

**HOMEWORK 6 – MATH 441**  
**May 16, 2018**

ALEX THIES  
athies@uoregon.edu

ASSIGNMENT. The following exercises are assigned from  
*Linear Algebra Done Right*, 3rd Edition, by Sheldon Axler.  
3.D - 7, 9, 15, 20;  
3.E - 5;

SECTION 3.D

**Exercise 7.** Suppose  $V$  and  $W$  are finite-dimensional. Let  $v \in V$ . Let

$$E = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W) : Tv = 0\}$$

- (a) Show that  $E$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ .
- (b) Suppose  $v \neq 0$ . What is  $\dim E$ ?

*Proof. Part (a)* Let  $V, W, v, E$  be as above. First, by definition it is clear that  $E \subset \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ , so to show that  $E$  is a subspace, it remains to show that  $E$  is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. Let  $S, T \in E$ , then compute  $(S + T)v = Sv + Tv = 0 + 0 = 0$ , hence  $(S + T) \in E$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ , then compute  $(\lambda T)v = \lambda(Tv) = \lambda 0 = 0$ , hence  $\lambda T \in E$ . Thus, we have shown that  $E$  is closed under addition and scalar multiplication, therefore it is a subspace.

**Part (b)** Let  $v \neq 0$ , and extend it to a basis  $v, v_2, \dots, v_n$ ; let  $w_1, \dots, w_m \in W$  be a basis of  $W$ , then by Theorem 3.60 we have an isometry between  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $\mathbb{F}^{m,n}$ . By Theorem 3.61 we know that  $\dim \mathcal{L}(V, W) = mn$ , hence  $\dim E \leq mn$ . This doesn't actually help us, but it gives us an idea of what we're looking for.

Notice that for  $Tv = 0$  such that  $v \neq 0$ , it must be true that  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  has its first column be all zeroes. In other words, we lose the basis element  $v$  from  $v, v_2, \dots, v_n$ , leaving us with  $v_2, \dots, v_n$ , an  $n - 1$  length list, hence instead of  $\mathbb{F}^{m,n}$  we're using  $\mathbb{F}^{m,n-1}$ . Thus, we have that  $\dim E = m(n - 1)$ ; notice that  $m(n - 1) < mn$ , so this fits with what we'd expect from the first paragraph.  $\square$

**Exercise 9.** Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Prove that  $ST$  is invertible if and only if both  $S$  and  $T$  are invertible.

*Proof.* Let  $V, S, T$  be as above.

$\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $ST$  is invertible. Then there exists a map  $R \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  such that  $R(ST) = I = (ST)R$ . We will use this map, and some vectors, to show that  $T$  is injective, and therefore invertible; similarly we will show that  $S$  is surjective, and therefore invertible.

Let  $v \in V$ . Then  $v = Iv = (ST)Rv = S(TRv)$ , which implies that  $v \in \text{range } S$ , and since  $v$  was arbitrarily chosen we have that  $V = \text{range } S$ , implying that  $S$  is surjective. We know that for linear operators, surjectivity implies invertibility, so  $S$  is invertible.

Let  $u \in V$  such that  $u \in \text{null } T$ . Then  $u = Iu = R(ST)u = (RS)Tu = RS \cdot 0 = 0$ . As before, since  $u \in \text{null } T$  was arbitrarily chosen, we have that  $\text{null } T = \{0\}$ , which implies that  $T$  is injective. Just as surjectivity is equivalent to invertibility for linear operators, injectivity is as well, hence we have that  $T$  is invertible, as we aimed to show.

$\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that  $S$  and  $T$  are each invertible; we will show that  $ST$  is also invertible. Then there exist  $S^{-1}, T^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  such that  $S^{-1}S = SS^{-1} = I = TT^{-1} = T^{-1}T$ . Then, we

compute the following,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (ST)(T^{-1}S^{-1}) &= S(TT^{-1})S^{-1}, \\
 &= SIS^{-1}, \\
 &= SS^{-1}, \\
 &= I.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (T^{-1}S^{-1})(ST) &= T^{-1}(S^{-1}S)T, \\
 &= T^{-1}IT, \\
 &= T^{-1}T, \\
 &= I.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can see that  $ST$  is invertible, as we aimed to show.  $\square$

**Exercise 15.** Prove that every linear map from  $\mathbb{F}^{n,1}$  to  $\mathbb{F}^{m,1}$  is given by a matrix multiplication. In other words, prove that if  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}^{n,1}, \mathbb{F}^{m,1})$ , then there exists an  $m$ -by- $n$  matrix  $A$  such that  $Tx = Ax$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{F}^{n,1}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{F}^{n,1}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}^{m,1}$  be as above, let  $x \in \mathbb{F}^{n,1}$ , and assume  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}^{n,1}, \mathbb{F}^{m,1})$ ; we will show that there exists an  $m$ -by- $n$  matrix  $A$  such that  $Tx = Ax$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{F}^{n,1}$ . As usual, we begin by defining some bases. Let  $\mathcal{E}_n$  and  $\mathcal{E}_m$  be the standard bases for  $\mathbb{F}^{n,1}$  and  $\mathbb{F}^{m,1}$ , respectively. The respective basis elements from  $\mathcal{E}_n$  or  $\mathcal{E}_m$  are matrices of all zeroes, except for exactly one 1 in the appropriate spot along the diagonal. Let  $A = \mathcal{M}(T; \mathcal{E}_m, \mathcal{E}_n)$ , i.e., let  $A$  be the matrix representation of  $T$  with respect to the standard bases. With these bases we also have that  $Tx = \mathcal{M}(Tx; \mathcal{E}_m, \mathcal{E}_n)$  and  $x = \mathcal{M}(x; \mathcal{E}_m, \mathcal{E}_n)$ . Finally, we apply Theorem 3.65,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Tx &= \mathcal{M}(Tx), \\
 &= \mathcal{M}(T)\mathcal{M}(x), \\
 &= Ax.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have shown that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}^{n,1}, \mathbb{F}^{m,1})$  implies that there exists an  $m$ -by- $n$  matrix  $A$  such that  $Tx = Ax$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{F}^{n,1}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 20.** Suppose  $n$  is a positive integer and  $A_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Prove that the following are equivalent (note that in both parts below, the number of equations equals the number of variables):

- (a) The trivial solution  $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$  is the only solution to the homogeneous system of equations

$$\sum_{k=1}^n A_{1,k} x_k = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n A_{n,k} x_k = 0.$$

- (b) For every  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{F}$ , there exists a solution to the system of equations

$$\sum_{k=1}^n A_{1,k} x_k = c_1$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n A_{n,k} x_k = c_n.$$

*Proof.* Let  $n, A_{i,j}$  be as above. Notice by the bounds on the sum, and the indices on the summands, that we are working in  $\mathcal{L}(V)$  for  $V$  such that  $\dim V = n$ . Therefore, we're working in a vector space in which injectivity, surjectivity, and invertibility are equivalent, moreover we know that the number of variables equals the number of equations, allowing us to use Theorems 3.23 and 3.26. Part (a) states that the only solution to the homogeneous system of equations is the trivial solution, which implies that the corresponding linear map is injective. Part (b)

states that the given non-homogeneous system of equations has solutions for each arbitrarily chosen  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{F}$ , which implies that the corresponding linear maps is surjective. As we mentioned above, in this case injectivity is equivalent to surjectivity, as we aimed to show.  $\square$

### SECTION 3.E

**Exercise 5.** Suppose  $W_1, \dots, W_m$  are vector spaces. Prove that  $\mathcal{L}(V, W_1 \times \dots \times W_m)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(V, W_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{L}(V, W_m)$  are isomorphic vector spaces.

*Proof.* Let  $W_1, \dots, W_m$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(V, W_1 \times \dots \times W_m)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(V, W_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{L}(V, W_m)$  be as above. By Theorem 3.59 it will suffice to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim[\mathcal{L}(V, W_1 \times \dots \times W_m)] \\ = \dim[\mathcal{L}(V, W_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{L}(V, W_m)] \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $\mathcal{L}(V, W_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{L}(V, W_m)$  is a product of  $m$  vector spaces, so its elements are  $m$ -tuples whose components are linear maps from  $V$  to the appropriate  $W_j$ , it follows that  $\dim[\mathcal{L}(V, W_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{L}(V, W_m)] = m$ . Therefore, if we show that  $\dim[\mathcal{L}(V, W_1 \times \dots \times W_m)] = m$ , then we're done. The easiest way to accomplish this is probably to show that the dimension of a basis of  $\mathcal{L}(V, W_1 \times \dots \times W_m)$  is of length  $m$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{L}(V, W_1 \times \dots \times W_m)$ , in order for this basis to be linearly independent and spanning, it must be of length  $m$ . Hence  $\dim[\mathcal{L}(V, W_1 \times \dots \times W_m)] = m$  as we aimed to show, more importantly, we have shown that  $\mathcal{L}(V, W_1 \times \dots \times W_m)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(V, W_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{L}(V, W_m)$  are isomorphic, as desired.  $\square$