Duality Theory of LPP

Prof. M.P. Biswal

Department of Mathematics

IIT- Kharagpur E-Mail: mpbiswal@maths.iitkgp.ac.in

September 5, 2022

Linear Programming Models

General form of a LPP in Canonical Form is given by:

(I)
$$\max: f = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_{j} \leq b_{i}, \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$x_{j} \geq 0, \qquad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$

(II)
$$\max: f = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_{j} = b_{i}, \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$x_{j} \geq 0, \qquad j = 1, 2, ..., n.$$

(III)
$$\max: f = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j \leq b_i, \qquad i=1,2,...,m$$
 x_j is free, $j=1,2,...,n$

(IV)
$$\max: f = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_{j} = b_{i}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$x_{j} \text{ is free}, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$

For these models (I)-(IV), it is assumed that all a_{ij} , b_i , c_j are deterministic real number for all i and j. Since the objective function and the constraints of the models are linear, we may apply the following Linear programming methods to find the optimal solution:

- Primal Simplex Method
- Dual Simplex Method
- Charne's Penalty Method (Big-M Method)
- Two-Phase Simplex Method
- Revised Simplex Method
- Interior Point Methods(Projective and Scaling) of Karmarkar (1984).

Primal LPP:Canonical Form

(P)
$$\max : Z = c^T x$$
 (1) subject to

$$Ax \leq b, \quad b \geq 0, \tag{2}$$

$$x \geq 0. \tag{3}$$

where
$$A = [a_{ij}]_{m \times n}$$
, $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$, $c = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}$, $b = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix}$.

Dual LPP:

(D) min:
$$z = b^T y$$
 (4) subject to

$$A^T y \geq c, \quad c \geq 0,$$
 (5

$$y > 0.$$
 (6

where
$$A^T = [a_{ji}]_{n \times m}$$
, $y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{bmatrix}$, $b = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix}$, $c = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}$.

Relationship 1. The dual of the dual is primal.

Relationship 2. A $m \times n$ primal LPP gives an $n \times m$ dual LPP.

Relationship 3. For each primal constraint, there is a related dual variable, and vice versa.

Relationship 4. For each primal variable, there is a related dual constraint, and vice versa.

Relationship 5. In a general LPP, an unrestricted variable in one problem gives an associated equality constraint in the other, and vice versa.

Relationship 6. Given the canonical form of the LPP with Z the objective function value of the maximizing primal, z the objective function value of the minimizing dual, x_0 a feasible solution to the primal, and y_0 a feasible solution to the dual:

- **1** $Z \le z$ (Weak Duality).
- ② $Z^* = z^*$ (Strong Duality).
- 3 If $c^T x_0 = b^T y_0$, then $x_0 = x^*$ and $y_0 = y^*$, $z^* = Z^*$ where $Z^* =$ maximum value of the primal objective function and $z^* =$ minimum value of dual objective function.

Relationship 7. If one problem has an optimal solution, the other has an optimal solution.

Relationship 8. If the primal is unbounded, the dual is infeasible.

Relationship 9. If the primal is infeasible, the dual may be either unbounded or infeasible.

Primal(P) and Dual(D) LPP: Type-I

$$(P) \quad \max: f = c^T X$$

Subject to $AX \leq b, X \geq 0$

where
$$c = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix}$$
, $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ $b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{pmatrix}$

$$a_{12} \quad \dots \quad a_{1n} \setminus$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix}_{m \times n}$$

In expanded form, it can be written as:

$$(P) \quad \max: f = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j$$

$$\text{Subject to}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$x_j \geq 0. \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$

Dual of the Primal LPP:

(D)
$$\min: f' = b^T Y$$

Subject to
$$A^TY \ge c, Y \ge 0$$
where $Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_r \end{pmatrix}$.

In expanded form, it can be written as:

(D) min:
$$f' = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i y_i$$
Subject to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij} y_{i} \geq c_{j}, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$

$$y_{i} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

How to find Dual of a LPP?
We will use Lagrange Multipliers Method to establish the Dual. Details are given below:

(P)
$$\max : f = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j$$

 $\Rightarrow \min : -f = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j$

Subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_{j} \leq b_{i}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$
 (7)

$$x_j \geq 0.$$
 $j = 1, 2, ..., n$

Add a slack variable

$$s_i^2 \geq 0, \quad i=1,2,...,m$$
 $\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j + s_i^2 - b_i = 0, \quad i=1,2,...,m$ (8) where $x_j \geq 0, \quad j=1,2,...,n$ $\Rightarrow -x_j \leq 0, \quad j=1,2,...,n$ $-x_j + t_j^2 = 0, \quad j=1,2,...,n$ Another slack variable $t_j^2 \geq 0, \quad j=1,2,...,n$

Let $L(X,S,T,\lambda,\mu)$ be the Lagrange Function. We minimize it. i.e.

$$\min: L(X, S, T, \lambda, \mu)$$

$$L(X, S, T, \lambda, \mu) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_{j} + s_{i}^{2} - b_{i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i} \left(-x_{j} + t_{j}^{2} \right)$$

where
$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_m \geq 0$$

 $\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_n \geq 0$
 $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \geq 0$
 $s_1^2, s_2^2, ..., s_m^2 \geq 0$
 $t_1^2, t_2^2, ..., t_n^2 > 0$

All the Lagrange multipliers $\lambda_i, \forall i$ and $\mu_j, \forall j$ are non-negative. Total number of variables are 2m+3n. There are m+n number of Lagrange multipliers. Using the Necessary Conditions for the minimum we have:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{j}} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$

$$\Rightarrow -c_{j} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} a_{ij} - \mu_{j} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} a_{ij} - c_{j} = \mu_{j}, \text{ but } \mu_{j} \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} a_{ij} - c_{j} \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} a_{ij} \geq c_{j}, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_{i}} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_{j} + s_{i}^{2} - b_{i} = 0, \text{ but } s_{i}^{2} \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_{j} \le b_{i}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial s_{i}} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$\Rightarrow 2s_{i} \lambda_{i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$\Rightarrow s_{i} \lambda_{i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$\Rightarrow s_{i}^{2} \lambda_{i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$\Rightarrow s_{i}^{2} \lambda_{i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_{i} \left(b_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_{j} \right) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_{i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_{j} - b_{i} \right) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_{j}} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$

$$\Rightarrow -x_{j} + t_{j}^{2} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$

$$\Rightarrow x_{j} = t_{i}^{2}, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$

$$rac{\partial L}{\partial t_j} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$
 $2\mu_j t_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$ $\Rightarrow \quad \mu_j t_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$ So $\quad \mu_j t_j^2 = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$

From the last equation $t_i^2 = x_j$

$$\mu_j x_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$
 (11)

i.e.
$$(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i a_{ij} - c_j) x_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$

where

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i a_{ij} - c_j = \mu_j$$

i.e.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i a_{ij} - c_j \geq 0$$

From the last equation , we know that

$$c_j \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i a_{ij}$$

Multiply both side by $x_j (\geq 0)$

$$c_{j}x_{j} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij}\lambda_{i}\right)x_{j}, \forall j$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j}x_{j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij}\lambda_{i}\right)x_{j},$$

We can interchange the summation notation.

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\right) \lambda_i,$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_{j} \right) \lambda_{i}, \text{ but } \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_{j} \leq b_{i}, \forall i,$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} \lambda_{i}$$

$$(12)$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_m$ are multipliers called the dual variable $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_m \geq 0)$

Let
$$y_i = \lambda_i$$
, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$

$$y_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i y_i, \quad \lambda_i = y_i$$
Now $\Rightarrow c^T X \leq b^T Y$

$$\Rightarrow f \leq f' \qquad (13)$$
Also min: $c^T X \leq \min$: $b^T Y \qquad (14)$

$$\min: C X \leq \min: D Y \tag{14}$$

$$\max: \quad \mathbf{f} \quad \leq \quad \min: \mathbf{f}' \tag{15}$$

$$\max: f = \min: f' \tag{16}$$

For the above two equations, First one is called Weak Duality and second one is called Strong Duality.

Also we have

$$\min: \ b^T Y = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i$$
 Subject to
$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} \lambda_i \ \geq \ c_j \ j=1,2,...,n,$$
 Since $\lambda_i = y_i \geq 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij}y_i \geq c_j \quad j=1,2,...,n$$

Finally we have Dual LPP

(D) min:
$$f' = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i y_i$$

Subject to
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij} y_i \geq c_j, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$

$$y_i \geq 0. \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

m+n Pairs of Complementary Conditions:

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_{j} - b_{i})y_{i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

where at least one of factor is zero.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j - b_i = 0, \quad OR \quad y_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij}y_i - c_j)x_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$

where at least one of factor is zero.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij} y_i - c_j = 0, \quad OR \quad x_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$$



Numerical Example:(a) Type-I Primal LPP:

$$\max : Z = X_1 + 3X_2$$

Subject to

$$X_1 + X_2 \le 10$$

 $X_1 + 2X_2 \le 11$
 $X_1 + 4X_2 \le 16$
 $X_1, X_2 > 0$

Optimal Solution:

$$X^* = (6, 5/2), Z^* = 13.5 = 27/2$$

DUAL LPP:

$$\min: z = 10Y_1 + 11Y_2 + 16Y_3$$

Subject to

$$Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 \ge 1$$

 $Y_1 + 2Y_2 + 4Y_3 \ge 3$
 $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \ge 0$

Optimal Solution:

$$Y^* = (0, 1/2, 1/2), Z^* = 27/2$$

- 1. Please Check $X_1 = 6$, $X_2 = 2.5$ is a feasible solution of the LPP.
- 2. Please Check $X_1 = 6$, $X_2 = 2.5$ is an Optimal solution of the LPP using Duality Theory.

We have five pairs of complimentary conditions:

$$(X_1 + X_2 - 10)Y_1 = 0$$

$$(X_1 + 2X_2 - 11)Y_2 = 0$$

$$(X_1 + 4X_2 - 16)Y_3 = 0$$

$$(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 - 1)X_1 = 0$$

$$(Y_1 + 2Y_2 + 4Y_3 - 3)X_2 = 0$$

$$X_1 = 6, X_2 = 2.5, X_1 + X_2 < 10, Y_1 = 0$$

$$(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 - 1) = 0, (Y_1 + 2Y_2 + 4Y_3 - 3) = 0, Y_2 = Y_3 = 1/2$$

$$\min : z = 13.5, \max : Z = 13.5$$

Given solution is an optimal solution.