Load Balance

Loop Scheduling

Practical Issues in OpenMP

M. D. Jones, Ph.D.

Center for Computational Research University at Buffalo State University of New York

High Performance Computing I, 2014

• The way in which iterations of a parallel loop get assigned to threads is determined by the loop's schedule

- Default scheduling typically assumes an equal load balance, frequently the case that different iterations can have entirely different computational loads
- Load imbalance can cause significant synchronization delays

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

1 / 60

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

Load Balance

Scheduling

HPC1 Fall 2014

Static vs. Dynamic Scheduling

Basic distinction of loop scheduling:

Static: iteration assignment to threads determined as function of

iteration/thread number

Dynamic: assignment can vary at run-time, and iterations are

handed out to threads as they complete previously

assigned iterations

Iterations in both schemes can be assigned in chunks

SCHEDULE Clause

The general form of the SCHEDULE clause:

SCHEDULE clause

schedule(type[,chunk])

where type can be one of:

static without chunk, threads given equally sized subdivision of iterations (exact placement implementation-dependent).

With chunk, iterations divided into chunk-sized pieces, remainder allocation is implementation dependent

dynamic iterations divided into chunks (default is one if chunk not

present), assigned dynamically at run-time

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

Scheduling | Load Balance

guided first chunk size determined by implementation, then subsequently decreased exponentially (value is implementation-dependent) to minimum size specified by chunk (default 1)

runtime chunk must not appear, schedule determined by value of environmental variable OMP SCHEDULE

auto (OpenMP 3.0) gives implementation freedom to choose best mapping of iterations to threads

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas

HPC1 Fall 2014

6 / 60

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

per chunk)

number of threads

load imbalances

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas **Directive Nesting**

Scheduling Considerations

Easy to Use?

- OpenMP does not force the programmer to explicitly manage communication or how the program data is mapped onto individual processors - sounds great ...
- OpenMP program can easily run into common SMP programming errors, usually from resource contention issues.

- DO/for, SECTIONS, SINGLE, and WORKSHARE directives that bind to the same parallel region are not allowed to be nested.
- DO/for, SECTIONS, SINGLE, and WORKSHARE directives are not allowed in the dynamical extent of CRITICAL, ORDERED, and MASTER directives.
- BARRIER and MASTER are not permitted in the dynamic extent of DO/for, SECTIONS, SINGLE, WORKSHARE, MASTER, CRITICAL, and ORDERED directives.
- ORDERED must appear in the dynamical extent of a DO or PARALLEL DO with an ORDERED clause. ORDERED is not allowed in the dynamical extent of SECTIONS, SINGLE, WORKSHARE, CRITICAL, and MASTER.

Dynamic schedules can better balance the load between threads.

but typically have higher overhead costs (synchronization costs

Guided schedules have the advantage of typically requiring fewer

• Simple static has the lowest overhead, but is most susceptible to

chunks (translates to fewer synchronizations) - typically the initial chunk size is roughly the number of iterations divided by the

Things to consider when choosing between scheduling options

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas Shared vs. Private

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas **Data Storage Gotchas**

Shared vs. Private

Data Storage Defaults

Most variables are SHARED by default

Fortran: common blocks, save variables, MODULE variables.

C: file scope variables, static variables.

- with some exceptions ...
 - stack variables in sub-programs called from a PARALLEL region.
 - automatic variables within a statement block
 - loop indices (in C just on "work-shared" loops)

Assumed size and assumed shape arrays can not be privatized.

- Fortran allocatable arrays (and pointers) can be PRIVATE or SHARED, but not FIRSTPRIVATE or LASTPRIVATE.
- Constituent elements of a PRIVATE (FIRSTPRIVATE/LASTPRIVATE) name common block can not be declared in another data scope clause.
- Privatized elements of shared common blocks are no longer storage equivalent with the common block.

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

11/60

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas Synchronization & Barriers

Synchronization Awareness

Implied Barriers:

- 1 END PARALLEL
- END DO (unless NOWAIT)
- END SECTIONS (unless NOWAIT)
- 4 END CRITICAL
- END SINGLE (unless NOWAIT)

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB) OpenMP Issues & Gotchas

Synchronization & Barriers

Implied Flushes:

- BARRIER
- CRITICAL/END CRITICAL
- 3 END DO
- END PARALLEL
- END SECTIONS
- END SINGLE
- ORDERED/END ORDERED

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas

Synchronization Costs (cont'd)

Synchronization & Barriers

Synchronization Costs

 Overhead for synchronization on an SGI Origin 2000 (MIPS) 250MHz R10000 processors)

| Nthreads | PARALLEL[μs] | DO[μs] | $ATOMIC[\mus]$ | REDUCTION[μs] |
|----------|--------------|--------|----------------|---------------|
| 1 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 2.1 |
| 2 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 0.4 | 11.0 |
| 4 | 11.6 | 6.8 | 1.5 | 20.7 |
| 8 | 28.0 | 14.1 | 3.1 | 31.0 |

- 10μs? Isn't that pretty small?
- $10\mu s \times 250 MHz = 2500$ clock cycles lost computation.

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

15 / 60

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMF

HPC1 Fall 2014

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas Synchronization & Barriers

Synchronization Costs (cont'd)

 Overhead for synchronization on an Intel "Clovertown" (dual quad-core 1.866GHz Xeon processors)

| ſ | Nthreads | PARALLEL[μ s] | DO[μs] | ATOMIC[μ s] | REDUCTION[μ s] |
|---|----------|--------------------|--------|------------------|---------------------|
| ſ | 1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.02 | 0.2 |
| | 2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.08 | 2.0 |
| İ | 4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 0.14 | 3.1 |
| | 8 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 0.52 | 5.8 |

- $5.8\mu s \times 1866 MHz = 10823 clock cycles lost computation.$
- Overhead for synchronization on an Intel "Nehalem" (dual quad-core 2.8GHz Xeon processors)

| Nthreads | PARALLEL[μs] | DO[μs] | ATOMIC[μ s] | REDUCTION[μs] |
|----------|--------------|--------|------------------|---------------|
| 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.1 |
| 2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.04 | 1.2 |
| 4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.05 | 1.5 |
| 8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 0.05 | 2.5 |

• $2.5\mu s \times 2800 MHz = 7000 clock cycles - lost computation.$

• Overhead for synchronization on an SGI Altix 3700 (Intel 1300MHz Itanium2 processors)

| Nthreads | PARALLEL[μ s] | DO[μs] | ATOMIC[μ s] | REDUCTION[μ s] |
|----------|--------------------|--------|------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| 2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 2.6 |
| 4 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 9.6 |
| 8 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 0.5 | 24.1 |
| 16 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 0.6 | 60.7 |
| 32 | 19.2 | 19.3 | 0.7 | 132 |
| 64 | 41.8 | 40.9 | 0.7 | 316 |
| | | | | |

- 10 μ s? Isn't that pretty small?
- $10\mu s \times 1300 MHz = 13000 clock cycles lost computation.$

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas Synchronization & Barriers

Synchronization Costs (cont'd)

Overhead for synchronization on a 32-core Intel "Westmere" 2130MHz system (4 sockets, 8 cores/socket, Xeon E7-4530)

| Nthreads | PARALLEL[μs] | DO[μs] | $ATOMIC[\mus]$ | REDUCTION[μs] |
|----------|--------------|--------|----------------|---------------|
| 1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.02 | 0.2 |
| 2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 0.04 | 2.7 |
| 4 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 0.07 | 4.2 |
| 8 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 0.07 | 6.8 |
| 16 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 0.07 | 12.2 |
| 32 | 15.4 | 6.9 | 0.07 | 24.9 |

- $25\mu s \times 2130 MHz = 53250$ clock cycles lost computation.
- Not exactly great progress ...

Synchronization & Barriers

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas

Synchronization & Barriers

Common Errors

Race conditions: outcome of the program depends on detailed scheduling of thread team (the answer is different every time I run the code!).

Deadlock: threads wait forever for a locked resource to become free.

• What is wrong with this code fragment?

```
real tmp,x
!$OMP PARALLEL DO REDUCTION(+:x)
           do i = 1,10000
3
              tmp=dosomework(i)
              x=x+tmp
    !$OMP END DO
          y(iam) = work(x, iam)
     SOMP END PARALLEL
```

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

19 / 60

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Race Conditions

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

20 / 60

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas Race Conditions & Deadlock

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas Race Conditions & Deadlock

Race Conditions

• What is wrong with this code fragment?

```
real tmp, x
    !$OMP PARALLEL DO REDUCTION(+:x)
          do i = 1,10000
3
             tmp=dosomework(i)
5
             x=x+tmp
6
          end do
    !$OMP END DO
          y(iam) = work(x,iam)
    !$OMP END PARALLEL
```

• The programmer did not make tmp PRIVATE, hence the results are unpredictable.

Race Conditions

• What about now?

```
real tmp,x
    !$OMP PARALLEL DO REDUCTION(+:x), PRIVATE(tmp)
3
          do i = 1,10000
             tmp=dosomework(i)
             x=x+tmp
          end do
    !$OMP END DO NOWAIT
          y(iam) = work(x,iam)
    !$OMP END PARALLEL
```

Race Conditions & Deadlock

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas

are freed if you are using locks!

call OMP UNSET LOCK(lock0)

call OMP_INIT_LOCK(lock0)

call OMP SET LOCK(lock0)

iret = dolotsofwork()

if (iret.le.tol) then

call error(iret)

call OMP_SET_LOCK(lock0)

call OMP UNSET LOCK(lock0)

call compute(A,B,iret)

!\$OMP PARALLEL SECTIONS

!\$OMP SECTION

endif

\$!OMP END SECTIONS

Load Balancing

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

!\$OMP SECTION

Race Conditions & Deadlock

Race Conditions

• What about now?

```
SOMP PARALLEL DO REDUCTION(+:x), PRIVATE(tmp)
3
          do i = 1,10000
4
             tmp=dosomework(i)
5
             x=x+tmp
          end do
    !$OMP END DO NOWAIT
          y(iam) = work(x,iam)
    SOMP END PARALLEL
```

ullet The value of x is not dependable without the barrier at the end of the DO construct - be careful with NOWAIT!

Practical Issues in OpenMP

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas Load Balancing

23 / 60 M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

Practical Issues in OpenMP

• A somewhat artificial example of deadlock - watch that resources

HPC1 Fall 2014

24 / 60

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas

Load Balancing

Load Balancing

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

 Consider the following code fragment - can you see why it not efficient to parallelize on the outer loop?

```
do i = 1, N
   do j=1,i
      a(j,i)=a(j,i)+b(j)*c(i)
   end do
end do
```

Deadlock

• One strategy - break up the loop into interleaved chunks,

```
SOMP PARALLEL SHARED (num_threads)
     !$OMP SINGLE
2
3
           num_threads = OMP_GET_NUM_THREADS()
     !$OMP END SINGLE NOWAIT
     !$OMP END PARALLEL
     !$OMP PARALLEL DO PRIVATE(i,j,k)
          do k = 1, num_threads
              do i = k, n, num_threads
9
                 do j = 1,i
10
                    a(j,i) = a(j,i) + b(j)*c(j)
11
                 end do
12
             end do
           end do
```

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

HPC1 Fall 2014

Load Balancing

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas

Load Balancing

Load Balancing

Another equivalent (and somewhat cleaner!) way,

```
!$OMP PARALLEL DO PRIVATE(i,j) SCHEDULE(static,4)

do i=1,n
do j=1,i
a(j,i)=a(j,i)+b(j)*c(j)
end do
end do
```

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

27 / 60

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

28 / 60

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas

Coarseni

Coarsening

Strategies for increasing OpenMP performance,

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas

- do more work per parallel region, and decrease fraction of time spent in sequential code.
- reduce synchronization across threads
- combine multiple parallel do directives into larger parallel region (with work-sharing constructs therein)

What is wrong with fine grain (loop) parallelism?

Overhead kills performance

Toward Coarser Grains

Not scalable to large number of threads

$$S(N_p) = rac{ au_{\mathcal{S}} + au_p}{ au_{\mathcal{S}} + au_p/P} = rac{1}{S + (1-S)/P}$$

Remember Amdahl's law!

Coarsening (cont'd)

Domain Decomposition

- Break Data domain into sub-domains,
- Compute loop bounds once depending on number of threads (a priori loop decomposition),
- Reduces loop overhead, but shifts burden from compiler back to the programmer,
- Implements the Single Program Multiple Data model (SPMD).

Coarse Grain SPMD Example

Coarse Grain SPMD Example

```
program spmd
$!OMP PARALLEL DEFAULT(PRIVATE) SHARED(N, global)
      num_threads = OMP_GET_NUM_THREADS()
      iam = OMP GET THREAD NUM()
      ichunk = N/num threads
      ibegin = iam*ichunk
      iend = ibegin + ichunk - 1
      call lotsofwork (ibegin, iend, local)
      global = global + local
$!OMP END PARALLEL
      print*, global
      end program spmd
```

program spmd ibegin ibegin !\$OMP PARALLEL ... iend iend !\$& SHARED(M,global) local local !\$& DEFAULT(PRIVATE) ibegin ibegin iend iend local local

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

5

10

11

12

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

31 / 60

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

32 / 60

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas

SPMD Implementation

- Manual decomposition valid for any number of threads (make sure that cost/benefit ratio is high enough!)
- Same program on each thread, but a different (PRIVATE) sub-domain of the program data.
- Synchronization necessary to handle global variable updates (ATOMIC usually more efficient than CRITICAL).

Thread Safety Issues

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Certainly one must be careful about hidden state issues when calling functions/routines from multiple threads:

- MPI check your level of thread safety with MPI Init thread and program accordingly
- Other functions Up to you to check and ensure thread-safe functions (danger in treating any function as a black box)

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

Thread-safe Example

From the rand man page (section 3, RHEL 5):

```
#include <stdlib.h>
 int rand(void):
 int rand_r(unsigned int *seedp);
void srand(unsigned int seed);
DESCRIPTION
 The rand() function returns a pseudo-random integer between 0 and RAND MAX.
 The srand() function sets its argument as the seed for a new sequence of
 pseudo-random integers to be returned by rand(). These sequences are repeatable
 by calling srand() with the same seed value.
 If no seed value is provided, the rand() function is automatically seeded with a value
 of 1.
 The function rand() is not reentrant or thread-safe, since it uses hidden state that is
 modified on each call. This might just be the seed value to be used by the next call,
 or it might be something more elaborate. In order to get reproducible behaviour in a
 threaded application, this state must be made explicit. The function rand_r() is
 supplied with a pointer to an unsigned int, to be used as state. This is a very small
 amount of state, so this function will be a weak pseudo-random generator. Try
 drand48 r(3) instead.
```

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

35 / 60

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas C/C++ Max/Min

Max/Min with Locks

Another way to do max/min, this time with OpenMP locks:

```
omp lock t MAXLOCK;
    omp_init_lock(&MAXLOCK);
    #pragma omp parallel for
6
    for (i = 0; i < Number of elements; i++) {
        if (array[i] > cur max) {
8
           omp set lock(&MAXLOCK);
9
           if (array[i] > cur_max) {
10
             cur max = array[i];
11
12
           omp_unset_lock(&MAXLOCK);
13
14
15
    /* Destroying The Lock */
    omp_destroy_lock(&MAXLOCK);
```

Lack of Max/Min in C/C++

Due to a lack of an intrinsic max/min function in C/C++, we have no built-in reduction operator in OpenMP, so one way to do so is to have each thread track its max/min value, and then update the global max/min accordingly with a protective directive:

```
#pragma omp parallel private(my_amax)
2
3
       amax = 0:
       my_amax = 0:
       /* use private variable for max per thread */
    #pragma omp for
       for (i=0; i <= N; i++) {
         if (a[i] > my_amax) {
             my_amax = a[i];
10
11
       /* global update, requires only num threads critical evaluations */
12
     #pragma omp critical
13
       if (my amax > amax) {
14
15
              amax = my amax;
16
17
18
```

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

36 / 60

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas C/C++ Max/Min

Example - Compare Max/Min with Critical vs. Lock

Compare the two methods - find the max in a randomly seeded array of varying size, serially and using the OpenMP critical and lock method (note that the outcome should be pretty obvious based on the two coding examples, but you can tinker with them to make the distinction less clear).

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas Thread Affinity

Thread Affinity

GNU Options

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

The GNU compilers currently support an option for CPU affinity, as well as an option for adjusting the available stack space per thread: There are many times in which you may wish or need to specify how

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas

GOMP CPU AFFINITY: space-separated or comma-separated list of CPUs, either single CPU numbers in any order, a range of CPUs (M-N) or a range with some stride (M-N:S). Note that cores are counted starting from 0. Note that this view of CPU core reflects that of the operating system, which in many cases is not the full picture of the underlying hardware topology.

GOMP STACKSIZE: sets the default thread stack size in kilobytes.

Contention for cache memory

physical with logical here) CPU cores:

• Contention for network interfaces (especially when combined with message-passing)

your compute threads get mapped to the physical (do not confuse

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

39 / 60

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

OpenMP Issues & Gotchas

Intel Options

The Intel compilers support a much richer set of utilities for controlling the placement of threads:

- KMP_AFFINITY is the environment variable used, although the Intel run-time will also respect the GOMP_CPU_AFFINITY variable at a lower level of precedence.
- Details can be found (and are frequently changed as the architecture evolves) in the compiler documentation, but the latest as of this writing can be found at:

http://software.intel.com/sites/products/documentation/hpc/composerxe/en-us/2011Update/ cpp/lin/optaps/common/optaps_openmp_thread_affinity.htm

- Best bet is to review the documentation for the compiler that you are trying to use.
- Extremely helpful when simultaneous multi-threading (also known as hyper-threading) is turned on.

Advantages over Message Passing

- Domain decomposition methodology is the same, but implementing it in OpenMP can be easier, as global data can be read without any need for synchronization or message passing.
- Parallelize only parts of the code that require it (profiling is key!). Pre and Post Processing can be left sequential.

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

Best of Both Worlds?

How about combining OpenMP with Message Passing?

- Message Passing between machines, OpenMP within.
- Allow application dependent mixing within a shared memory environment.
- Coarse grain with Message Passing, fine grain with OpenMP.

Platforms & Compilers

This table lists the various compiler suites available on the production computing platforms along with their OpenMP compiliance:

| Platform | Compiler | OMP | Invocation |
|--------------|---|--|-------------------------|
| Linux x86_64 | Gnu ^a (g77/gcc/g++) PGI (pgf90/pgcc/pgCC) | $2.5(>4.1),3.0(>4.4),3.1(>4.7),4.0^b (\ge 4.9)$ $2.5,3.0(\ge 12.0)$ | -fopenmp -mp |
| | Intel (ifort/icc/icpc) | $2.5,3.0(\ge 11.0),3.1(\ge 12.1),4.0(\ge 14.0)$ | -openmp -openmp_report2 |

^aThe Gnu compiler suite supports OpenMP for versions >4.2, although some Linux distributions (e.g. RedHat) have backported support to 4.1

^bIncomplete support for 4.0, especially offload

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

43 / 60

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

Example - Simple

HPC1 Fall 2014

45 / 60

Practical OpenMP

Example - Simple

Practical OpenMP

Simple OpenMP example

```
program simple
  USE omp_lib! comment out for pgf90 - if not openmp 2.0 compliant
 implicit none
 integer :: myid, nthreads, nprocs
 !include this declaration for pgf90
 !integer :: OMP GET NUM THREADS, OMP GET THREAD NUM, OMP GET NUM PROCS
!$OMP PARALLEL default(none) private(myid) &
!$OMP shared(nthreads, nprocs)
! Determine the number of threads and their id
myid = OMP GET THREAD NUM()
nthreads = OMP GET NUM THREADS();
nprocs = OMP_GET_NUM_PROCS();
!$OMP BARRIER
if (myid==0) print*, 'Number of available processors: ',nprocs
print *, 'myid = ', myid, ' nthreads ', nthreads
!$OMP END PARALLEL
end program simple
```

CCR - simple example

```
[jonesm@rush ~/d_omp]$ module load intel
[jonesm@rush ~/d_omp]$ ifort —O3 —o simple_ifort —openmp simple.f90
[jonesm@rush ~/d_omp]$ setenv OMP_NUM_THREADS 4
[jonesm@rush ~/d_omp]$ ./simple_ifort
Number of available processors: 4
myid = 1 nthreads 4
myid = 0 nthreads 4
myid = 2 nthreads 4
myid = 3 nthreads 4
```

```
[jonesm@rush ~/d_omp]$ module load pgi
[jonesm@rush ~/d_omp]$ pgf90 -O3 -mp -o simple_pgi simple.f90
[jonesm@rush ~/d_omp]$ ./simple_pgi
Number of available processors: 4
myid = 0 nthreads 4
myid = 3 nthreads 4
myid = 1 nthreads 4
myid = 1 nthreads 4
myid = 2 nthreads 4
```

CCR - simple example

```
[rush:~/d_omp]$ gcc -fopenmp -o hello2 hello2.c
[rush:~/d_omp]$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=1
[rush:~/d omp]$ ./hello2
Hello World from thread 0
There are 1 threads
[rush:~/d_omp]$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=4
[rush:~/d omp]$ ./hello2
Hello World from thread 1
Hello World from thread 3
Hello World from thread 0
Hello World from thread 2
There are 4 threads
[rush:~/d_omp]$ ./simple_ifort
myid =
                   1 nthreads
myid =
                   2 nthreads
Number of available processors:
                                           32
myid =
                   0 nthreads
 myid =
                   3 nthreads
```

Let's take this as a trial of parallelizing a real code:

MD Sample Code

- Take the sample MD code from www.openmp.org
- Modify it slightly for our environment (uncomment the line for use omp_lib, add conditional compilation for the API function calls ...
- Then do a quick profile to see where the code spends is spending time...

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

48 / 60

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMF

HPC1 Fall 2014

49 / 60

Practical OpenMP

Example - Molecular Dynamics

Practical OpenMP

Example - Molecular Dynamics

Compile for quick profiling and run to generate run-time profile (this is on a 12-core Xeon "Westmere" node):

```
[k08n08a:~/d_omp]$ ifort -O3 -o md1500-pg.x -g -p md1500.f90
     [k08n08a:~/d_omp]$ /usr/bin/time ./md1500-pg.x
     September 19 2011 1:39:35.156 PM
5
6
      A molecular dynamics program.
8
9
        100 0.112109E+07 0.893929
                                         0.158956E-10
        200 0.112109E+07
                            3.63376
                                         -0.189220E-10
                            8.23009
                                         -0.115307E-09
11
        300 0.112108E+07
12
        400 0.112107E+07
                            14.7004
                                         -0.304663E-09
13
            0.112107E+07
                            23.0692
                                         -0.619601E-09
14
             0.112106E+07
                            33.3684
                                         -0.109145E-08
15
            0.112104E+07
                                         -0.175113E-08
                            45.6372
            0.112103E+07
                                         -0.263625E-08
16
                            59.9221
17
                                         -0.377839E-08
            0.112101E+07
                            76.2778
18
      1000
            0.112099E+07
                            94 7666
                                         -0.521232E-08
19
20
21
      Normal end of execution.
22
23
     September 19 2011 1:42:13.397 PM
     158.21 user 0.00 system 2:38.25 elapsed 99%CPU (0 avgtext+0 avgdata 8032 maxresident) k
    Oinputs+480 outputs (O major+539 minor) page faults Oswaps
```

Simple analysis based on profile:

```
[k08n08a:~/d omp]$ gprof —line ./md1500-pg.x gmon.out > report-line-md1500.txt
    [k08n08a:~/d omp]$ less report-line-md1500.txt
    Flat profile:
3
    Each sample counts as 0.01 seconds.
          cumulative
                                          self
     time
            seconds
                       seconds
                                  calls ns/call ns/call
                                                           name
                                                             __libm_sse2_sincos
     44.51
                62.31
                         62.31
     18.27
                87.89
                         25.58
                                                            compute (md1500.f90:194 @ 4035b4)
10
      6.18
                96.54
                          8.65
                                                            compute (md1500.f90:194 @ 40359f)
11
      6.18
               105.18
                          8.65
                                                            compute (md1500.f90:168 @ 4035a9)
12
      3.63
               110.26
                          5.08 2250748500
                                                        2.25 dist (md1500.f90:266 @ 403680)
13
      3.20
               114.74
                          4.48
                                                            compute (md1500.f90:167 @ 40355d)
14
      3.11
               119.10
                          4.36
                                                            compute (md1500.f90:167 @ 403544)
      2.55
               122.66
                          3.57
                                                            compute (md1500.f90:192 @ 403561)
16
      2.06
               125.55
                          2.89
                                                            compute (md1500.f90:192 @ 403551)
17
      1.66
               127.87
                          2.32
                                                            compute (md1500.f90:194 @ 403569)
18
      1.39
              129.82
                          1.95
                                                            compute (md1500.f90:188 @ 403521)
      1.10
              131.37
                          1.55
                                                            dist (md1500.f90:300 @ 4036c1)
```

Practical OpenMP

Example - Molecular Dynamics

Practical OpenMP

Example - Molecular Dynamics

... and now let us take a look at the critical code sections,

and not too surprisingly, it is the loop over particles that updates forces and momenta that is responsible for most of the consumed time:

```
do i = 1, np
179
180
         Compute the potential energy and forces.
181
182
        f(1:nd,i) = 0.0D+00
183
184
        do j = 1, np
185
186
           <u>if</u> ( i /= j ) then
187
188
              call dist ( nd, pos(1,i), pos(1,j), rij, d )
189
190
        Attribute half of the potential energy to particle J.
191
192
              pot = pot + 0.5D + 00 * v(d)
193
194
              f(1:nd,i) = f(1:nd,i) - rij(1:nd) * dv(d) / d
```

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

52 / 60

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

Practical Issues in OpenMP

HPC1 Fall 2014

53 / 60

Practical OpenMP

Example - Molecular Dynamics

Practical OpenMP

Example - Molecular Dynamics

Adding OpenMP directives to this loop:

```
!$OMP parallel do &
174
      !$OMP default ( shared ) &
      !$OMP shared ( nd ) &
175
      !$OMP private ( i, j, rij, d ) &
177
      !$OMP reduction ( + : pot, kin )
       do i = 1, np
178
179
180
        Compute the potential energy and forces.
181
182
       f(1:nd,i) = 0.0D+00
183
184
       do j = 1, np
185
186
          if ( i /= j ) then
187
              <u>call</u> dist (nd, pos(1,i), pos(1,j), rij, d)
188
189
190
         Attribute half of the potential energy to particle J
191
192
              pot = pot + 0.5D+00 * v(d)
193
194
              f(1:nd,i) = f(1:nd,i) - rij(1:nd) * dv(d) / d
```

Using these OpenMP directives, what kind of speedup can we get?

```
[k14n08b:~/d_omp]$ module load intel/11.1
[k14n08b:~/d_omp]$ ifort -O3 -o md1500.no-omp.x md1500.f90
[k14n08b:~/d_omp]$ ifort -O3 -openmp -openmp_report2 -o md1500.omp.x md1500.f90
md1500 f90 (65): (col. 7) remark: OpenMP DEFINED REGION WAS PARALLELIZED.
md1500.f90(94): (col. 10) remark: OpenMP DEFINED LOOP WAS PARALLELIZED.
md1500.f90(173): (col. 7) remark: OpenMP DEFINED LOOP WAS PARALLELIZED.
md1500.f90(357): (col. 7) remark: OpenMP DEFINED LOOP WAS PARALLELIZED.
[k14n08b:~/d omp]$ /usr/bin/time ./md1500.no-omp.x
September 19 2011 3:34:34.561 PM
 A molecular dynamics program.
  100 0.112109E+07 0.893929
                                   0.158956E-10
  200 0.112109E+07
                      3.63376
                                  -0.189220E-10
  300 0.112108E+07
                                  -0.115307E-09
  400 0.112107E+07
                      14.7004
                                  -0.304663E-09
  500 0.112107E+07
                      23.0692
                                  -0.619601E-09
  600 0.112106E+07
                      33.3684
                                   -0.109145E-08
       0.112104E+07
                       45.6372
                                   -0.175113E-08
       0.112103E+07
                       59.9221
                                  -0.263625E-08
  900 0.112101E+07
                       76.2778
                                  -0.377839E-08
  1000
       0.112099E+07
                       94.7666
                                   -0.521232E-08
 Normal end of execution.
September 19 2011 3:37:05.843 PM
151.26user 0.00system 2:31.28elapsed 99%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 4688maxresident)k
Oinputs+Ooutputs (Omajor+329minor) pagefaults Oswaps
```

```
[k14n08b:~/d\_omp]$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=1
[k14n08b:~/d\_omp]$ /usr/bin/time ./md1500.omp.x
September 19 2011 4:38:48.788 PM
 A molecular dynamics program.
 This is thread 0 of
   100 0.112109E+07 0.893929
                                    0.158956E-10
   200 0.112109E+07
                       3.63376
                                   -0.189222E-10
   300 0.112108E+07
                       8 23009
                                   -0.115307E-09
   400 0.112107E+07
                       14.7004
                                   -0.304663E-09
   500 0.112107E+07
                       23.0692
                                   -0.619601E-09
   600 0.112106E+07
                                   -0.109145E-08
                       33.3684
   700 0.112104E+07
                       45.6372
                                   -0.175113E-08
   800 0.112103E+07
                                   -0.263625E-08
                       59.9221
   900 0.112101E+07
                       76.2778
                                   -0.377839E-08
 1000 0.112099E+07
                       94.7666
                                   -0.521232E-08
MD
 Normal end of execution.
September 19 2011 4:41:41.716 PM
172.90 user 0.00 system 2:53.12 elapsed 99%CPU (0 avgtext+0 avgdata 7632 maxresident) k
Oinputs+Ooutputs (Omajor+519minor) pagefaults Oswaps
```

So the OpenMP overhead is reflected in $S(1) \simeq 0.87$.

```
M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB) Practical Issues in OpenMP HPC1 Fall 2014 56 / 60

Practical OpenMP Example - Molecular Dynamics
```

```
[k14n08b:~/d omp]$ export OMP NUM THREADS=4
[k14n08b:~/d\_omp]$ /usr/bin/time ./md1500.omp.x
September 19 2011 4:31:19.409 PM
 A molecular dynamics program.
 This is thread
                  0 of
 This is thread
                   3 of
 This is thread 2 of
 This is thread 1 of
   100 0.112109E+07 0.893929
                                    0.158875E-10
                                   -0.189224E-10
       0.112109E+07
                       3.63376
   300 0.112108E+07
                       8.23009
                                   -0.115307E-09
   400 0.112107E+07
                       14.7004
                                   -0.304674F-09
   500 0.112107E+07
                       23.0692
                                   -0.619610E-09
   600 0.112106E+07
                       33.3684
                                   -0.109145E-08
   700 0.112104E+07
                       45.6372
                                   -0.175112E-08
       0.112103E+07
                       59.9221
                                   -0.263624E-08
       0.112101E+07
                       76.2778
                                   -0.377839E-08
 1000
       0.112099E+07
                       94.7666
                                   -0.521232E-08
MD
 Normal end of execution.
September 19 2011 4:32:03.336 PM
175.37 user 0.06 system 0:44.03 elapsed 398% CPU (0 avgtext+0 avgdata 8176 maxresident) k
Oinputs+Ooutputs (Omajor+560minor) pagefaults Oswaps
```

For 4 threads, we are up to $S(4) \simeq 3.4$.

```
[k14n08b:~/d omp]$ export OMP NUM THREADS=2
[k14n08b:~/d omp]$ /usr/bin/time ./md1500.omp.x
September 19 2011 4:22:46.538 PM
 A molecular dynamics program.
  This is thread 0 of
  This is thread
                  1 of
  100 0.112109E+07 0.893929
                                    0.158883F-10
  200 0.112109E+07
                                   -0.189195E-10
  300 0.112108E+07
                      8.23009
                                   -0.115309E-09
  400 0.112107E+07
                       14.7004
                                   -0.304673E-09
  500 0.112107E+07
                       23.0692
                                   -0.619611E-09
       0.112106E+07
                       33.3684
                                   -0.109145E-08
       0.112104E+07
                                   -0.175112E-08
                       45.6372
       0.112103E+07
                       59.9221
                                   -0.263624E-08
       0.112101E+07
                       76.2778
                                   -0.377839E-08
  1000 0.112099E+07
                       94.7666
                                   -0.521232E-08
 Normal end of execution.
September 19 2011 4:24:14.577 PM
175.85 user 0.03 system 1:28.06 elapsed 199% CPU (0 avgtext+0 avgdata 7920 maxresident) k
Oinputs+Ooutputs (Omajor+539minor) pagefaults Oswaps
```

For 2 threads, we are up to $S(2) \simeq 1.7$.

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB)

```
Practical OpenMP
                                              Example - Molecular Dynamics
[k14n08b:~/d omp]$ export OMP NUM THREADS=8
[k14n08b:~/d omp]$ /usr/bin/time ./md1500.omp.x
September 19 2011 4:33:16.000 PM
 A molecular dynamics program.
 This is thread
                  0 of
  This is thread
                   4 of
  This is thread
                   6 of
  This is thread
  This is thread
                   7 of
  This is thread
                   3 of
  This is thread
  This is thread
                  1 of
  100 0.112109E+07 0.893929
                                    0.158856E-10
  200 0.112109E+07
                                    -0.189249E-10
                       8.23009
  300 0.112108E+07
                                    -0.115308E-09
  400 0.112107E+07
                       14.7004
                                    -0.304673E-09
   500 0.112107E+07
                       23.0692
                                    -0.619612E-09
       0.112106E+07
                       33.3684
                                    -0.109145E-08
       0.112104E+07
                       45.6372
                                    -0.175113E-08
       0.112103E+07
                       59.9221
                                    -0.263624E-08
       0.112101E+07
                       76.2778
                                    -0.377840E-08
  1000 0.112099E+07
                       94 7666
                                    -0.521232E-08
 Normal end of execution.
September 19 2011 4:33:37.909 PM
174.90 user 0.04 system 0:22.01 elapsed 794%CPU (0 avgtext+0 avgdata 8768 maxresident) k
Oinputs+Ooutputs (Omajor+606minor) pagefaults Oswaps
```

Practical Issues in OpenMP

For 8 threads, we are up to $S(8) \simeq 6.9$.

HPC1 Fall 2014

```
[k14n08b:~/d omp]$ export OMP NUM THREADS=12
[k14n08b:~/d_omp]$ /usr/bin/time ./md1500.omp.x
September 19 2011 4:34:02.638 PM
MD
 A molecular dynamics program.
  This is thread 0 of 12
  This is thread 4 of 12
  This is thread 11 of 12
  This is thread 3 of 12
  This is thread 2 of 12
 This is thread 1 of 12
  100 0.112109E+07 0.893929
                                  0.158859E-10
   200 0.112109E+07
                      3.63376
                                  -0.189249E-10
   300 0.112108E+07
                      8.23009
                                  -0.115308E-09
   400 0.112107E+07
                                  -0.304674E-09
                      14.7004
   500 0.112107E+07
                      23.0692
                                  -0.619612E-09
   600 0.112106E+07
                      33.3684
                                  -0.109145E-08
                      45.6372
  700 0.112104E+07
                                  -0.175112E-08
  800 0.112103E+07
                      59.9221
                                  -0.263624E-08
  900 0.112101E+07
                      76.2778
                                  -0.377840E-08
  1000 0.112099E+07
                                  -0.521232E-08
                      94.7666
MD
  Normal end of execution.
September 19 2011 4:34:17.169 PM
173.98user 0.00system 0:14.60elapsed 1191%CPU (0avgtext+0avgdata 17712maxresident)k
```

Example - Molecular Dynamics

Practical OpenMP

For 12 threads (this is a 12-core node), we are up to $S(12) \simeq 10.4$.

Oinputs+Ooutputs (Omajor+680minor) pagefaults Oswaps

M. D. Jones, Ph.D. (CCR/UB) Practical Issues in OpenMP HPC1 Fall 2014 60 / 60

