

Black-Litterman Model Explanation

1 PART 1 — What is the Black-Litterman Model? (Zero-Knowledge Start)

Imagine you want to invest in multiple stocks.

You want to find the **best weights** (allocation

Traditional portfolio optimization (Markowitz Mean-Variance) has two big problems:

1.0.1 1. If you use historical returns, the output is unstable

Small changes in input \rightarrow large, crazy changes in weights

(e.g., 90

1.0.2 2. If you add your own views, there is no clear way to blend them

Example: “I think INFY will outperform TCS by 2

Markowitz cannot incorporate such views smoothly.

2 Black-Litterman fixes both problems

It combines two things:

2.0.1 1. Market equilibrium returns (neutral, objective)

These are returns implied by the market’s current prices

— what an “average” investor believes.

2.0.2 2. Your own views (subjective)

Such as:

- “I think stock A will return 5
- “I think Reliance will outperform HDFC Bank by 1
- “I am 60

Then it blends (1) and (2) using Bayesian statistics.

Result \rightarrow stable, realistic portfolio weights.

3 Intuition in 1 sentence

Black-Litterman = Market Consensus + Your Views (with confidence weighting)

→ producing **posterior/expert-adjusted expected returns**, which then go into classical mean-variance optimization to get portfolio weights.

4 PART 2 — Core Idea Using a Simple Example

Suppose you invest in 2 stocks:

- TCS
- INFOSYS

The market suggests:

- TCS expected return: 8
- INFY expected return: 10

But **your view**:

“I think TCS will outperform INFY by 1

BL will **mathematically blend** these two.

If you are **very confident**, it will trust your view strongly.

If you are **not confident**, it will mostly trust the market.

This is the entire model.

5 PART 3 — Mathematical Structure

Black-Litterman steps (in correct sequence):

6 Step 1 — Compute Prior (Equilibrium) Returns

$$\pi = \lambda \Sigma w_{mkt}$$

Where:

- π = implied (equilibrium) returns
 - λ = risk aversion (scalar)
 - Σ = covariance matrix
 - w_{mkt} = market-cap weights of assets
-

7 Step 2 — Encode Your Views

Use a matrix \mathbf{P} and vector \mathbf{Q}

7.0.1 Example view:

“TCS - INFY = 1

Then

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0.01 \end{bmatrix}$$

8 Step 3 — Confidence in each view

A diagonal matrix

$$\Omega = \text{diag}(\text{uncertainty})$$

Lower uncertainty more confidence.

9 Step 4 — Compute Posterior Returns

This is the heart of Black-Litterman:

$$\mu = [(\tau\Sigma)^{-1} + P^T\Omega^{-1}P]^{-1} [(\tau\Sigma)^{-1}\pi + P^T\Omega^{-1}Q]$$

Where:

- τ = scaling parameter (small number like 0.025)
 - μ = posterior returns (use these for optimization)
-

10 Step 5 — Run Mean-Variance Optimization

$$w = \frac{1}{\lambda} \Sigma^{-1} \mu$$

11 PART 4 — Fully Solved Numerical Example

We use a **2-asset example** for clarity.

11.0.1 Assets:

1. TCS
2. INFOSYS

11.0.2 Market weights:

- TCS = 60
- INFY = 40

$$w_{mkt} = [0.6 \ 0.4]$$

11.0.3 Covariance matrix:

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 0.04 & 0.01 & 0.01 & 0.09 \end{bmatrix}$$

Risk aversion: ($\lambda = 2.5$)

Tau: ($\tau = 0.025$)

12 Step 1 — Compute Prior Returns ()

$$\pi = \lambda \Sigma w_{mkt}$$

Compute:

$$\Sigma w_{mkt} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.04(0.6) + 0.01(0.4) & 0.01(0.6) + 0.09(0.4) \end{bmatrix} ===== \\ [0.028 \ 0.042]$$

Now multiply by :

$$\pi = 2.5 [0.028 \ 0.042] ===== \\ [0.07 \ 0.105]$$

So market-implied returns:

- TCS = 7
 - INFY = 10.5
-

13 Step 2 — Your View

You think:

TCS will outperform INFY by 1

So ($Q = 0.01$)

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

14 Step 3 — Confidence

Assume:

Variance of view = 0.0025 (fairly confident)

$$\Omega = [0.0025]$$

15 Step 4 — Posterior Return Calculation

We compute:

$$\mu = [(\tau\Sigma)^{-1} + P^T\Omega^{-1}P]^{-1}[(\tau\Sigma)^{-1}\pi + P^T\Omega^{-1}Q]$$

Let's do it cleanly:

15.0.1 First compute Σ :

$$\begin{aligned} \tau\Sigma &= 0.025 \begin{bmatrix} 0.04 & 0.01 & 0.01 & 0.09 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.001 & 0.00025 & 0.00025 & 0.00225 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Compute its inverse:

$$(\tau\Sigma)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1066.7 & -118.5 & -118.5 & 473.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

15.0.2 Compute part A:

$$A = (\tau\Sigma)^{-1}\pi$$

16 [

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{bmatrix} 1066.7 & -118.5 & -118.5 & 473.5 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\begin{bmatrix} 0.07 & 0.105 \end{bmatrix} \\ &===== \\ &\begin{bmatrix} 63.0 & 44.8 \end{bmatrix} \\ &] \end{aligned}$$