Notes on resolvent modes from simulation data using Hebbian updates

A S Sharma

June 16, 2022

1 Singular value decomposition using Hebbian updates

Based on [1], the following algorithm will generate the singular vectors of a matrix or linear operator $R : \mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{U}$. The notation in that paper is a bit confusing so I will try to summarise and clarify it here.

Let $u \in \mathbf{U}$ and $f \in \mathbf{F}$ be a matching data vector pair satisfying u = Rf. The inner product in \mathbf{U} is denoted $\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathbf{U}}$ and similarly for \mathbf{F} .

Let c_i^{u*} be the true *i*th left singular vector of R to be found and c_i^{f*} be the corresponding true right singular vector. Let c_i^u be the current approximation to c_i^{u*} and define c_i^f similarly. The notation Δc_i^u indicates an update (change) to the approximation c_i^u .

According to [1] (eqs 19-20), updates of c^u and c^f may be performed using

$$\Delta c_i^u = \left\langle c_i^f, f \right\rangle_{\mathbf{F}} \left(u - \sum_{j < i} \left\langle u, c_j^u \right\rangle_{\mathbf{U}} c_j^u \right), \tag{1}$$

$$\Delta c_i^f = \langle c_i^u, u \rangle_{\mathbf{U}} \left(f - \sum_{j < i} \left\langle f, c_j^f \right\rangle_{\mathbf{F}} c_j^f \right). \tag{2}$$

My understanding is that the approximations c_i^u and c_i^f are proven to converge to the true singular vectors of R given 'enough' iterations and data vectors (u, f) that span (\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{F}) .

Note that we do not need direct access to R — only enough data vector pairs. This opens up the possibility of using random vectors, or even direct

numerical simulation datasets, with low storage and computational requirements.

2 Pseudocode

```
\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Data:} \ & A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M} \\ \mathbf{Result:} \ & U \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times L}, \ V \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times L} \\ & \text{initialise} \ & U, \ V \ ; \\ & \text{initialise} \ & \eta_0 \ll 1, \ d \ll 1 \ ; \\ \mathbf{for} \ & n = 1 \ \mathbf{to} \ n_{max} \ \mathbf{do} \\ & & \mathbf{Data:} \ \ \text{snapshot pair} \ & a \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ b \in \mathbb{R}^M \\ & \eta \leftarrow \eta_0 \mathrm{e}^{-dn} \\ & y_a \leftarrow U^H a \\ & y_b \leftarrow V^H b \\ & U \leftarrow U + \eta \ (ay_b^H - U \ \mathrm{triu}(y_a y_a^H)) \\ & V \leftarrow V + \eta \ (by_a^H - V \ \mathrm{triu}(y_b y_b^H)) \end{aligned}
```

Algorithm 1: SVD algorithm of [1], as implemented in the example code in this project. Superscipt H indicates conjugate transpose, and a and b are column vectors, so that (for example) $y_a y_a^H$ is an outer product resulting in a $L \times L$ matrix. triu(X) indicates setting to zero all but the upper triangular part of a matrix X (tril also works).

3 Application to linearised code

In the case that we have access to a linearised code we would still need to form R in order to generate test vector pairs. This is a slight improvement on the loop in the algorithm of [2].

4 Application to nonlinear turbulent simulations

What really got my attention, however, is the possibility of using this algorithm on-line with data generated from a turbulent simulation.

To see why this is possible, recall that the resolvent formulation used in [3] of the Navier-Stokes equations has to parts. The linear part is (in the frequency-domain)

$$u(\omega) = R(\omega)f(\omega) \tag{3}$$

and the nonlinear part is

$$f(t) = u(t) \cdot \nabla u(t). \tag{4}$$

Both must be true simultaneously and always.

Since the updates require only the pairs (u, f), instead of generating u from f via the resolvent using (3), we can generate f from u via their nonlinear relationship (4). The frequency-domain pairs $(u(\omega), f(\omega))$ can then be generated from snapshot matrices of u(t) and f(t).

To do this, first form the snapshot matrix U_1 ,

$$U_1 = \begin{bmatrix} u(t_1) & u(t_2) & u(t_3) & \dots & u(t_N) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Its discrete Fourier transform is the matrix of frequencies which form a set (across frequencies) of snapshots in U.

$$F_1 = DFT(U_1) = \begin{bmatrix} u(\omega_1) & u(\omega_2) & u(\omega_3) & \dots \end{bmatrix}.$$

The frequencies that can be resolved are determined by the timestep and N. Similarly, form the corresponding snapshot matrix F_1 , with $DFT(F_1)$ giving a right test vector per frequency in \mathbf{F} .

Inspired by Welch's method, we can generate the next snapshot matrix by shifting time by one (or more) steps,

$$U_2 = [u(t_2) \quad u(t_3) \quad u(t_4) \quad \dots \quad u(t_{N+1})].$$

The next set of test vector pairs (one per frequency) is given by the DFT of U_2 and F_2 , and so on.

This process gives one test vector pair per frequency, per snapshot matrix and can be fed to (1) until convergence.

5 Other approaches

I haven't read [4] but it and following work may be related.

References

[1] G. Gorrell, "Generalized Hebbian algorithm for incremental singular value decomposition in natural language processing," in EACL 2006,

- 11st Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics, Proceedings of the Conference, April 3-7, 2006, Trento, Italy (D. McCarthy and S. Wintner, eds.), The Association for Computer Linguistics, 2006.
- [2] J. Houtman, S. Timme, and A. Sharma, Resolvent Analysis of Large Aircraft Wings in Edge-of-the-Envelope Transonic Flow.
- [3] B. J. McKeon and A. S. Sharma, "A critical-layer framework for turbulent pipe flow," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 658, p. 336–382, July 2010.
- [4] A. Towne, T. Colonius, P. Jordan, A. Cavalieri, and G. Brès, "Proceedings of 21st aiaa/ceas aeroacoustics conference," 2015.