

## Math 392: Assignment 9

1. Of the following real numbers, determine which are constructible:

$$\sqrt[4]{5 + \sqrt{2}} \quad \sqrt[6]{2} \quad \frac{3}{4 + \sqrt{13}} \quad 3 + \sqrt[5]{8}$$

### Solutions:

Recall that the collection of constructible numbers,  $\mathcal{C}$ , form a field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and is closed under taking square roots. So since  $2 \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have that  $\sqrt{2} \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $5 + \sqrt{2} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Similarly  $\sqrt{5 + \sqrt{2}} \in \mathcal{C}$  as is  $\sqrt[4]{5 + \sqrt{2}}$ , which takes care of the first number in our list above.

Notice:  $\sqrt[6]{2}$  has minimum polynomial  $x^6 - 2$ , as it is monic and Eisenstein shows that it is irreducible. This implies  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[6]{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$  which is not a power of 2, which implies that  $\sqrt[6]{2}$  is not constructible.

Since 13 is a constructible number, so is  $\sqrt{13}$  and  $5 + \sqrt{13}$ . This is a non-zero number, so it has a multiplicative inverse in  $\mathcal{C}$ , so its triple,  $\frac{3}{5 + \sqrt{13}}$ , is also constructible.

If  $3 + \sqrt[5]{8}$  were constructible, then so would  $\sqrt[5]{8}$ . However, applying Eisenstein to the shifted polynomial  $(x - 2)^5 - 8$  shows that  $x^5 - 8$  is irreducible, giving  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[5]{8}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 5$  which is not a power of 2, making our original number not constructible.

2. Of the constructible numbers above, write down their explicit tower of degree-2 field extensions as guaranteed by our big theorem about constructible numbers.

### Solutions:

For the first constructible number above,  $\sqrt[4]{5 + \sqrt{2}}$ , our sequence is:

$$\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5 + \sqrt{2}}) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{5 + \sqrt{2}}).$$

For  $\frac{3}{5 + \sqrt{13}}$ , our tower is

$$\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13})$$

3. Prove that a point  $P = (a, b)$  is a constructible point if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  are constructible numbers. (Recall: a number is constructible if you can construct a line of the same length as its absolute value. A point is constructible if it may be constructed by intersecting lines and circles according to the rules given on Wednesday.)

### Solutions:

Let  $P$  be a constructible point. We'll prove that its coordinates are constructible numbers. Since our plane consists of at least two points, the origin and the point 1, construct the line through 0 and 1. Draw the line perpendicular to this line through the origin, and relabel our original points (0,0) and (1,0), and relabel these two lines the  $x$ - and  $y$ -axes. Draw the line perpendicular to the  $x$ -axis through  $P$ ; call the intersection of this line with the  $x$ -axis  $P_x$ . This point is the  $x$ -coordinate of  $P$ , proving that the  $x$ -coordinate of  $P$  is constructible. The  $y$  coordinate follows from the same considerations about the  $y$ -axis.

Now assume  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  are constructible numbers, and we'll prove that the point  $(x_1, y_1)$  is a constructible point. Starting with the origin and the point 1, construct  $x$ - and  $y$ -axes as above. Since  $x_1$  is constructible, measure out a line segment emanating from (0,0) on the

$x$ -axis of length  $x_1$ ; mark a point  $P_1$  at the other end of this line-segment. Do the same with the  $y$ -axis of length  $y_1$ , calling it  $P_2$ . Construct the line perpendicular to the  $y$ -axis through  $P_2$  and the line perpendicular to the  $x$ -axis through  $P_1$ ; they meet at a point, which is exactly the point  $P$ .

4. By definition, an angle  $\alpha$  is constructible if you can construct lines that form an angle of  $\alpha$ . Prove that  $\alpha$  is a constructible angle if and only if  $\sin(\alpha)$  and  $\cos(\alpha)$  are constructible numbers. (You may use that the sum and difference of constructible angles is constructible, though you could prove that too, if you want to think about straight-edge and compass constructions.)

**Solutions:**

Assume  $\alpha$  is a constructible angle. We will prove that  $\cos(\alpha)$  and  $\sin(\alpha)$  are constructible numbers. Let  $\angle ABC$  be an angle of measurement  $\alpha$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume the point  $B$  is the origin, and  $BC$  is the  $x$ -axis. (For if not, use our length-moving action and SSS triangle congruence to move the triangle to the origin.)

Since 1 is a constructible number, draw the circle of radius 1 centered at the origin. This passes through the line  $AB$  at point  $P$ . The coordinates of this point are  $\cos(\alpha)$  and  $\sin(\alpha)$ . Since  $P$  is constructible, its coordinates are constructible (last problem!), so we have proven that  $\cos(\alpha)$  and  $\sin(\alpha)$  are constructible numbers.

Now assume that  $\cos(\alpha)$  and  $\sin(\alpha)$  are constructible numbers, and we'll prove that  $\alpha$  is a constructible angle. Using our  $xy$ -plane that we have constructed, plot a point whose  $x$ -coordinate is  $\cos(\alpha)$  and whose  $y$ -coordinate is  $\sin(\alpha)$  (which is a constructible point by the previous problem). Call this point  $P$ . Draw the line  $\ell$  through the origin and  $P$ . This line makes the angle  $\alpha$  with the  $x$ -axis.

5. Prove that every constructible number is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Use this to prove that it is impossible to construct a square whose area is that of the unit circle.

**Solutions:**

Let  $\alpha$  be a constructible number. Let  $\mathbb{Q} = F_0 \subset \cdots \subset F_n$  be its tower of degree-2 extensions as guaranteed by our big theorem on constructible numbers. This means that  $\alpha \in F_n$  and  $[F_n : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^n$ . By theorem 9.21 in our textbook, we deduce that  $F_n$  is an algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and in particular, we get that  $\alpha$  is algebraic.

Given the origin, and a point of unit length from the origin, we can construct the unit circle. It has area  $\pi$  square units. To construct a square with the same area, its side-length would have to be  $\sqrt{\pi}$ . Since  $\pi$  is not algebraic (a very deep theorem in transcendental number theory!),  $\sqrt{\pi}$  is also not algebraic, and by the above, this implies that it is not constructible either. Thus it is impossible to square the circle.

6. Let  $\zeta = \cos(2\pi/5) + i\sin(2\pi/5)$ . From last time, you know that it is a solution to  $z^5 - 1 = 0$ . You may use the fact that  $\zeta + \zeta^4 = 2\cos(2\pi/5)$ , since  $\zeta^{-1} = \zeta^4$  is the complex conjugate of  $\zeta$ .
  - (a) Show that  $\zeta$  is a solution of the equation  $x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1 = 0$ . (This can be done with calculating any powers of  $\zeta$  by hand.)
  - (b) Show that if  $\alpha = \zeta + \zeta^4$ , then  $\alpha^2 = \zeta^2 + 2 + \zeta^3$  (Hint: there's a quick way to reduce the powers of  $\zeta$  greater than 4 . . .)
  - (c) Show that  $\alpha^2 + \alpha = 1$
  - (d) Prove that  $\cos(2\pi/5)$  is a constructible number

- (e) Prove  $\pi/6$  is a constructible angle
- (f) Prove  $3^\circ$  is a constructible angle
- (g) Prove  $1^\circ$  is not a constructible angle. (Remember: the sum of constructible angles is constructible)
- (h) Prove that an angle  $\theta$  (measured in degrees) is constructible if and only if  $3|\theta$ .

**Solutions:**

- (a) Notice that  $\zeta$  is by definition a root of the polynomial  $x^5 - 1$ . Since we can rewrite this polynomial as  $(x - 1)(x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)$  and we know that  $\zeta - 1 \neq 0$ , we must have that  $\zeta$  is a root of  $x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1 = 0$  as desired.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha^2 &= (\zeta + \zeta^4)^2 \\
 &= \zeta^2 + 2\zeta^5 + \zeta^8 \\
 &= \zeta^2 + 2 + \zeta^5\zeta^3 \\
 &= \zeta + 2 + \zeta^3
 \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha^2 + \alpha &= \zeta + 2 + \zeta^3 + \zeta + \zeta^4 \\
 &= 1 + (1 + \zeta + \zeta^2 + \zeta^3 + \zeta^4) \\
 &= 1
 \end{aligned}$$

- (d) Here we'll use the fact that  $\alpha = \zeta + \zeta^4 = 2\cos(2\pi/5)$ . Since  $\alpha$  is also a root of  $x^2 + x - 1$ , we can use the quadratic formula to find  $\alpha = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$ . This implies that  $\cos(2\pi/5) = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{5}}{4}$ . We know that constructible numbers form a field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and the constructible numbers are closed under square roots, so  $5 \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $\sqrt{5} \in \mathcal{C}$  and so  $\cos(2\pi/5) \in \mathcal{C}$ , as desired.
- (e) We can construct a unit circle in our  $xy$ -plane. Let  $A$  be the point  $(1, 0)$ . Draw a circle of unit radius centered at  $A$ . Mark the points it intersects our original circle  $B$  and  $F$ . Draw a circle centered at  $B$  with unit radius; it will pass through  $(1, 0)$  and a new point on our first circle; call this point  $C$ . Continue this two more times to get  $D$  and  $E$ , building a hexagon. Draw the lines through the origin and through  $B$ . The angle made by this line and the  $x$ -axis is  $\pi/6$ , thus it is a constructible angle.
- (f) Since the sum and difference of constructible angles is constructible, and we constructed  $72^\circ$  and  $60^\circ$  angles above, we know that  $72^\circ - 60^\circ = 12^\circ$  is a constructible angle. Bisecting this angle twice we get that  $3^\circ$  is a constructible angle.
- (g) If  $1^\circ$  is a constructible angle, and the sum of constructible angles is constructible, then any integer angle would be constructible. However, we proved in class that  $20^\circ$  is not a constructible angle. This implies that  $1^\circ$  is not constructible.

- (h) Since  $3^\circ$  is constructible, and the sum (and hence product) of any constructible angle is again constructible, we see that if an angle is a multiple of  $3^\circ$ , then it is constructible. Now assume an angle is not a multiple of  $3^\circ$ , and we will show it is not constructible. By way of contradiction, assume  $\theta$  is not a multiple of  $3^\circ$ , and is constructible. Then  $\theta$  may be written  $\theta = 3n + 1$  or  $\theta = 3n - 1$ . In either case, since  $3n^\circ$  angles are constructible, and constructible angles are closed under sums and differences, we are able to conclude that angles of  $1^\circ$  are constructible, which contradicts the above.