

PROJECT 6

REINFORCEMENT LEARNING

DAVID ATLAS

ABSTRACT. This paper will introduce basic concepts in reinforcement learning, including three algorithms for finding policies: Value Iteration, Q-Learning and SARSA. The paper will introduce a simulated race-track environment, and present results on learning a policy in the environment. It is found that the agent is able to learn a policy [fill in here].

1. PROBLEM STATEMENT & HYPOTHESIS

This paper introduces several core concepts in reinforcement learning. Reinforcement learning attempts to solve the problem of determining an optimal policy for an agent at any given state in an environment, given some reward structure.

The basic problem in reinforcement learning is a Markov Decision Process (MDP). In an MDP, the optimal decision depends only on the current state of the agent. At each time step, after an action is taken, a reward is given.

Specifically, in this paper, the agent will be a racecar on a racetrack with several different elements:

- A set of starting locations for the car.
- A set of finish line locations for the car.
- A set of out-of-bounds markers for the car.

The problem of solving the policy involves determining the optimal acceleration at each location of the racetrack.

Additionally, there is an element of randomness involved - at each step, after an acceleration action is chosen, there is only an 80% chance of it happening as expected.

There are 3 tracks included in the problem, each with increasing size and difficulty:

- (1) L-Track
- (2) O-Track
- (3) R-Track

The hypothesis is that all of the algorithms should be able to solve all of the tracks, as they all meet the Markov criteria (all information needed is encoded in the current state). However, the algorithms take quite a while to train, and so there may be difficulties finding an optimal policy for all of the tracks.

Note that each track has two variants - in the first variant, which will be called the harsh variant, if the car hits the out-of-bounds marker, it is returned to the starting location. In the second variant, which will be called the simpler variant, the car is simply returned to its last location with velocity of 0. It would be expected that the harsh variant is more difficult to train, as restarting from the beginning of the track would give potentially large policy values to states that are close to the finish line. As the randomness of the track could cause a crash on what would have otherwise been a good decision, it will take more iterations to converge to the correct values.

2. DESCRIPTION OF ALGORITHMS

2.1. Notation. The following concepts will be useful in describing the algorithms below:

- S is the set of states in the environment.
- A is the set of actions that can be executed by the agent.
- Policy $\pi(s)$ is a mapping from state s to action a . This can be thought of as the guidebook for the agent, telling it what to do at each state in the environment.
- $Q(s, a)$ is a mapping that dictates the expected reward for choosing action a from state s .

- γ is the discount rate, or the amount by which a future reward is discounted. A reward in t iterations gets discounted by a factor of γ^t .

2.2. Bellman's Equation. The solution to an MDP can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad V^*(s_t) &= \arg \max_{a_t} Q^*(s_t, a_t) \\
 (2) \quad &= \arg \max_{a_t} E[r_{t+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{i-1} r_{t+i} + 1] \\
 (3) \quad &= E[r_{t+1}] + \gamma \sum_{s_{t+1}} P(s_{t+1} | s_t, a_t) \arg \max_{a_{t+1}} Q^*(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Equation 3 is known as Bellman's Equation. The terms can be thought of intuitively as the "correct" value for a given state-action pair $Q(s_t, a_t)$ at time t as the reward of executing the action (r_{t+1}), as well as the sum of all expected future rewards from states s_{t+1} , weighted by the likelihood of ending up in the state after executing action a_t from s_t . This accounts for any randomness in the environment that leads to probabilistic transition dynamics after executing a_t from s_t .

2.3. Value Iteration. The Value Iteration algorithm[1] attempts to find $Q^*(s, a)$ by iteratively updating each entry in Q as the sum of the current value and the discounted value from executing each action. The algorithm appears as follows:

Algorithm 1: Value Iteration

Result: $Q^*(s, a)$
Initialize $Q(s, a)$ to arbitrary values;
for $i \leftarrow 0$ **to** *Number of Iterations* **do**
 for $s \in S$ **do**
 for $a \in A$ **do**
 $Q(s, a) \leftarrow E[r | s, a] + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} P(s' | s, a) V(s')$;
 end
 end
end

The intuition here is that at each iteration, each state-action pair updates the estimate of its value by looking at the states it would end up, and their respective values. It then discounts backwards and add the reward of the future state to its value. After many iterations through all the state-action pairs, the algorithm converges to values close to the optimal $Q^*(s, a)$.

2.4. Q-Learning. The next algorithm implemented was the Q-Learning algorithm[2], which (as might be expected) is another algorithm for learning $Q^*(s, a)$. Before introducing the algorithm, the ϵ -greedy action selection policy must be introduced. For a state s_t , the ϵ -greedy policy selects the optimal (as of time t) action with probability $1 - \epsilon$, while selecting a random action with probability ϵ . This can be thought of as a tuning parameter, where the agent will spend more time experimenting when ϵ is higher. This is useful in iterative training because it would be undesirable for the agent to choose the optimal policy at the outset, as it will be randomly initialized.

With that, the Q-Learning algorithm is as follows:

Algorithm 2: Q-Learning

Result: $Q^*(s, a)$
Initialize $Q(s, a)$ to arbitrary values;
 $s \leftarrow s_0$ (a starting state);
for $i \leftarrow 0$ **to** *Number of Episodes* **do**
 while s is not a terminal state **do**
 end
 end
end

REFERENCES

- [1] Richard Bellman. A markovian decision process. *Indiana University Math Journal*, pages 679–684, 1957.
- [2] Francisco S. Melo. Convergence of q-learning: A simple proof.