Group No.:4
Topic: I/O
Atishay Jain - 20CS30008
Roopak Priydarshi - 20CS30042
Gaurav Malakar - 20CS10029
Siripuram Bhanu Teja - 20CS10059

Title: Rio: Order-Preserving and CPU-Efficient Remote Storage Access

Authors: Xiaojian Liao, Zhe Yang, Jiwu Shu

Abstract:

The paper presents 'Rio', a novel system designed to enhance the efficiency and order-preserving capabilities of remote storage access, specifically targeting Non-Volatile Memory Express (NVMe) Solid State Drives (SSDs) equipped with a Persistent Memory Region (PMR). This critical analysis delves into the technical intricacies, evaluates the system's architecture, and discusses its implications in the broader context of modern storage systems.

Introduction:

The evolution of storage technologies, particularly NVMe SSDs, has brought about a paradigm shift in data storage and retrieval. These devices offer unparalleled speed and efficiency compared to traditional storage media. However, their integration into distributed systems poses significant challenges, particularly in maintaining the order of write operations and ensuring CPU efficiency. Rio addresses these challenges by introducing an innovative mechanism for order preservation and CPU-efficient operations.

Background and Motivation:

The advent of NVMe SSDs has been a game-changer in the storage industry. Their ability to handle multiple input/output operations concurrently and their low-latency characteristics make them ideal for high-performance computing environments. However, the existing storage protocols and file systems are not fully optimized to exploit these features, often leading to performance bottlenecks. Rio is motivated by the need to bridge this gap, ensuring that the capabilities of NVMe SSDs are fully utilized while maintaining data integrity and consistency.

Rio's Design Philosophy:

The design policy of Rio primarily focuses on optimizing remote storage access in systems equipped with Non-Volatile Memory Express (NVMe) Solid State Drives (SSDs) that include Persistent Memory Regions (PMR). A central aspect of Rio's design is its order-preserving mechanism, which is crucial for maintaining data integrity, especially in distributed systems where write operations need to be executed sequentially. This mechanism ensures that even in the event of a system crash, the data can be recovered in a state that respects the original order of operations.

Another key element of Rio's design is its emphasis on CPU efficiency. In high-throughput environments, CPU can become a bottleneck, particularly when managing I/O operations. Rio addresses this by minimizing the CPU's involvement in the I/O path,

offloading much of the work to the storage hardware itself. This approach not only improves overall system performance but also allows the CPU to focus on other tasks, thereby enhancing the system's efficiency.

Furthermore, Rio's architecture is designed for seamless integration into existing systems with minimal disruption. It interfaces with the block layer of the operating system, making it compatible with various file systems and storage protocols. This flexibility is a testament to Rio's robust and adaptable design policy, aimed at enhancing the performance and reliability of data storage and retrieval in modern computing environments.

Order-Preserving Mechanism:

Rio's order-preserving mechanism is a sophisticated and integral part of its design, specifically tailored to enhance the efficiency and reliability of remote storage access in systems equipped with Non-Volatile Memory Express (NVMe) Solid State Drives (SSDs) that include Persistent Memory Regions (PMR). This mechanism adeptly addresses a critical challenge in distributed systems: the need to ensure that write operations are executed in the correct sequence, a requirement that is essential for maintaining data integrity and consistency, particularly in environments where data is frequently updated or where maintaining transactional integrity is crucial.

At the heart of Rio's order-preserving mechanism is the innovative use of metadata storage. This system stores ordering metadata, which is pivotal in retaining enough information to reconstruct the sequence of write operations after a system crash, thereby ensuring data integrity is uncompromised. The mechanism's approach to handling write requests is also noteworthy. It is designed to efficiently schedule and manage these requests, maintaining their order and addressing the challenge of sequential execution in a distributed environment. This aspect is particularly crucial in scenarios involving crash recovery. Rio's robustness in such situations is a standout feature, as it ensures that even in the event of a system failure, the integrity and order of the data can be reliably restored, thereby safeguarding against data corruption or loss. This order-preserving mechanism is a testament to Rio's advanced and thoughtful design, aimed at optimizing storage access while ensuring data reliability and consistency in modern computing environments.

CPU Efficiency:

A key aspect of Rio's design is its focus on CPU efficiency. In traditional storage systems, the CPU is heavily involved in managing I/O operations, which can become a bottleneck in high-throughput environments. Rio addresses this by offloading much of the I/O management work to the storage hardware itself. This approach not only improves the overall performance of the system but also frees up CPU resources for other tasks.

System Architecture:

Rio's architecture is designed to integrate with the block layer of the operating system, making it compatible with a wide range of file systems and storage protocols. This flexibility is one of Rio's strengths, as it allows for easy adoption in existing systems without the need for significant infrastructure changes.

Implementation Details:

The paper provides an in-depth look at the implementation of Rio, covering both the hardware and software aspects. The authors discuss the challenges faced during the implementation and how they were overcome. This section is particularly valuable for practitioners and researchers interested in the practical aspects of storage system design.

Performance Evaluation:

The performance evaluation of Rio is comprehensive, covering various scenarios and comparing the system with existing solutions. The results demonstrate significant improvements in both order preservation and CPU efficiency. These improvements are not just theoretical but have been validated in real-world scenarios, underscoring the practical applicability of Rio.

Impact on Future Storage Systems:

Rio's approach has significant implications for the design of future storage systems. By addressing the challenges of order preservation and CPU efficiency, it sets a new benchmark for the efficient use of NVMe SSDs in distributed environments. This could lead to substantial performance improvements in a range of applications, from databases to file systems.

Limitations and Future Directions:

While Rio's design in "Order-Preserving and CPU-Efficient Remote Storage Access" marks a significant advancement in storage technology, it is important to consider its limitations and areas that could benefit from further development. A notable limitation is the hardware specificity of Rio's current implementation. It is primarily tailored for NVMe SSDs equipped with Persistent Memory Regions (PMR), which might limit its applicability in diverse storage environments or with different types of SSDs lacking similar features. This specificity could restrict its broader adoption across various technological landscapes.

Another area of concern is the scalability and performance of Rio under high-load conditions. The system's behavior in extremely high-load scenarios or in highly scalable environments has not been thoroughly explored. Understanding how Rio performs under varying degrees of workload intensity and in larger, more complex distributed systems is crucial for assessing its viability in real-world applications.

Additionally, while Rio is designed for integration with minimal disruption, the actual process of incorporating it into existing systems may present complexities. The integration process could be challenging, especially in systems with existing storage solutions and established protocols. This aspect is particularly important for organizations looking to adopt Rio without overhauling their current infrastructure.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the report on "Rio: Order-Preserving and CPU-Efficient Remote Storage Access" by Xiaojian Liao, Zhe Yang, and Jiwu Shu presents a compelling advancement in the field of remote storage access, particularly for systems utilizing NVMe SSDs with PMR. Rio's innovative design, focusing on order preservation and CPU efficiency, addresses critical challenges in distributed storage systems, offering a significant improvement over traditional storage access methods.

The order-preserving mechanism of Rio stands out as a key innovation, ensuring data integrity and consistency, especially in environments with frequent data updates or where transactional integrity is paramount. This mechanism, with its efficient handling of write requests and robust crash recovery capabilities, demonstrates a deep understanding of the complexities involved in distributed storage systems.

Moreover, Rio's emphasis on CPU efficiency, reducing the CPU's involvement in the I/O path and offloading tasks to the storage hardware, marks a significant step towards optimizing overall system performance. This approach not only enhances storage access efficiency but also contributes to the broader system's effectiveness by allowing the CPU to focus on other critical tasks.

The seamless integration of Rio into existing systems, with minimal disruption and compatibility with various file systems and storage protocols, further underscores its practical applicability and potential for widespread adoption. Its design reflects a thoughtful balance between innovation and practicality, making it a promising solution for future storage systems.

Overall, Rio represents a notable advancement in storage technology, offering a solution that is not only technically sound but also highly relevant to the evolving needs of modern computing environments. Its development and implementation could lead to significant improvements in the performance and reliability of data storage and retrieval, paving the way for more efficient and robust distributed storage systems in the future.

<u>Title: GIFT: A Coupon Based Throttle-and-Reward Mechanism for Fair and Efficient I/O Bandwidth Management on Parallel Storage Systems</u>

Authors: Tirthak Patel, Rohan Garg, Devesh Tiwari

Overview of I/O Handling in High-Performance Computing Systems (HPCs):

High-Performance Computing Systems (HPCs) play a pivotal role in processing and analyzing vast datasets efficiently. Within the HPC ecosystem, the efficient management of Input/Output (I/O) operations is a fundamental challenge. The performance of HPC systems is intricately linked to the handling of I/O, and suboptimal I/O management can lead to bottlenecks and degrade overall system performance. Traditional I/O management approaches in HPCs have primarily focused on maximizing throughput and minimizing latency. However, these strategies often struggle to balance the competing I/O demands of various concurrently executing applications, leading to inefficient resource utilization.

Introduction to GIFT:

The paper introduces GIFT (Generic I/O Fairness Throttling), a novel approach designed to revolutionize I/O operations optimization within HPC systems. GIFT is developed with the intention of addressing the inherent limitations of existing I/O management strategies. It aims to introduce a more dynamic and equitable allocation of I/O resources among competing applications, thereby not only enhancing system performance but also ensuring fairness—a critical aspect often overlooked in traditional approaches.

GIFT's Approach and Methodology:

GIFT introduces a unique "throttle-and-reward" strategy for I/O bandwidth allocation, revolutionizing the way I/O resources are managed in HPC environments. This approach is based on two key principles:

- Relaxing the Fairness Window: GIFT departs from conventional methodologies by
 extending the notion of fairness beyond individual I/O requests. It ensures fairness
 over multiple I/O phases and runs of an application. This adaptive strategy aligns well
 with the characteristics of HPC applications, which often exhibit repetitive and
 phase-based I/O behaviors.
- Throttle-and-Reward Mechanism: GIFT employs a sophisticated throttle-and-reward mechanism to optimize I/O bandwidth allocation. It temporarily throttles the I/O bandwidth of selected applications to enhance the overall effective system I/O bandwidth. Subsequently, the "throttled" applications are rewarded at a later stage to maintain long-term fairness in resource allocation.

The implementation of GIFT was executed using FUSE as the foundation file system, extending its functionality to emulate a parallel file system—a common feature of HPC environments. This practical implementation was pivotal in evaluating the applicability and real-world performance of GIFT.

Evaluation of GIFT:

The evaluation of GIFT aimed to comprehensively assess its effectiveness in improving system performance and sustaining fairness in I/O resource allocation. Key findings from the evaluation include:

- Significant Performance Improvements: GIFT exhibited a substantial improvement in mean effective system I/O bandwidth, achieving a remarkable 17% increase.
 Additionally, it managed to reduce mean application I/O time by an impressive 10%.
 These performance enhancements indicate the potential of GIFT in mitigating I/O bottlenecks and optimizing system throughput.
- Scalability and Low Overhead: GIFT's design emphasizes scalability and low overhead. This makes it a pragmatic solution for large-scale HPC environments, where efficient I/O management is crucial. The implementation demonstrated minimal computation and communication overhead, ensuring that the benefits of GIFT outweighed the incurred costs.
- Fairness Over Time: One of GIFT's distinguishing features is its ability to maintain fairness over time. It compensates for any initial throttling of applications by later rewarding them, ensuring that competing applications receive equitable resource allocation throughout their execution.

Critical Analysis:

In addition to the points highlighted in the paper, a critical analysis of GIFT's performance, scalability, and practical applicability in various HPC environments reveals several important considerations:

- Equity in Performance Gains: While GIFT showcases significant improvements in system performance, further analysis should explore the distribution of these gains among different applications. Ensuring equitable benefits for all applications is essential to achieve a truly fair I/O management system.
- Scalability Under Varying Conditions: GIFT's scalability was demonstrated, but its
 performance under diverse HPC configurations and workloads requires additional
 scrutiny. Understanding how GIFT adapts to different conditions and loads is critical
 for its practical adoption.
- **Optimization Potential:** As with any new technology, there is room for optimization. Future research could focus on fine-tuning GIFT's algorithms for even greater efficiency and adaptability across various HPC environments.
- User Satisfaction and Real-World Impact: It is crucial to consider user satisfaction
 and the real-world impact of GIFT. Evaluating its effectiveness in addressing the
 specific challenges faced by HPC users and assessing its acceptance within the
 HPC community are important aspects to explore.

In conclusion, GIFT represents a significant leap forward in the field of I/O operations optimization within HPC systems. Its innovative throttle-and-reward approach, coupled with a focus on long-term fairness, positions it as a promising solution for mitigating I/O bottlenecks and optimizing resource allocation. However, for a comprehensive understanding of its impact and potential, further research and real-world testing are essential. GIFT has the potential to reshape the landscape of I/O management in HPC environments, paving the way for more efficient and equitable resource allocation.

<u>Title: Asynchronous I/O Stack: A Low-latency Kernel I/O Stack for Ultra-Low Latency SSDs</u>

Authors: Gyusun Lee , Seokha Shin, Wonsuk Song, Tae Jun Ham, Jae W. Lee, Jinkyu Jeong

Introduction:

The paper "Asynchronous I/O Stack: A Low-latency Kernel I/O Stack for Ultra-Low Latency SSDs" presented at the 2019 USENIX Annual Technical Conference offers an insightful exploration into optimizing I/O operations in Linux systems, particularly for NVMe SSDs. The authors, Gyusun Lee, Seokha Shin, Wonsuk Song, Tae Jun Ham, Jae W. Lee, and Jinkyu Jeong, focus on the latency issues associated with synchronous operations in the Linux kernel and propose an asynchronous I/O stack as a solution.

Background and Context in a Nutshell:

In the world of computers, there's a race for speed. We've got these super-fast storage devices called NVMe SSDs, which are like sports cars in the world of data storage. They can read and write data incredibly fast, making everything from loading games to processing huge amounts of information quicker than ever. But there's a catch. The problem lies in how computers, especially those running on Linux (a popular operating system), handle this data. Think of the Linux system as a traffic controller. Right now, this traffic controller is working in an old-fashioned way, handling data step by step. This method is fine for slower storage devices but becomes a bottleneck – a kind of roadblock – for these speedy NVMe SSDs. It's like having a fast car but being stuck in slow-moving traffic.

As NVMe SSDs become more common, from personal laptops to large servers, this mismatch is becoming a big issue. We have the technology for fast data storage, but the system that processes this data isn't keeping up. The challenge is to update how the computer's operating system communicates with these fast storage devices, clearing the way for them to operate at their full potential. This is the core issue that the paper "Asynchronous I/O Stack: A Low-latency Kernel I/O Stack for Ultra-Low Latency SSDs" addresses – making sure our fast storage devices can zoom at full speed without any roadblocks.

Key Issues Addressed:

- Synchronous Operations in Linux Kernel: The paper highlights that in the Linux kernel, operations like page allocation, DMA mapping, and auxiliary data structure management occur synchronously before an actual I/O command is issued to the device. This process becomes a significant latency contributor in systems with ultra-low latency SSDs.
- Latency Comparison: The latency involved in these synchronous operations is comparable to the actual I/O data transfer time, which is a critical issue in high-performance computing environments.

Proposed Solution:

Asynchronous I/O Stack: The core idea of the Asynchronous I/O Stack is to decouple the CPU operations from the device I/O time. In traditional systems, several preparatory

operations (like page allocation, DMA mapping, and data structure management) are performed synchronously before sending an I/O command to the storage device. The Asynchronous I/O Stack proposes to perform these operations in parallel with the device's I/O processing.

This involves reengineering the I/O path in the Linux kernel to allow for overlapping operations. By doing so, the time spent waiting for these CPU operations to complete can be utilized more efficiently, thereby reducing the overall latency of the I/O process. The asynchronous approach is particularly beneficial for ultra-low latency SSDs, where the traditional synchronous operations can be a significant bottleneck. This method promises to enhance the overall system performance by reducing the end-to-end I/O latency, making it a crucial development for high-performance computing environments.

Lightweight Block Layer (LBIO): The LBIO is a redesigned block layer for the Linux kernel, tailored for NVMe SSDs. It aims to streamline the block I/O submission and completion process by removing or simplifying certain functionalities that are not critical for NVMe SSDs. LBIO focuses on essential features like block I/O submission/completion and I/O command tagging while minimizing or eliminating others like request merging/reordering and I/O scheduling. This simplification leads to a reduction in the time taken from allocating a bio object to dispatching an I/O command to a device.

The paper also discusses the memory cost comparison between the traditional block layer and LBIO. LBIO is shown to be more memory-efficient, requiring fewer memory allocations for handling I/O requests. This efficiency is crucial in high-performance environments where resource optimization is key.

By reducing the latency in the block I/O submission process and optimizing memory usage, LBIO contributes significantly to the overall performance improvement in systems using ultra-low latency SSDs.

Critical Analysis:

- Relevance and Timeliness: The paper addresses a critical and timely issue in the
 field of computer systems, especially with the increasing prevalence of NVMe SSDs
 in both consumer and enterprise computing. The focus on reducing latency is
 particularly relevant as storage technology evolves to meet the demands of
 high-speed computing.
- Innovative Approach: The proposal of an asynchronous I/O stack is innovative. It
 challenges the traditional synchronous operation model, recognizing that the latency
 in these operations can be a bottleneck in systems with ultra-low latency SSDs. This
 forward-thinking approach is commendable and shows a deep understanding of the
 evolving landscape of computer hardware.
- Technical Depth and Analysis: The paper provides a robust technical analysis, including comparisons between the traditional Linux block layer and the proposed lightweight block layer (LBIO). This depth is crucial for substantiating their claims and demonstrating the practical implications of their research. However, the paper could benefit from more user-centric case studies or real-world application scenarios. This would help in understanding the impact of their proposed changes in everyday computing tasks or specific industry applications.

- Potential Impact: The potential impact of implementing an asynchronous I/O stack is significant. It could lead to performance improvements not only in specialized high-performance computing environments but also in consumer-grade computers, enhancing the overall efficiency of systems using NVMe SSDs.
- Clarity and Presentation: The document is well-structured and presents complex technical content in an organized manner. However, it might be somewhat challenging for readers who are not deeply versed in kernel-level programming or computer architecture.
- **Future Work and Scalability:** The paper opens avenues for further research, particularly in the scalability of the proposed solutions across different system architectures and in diverse application environments.

An exploration of the compatibility of the asynchronous I/O stack with various hardware configurations and operating systems would be a valuable addition to this research.

Conclusion:

The research presented in the paper is quite a breakthrough in the world of computer science, especially for those who use really fast SSDs. The authors, a group of smart computer scientists, have come up with two main ideas to make computers work faster and more efficiently. These ideas are important because they help computers handle data faster, which is super useful in today's world where we deal with huge amounts of data and need to process it quickly. This is especially true for businesses and research where speed can make a big difference.

In the future, the ideas from this paper could lead to even faster and more powerful computers. Researchers and tech companies might take these concepts and apply them in different ways, maybe even coming up with new types of software or hardware that can do things we haven't even thought of yet. It's also possible that these ideas could be adapted to work with different types of computer systems, not just Linux, making them useful for a wider range of people and devices. In simple terms, this paper is like a guide to building a faster brain for computers, especially those using the latest SSDs. It's a step towards making our technology quicker, which is pretty exciting for everyone, from gamers to scientists.

Who knows, one day we might even have I/O devices that are as fast as our computer's RAM, making data access almost instantaneous.

<u>Title:Efficient and Safe I/O for Intermittent Systems</u>

Authors: Eren Yıldız, Saad Ahmed, Bashima Islam, Josiah Hester, Kasım Sinan Yıldırım

In recent times, there has been a notable shift towards creating smaller and highly energy-efficient computing devices, used in various fields like IoT gadgets, wearable technology, and even specialised medical sensors that can be placed inside or on the body. These devices leave batteries behind and function entirely on the energy harvested from the surroundings like solar energy,thermal energy.Rather than relying on conventional batteries, these devices store the harvested energy in compact capacitors. This stored energy is then utilised to perform various functions including sensing, actuation, inference, computation, and communication. Operation in these devices is intermittent because energy is not always available to harvest and, even when energy is available, buffering enough energy to do a useful amount of work takes time. Task based Intermittent Systems are systems.

Where a task is considered to be atomic. As the tasks have all-or-nothing semantics when on a power failure they re-execute peripheral I/O operations. They waste energy and time when they re-execute these peripheral IO operations. Also there are some challenges faced by these Task based Intermittent Systems often lead to wasteful I/O on re-execution memory inconsistencies when dealing with nonvolatile memory, and unsafe program execution.

In many systems, when a task is interrupted by a power failure, it restarts from the beginning, which means all the input/output (I/O) operations inside the task are unnecessarily repeated. For example, a camera doesn't need to take a picture again if the last attempt was successful. Unfortunately, existing systems lack the capability to recognize when repeating an I/O operation is unnecessary, resulting in a significant waste of time and energy. Redundant re-executions might even lead to a non-termination bug since I/O operations increase the task's energy requirements, which may exceed the energy buffer's capacity. In case of applications that handle large amounts of data, they often transfer data between volatile and non volatile memory requiring peripheral operations. Performing such operation might lead to memory-inconsistency if they modify non volatile memory directly. The problem arises from the potential impact of I/O operations on the decision-making process within a task. Specifically, these operations can influence the conditions that determine the branching of a task, where each branch may interact with different parts of non-volatile memory. In the event of a power failure and subsequent task restart, it's possible for the task to take a different branch than it did in the previous energy cycle. This discrepancy arises because a repeated I/O operation might yield a different output.

These are some of the problems faced by intermittent task based systems. There are existing runtimes for intermittent computing . Existing task-based studies face challenges with inefficient resource utilisation due to a lack of comprehensive programming language support. Additionally, they often overlook the critical aspect of re-execution semantics for individual peripheral operations, leading to unnecessary repetition of I/O tasks . This paper introduces <code>EaseIO(EfficientAndSafE I/O)</code> a programming language and runtime , allowing programmers to introduce I/O re-execution semantics

Avoiding unnecessary re-execution after reboot. EaseIO provides APIs and compiler annotations that assist programmers in annotating different code regions that may potentially lead to memory inconsistencies across system reboots. It also introduces a new method Regional Privatization, which equips developers with the means to establish protective measures against memory inconsistencies specific to DMA-based I/O operations.

The EaseIO subsystem introduces three keywords to specify peripheral re-execution behaviour:

- Single: This keyword instructs the runtime to execute a peripheral operation only
 once if it achieved success in the preceding energy cycle. It optimizes energy usage
 by avoiding redundant executions of successful operations, contributing to more
 efficient processing.
- **Timely:** The "Timely" attribute conveys whether there are timeliness constraints associated with the data involved in a peripheral operation. If the data from the last I/O operation remains valid, the runtime avoids re-execution. This ensures nuanced and time-aware execution of I/O operations.
- Always: The "Always" specification directs the runtime to re-execute peripheral
 operations following each power failure. This is the default policy in task-based
 systems and ensures continuous execution in the event of power interruptions.

The main interfaces offered by EaseIO are:

- _call_IO(name, type..): This abstraction allows the execution of a peripheral operation while incorporating re-execution semantics. It determines whether to re-execute the operation upon reboot based on the annotated semantic at runtime.
- _IO_block_begin(type,..) and _IO_block_end: These markers indicate the beginning and end of atomic execution for multiple I/O functions. They ensure synchronized and predictable behavior within the delineated block.
- _DMA_copy(*src, *dst, size): The DMA_copy function handles block data copying through a DMA peripheral. It dynamically determines the re-execution semantics of the DMA operation based on the source and destination memory types, preventing memory inconsistencies.

Semantic-aware I/O Re-execution Implementation

In the implementation of the "single" semantics within EaseIO, a Boolean flag is introduced to meticulously track the completion of I/O operations. This strategic use of a boolean flag ensures a clear and concise mechanism for monitoring the status of these operations. EaseIO further enhances its reliability by maintaining a nonvolatile copy of the return value. In the event of a failure to re-execute due to unforeseen circumstances, the system seamlessly restores the original value using this nonvolatile copy, thus safeguarding against potential data inconsistencies.

Conversely, to adhere to the "timely" semantics, EaseIO adopts a nuanced approach by incorporating a non-volatile variable. This variable acts as a repository, storing the timestamp of the last execution of a particular operation. When executing subsequent operations, EaseIO intelligently checks whether the last result remains valid. If any inconsistency is detected, it triggers a re-execution of the peripheral I/O operation, thereby ensuring the timeliness and accuracy of the data in line with the specified semantics.

In the pursuit of "Always" semantics, EaseIO leverages task-based models to facilitate the re-execution of I/O operations. This strategic reliance on task-based paradigms introduces a dynamic and flexible framework for consistently executing peripheral I/O operations. By aligning with task-based models, EaseIO not only streamlines the process of re-execution but also adds a layer of adaptability, making it well-suited for scenarios where the perpetual and reliable execution of I/O operations is paramount.

Enabling Memory-Safe DMA Operations: DMA can modify nonvolatile memory without the intervention of CPU , it can result in memory-inconsistencies as pointed out earlier. EaseIO decides the semantics of a DMA operation based on source type and destination type:

- 1. **Volatile to Volatile:** In this case it is re-executed every time as these values don't persist across reboot. Ease IO annotates this as "always".
- 2. Volatile/non-volatile to non Volatile: In this case the destination points to non volatile memory. If the previous execution was successful. It retains this value after a power failure, so there is no need for re-execution. So the EaselO annotates this as "single".

Regional Privatisation: In intermittent computing environments, non-volatile variables manipulated by the CPU can pose a challenge for memory consistency, particularly when they are involved in DMA operations. Take the example of a task that copies a value from one non-volatile buffer to another. The runtime would label this operation as "Single," indicating it should not be re-executed. However, bypassing re-execution of such a DMA operation would lead to data inconsistencies.

To ensure safe program execution the easeIO handles this using *Regional Privatisation*. It divides tasks into multiple regions based on DMA operation locations. A task containing 'N' DMAs is split into 'N+1' regions. The compiler establishes regional privatisation and recovery procedures at the outset of each region. Dedicated private copies are generated to ensure region-specific consistency. A flag is then set at the end of the process, signalling the '_DMA_copy' function for seamless atomic execution of DMA and privatisation. If a power failure occurs post-regional privatisation, EaseIO leverages private copies to restore non-volatile variables. This sequential approach effectively mitigates inconsistencies that might result from DMA non-re-execution in the subsequent energy cycle, enhancing program safety by eliminating unvisited paths in continuous execution.

The implementation of EaseIO leverages macros, compiler directives, and a compiler front-end utilizing the LLVM and Clang LibTooling framework for source-to-source transformations. This transformation process converts I/O calls into C code and identifies redundantly executed I/O operations by introducing a control block, defining an IO_block. This IO_block allows for the specification of multiple re-execution semantics for various I/O functions within the same task. The introduction of the "Always" semantic does not entail additional logic; instead, it relies on task-based models to facilitate the re-execution of I/O operations. This semantic is incorporated to maintain consistency with the task-based programming model. EaseIO systematically stores the output of all call_IO operations during a reboot, ensuring that the program consistently follows the same path as it would under continuous power. This approach is pivotal for averting unsafe program execution and guaranteeing the reliability of the program's behavior across different power states.

In the evaluation of EaseIO, the innovative intermittent computing framework demonstrated substantial advantages over existing runtimes such as Alpaca and InK. In the uni-task application phase, EaseIO showcased impressive reductions in wasted work, achieving an 85% decrease in total execution time. This efficiency was attributed to the system's ability to intelligently handle I/O semantics, avoiding unnecessary re-executions. The multi-task application phase further highlighted EaseIO's prowess, revealing significant reductions in energy consumption (up to 17%) and enhanced execution correctness, particularly in scenarios with DMA operations and WAR dependencies. Despite slightly higher overhead in certain cases, EaseIO's overall performance gains underscore its potential for improving the reliability and efficiency of intermittent computing applications.

Programmer burden : While EaseIO marks the initial stride toward enabling re-configurable I/O support by furnishing the API and runtime infrastructure for defining I/O re-execution semantics, it does place an additional responsibility on the programmer. The programmer must discern and specify the semantics for each I/O operation, introducing a certain degree of burden. For a more streamlined process, an automated system could be developed to identify time-dependent data, predict power failures, and recognize

Write-After-Read (WAR) dependencies during compile-time analysis. This would relieve the programmer from manual annotation tasks. Future endeavors for EaselO include the integration of compiler support to automate this annotation process, enhancing the overall usability of the system.

In conclusion, EaseIO stands out as a groundbreaking intermittent runtime by introducing re-execution semantics for I/O operations and effectively addressing idempotence bugs arising from repeated I/O operations. EaseIO provides programmers with language interfaces and semantics to clearly express re-execution requirements for peripherals. Notably, the Regional Privatization and _DMA_copy interface empower programmers to design their code without the need to consider WAR dependencies on DMA operations and non-volatile variables. Through rigorous evaluation, EaseIO demonstrated a remarkable reduction in wasted work due to power failures, outperforming state-of-the-art task-based runtimes by up to threefold. Moreover, EaseIO ensures the secure execution of DMA copying operations, a capability not shared by other contemporary runtimes. This underscores the significance of EaseIO in advancing the field of intermittent runtimes and its potential to enhance the reliability and efficiency of systems operating under challenging intermittent conditions.

This paper holds significant value because it introduces EaseIO, a new programming language and system that addresses critical challenges in intermittent computing. It offers efficient handling of I/O operations and addresses the memory challenges faced by Task-based intermittent systems. EaseIO's innovative approach not only enhances the reliability of intermittent systems but also contributes to the development of sustainable batteryless devices, marking a crucial advancement in the field of OS research. As the demand for energy-efficient and resilient computing solutions grows, EaseIO's contributions stand out as a pivotal step towards shaping the future of intermittent computing and expanding the possibilities of batteryless device applications.