

HW5

Problem 1.

Normal Distribution with unknown μ and known σ . Show that \bar{Y} is a sufficient statistic for μ .

If the likelihood can be factored into two nonnegative functions, then it is a sufficient statistic

$$L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n | \theta) = g(u, \theta) * h(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

Then

$$L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n | \theta) = L(y_1 | \theta) * L(y_2 | \theta) * \dots * L(y_n | \theta)$$

$$L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{y_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{y_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n * e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{y_i - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right)} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n * e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2} = \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n * e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Let's resolve part of the exponent:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i^2 - 2 * y_i * \mu + \mu^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n 2 * y_i * \mu + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^2 = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - 2 * \mu * \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + n * \mu^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - 2 * \mu * \frac{n}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + n * \mu^2 = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - 2 * \mu * n\bar{y} + n * \mu^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let's substitute back in the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n * e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n * e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - 2 * \mu * n\bar{y} + n * \mu^2)} = \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n * e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2} * e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (-2 * \mu * n\bar{y} + n * \mu^2)} = \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n * e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2} * e^{\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} (2\mu\bar{y} - \mu^2)} \end{aligned}$$

Then the factorization is:

$$L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n | \theta) = g(\bar{y}, \theta) * h(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

$$g(\bar{y}, \theta) = e^{\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} (2\mu\bar{y} - \mu^2)}$$

$$h(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n * e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2}$$

Since it satisfies the factorization, we can say that \bar{Y} is a sufficient statistic for μ .

Problem 2.

$$f(y) = \alpha \beta^\alpha y^{-(\alpha+1)}, \text{ if } y \geq \beta$$

$$L(y|\theta) = \theta \beta^\theta y^{-(\theta+1)}$$

$$L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n|\theta) = g(u, \theta) * h(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), u = \prod_{i=1}^n y_i$$

$$L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta \beta^\theta y_i^{-(\theta+1)}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \theta \beta^\theta y_i^{-(\theta+1)} = (\theta \beta^\theta)^n * \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{-(\theta+1)} = (\theta \beta^\theta)^n * \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{-(\theta+1)}$$

Problem 3.

a) Use Method of moments

Since we are resolving for only one parameter, we use only the 1st moment:

$$E(x) = \int_0^1 x^1 * (\theta + 1)x^\theta dx$$

$$\int_0^1 x * (\theta + 1)x^\theta dx = \int_0^1 (\theta + 1)x^{\theta+1} dx = \left[(\theta + 1) \frac{x^{\theta+2}}{\theta + 2} \right]_0^1 =$$

$$\left[(\theta + 1) \frac{x^{\theta+2}}{\theta + 2} \right]_0^1 = \left((\theta + 1) \frac{1^{\theta+2}}{\theta + 2} \right) - \left((\theta + 1) \frac{0^{\theta+2}}{\theta + 2} \right) =$$

$$= \left((\theta + 1) \frac{1}{\theta + 2} \right) - \left((\theta + 1) \frac{0}{\theta + 2} \right) = \left(\frac{(\theta + 1)}{\theta + 2} \right) - ((\theta + 1) * 0) =$$

$$= \left(\frac{(\theta + 1)}{\theta + 2} \right) - (0) = \frac{\theta + 1}{\theta + 2}$$

The population first moment: $E(x) = \frac{\theta+1}{\theta+2}$

The sample first moment:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^1 = \bar{x}$$

$$\frac{\theta + 1}{\theta + 2} = \bar{x} \Rightarrow \theta + 1 = \theta \bar{x} + 2\bar{x} \Rightarrow \theta - \theta \bar{x} = 2\bar{x} - 1 \Rightarrow \theta(1 - \bar{x}) = 2\bar{x} - 1 \Rightarrow$$

$$\theta = \frac{2\bar{x} - 1}{1 - \bar{x}}$$

That is the estimator for θ

Now to compute the estimate for the sample, we first need the sample mean: \bar{x}

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \frac{1}{10} (0.92 + 0.79 + 0.9 + 0.65 + 0.86 + 0.47 + 0.73 + 0.97 + 0.84 + 0.67)$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{10} * 7.8 = 0.78$$

$$\theta = \frac{2\bar{x} - 1}{1 - \bar{x}} = \frac{2 * 0.78 - 1}{1 - 0.78} = \frac{0.56}{0.22} = 2.5454$$

$$\theta = 2.5454$$

b) Maximum Likelihood

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10} | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{10} (\theta + 1) x_i^\theta = (\theta + 1)^{10} \prod_{i=1}^{10} x_i^\theta$$

Since it is hard to operate with this equation, its better to use the log-likelihood

$$\ln L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10} | \theta) = l(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10} | \theta)$$

$$\ln(\theta + 1)^{10} \prod_{i=1}^{10} x_i^\theta = \ln(\theta + 1)^{10} + \ln \prod_{i=1}^{10} x_i^\theta = 10 \ln(\theta + 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln x_i^\theta =$$

$$10 \ln(\theta + 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{10} \theta \ln x_i = 10 \ln(\theta + 1) + \theta \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln x_i$$

In order to find the maximum, we need to do the derivative and solve when it is 0.

$$l'(\theta) = \left(10 \ln(\theta + 1) + \theta \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln x_i \right) \frac{d}{d\theta}$$

$$\left(10 \ln(\theta + 1) + \theta \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln x_i \right) \frac{d}{d\theta} = [10 \ln(\theta + 1)] \frac{d}{d\theta} + \left[\theta \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln x_i \right] \frac{d}{d\theta}$$

$$[10 \ln(\theta + 1)] \frac{d}{d\theta} = 10 * [\ln(\theta + 1)] \frac{d}{d\theta} = 10 * \frac{1}{\theta + 1} [\theta + 1] \frac{d}{d\theta} = \frac{10}{\theta + 1} (1 + 0) = \frac{10}{\theta + 1}$$

$$\left[\theta \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln x_i \right] \frac{d}{d\theta} = \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln x_i [\theta] \frac{d}{d\theta} = \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln x_i * (1) = \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln x_i$$

$$l'(\theta) = \frac{10}{\theta + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln x_i = 0$$

$$\frac{10}{\theta + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln x_i = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{10}{\theta + 1} = - \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln x_i \Rightarrow 10 = -\theta \sum_{i=1}^{10} (\ln x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{10} (\ln x_i)$$

$$10 + \sum_{i=1}^{10} (\ln x_i) = -\theta \sum_{i=1}^{10} (\ln x_i) \Rightarrow \frac{10 + \sum_{i=1}^{10} (\ln x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{10} (\ln x_i)} = -\theta \Rightarrow$$

$$\theta = - \left(\frac{10 + \sum_{i=1}^{10} (\ln x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{10} (\ln x_i)} \right)$$

This is the MLE

Now to compute the estimate for the sample:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{10} (\ln x_i) = \ln 0.92 + \ln 0.79 + \ln 0.9 + \ln 0.65 + \ln 0.86 + \ln 0.47 + \ln 0.73 + \ln 0.97 \\ + \ln 0.84 + \ln 0.67$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{10} (\ln x_i) = -2.681093754$$

$$\theta = - \left(\frac{10 + \sum_{i=1}^{10} (\ln x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{10} (\ln x_i)} \right) = - \left(\frac{10 - 2.681093754}{-2.681093754} \right) = - \frac{7.318906246}{-2.681093754} = 2.7298$$

$$\theta = 2.7298$$

Problem 4.

$$L(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta - 0} \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq \theta \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\theta} \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq \theta$$

When $\theta < \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}\}$:

Since some value x_i is greater than θ that means that $L(x_i|\theta) = 0$. And since the Likelihood function is a multiplication of its elements:

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{10} L(x_i|\theta)$$

If at least one element is 0, the result is 0, and since $\theta < \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}\}$, that means that at least 1 element is greater than θ .

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}|\theta) = 0 \text{ if } \theta < \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}\}$$

When $\theta \geq \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}\}$:

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{10} \frac{1}{\theta - 0} = \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^{10} \text{ for } 0 \leq x_i \leq \theta \text{ (} i = 1, \dots, 10 \text{)}$$

What is the MLE:

If $\theta < \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}\}$ that means that the likelihood function is 0, which means there are no maximums.

If $\theta \geq \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}\}$ we need to maximize the function $L(\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^{10}$ in order to maximize it, we want the θ to be as small as possible, since the function is decreasing. But we had the condition that $\theta \geq \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}\}$ which means that the smallest possible value for θ is $\max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}\}$