September 4, 2023

Vulnerability Scan
Report

prepared by

HostedScan Security

hostedscan.com

Overview

1 Executive Summary

Vulnerability scans were conducted on selected servers, networks, websites, and applications. This report contains the discovered potential risks from these scans. Risks have been classified into categories according to the level of threat and degree of potential harm they may pose.

1.1 Total Risks

Below is the total number of risks found by severity. High risks are the most severe and should be evaluated first. An accepted risk is one which has been manually reviewed and classified as acceptable to not fix at this time, such as a false positive or an intentional part of the system's architecture.

Critical	High	Medium	Low	Accepted
0	1	12	13	0

4%	46%	50%

1.2 Report Coverage

This report includes findings for **1 target** that were scanned. Each target is a single URL, IP address, or fully qualified domain name (FQDN).

Vulnerability Categories

0	18	4
Active Web Application Vulnerabilities	Passive Web Application Vulnerabilities	Network Vulnerabilities

1	3	0
SSL/TLS Security	Open TCP Ports	Open UDP Ports

2 Risks By Target

This section contains the vulnerability findings for each target that was scanned. Prioritize the most vulnerable assets first.

2.1 Targets Summary

The total number of risks found for each target, by severity.

Target	● Critical	● High	● Medium	● Low	● Accepted
● https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0	1	12	13	0

2.2   Target Breakdowns

The risks discovered for each target.

Target
https://www.hindustantimes.com/

Total Risks

0	1	12	13	0

4%	46%	50%

| Passive Web Application Vulnerabilities	Threat Level	First Detected
Absence of Anti-CSRF Tokens	🟡 Medium	0 days ago
Cross-Domain Misconfiguration	🟡 Medium	0 days ago
Multiple X-Frame-Options Header Entries	🟡 Medium	0 days ago
Vulnerable JS Library	🟡 Medium	0 days ago
Missing Anti-clickjacking Header	🟡 Medium	0 days ago
Vulnerable JS Library	🟡 Medium	0 days ago
Content Security Policy (CSP) Header Not Set	🟡 Medium	0 days ago
X-Content-Type-Options Header Missing	🔵 Low	0 days ago
Cross-Domain JavaScript Source File Inclusion	🔵 Low	0 days ago
Server Leaks Information via "X-Powered-By" HTTP Response Header Field(s)	🔵 Low	0 days ago
Cookie Without Secure Flag	🔵 Low	0 days ago
Cookie No HttpOnly Flag	🔵 Low	0 days ago
Private IP Disclosure	🔵 Low	0 days ago
Secure Pages Include Mixed Content	🔵 Low	0 days ago
X-AspNet-Version Response Header	🔵 Low	0 days ago
Cookie with SameSite Attribute None	🔵 Low	0 days ago
Strict-Transport-Security Header Not Set	🔵 Low	0 days ago
Server Leaks Version Information via "Server" HTTP Response	🔵 Low	0 days ago

Network Vulnerabilities	Threat Level	First Detected
SSL/TLS: Report Vulnerable Cipher Suites for HTTPS cvss score: 7.5	🔴 High	0 days ago
SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection cvss score: 4.3	🟡 Medium	0 days ago
TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure cvss score: 2.6	🔵 Low	0 days ago
ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure cvss score: 2.1	🔵 Low	0 days ago

SSL/TLS Security	Threat Level	First Detected
Client Renegotiation DoS Attack	🟡 Medium	0 days ago

Open TCP Ports	Threat Level	First Detected
Open TCP Port: 443	🟡 Medium	0 days ago
Open TCP Port: 80	🟡 Medium	0 days ago
Open TCP Port: 8883	🟡 Medium	0 days ago

3 Active Web Application Vulnerabilities

The OWASP ZAP active web application scan crawls the pages of a web application. It scans for all of the passive scan checks and additionally makes requests and submits forms to actively test an application for even more vulnerabilities. The active scan checks for vulnerabilities such as SQL injection, remote command execution, XSS, and more.

3.1 Total Risks

Total number of risks found by severity.

Critical	High	Medium	Low	Accepted
0	0	0	0	0

3.2 Risks Breakdown

Summary list of all detected risks.

Title	Threat Level	Open	Accepted
No risks detected			

4 Passive Web Application Vulnerabilities

The OWASP ZAP passive web application scan crawls the pages of a web application. It inspects the web pages as well as the requests and responses sent between the server. The passive scan checks for vulnerabilities such as cross-domain misconfigurations, insecure cookies, vulnerable js dependencies, and more.

4.1 Total Risks

Total number of risks found by severity.

Critical	High	Medium	Low	Accepted
0	0	7	11	0

39%	61%

4.2 Risks Breakdown

Summary list of all detected risks.

Title	Threat Level	Open	Accepted
Absence of Anti-CSRF Tokens	🟡 Medium	1	0
Cross-Domain Misconfiguration	🟡 Medium	1	0
Multiple X-Frame-Options Header Entries	🟡 Medium	1	0
Vulnerable JS Library	🟡 Medium	1	0
Missing Anti-clickjacking Header	🟡 Medium	1	0
Vulnerable JS Library	🟡 Medium	1	0
Content Security Policy (CSP) Header Not Set	🟡 Medium	1	0
X-Content-Type-Options Header Missing	🔵 Low	1	0
Cross-Domain JavaScript Source File Inclusion	🔵 Low	1	0

Server Leaks Information via "X-Powered-By" HTTP Response Header Field(s)	● Low	1	0
Cookie Without Secure Flag	● Low	1	0
Cookie No HttpOnly Flag	● Low	1	0
Private IP Disclosure	● Low	1	0
Secure Pages Include Mixed Content	● Low	1	0
X-AspNet-Version Response Header	● Low	1	0
Cookie with SameSite Attribute None	● Low	1	0
Strict-Transport-Security Header Not Set	● Low	1	0
Server Leaks Version Information via "Server" HTTP Response Header Field	● Low	1	0

4.3 **Full Risk Details**

Detailed information about each risk found by the scan.

Absence of Anti-CSRF Tokens
● Medium

Description

No Anti-CSRF tokens were found in a HTML submission form.

A cross-site request forgery is an attack that involves forcing a victim to send an HTTP request to a target destination without their knowledge or intent in order to perform an action as the victim. The underlying cause is application functionality using predictable URL/form actions in a repeatable way. The nature of the attack is that CSRF exploits the trust that a web site has for a user. By contrast, cross-site scripting (XSS) exploits the trust that a user has for a web site. Like XSS, CSRF attacks are not necessarily cross-site, but they can be. Cross-site request forgery is also known as CSRF, XSRF, one-click attack, session riding, confused deputy, and sea surf.

CSRF attacks are effective in a number of situations, including:

* The victim has an active session on the target site.

* The victim is authenticated via HTTP auth on the target site.

* The victim is on the same local network as the target site.

CSRF has primarily been used to perform an action against a target site using the victim's privileges, but recent techniques have been discovered to disclose information by gaining access to the response. The risk of information disclosure is dramatically increased when the target site is vulnerable to XSS, because XSS can be used as a platform for CSRF, allowing the attack to operate within the bounds of the same-origin policy.

Solution

Phase: Architecture and Design

Use a vetted library or framework that does not allow this weakness to occur or provides constructs that make this weakness easier to avoid.

For example, use anti-CSRF packages such as the OWASP CSRFGuard.

Phase: Implementation

Ensure that your application is free of cross-site scripting issues, because most CSRF defenses can be bypassed using attacker-controlled script.

Phase: Architecture and Design

Generate a unique nonce for each form, place the nonce into the form, and verify the nonce upon receipt of the form. Be sure that the nonce is not predictable (CWE-330).

Note that this can be bypassed using XSS.

Identify especially dangerous operations. When the user performs a dangerous operation, send a separate confirmation request to ensure that the user intended to perform that operation.

Note that this can be bypassed using XSS.

Use the ESAPI Session Management control.

This control includes a component for CSRF.

Do not use the GET method for any request that triggers a state change.

Phase: Implementation

Check the HTTP Referer header to see if the request originated from an expected page. This could break legitimate functionality, because users or proxies may have disabled sending the Referer for privacy reasons.

Instances (1 of 50)

uri: https://htschool.hindustantimes.com/
method: GET
evidence: <form class="wpcf7-form init">
otherinfo: No known Anti-CSRF token [anticsrf, CSRFToken, __RequestVerificationToken, csrfmiddlewaretoken, authenticity_token, OWASP_CSRFTOKEN, anoncsrf, csrf_token, _csrf, _csrfSecret, __csrf_magic, CSRF, _token, _csrf_token, data[_Token][key]] was found in the following HTML form: [Form 1: "email_id"].

References

http://projects.webappsec.org/Cross-Site-Request-Forgery
http://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/352.html

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

Cross-Domain Misconfiguration
🟡 Medium

Description
Web browser data loading may be possible, due to a Cross Origin Resource Sharing (CORS) misconfiguration on the web server

Solution
Ensure that sensitive data is not available in an unauthenticated manner (using IP address white-listing, for instance).

Configure the "Access-Control-Allow-Origin" HTTP header to a more restrictive set of domains, or remove all CORS headers entirely, to allow the web browser to enforce the Same Origin Policy (SOP) in a more restrictive manner.

Instances (1 of 28)

uri: https://notify.hindustantimes.com/
method: GET
evidence: Access-Control-Allow-Origin: *
otherinfo: The CORS misconfiguration on the web server permits cross-domain read requests from arbitrary third party domains, using unauthenticated APIs on this domain. Web browser implementations do not permit arbitrary third parties to read the response from authenticated APIs, however. This reduces the risk somewhat. This misconfiguration could be used by an attacker to access data that is available in an unauthenticated manner, but which uses some other form of security, such as IP address white-listing.

References

https://vulncat.fortify.com/en/detail?id=desc.config.dotnet.html5_overly_permissive_cors_policy

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

Multiple X-Frame-Options Header Entries
🟡 Medium

Description
X-Frame-Options (XFO) headers were found, a response with multiple XFO header entries may not be predictably treated by all user-agents.

Solution
Ensure only a single X-Frame-Options header is present in the response.

Instances (1 of 7)
uri: https://www.hindustantimes.com/cricket/live-scorecard-ind-vs-nep-asia-cup-2023-match-5-india-vs-nepal-odi-live-score-innp09042023230222/
method: GET
param: X-Frame-Options

References
https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7034

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

Vulnerable JS Library
🟡 Medium

Description
The identified library jquery, version 3.3.1 is vulnerable.

Solution
Please upgrade to the latest version of jquery.

Instances (1 of 4)
uri: https://accounts.hindustantimes.com/js/jquery.min.js
method: GET
evidence: /*! jQuery v3.3.1
otherinfo: CVE-2020-11023 CVE-2020-11022 CVE-2019-11358

References
https://blog.jquery.com/2019/04/10/jquery-3-4-0-released/
https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/detail/CVE-2019-11358
https://github.com/jquery/jquery/commit/753d591aea698e57d6db58c9f722cd0808619b1b
https://blog.jquery.com/2020/04/10/jquery-3-5-0-released/

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

Missing Anti-clickjacking Header
● Medium

Description
The response does not include either Content-Security-Policy with 'frame-ancestors' directive or X-Frame-Options to protect against 'ClickJacking' attacks.

Solution
Modern Web browsers support the Content-Security-Policy and X-Frame-Options HTTP headers. Ensure one of them is set on all web pages returned by your site/app.

If you expect the page to be framed only by pages on your server (e.g. it's part of a FRAMESET) then you'll want to use SAMEORIGIN, otherwise if you never expect the page to be framed, you should use DENY. Alternatively consider implementing Content Security Policy's "frame-ancestors" directive.

Instances (1 of 20)
uri: https://personalize.hindustantimes.com/locationtrending?location=new-delhi&propertyId=ht&numStories=5
method: GET
param: X-Frame-Options

References
https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/X-Frame-Options

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

Vulnerable JS Library
● Medium

Description
The identified library jquery-ui, version 1.12.1 is vulnerable.

Solution
Please upgrade to the latest version of jquery-ui.

Instances (1 of 1)
uri: https://www.hindustantimes.com/res/js/jquery-ui.min.js?v=5
method: GET
evidence: /*! jQuery UI - v1.12.1
otherinfo: CVE-2021-41184 CVE-2021-41183 CVE-2021-41182 CVE-2022-31160

References
https://github.com/advisories/GHSA-h6gj-6jjq-h8g9
https://bugs.jqueryui.com/ticket/15284
https://github.com/jquery/jquery-ui/commit/8cc5bae1caa1fcf96bf5862c5646c787020ba3f9
https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/detail/CVE-2022-31160
https://github.com/jquery/jquery-ui/security/advisories/GHSA-h6gj-6jjq-h8g9
https://github.com/jquery/jquery-ui/security/advisories/GHSA-gpqq-952q-5327
https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/detail/CVE-2021-41184
https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/detail/CVE-2021-41183
https://github.com/jquery/jquery-ui/security/advisories/GHSA-9gj3-hwp5-pmwc
https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/detail/CVE-2021-41182
https://github.com/jquery/jquery-ui/issues/2101

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

Content Security Policy (CSP) Header Not Set
● Medium

Description
Content Security Policy (CSP) is an added layer of security that helps to detect and mitigate certain types of attacks, including Cross Site Scripting (XSS) and data injection attacks. These attacks are used for everything from data theft to site defacement or distribution of malware. CSP provides a set of standard HTTP headers that allow website owners to declare approved sources of content that browsers should be allowed to load on that page — covered types are JavaScript, CSS, HTML frames, fonts, images and embeddable objects such as Java applets, ActiveX, audio and video files.

Solution
Ensure that your web server, application server, load balancer, etc. is configured to set the Content-Security-Policy header.

Instances (1 of 100)
uri: https://shopnow.hindustantimes.com/
method: GET

References
https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/Security/CSP/Introducing_Content_Security_Policy
https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Content_Security_Policy_Cheat_Sheet.html
http://www.w3.org/TR/CSP/
http://w3c.github.io/webappsec/specs/content-security-policy/csp-specification.dev.html
http://www.html5rocks.com/en/tutorials/security/content-security-policy/
http://caniuse.com/#feat=contentsecuritypolicy
http://content-security-policy.com/

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

X-Content-Type-Options Header Missing
● Low

Description
The Anti-MIME-Sniffing header X-Content-Type-Options was not set to 'nosniff'. This allows older versions of Internet Explorer and Chrome to perform MIME-sniffing on the response body, potentially causing the response body to be interpreted and displayed as a content type other than the declared content type. Current (early 2014) and legacy versions of Firefox will use the declared content type (if one is set), rather than performing MIME-sniffing.

Solution
Ensure that the application/web server sets the Content-Type header appropriately, and that it sets the X-Content-Type-Options header to 'nosniff' for all web pages.

If possible, ensure that the end user uses a standards-compliant and modern web browser that does not perform MIME-sniffing at all, or that can be directed by the web application/web server to not perform MIME-sniffing.

Instances (1 of 100)
uri: https://accounts.hindustantimes.com/ht/userplan?funnel_entry=top_header
method: GET
param: X-Content-Type-Options
otherinfo: This issue still applies to error type pages (401, 403, 500, etc.) as those pages are often still affected by injection issues, in which case there is still concern for browsers sniffing pages away from their actual content type. At "High" threshold this scan rule will not alert on client or server error responses.

References
http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/ie/gg622941%28v=vs.85%29.aspx
https://owasp.org/www-community/Security_Headers

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

Cross-Domain JavaScript Source File Inclusion
● Low

Description
The page includes one or more script files from a third-party domain.

Solution
Ensure JavaScript source files are loaded from only trusted sources, and the sources can't be controlled by end users of the application.

Instances (1 of 96)
uri: https://bangla.hindustantimes.com/
method: GET
param: https://ajax.googleapis.com/ajax/libs/jquery/3.4.1/jquery.min.js
evidence: <script src="https://ajax.googleapis.com/ajax/libs/jquery/3.4.1/jquery.min.js"></script>

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

20

Server Leaks Information via "X-Powered-By" HTTP Response Header Field(s)
● Low

Description
The web/application server is leaking information via one or more "X-Powered-By" HTTP response headers. Access to such information may facilitate attackers identifying other frameworks/components your web application is reliant upon and the vulnerabilities such components may be subject to.

Solution
Ensure that your web server, application server, load balancer, etc. is configured to suppress "X-Powered-By" headers.

Instances (1 of 100)
uri: https://htschool.hindustantimes.com/
method: GET
evidence: X-Powered-By: Next.js

References
http://blogs.msdn.com/b/varunm/archive/2013/04/23/remove-unwanted-http-response-headers.aspx
http://www.troyhunt.com/2012/02/shhh-dont-let-your-response-headers.html

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

Cookie Without Secure Flag
● Low

Description
A cookie has been set without the secure flag, which means that the cookie can be accessed via unencrypted connections.

Solution
Whenever a cookie contains sensitive information or is a session token, then it should always be passed using an encrypted channel. Ensure that the secure flag is set for cookies containing such sensitive information.

Instances (1 of 97)
uri: https://accounts.hindustantimes.com/ht/userplan?funnel_entry=top_header
method: GET
param: _ht_ref
evidence: Set-Cookie: _ht_ref

References
https://owasp.org/www-project-web-security-testing-guide/v41/4-Web_Application_Security_Testing/06-Session_Management_Testing/02-Testing_for_Cookies_Attributes.html

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

Cookie No HttpOnly Flag
● Low

Description
A cookie has been set without the HttpOnly flag, which means that the cookie can be accessed by JavaScript. If a malicious script can be run on this page then the cookie will be accessible and can be transmitted to another site. If this is a session cookie then session hijacking may be possible.

Solution
Ensure that the HttpOnly flag is set for all cookies.

Instances (1 of 97)
uri: https://accounts.hindustantimes.com/ht/userplan?funnel_entry=top_header
method: GET
param: _ht_ref
evidence: Set-Cookie: _ht_ref

References
https://owasp.org/www-community/HttpOnly

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

Private IP Disclosure
● Low

Description

A private IP (such as 10.x.x.x, 172.x.x.x, 192.168.x.x) or an Amazon EC2 private hostname (for example, ip-10-0-56-78) has been found in the HTTP response body. This information might be helpful for further attacks targeting internal systems.

Solution

Remove the private IP address from the HTTP response body. For comments, use JSP/ASP/PHP comment instead of HTML/JavaScript comment which can be seen by client browsers.

Instances (1 of 1)

uri: https://www.hindustantimes.com/res/js/custom.min.js?v2=8
method: GET
evidence: 10.136.162.129
otherinfo: 10.136.162.129 10.136.162.129

References

https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1918

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

Secure Pages Include Mixed Content
● Low

Description
The page includes mixed content, that is content accessed via HTTP instead of HTTPS.

Solution
A page that is available over SSL/TLS must be comprised completely of content which is transmitted over SSL/TLS.

The page must not contain any content that is transmitted over unencrypted HTTP.

This includes content from third party sites.

Instances (1 of 7)
uri: https://bangla.hindustantimes.com/
method: GET
evidence: http://b.scorecardresearch.com/p?c1=2&c2=6035286&cv=2.0&cj=1
otherinfo: tag=img src=http://b.scorecardresearch.com/p?c1=2&c2=6035286&cv=2.0&cj=1

References
https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Transport_Layer_Protection_Cheat_Sheet.html

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

25

X-AspNet-Version Response Header
● Low

Description
Server leaks information via "X-AspNet-Version"/"X-AspNetMvc-Version" HTTP response header field(s).

Solution
Configure the server so it will not return those headers.

Instances (1 of 19)
uri: https://epaper.hindustantimes.com/
method: GET
evidence: 4.0.30319
otherinfo: An attacker can use this information to exploit known vulnerabilities.

References
https://www.troyhunt.com/shhh-dont-let-your-response-headers/
https://blogs.msdn.microsoft.com/varunm/2013/04/23/remove-unwanted-http-response-headers/

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

Cookie with SameSite Attribute None
● Low

Description
A cookie has been set with its SameSite attribute set to "none", which means that the cookie can be sent as a result of a 'cross-site' request. The SameSite attribute is an effective counter measure to cross-site request forgery, cross-site script inclusion, and timing attacks.

Solution
Ensure that the SameSite attribute is set to either 'lax' or ideally 'strict' for all cookies.

Instances (1 of 97)
uri: https://accounts.hindustantimes.com/ht/userplan?funnel_entry=top_header
method: GET
param: AWSALBCORS
evidence: Set-Cookie: AWSALBCORS

References
https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-httpbis-cookie-same-site

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

Strict-Transport-Security Header Not Set
● Low

Description
HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) is a web security policy mechanism whereby a web server declares that complying user agents (such as a web browser) are to interact with it using only secure HTTPS connections (i.e. HTTP layered over TLS/SSL). HSTS is an IETF standards track protocol and is specified in RFC 6797.

Solution
Ensure that your web server, application server, load balancer, etc. is configured to enforce Strict-Transport-Security.

Instances (1 of 99)
uri: https://shopnow.hindustantimes.com/
method: GET

References
https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/HTTP_Strict_Transport_Security_Cheat_Sheet.html
https://owasp.org/www-community/Security_Headers
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/HTTP_Strict_Transport_Security
http://caniuse.com/stricttransportsecurity
http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6797

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

Server Leaks Version Information via "Server" HTTP Response Header Field
● Low

Description
The web/application server is leaking version information via the "Server" HTTP response header. Access to such information may facilitate attackers identifying other vulnerabilities your web/application server is subject to.

Solution
Ensure that your web server, application server, load balancer, etc. is configured to suppress the "Server" header or provide generic details.

Instances (1 of 100)
uri: https://dap.hindustantimes.com/
method: GET
evidence: akka-http/10.0.9

References
http://httpd.apache.org/docs/current/mod/core.html#servertokens
http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/ff648552.aspx#ht_urlscan_007
http://blogs.msdn.com/b/varunm/archive/2013/04/23/remove-unwanted-http-response-headers.aspx
http://www.troyhunt.com/2012/02/shhh-dont-let-your-response-headers.html

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

5 SSL/TLS Security

The SSLyze security scan checks for misconfigured SSL/TLS certificates, expired certificates, weak ciphers, and SSL/TLS vulnerabilities such as Heartbleed.

5.1 Total Risks

Total number of risks found by severity.

Critical	High	Medium	Low	Accepted
0	0	1	0	0

100%

5.2 Risks Breakdown

Summary list of all detected risks.

Title	Threat Level	Open	Accepted
Client Renegotiation DoS Attack	🟡 Medium	1	0

5.3 Full Risk Details

Detailed information about each risk found by the scan.

### Client Renegotiation DoS Attack

🟡 Medium

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

6 Network Vulnerabilities

The OpenVAS network vulnerability scan tests servers and internet connected devices for over 50,000 vulnerabilities. OpenVAS uses the Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS) to quantify the severity of findings. 0.0 is the lowest severity and 10.0 is the highest.

6.1 Total Risks

Total number of risks found by severity.

Critical	High	Medium	Low	Accepted
0	1	1	2	0

25%	25%	50%

6.2 Risks Breakdown

Summary list of all detected risks.

Title	Threat Level	CVSS Score	Open	Accepted
SSL/TLS: Report Vulnerable Cipher Suites for HTTPS	🔴 High	7.5	1	0
SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection	🟡 Medium	4.3	1	0
TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure	🔵 Low	2.6	1	0
ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure	🔵 Low	2.1	1	0

6.3 Full Risk Details

Detailed information about each risk found by the scan.

SSL/TLS: Report Vulnerable Cipher Suites for HTTPS

🔴 High

cvss score: 7.5

Description

This routine reports all SSL/TLS cipher suites accepted by a service where attack vectors exists only on HTTPS services.

These rules are applied for the evaluation of the vulnerable cipher suites:

- 64-bit block cipher 3DES vulnerable to the SWEET32 attack (CVE-2016-2183).

Solution

The configuration of this services should be changed so that it does not accept the listed cipher suites anymore.

Please see the references for more resources supporting you with this task.

References

CVE-2016-2183
CVE-2016-6329
CVE-2020-12872
https://bettercrypto.org/
https://mozilla.github.io/server-side-tls/ssl-config-generator/
https://sweet32.info/

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection
🟡 Medium
cvss score: 4.3

Description
It was possible to detect the usage of the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocol on this system.

The TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 protocols contain known cryptographic flaws like:

- CVE-2011-3389: Browser Exploit Against SSL/TLS (BEAST)

- CVE-2015-0204: Factoring Attack on RSA-EXPORT Keys Padding Oracle On Downgraded Legacy Encryption (FREAK)

An attacker might be able to use the known cryptographic flaws to eavesdrop the connection between clients and the service to get access to sensitive data transferred within the secured connection.

Furthermore newly uncovered vulnerabilities in this protocols won't receive security updates anymore.

Solution
It is recommended to disable the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocols in favor of the TLSv1.2+ protocols. Please see the references for more information.

References
CVE-2011-3389
CVE-2015-0204
https://ssl-config.mozilla.org/
https://bettercrypto.org/
https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc8996/
https://vnhacker.blogspot.com/2011/09/beast.html
https://web.archive.org/web/20201108095603/https://censys.io/blog/freak
https://www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/algorithms-key-size-and-parameters-report-2014

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

34

TCP Timestamps Information Disclosure
● Low
cvss score: 2.6

Description
The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

Solution
To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps

References
https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1323
https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7323
https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
● Low
cvss score: 2.1

Description
The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.

The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp.

This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.

Solution
Various mitigations are possible:

- Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely

- Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)

References
CVE-1999-0524
https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc792
https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc2780

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

7 Open TCP Ports

The NMAP TCP port scan discovers open TCP ports with a complete scan of ports 0 to 65535.

7.1 Total Risks

Total number of risks found by severity.

Critical	High	Medium	Low	Accepted
0	0	3	0	0

100%

7.2 Risks Breakdown

Summary list of all detected risks.

Title	Threat Level	Open	Accepted
Open TCP Port: 443	🟡 Medium	1	0
Open TCP Port: 80	🟡 Medium	1	0
Open TCP Port: 8883	🟡 Medium	1	0

7.3 Full Risk Details

Detailed information about each risk found by the scan.

Open TCP Port: 443
● Medium

Description

An open port may be an expected configuration. For example, web servers use port 80 to serve websites over http and port 443 to serve websites over https. For a list of commonly used ports see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_TCP_and_UDP_port_numbers.

An unexpected open port could give unintended access to applications, data, and private networks. Open ports can also be dangerous when expected services are out of date and exploited through security vulnerabilities.

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

Open TCP Port: 80
🟡 Medium

Description

An open port may be an expected configuration. For example, web servers use port 80 to serve websites over http and port 443 to serve websites over https. For a list of commonly used ports see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_TCP_and_UDP_port_numbers.

An unexpected open port could give unintended access to applications, data, and private networks. Open ports can also be dangerous when expected services are out of date and exploited through security vulnerabilities.

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

Open TCP Port: 8883
🟡 Medium

Description

An open port may be an expected configuration. For example, web servers use port 80 to serve websites over http and port 443 to serve websites over https. For a list of commonly used ports see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_TCP_and_UDP_port_numbers.

An unexpected open port could give unintended access to applications, data, and private networks. Open ports can also be dangerous when expected services are out of date and exploited through security vulnerabilities.

Vulnerable Target	First Detected
https://www.hindustantimes.com/	0 days ago

8 Open UDP Ports

The NMAP UDP port scan discovers open ports of common UDP services

8.1 Total Risks

Total number of risks found by severity.

Critical	High	Medium	Low	Accepted
0	0	0	0	0

8.2 Risks Breakdown

Summary list of all detected risks.

Title	Threat Level	Open	Accepted
No risks detected			

9 Glossary

Accepted Risk

An accepted risk is one which has been manually reviewed and classified as acceptable to not fix at this time, such as a false positive or an intentional part of the system's architecture.

Active Web Application Vulnerabilities

The OWASP ZAP active web application scan crawls the pages of a web application. It scans for all of the passive scan checks and additionally makes requests and submits forms to actively test an application for even more vulnerabilities. The active scan checks for vulnerabilities such as SQL injection, remote command execution, XSS, and more.

Fully Qualified Domain Name (FQDN)

A fully qualified domain name is a complete domain name for a specific website or service on the internet. This includes not only the website or service name, but also the top-level domain name, such as .com, .org, .net, etc. For example, 'www.example.com' is an FQDN.

Passive Web Application Vulnerabilities

The OWASP ZAP passive web application scan crawls the pages of a web application. It inspects the web pages as well as the requests and responses sent between the server. The passive scan checks for vulnerabilities such as cross-domain misconfigurations, insecure cookies, vulnerable js dependencies, and more.

Network Vulnerabilities

The OpenVAS network vulnerability scan tests servers and internet connected devices for over 50,000 vulnerabilities. OpenVAS uses the Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS) to quantify the severity of findings. 0.0 is the lowest severity and 10.0 is the highest.

Open TCP Ports

The NMAP TCP port scan discovers open TCP ports with a complete scan of ports 0 to 65535.

Open UDP Ports

The NMAP UDP port scan discovers open ports of common UDP services

Risk

A risk is a finding from a vulnerability scan. Each risk is a potential security issue that needs review. Risks are assigned a threat level which represents the potential severity.

SSL/TLS Security

The SSLyze security scan checks for misconfigured SSL/TLS certificates, expired certificates, weak ciphers, and SSL/TLS vulnerabilities such as Heartbleed.

Target

A target represents target is a single URL, IP address, or fully qualified domain name (FQDN) that was scanned.

Threat Level

The threat level represents the estimated potential severity of a particular risk. Threat level is divided into 4 categories: High, Medium, Low and Accepted.

This report was prepared using

HostedScan Security ®

For more information, visit **hostedscan.com**

Founded in Seattle, Washington in 2019, HostedScan, LLC. is dedicated to making continuous vulnerability scanning and risk management much more easily accessible to more businesses.

HostedScan, LLC.

2212 Queen Anne Ave N
Suite #521
Seattle, WA 98109

Terms & Policies
hello@hostedscan.com