IDLSSVM-CIL Optimal w and b Values Calculation

Author: Atharva Mohite

In the study on Density-weighted Support Vector Machines for binary Class Imbalance Learning (DSVM-CIL)¹, the density weight notion is calculated as:

$$d_i = \rho(x_i) = 1 - \frac{d(x_i, x_i^k)}{\max_{j \in train-set} d(x_j, x_i^k)}$$
(1)

here x_i and x_i^k represents a datapoint and its k-NN (k=5) datapoint, such that $0 < d_i < 1$

The diagonal matrix of (1) may be expressed as:

$$D = diag(d_i)$$

Note that the density weights are estimated in real space.

As proposed by Hazarika et al., Improved Density-weighted Least Squares SVM for binary Class Imbalance Learning (IDLSSVM-CIL) optimization problem is:

$$min \frac{1}{2}w^tw + \frac{C}{2}\sum_{i=1}^m d_i^2\varphi_i^2$$

subject to
$$y_i(w^t \phi(x_i) + b) = 1 - \varphi_i$$
, $i = 1, 2... m$

where w is the normal direction of the plane, b is a form of threshold for the hyperplane, $\phi(x)$ function maps the training data $x \in R^n$ to a higher dimension in feature space, ϕ_i is the i^{th} slack variable, $y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$ is the class label of i^{th} training sample, C > 0 is a user defined penalty parameter and m is the number of training samples.

The Langrage function $L(w, b, e, \alpha)$ is given as:

$$L(w, b, \varphi_i, \alpha_i) = \frac{1}{2} w^t w + \frac{C}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m d_i^2 \varphi_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \left\{ y_i \left(w^t \phi(x_i) + b \right) - 1 + \varphi_i + \varepsilon \right\}$$
 (2)

where α_i are Lagrange multipliers, which can be either positive or negative due to the equality constraints as follows from the Karush–Kuhn–Tucker (KKT) conditions.²

The conditions for optimality are obtained by equating the derivatives of the Langrage function (2) wrt w, b, φ_i and α_i to zero.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = 0 \to w = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i y_i \phi(x_i)$$
 (3)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = 0 \to \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i y_i = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \varphi_i} = 0 \quad \to \varphi_i = \frac{\alpha_i}{Cd_i^2} , i = 1, 2... m \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha_i} = 0 \rightarrow y_i \left(w^t \phi(x_i) + b \right) + \varphi_i = 1, i = 1, 2... m \tag{6}$$

Substituting w and φ_i from (3) and (5) in (6):

$$y_i \left(\alpha_i \sum_{j=1}^m y_j \phi(x_i)^t \phi(x_j) + b \right) + \frac{\alpha_i}{Cd_i^2} = 1, i = 1, 2... m$$
 (7)

Above one linear equation (4) and m linear equations contained in (7) can be written as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & y_{1} & \cdots & y_{m} \\ y_{1} & y_{1}^{2}K(x_{1}, x_{1}) + \frac{1}{Cd_{1}^{2}} + \varepsilon & \cdots & y_{1}y_{m}K(x_{1}, x_{m}) + \frac{1}{Cd_{1}^{2}} + \varepsilon \\ y_{2} & y_{2}y_{1}K(x_{2}, x_{1}) + \frac{1}{Cd_{2}^{2}} + \varepsilon & \cdots & y_{2}y_{m}K(x_{2}, x_{m}) + \frac{1}{Cd_{2}^{2}} + \varepsilon \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_{m} & y_{m}y_{1}K(x_{m}, x_{1}) + \frac{1}{Cd_{m}^{2}} + \varepsilon & \cdots & y_{m}^{2}K(x_{m}, x_{m}) + \frac{1}{Cd_{m}^{2}} + \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ \alpha_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(8)$$

here $K(x_i, x_j) = \phi(x_i)^t \phi(x_j)$ is a non-linear kernel function (Radial Basis Function (RBF) in our case) and $\varepsilon > 0$ is the regularization term. Above matrix equation (8) can be written as:

$$\overrightarrow{AS} = B$$

$$\overrightarrow{S} = BA^{-1}$$

The first and the remaining m elements of vector \overrightarrow{S} are the optimum values of b and the langrage multipliers α_i 's.

The discriminative function f(x), after the kernel trick, is:

$$f(x) = sign\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j y_j K(x_j, x) + b\right)$$

¹ Hazarika, Barenya Bikash, and Deepak Gupta. "Density-weighted support vector machines for binary class imbalance learning." Neural Computing and Applications 33.9 (2021): 4243-4261.

² Fletcher, Roger. Practical methods of optimization. John Wiley & Sons, 2000.