

## UX Report

### Summary of findings:

~ given recent github issues, a more thorough report will be uploaded by Friday~

Generally, the site was only engaging to those who were already interested in architecture as a topic. The mismatched image sizing seemed to confuse people, or come across as unsatisfying. However, the map element was generally well-understood without explanation, people intuitively knew that they could zoom out, drag to scroll, et cetera.

### 21, student, male:

#### Comments:

Easy to navigate to the different spots and they appear instantly.

The spacing looks odd, somewhat blocky.

Already interested in architecture, so the tester said they would use it. Appreciates the concept as opposed to reading a list, grasping the buildings in space is nicer to understand architecture.

#### Observations:

Attempted to click on the buttons on the popups, seemed confused that they were not clickable.

### 23, early career, female:

#### Comments:

Font and colors are “cute” and “girly.”

#### Observations:

Tried to scroll down on the page but zoomed out on the map, had to readjust. Seemed disinterested after clicking on a few.