, Chief Andge, and SCHOELEN and PIETSCH, Andges.

SCHOELEN, Judge: The appellant, Catherine A. Shephand, appeals through coursed a May SCHOELEN, Judge: The appeals (Board) decision in which the Board (1) found that, from January 12, 2003, auxil November 13, 2008, the appellant was craifed only by a paymest of compensation commensurate with a 10% disability rating; (2) decided that an overproment of compensation benefits was properly crossed, and (3) remanded the matter of whether the appellant is entitled to a waiver of a recovery of overpaid benefits for additional development. Record of Proceedings (Record or R.) at 3–9. Because the issue has been remended by the Board, the populants displitling for a waiver of recovery of overpaid benefits is not before the Court. Soc Boordon v. Princept, 17 Val.App. 475, 478 (2004). This appeal is timely, and the Court loss jurisdiction to review the Boards decision pursuant to 38 U.S.C. 48 725(20) and 726(62). For the exacts stated below, the Court of State of the Court of Court o

#### Data set

- Data set contains 20 cases (2,526 sentences in total)<sup>1</sup>
- Before preprocessing, the data set only has two columns (sentence, rhetorical role)
- Each sentence has labeled rhetorical role by LLT lab
- Not your typical "Big Data" NLP problem! Falls under multiclass classification.

```
sentences rhetrole
Citation Nr: 1302554 Sentence
FINDINGS OF FACT Sentence
A. The Veteran did not have a psychiatric diso...
The preponderance of the evidence shows tha...
REASONS AND BASES FOR FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION Sentence
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Full data set: https://github.com/vernrwalker/VetClaims-JSON

#### **Rhetorical Roles**

#### Examples

- Finding of Fact: The Veteran is not service-connected for any disability.
- Reasoning: He is a lay person, as there is no indication that he possesses medical knowledge, training, or experience.
- Evidence: Diagnoses of schizoaffective disorder were made in VA treatment records and at the February 2008 VA mental disorders examination.
- Legal Rule: A current disability exists when there is a disability when a claim for it is filed or at any time during the pendency of such claim.
- Citation: McClain v. Nicholson, 21 Vet. App. 319 (2007).

### **Problem**

- Ability to predict rhetorical role for sentences
- This is important because most legal documents follow the same structure.

## Preprocessing

- Remove stop words and convert all words to lower case
- In order to create predictors, the sentences must be tokenized into individual words.
- The tokens will then be transformed using Bag of Words or TD-IDF
- This will create the dataframe (or dataframe) of numerical values to run the models on

## **Modeling and Metrics**

- Potential models: regularized logistic regression, neural network, random forest
- Confusion matrix and classification report (precision, recall, F1-score)