

---

# The Learning Agency Lab - PII Data Detection

---

## Authors

Zeba Afroz<sup>1</sup>   Abdullah Mazhar<sup>2</sup>   Mohammad Atif Quamar<sup>3</sup>

IIIT Delhi

zebaa@iiitd.ac.in   abdullahm@iiitd.ac.in   atif20523@iiitd.ac.in

## Abstract

This project aims to automate the detection of personally identifiable information (PII) in student essays, with a focus on cost-effective educational data handling. The objective is to facilitate learning science research and tool development by creating a model that can effectively annotate PII within a dataset. We explored various models and found that the DeBERTa ensemble yielded the most promising results. As a result, we achieved a global rank of 791 in a Kaggle competition with an accuracy of 95.24%.

**Keywords:** PII Detection, Privacy-preserving Information Extraction, spaCy, NER, DistilBERT, RoBERTa

## 1 Introduction

Modern organizations and government entities leverage online data collection to deepen their understanding of customers and enhance decision-making processes in an era increasingly defined by digitalization. This vast pool of data often includes Personally Identifiable Information (PII), which uniquely identifies individuals and can be classified into sensitive (e.g., Aadhar card numbers) and non-sensitive (e.g., nationality) categories. While sensitive PII necessitates encryption for protection due to its potential harm, disclosure of non-sensitive PII alone may not pose immediate risks. However, combining multiple non-sensitive PII elements could still lead to individual identification.

Accurate identification and secure management of sensitive PII are paramount, as mishandling may lead to data misuse, violating legal and compliance regulations. Concerns over potential data leaks during transfers stem from a need for greater transparency in PII handling and individuals' limited awareness of how their personal information is managed. According to IBM's cost of data breach study, mishandling sensitive data can result in substantial financial and reputational losses, amounting to up to \$4.24 million per incident.

Inadequate PII management and security heighten the risk of identity theft, where criminals exploit personal information for fraudulent activities. Amid heightened focus on data security and privacy, there is a discernible trend toward adopting NLP techniques for PII masking. For example, healthcare providers leverage NLP to efficiently identify and mask sensitive patient information, ensuring compliance with privacy regulations while streamlining processes and reducing manual checking costs for PII in documents.

In our project, we conducted experiments across multiple epochs, commencing with RoBERTa achieving 91% accuracy, followed by DistilBERT at 78%, and subsequently DeBERTa v3 Small and DeBERTa v3 Large, attaining 84.1% and 96.6% accuracy, respectively. Ultimately, the DeBERTa ensemble proved most effective, achieving 96.7% accuracy and securing a global rank of 791.

## 34 2 Literature Survey

35 An examination of existing tools for Personal Identifiable Information (PII) detection reveals diverse  
36 approaches and technologies adopted by various companies. For instance, Microsoft’s Presidio  
37 Analyzer, which incorporates Named Entity Recognition (NER), regular expressions, rule-based  
38 logic, and checksum for sensitive data identification, may encounter false positives due to specific  
39 patterns [1].

40 Nightfall Security, a cloud-native data protection platform, employs machine learning (ML) for  
41 sensitive data detection and prioritization [2]. However, its reliance on deep learning concepts may  
42 pose challenges in unstructured data detection, requiring users to possess a strong understanding of  
43 artificial intelligence and machine learning.

44 A data modeling and processing mechanism proposed in [3] emphasizes the extraction of precise  
45 features from low-quality data, proving more effective in PII detection than existing approaches.  
46 Nevertheless, this model is limited to identifying full PII and cannot handle Quasi PII.

47 In a comprehensive evaluation discussed in [4], five popular NER software packages are compared,  
48 highlighting the importance of dataset selection in NER models. Another innovative approach,  
49 outlined in [5], introduces an adaptive PII scanning and consent discovery system to comply with  
50 Thailand’s Personal Data Protection Act, utilizing regular expressions and a consent validation  
51 algorithm to scan databases, web content, documents, and cookies.

52 Privacy-preserving personal information extraction is addressed in [6], leveraging Bidirectional  
53 Encoder Representations from Transformers-based NER models trained on WikiPII. This research  
54 emphasizes a human-in-the-loop model, assisting annotators by locating entities and recommending  
55 tags, reducing the cost of manual data extraction.

56 In [7], a Deep Transfer Learning (DTL) framework with Graph Convolutional Networks (GCNs) is  
57 developed for PII extraction in social media, specifically Twitter. However, manual annotation and a  
58 substantial amount of labeled data are still required for training.

59 Finally, [7] explores pseudonymization techniques across multiple datasets for text classification and  
60 summarization. While effective, careful selection of a pseudonymization system is crucial to replace  
61 sensitive information with minimal impact on text quality.

## 62 3 Literature Survey

63 A survey of existing Personal Identifiable Information (PII) detection tools reveals various approaches  
64 and technologies employed by different companies. Microsoft’s Presidio Analyzer, which utilizes  
65 spaCy and incorporates Named Entity Recognition (NER), regular expressions, rule-based logic, and  
66 checksum for sensitive data identification, may encounter false positives due to specific patterns [1].

67 Nightfall Security, a cloud-native data protection platform, adopts machine learning (ML) to detect  
68 and prioritize sensitive data [2]. However, its reliance on deep learning concepts might pose challenges  
69 in unstructured data detection, requiring users to have a solid understanding of artificial intelligence  
70 and machine learning.

71 A data modeling and processing mechanism proposed in [3] focuses on extracting precise features  
72 from low-quality data, proving more effective in detecting PII than existing approaches. Nevertheless,  
73 this model is limited to identifying full PII and cannot handle Quasi PII.

74 In a comprehensive evaluation in [4], five popular NER software packages are compared, emphasizing  
75 the significance of dataset selection in NER models. Another innovative approach, presented in [5],  
76 introduces an adaptive PII scanning and consent discovery system for compliance with Thailand’s  
77 Personal Data Protection Act, using regular expressions and a consent validation algorithm to scan  
78 databases, web content, documents, and cookies.

79 Privacy-preserving personal information extraction is addressed in [6], leveraging Bidirectional  
80 Encoder Representations from Transformers-based NER models trained on WikiPII. This research  
81 emphasizes a human-in-the-loop model, assisting annotators by locating entities and recommending  
82 tags, reducing the cost of manual data extraction.

83 In [7], a Deep Transfer Learning (DTL) framework with Graph Convolutional Networks (GCNs) is  
 84 developed for PII extraction in social media, specifically Twitter. However, manual annotation and a  
 85 significant amount of labeled data are still required for training.

86 Finally, [7] explores pseudonymization techniques on multiple datasets for text classification and  
 87 summarization. While effective, choosing a pseudonymization system is crucial to replace sensitive  
 88 information with minimal impact on text quality.

## 89 4 Literature Review

90 An exploration of existing tools for detecting Personally Identifiable Information (PII) reveals a range  
 91 of approaches and technologies employed across different organizations. For example, Microsoft’s  
 92 Presidio Analyzer integrates Named Entity Recognition (NER), regular expressions, rule-based logic,  
 93 and checksums for identifying sensitive data, but it may encounter false positives due to specific  
 94 patterns [1]. Nightfall Security, a cloud-native data protection platform, utilizes machine learning  
 95 (ML) to detect and prioritize sensitive data [2], although its reliance on deep learning concepts  
 96 can pose challenges in detecting unstructured data, necessitating users with a strong background in  
 97 artificial intelligence and machine learning.

98 A data modeling and processing mechanism proposed in [3] emphasizes the extraction of precise  
 99 features from low-quality data, proving more effective in PII detection than existing approaches, albeit  
 100 limited to identifying full PII and unable to handle Quasi PII. In a comprehensive evaluation detailed  
 101 in [4], the importance of dataset selection in NER models is highlighted through a comparison of  
 102 five popular NER software packages. Another innovative approach, as described in [5], introduces  
 103 an adaptive PII scanning and consent discovery system compliant with Thailand’s Personal Data  
 104 Protection Act, utilizing regular expressions and a consent validation algorithm across databases, web  
 105 content, documents, and cookies.

106 Privacy-preserving personal information extraction is addressed in [6], utilizing Bidirectional Encoder  
 107 Representations from Transformers-based NER models trained on WikiPII. This research underscores  
 108 a human-in-the-loop model, aiding annotators in entity localization and tag recommendation to  
 109 reduce manual data extraction costs. In [7], a Deep Transfer Learning (DTL) framework with Graph  
 110 Convolutional Networks (GCNs) is developed for PII extraction from social media, specifically  
 111 Twitter, although requiring manual annotation and a significant amount of labeled data for training.

112 Finally, [7] explores pseudonymization techniques across multiple datasets for text classification  
 113 and summarization. While effective, careful selection of a pseudonymization system is essential to  
 114 replace sensitive information with minimal impact on text quality.

## 115 5 Objective

116 The objective is to develop a model that automatically detects personally identifiable information  
 117 (PII) in student writing. The submissions are evaluated based on a classification metric (F-beta) 1  
 118 that values recall and precision, emphasizing recall, by setting the beta value to 5.

$$F_{\beta} = (1 + \beta^2) \cdot \frac{\text{precision} \cdot \text{recall}}{(\beta^2 \cdot \text{precision}) + \text{recall}} \quad (1)$$

119 In terms of evaluation metrics, the main objective is to create models that have a good balance  
 120 between precision and recall but with a stronger focus on achieving high recall and effectively  
 121 detecting personally identifiable information (PII) in student writing, emphasizing minimizing false  
 122 negatives.

- 123 • **NAME\_STUDENT:** Encompassing full or partial names of students, excluding instructors,  
 124 authors, or other persons’ names.
- 125 • **MAIL:** Represents a student’s email address.
- 126 • **USERNAME:** Signifies a student’s username on any platform.
- 127 • **ID\_NUM:** Denotes a numerical or character sequence, potentially serving as a student  
 128 identifier (e.g., student ID or social security number).

- 129 • **PHONE\_NUM:** Corresponds to a phone number associated with a student.
- 130 • **URL\_PERSONAL:** Represents a URL that may be linked to student identification.
- 131 • **STREET\_ADDRESS:** Encompasses complete or partial street addresses related to students,
- 132 including their home addresses.

## 133 6 Dataset

134 The dataset comprises 22,000 essay samples from students participating in a massively open online  
 135 course (MOOC). These essays respond to a singular assignment prompt, prompting students to apply  
 136 course concepts to real-world issues. To safeguard student privacy, original personally identifiable  
 137 information (PII) has been substituted with surrogate identifiers of similar types. The test set retains  
 138 70% of the data. Notably, the dataset includes seven distinct PII labels as outlined below:

139 The dataset is in JSON format, containing key fields such as the document identifier, the complete  
 140 essay text, a token list, details about whitespace, and token annotations. Token labels adhere to the  
 141 BIO format, where the PII type is prefixed with "B-" for the beginning of an entity and "I-" for token  
 142 continuations within an entity. Tokens devoid of PII are labeled as "O."

## 143 7 Methodology

144 The approach to identifying personally identifiable information (PII) in student writing entails a series  
 145 of crucial steps. The dataset, consisting of 22,000 essay samples from a massively open online course  
 146 (MOOC).

147 Our comprehensive methodology encompasses several stages: data preprocessing, model selec-  
 148 tion, tokenization, input representation, model training, and evaluation metrics. The overarching goal  
 149 is to develop robust models capable of accurately identifying PII in student writing, emphasizing  
 150 model performance and computational efficiency

### 151 7.1 Data Preprocessing

152 We implemented a series of data preprocessing steps tailored to support BIO sequence labeling. The  
 153 preprocessing pipeline involved developing and utilizing two essential functions: first, to tokenize  
 154 and second, to find span.

155 The tokenize function serves as a fundamental component of our preprocessing workflow. It accepts  
 156 an example dictionary containing tokens and trailing whitespace lists alongside a tokenizer object.  
 157 This function iterates through the tokens and their corresponding whitespace indicators, reconstructing  
 158 the original text while constructing a token map linking each character to its respective token index.  
 159 The reconstructed text is then tokenized using the specified tokenizer, incorporating options for  
 160 truncation and a maximum length constraint inference max length. The output of the tokenize  
 161 function comprises a dictionary containing the tokenized text and the associated token map, which  
 162 preserves the alignment between tokens and their positions within the original input text.

163 Additionally, the find span function was instrumental in our data preprocessing pipeline for identifying  
 164 sequences of tokens (referred to as target) within a more extensive list of tokens (document). This  
 165 utility function initializes an empty span list and systematically traverses the document, seeking  
 166 matches with the initial token of the target sequence. Upon encountering matching tokens, the  
 167 function appends its indices to the span list. If the entire target sequence is found consecutively  
 168 within the document, the resulting span list representing this sequence is added to the span list. This  
 169 process iterates until all occurrences of the target sequence are detected within the document, with  
 170 each identified span encapsulated as a list of corresponding token indices.

171 By integrating these preprocessing techniques into our research workflow, we effectively prepared  
 172 and structured the textual data required for subsequent analysis and model development within the  
 173 context of student essays and PII detection.

---

**Algorithm 1:** Tokenization Algorithm

---

**Input** : example: dictionary, tokenizer: object**Output** : dictionary

```
1 text ← empty list;
2 token_map ← empty list;
3 idx ← 0;
4 for t, ws in zip(example["tokens"], example["trailing_whitespace"]) do
5     text.append(t);
6     token_map.extend([idx]*len(t));
174 7     if ws then
8         text.append(" ");
9         token_map.append(-1);
10     end
11     idx += 1;
12 end
13 tokenized ← tokenizer("".join(text), return_offsets_mapping=True, truncation=True,
    max_length=INFERENCE_MAX_LENGTH);
14 return dictionary containing tokenized data and token_map
15
```

---

---

**Algorithm 2:** Span Finding Algorithm

---

**Input** : target: list of strings, document: list of strings**Output** : list of lists of integers (spans)

```
1 idx ← 0;
2 spans ← empty list;
3 span ← empty list;
4 for i, token in enumerate(document) do
5     if token ≠ target[idx] then
6         idx ← 0;
7         span ← empty list;
8         continue;
175 9     end
10     span.append(i);
11     idx += 1;
12     if idx == len(target) then
13         spans.append(span);
14         span ← empty list;
15         idx ← 0;
16         continue;
17     end
18 end
19 return spans
```

---

## 7.2 Model selection

We have chosen four BERT-based models for BIO tagging and implemented an ensemble technique incorporating various DeBERTa large models—specifically deberta-models-cuerpo-de-piiranhã, deberta-models-cola-del-piinguino, deberta-models-cabeza-del-piinguino, and deberta-models-piidd-org-sakura. RoBERTa is well-suited for this task due to its foundation on the BERT architecture, utilizing a bidirectional Transformer encoder to effectively capture contextual information crucial for Named Entity Recognition (NER). Pre-trained on a large text corpus with masked language modeling (MLM) objectives, RoBERTa learns word representations and their contextual relationships, proving beneficial for identifying named entities. DistilBERT is also suitable, being a distilled version of BERT designed for increased efficiency while maintaining performance. Despite its smaller size, DistilBERT effectively captures contextual dependencies essential for BIO tagging. DeBERTa-v3-small,

a variant of DeBERTa, is adept at handling long-range dependencies and contextual nuances, essential for NER tasks, albeit smaller in size compared to DeBERTa-v3-large, which boasts increased model capacity and parameter count. This larger variant excels in capturing intricate contextual patterns crucial for accurate entity recognition. Lastly, the DeBERTa ensemble combines multiple DeBERTa model variants, leveraging their respective strengths to enhance overall performance, making it a practical choice for BIO tagging tasks by mitigating individual model weaknesses and capturing a broader spectrum of patterns and features for improved accuracy and robustness in entity recognition.

### 7.3 Fine-Tuning with Transformer Models

To enhance the precision of personally identifiable information (PII) detection, we conducted fine-tuning various transformer models. Utilizing the Hugging Face Transformers library, we tokenized datasets to ensure proper alignment of labels with tokenized inputs. The training process involved specifying training parameters, creating a trainer instance, and training the model. Key metrics such as recall, precision, and F1 score were computed during the evaluation.

### 7.4 Model Training

Our strategy for detecting personally identifiable information (PII) in student essays involves deploying a diverse array of state-of-the-art transformer-based models optimized for specific aspects of natural language processing (NLP) tasks. RoBERTa, an advanced variant of BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers), employs a bidirectional Transformer encoder to effectively capture contextual nuances crucial for Named Entity Recognition (NER) tasks. By undergoing pre-training on extensive text corpora using masked language modeling (MLM) objectives, RoBERTa acquires deep insights into word representations and their contextual dependencies, achieving an impressive accuracy of 91% after ten epochs of rigorous training.

Moving forward, we leveraged DistilBERT, a compact iteration of BERT designed for enhanced speed and efficiency without compromising on performance integrity. DistilBERT achieves this feat by streamlining certain architectural components and reducing parameter counts, making it adept at capturing essential contextual dependencies vital for BIO tagging tasks, albeit achieving a slightly lower accuracy of 78% compared to more complex models like RoBERTa.

Transitioning to the DeBERTa models, our experiments focused on DeBERTa-v3-small and DeBERTa-v3-large, attaining 84.1% and 96.6% accuracy, respectively. DeBERTa, built upon BERT's foundation but specifically enhanced for managing long-range dependencies and contextual intricacies, showcases superior performance. The disparity in accuracy between DeBERTa-v3-small and DeBERTa-v3-large can be attributed to differences in model capacity; while smaller variants like v3-small may encounter limitations in capturing intricate data patterns, larger models such as v3-large excel in comprehending complex relationships within the data.

In our pursuit of optimizing accuracy and robustness, we culminated our efforts with a DeBERTa ensemble, incorporating various DeBERTa large models—namely `deberta-models-cuerpo-de-piirinha`, `deberta-models-cola-del-piinguino`, `deberta-models-cabeza-del-piinguino`, and `deberta-models-piidd-org-sakura` resulting in an impressive accuracy of 96.7%. This ensemble methodology effectively harnesses the collective strengths of multiple DeBERTa variants to elevate performance levels and bolster robustness in PII detection tasks. Through meticulous model selection and iterative refinement processes, we successfully optimized accuracy and secured a commendable global rank of 791, underscoring the remarkable efficacy of transformer-based models for advanced NLP tasks, particularly in the domain of PII detection within student writing.

### 7.5 Evaluation Metric(s)

The primary goal is to develop models for automatically detecting personally identifiable information (PII) in student writing, focusing on achieving a well-balanced performance between precision and recall. The chosen evaluation metric for this task is the F-beta score, where the beta value is set to 5, refer to eq 1, emphasizing a strong inclination towards recall over precision.

Regarding Type I and Type II errors, the evaluation strategy prioritizes minimizing false negatives (Type II errors) 2. This approach underscores the significance of correctly identifying personally

identifiable information, such as names, emails, usernames, and other sensitive data, within the context of student submissions.

$$F_{\beta} = (1 + \beta^2) \cdot \frac{\text{precision} \cdot \text{recall}}{(\beta^2 \cdot \text{precision}) + \text{recall}} \quad (2)$$

The aim is to strike a balance between precision and recall, with an increased emphasis on recall to ensure effective detection while minimizing the risk of overlooking potential instances.

$$\text{Efficiency} = \frac{F_{\beta_5}(\text{Submission}) - F_{\beta_5}(\text{Benchmark})}{\max F_{\beta_5}} + \frac{\text{RuntimeSeconds}}{32400} \quad (3)$$

Additionally, the efficiency score for each submission serves as a comparative metric 3, assessing the submission’s performance relative to a benchmark score, the maximum score attained by all submissions on the Private Leaderboard, and the time required for evaluation, denoted as RuntimeSeconds. The aim is to minimize the efficiency score, highlighting submissions that outperform the benchmark and peers, all while undergoing swift assessment. This emphasizes the significance of not solely prioritizing model accuracy but also considering the computational efficiency of the detection process.

## 8 Results

Table 1 presents a summary of the accuracy scores achieved by various BERT-based models that were evaluated in our BIO tagging task. The table encapsulates the performance metrics for each model, providing a comparative analysis of their effectiveness in accurately identifying and tagging named entities within the text data. This comprehensive evaluation enables us to assess and understand the relative strengths and weaknesses of the different BERT variants considered during our experimentation.

Sr. no.	Model	Accuracy
1	Deberta-ensemble-v1	0.967
2	Deberta-ensemble-v2	0.966
3	Deberta-v3-large	0.954
4	RoBERTa	0.916
5	Deberta-v3-small	0.841
6	DistilBERT	0.784

Table 1: Accuracies of different models

## 9 Analysis

Our analysis revealed that the ensemble approach yielded the highest performance, showcasing the effectiveness of leveraging multiple models. DistilBERT, with an accuracy of 78%, represents a streamlined version of BERT optimized for efficiency, albeit at the expense of some performance due to reduced model complexity. This reduction in capacity may limit DistilBERT’s ability to capture intricate data patterns and dependencies compared to more comprehensive models like RoBERTa and more significant DeBERTa variants (such as v3-small and v3-large).

DeBERTa-v3-small, achieving an accuracy of 84.1%, is a compact version of the DeBERTa model tailored to handle long-range dependencies and contextual nuances. However, its slightly lower performance compared to DeBERTa-v3-large (95.4%) underscores the impact of model size and capacity on accuracy. More extensive models like v3-large possess a greater capacity to comprehend complex data structures, leading to enhanced performance in tasks like PII detection.

The success of DeBERTa-v3-large, with its significantly higher accuracy, emphasizes the critical role of increased model capacity in improving performance. Larger models can better learn and represent underlying data structures, making predictions more accurate.

The DeBERTa ensembles versions, boasting an impressive accuracy of 96.7% and 96.6%, respectively, amalgamate multiple models, potentially including variants like v3-small and v3-large. Ensemble methods capitalize on the diverse strengths of individual models to mitigate weaknesses and enhance overall performance. The superior accuracy of the DeBERTa ensemble compared to individual models like v3-large underscores the effectiveness of combining multiple models to capture a broader range of data patterns and features, ultimately resulting in more robust and accurate predictions.

## 10 Conclusion

In conclusion, our analysis underscores the significance of leveraging diverse models and ensemble techniques to achieve optimal performance in natural language processing tasks like PII detection. While streamlined versions such as DistilBERT offer efficiency, they may compromise on performance due to reduced complexity. Compact variants like DeBERTa-v3-small excel in handling specific nuances but are outperformed by larger counterparts like DeBERTa-v3-large, emphasizing the pivotal role of model size and capacity in enhancing accuracy. The remarkable success of the DeBERTa ensemble, with its amalgamation of multiple models, highlights the efficacy of combining strengths to mitigate individual weaknesses, resulting in robust and accurate predictions. Ultimately, larger and ensemble models prove instrumental in capturing intricate data patterns and features, leading to superior performance in challenging BIO tagging tasks.

## 11 Distribution of work among group members

- Abdullah Mazhar - Worked on RoBERTa and DeBERTa-ensemble-v2.
- Zeba Afroz - Worked on Distillbert and DeBERTa-v3-large.
- Mohammad Atif Quamar - Worked on DeBERTa-ensemble-v1 and DeBERTa-v3-small.

## References

- [1] Presidio. Presidio: Data protection and de-identification sdk, microsoft presidio. [Online]. Available: <https://microsoft.github.io/presidio/>
- [2] Nightfall Security. [Online]. Available: [reference\\_link\\_for\\_nightfall](#)
- [3] P. Kulkarni and N. Cauvery, "Personally identifiable information (pii) detection in the unstructured large text corpus using natural language processing and unsupervised learning technique," *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, vol. 12, no. 9, 2021.
- [4] X. Schmitt, S. Kubler, J. Robert, M. Papadakis, and Y. LeTraon, "A replicable comparison study of ner software: Stanfornlp, nltk, opennlp, spacy, gate," in *2019 Sixth International Conference on Social Networks Analysis, Management and Security (SNAMS)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 338–343.
- [5] R. Hathurusinghe, I. Nejadgholi, and M. Bolic, "A privacy-preserving approach to extraction of personal information through automatic annotation and federated learning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.09198*, 2021.
- [6] Y. Liu, F. Y. Lin, M. Ebrahimi, W. Li, and H. Chen, "Automated pii extraction from social media for raising privacy awareness: A deep transfer learning approach," in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Intelligence and Security Informatics (ISI)*, 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [7] O. Yermilov, V. Raheja, and A. Chernodub, "Privacy-and utility-preserving nlp with anonymized data: A case study of pseudonymization," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.05561*, 2023.