

## **CE301 Third Year Project Final Report Marking 2010-11**

### ***Introduction***

Final year project assessment elements will now be graded using a set of descriptors against each attribute associated with the assessment. For the final reports there are three attributes to grade against, namely “Organisation and Presentation (10%)”, “Writing Quality (10%)”, and “Technical Content and Level (80%)”. The grading table below gives a range of descriptors from “Outstanding” to “Significant Weaknesses”. For each of the three attributes supervisors and second assessors should choose the descriptor that most closely matches the students work. After grading the work independently the supervisor and second assessor should meet and consolidate their grades for each attribute, choosing only one descriptor for each attribute to return to the student (see example below the grading table).

### ***Attributes***

1. Organisation and Presentation: The student is aiming to produce a final report which is organised in an appropriate manner, as discussed with his/her supervisor and presented clearly.
2. Writing Quality: The student is aiming to write in a manner appropriate to the project and to demonstrate understanding of the project and clarity of English.
3. Technical content and Level: The student is aiming to draw out the significant technical aspects of the project, making them clear and understandable. Supervisors and second assessors should grade high when the technical description and level are both considered to be of a high standard.

Grades below 40% should indicate that the student has fallen below the standard required to pass the attribute.

Grades at the highest level should be awarded in exceptional circumstances to very high quality 1<sup>st</sup> class Honours standard work.

Grading Table

Attribute	Outstanding	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Minor Weaknesses	Significant Weaknesses
	>90	70-89	60-69	50-59	40-49	30-39	<30
<b>Organisation and Presentation (10%)</b>	The report is organised and presented to an outstanding degree.	The report is organised and presented to an excellent degree.	The report is organised and presented to a very good degree.	The report organisation and presentation is to a good standard.	The report organisation and presentation is to a satisfactory standard	There are minor weaknesses to either the presentation or the organisation resulting in a failing grade.	There are significant weaknesses in the presentation and organisation.
<b>Writing Quality (10%)</b>	The writing quality is of an outstanding standard.	The writing quality is of an excellent standard.	The writing quality is of a very good standard.	The writing quality is of a good standard.	The writing quality is of a satisfactory standard.	The writing quality has weaknesses leadin gto a failing grade.	The writing quality is significantly below a passing standard.
<b>Technical Content and Level (80%)</b>	The technical content and level of the work is outstanding.	The technical content and level of the work is excellent.	The technical content and level of the work is very good.	The technical content and level of the work is good.	The technical content and level of the work is satisfactory.	The technical content and level of the work is weak leading to a failing grade.	The technical content and level of the work is significantly below a passing standard.