

# Convex Hull Optimization

---

Arnar Bjarni Arnarson

**Árangursrík forritun og lausn verkefna**

School of Computer Science

Reykjavík University

# Convex Hull Optimization

---

## Kalila and Dimna in the Logging Industry

- [Link to problem statement](#) which you should read first.

## Kalila and Dimna in the Logging Industry

- Link to problem statement which you should read first.
- We have  $n$  trees.

## Kalila and Dimna in the Logging Industry

- Link to problem statement which you should read first.
- We have  $n$  trees.
- Each tree  $i$  has height  $a_i$ , given in strictly ascending order.

# Kalila and Dimna in the Logging Industry

- Link to problem statement which you should read first.
- We have  $n$  trees.
- Each tree  $i$  has height  $a_i$ , given in strictly ascending order.
- Each tree  $i$  also has a chainsaw charging coefficient  $b_i$ , given in strictly descending order.

## Kalila and Dimna in the Logging Industry

- Link to problem statement which you should read first.
- We have  $n$  trees.
- Each tree  $i$  has height  $a_i$ , given in strictly ascending order.
- Each tree  $i$  also has a chainsaw charging coefficient  $b_i$ , given in strictly descending order.
- Each time a tree is cut its height is reduced by 1.

# Kalila and Dimna in the Logging Industry

- Link to problem statement which you should read first.
- We have  $n$  trees.
- Each tree  $i$  has height  $a_i$ , given in strictly ascending order.
- Each tree  $i$  also has a chainsaw charging coefficient  $b_i$ , given in strictly descending order.
- Each time a tree is cut its height is reduced by 1.
- We use the chainsaw charging coefficient of the tree with the highest id which has been cut completely.



# Kalila and Dimna in the Logging Industry

- Link to problem statement which you should read first.
- We have  $n$  trees.
- Each tree  $i$  has height  $a_i$ , given in strictly ascending order.
- Each tree  $i$  also has a chainsaw charging coefficient  $b_i$ , given in strictly descending order.
- Each time a tree is cut its height is reduced by 1.
- We use the chainsaw charging coefficient of the tree with the highest id which has been cut completely.
- If no tree has been cut completely, then it is impossible to charge the chainsaw.

# Kalila and Dimna in the Logging Industry

- Link to problem statement which you should read first.
- We have  $n$  trees.
- Each tree  $i$  has height  $a_i$ , given in strictly ascending order.
- Each tree  $i$  also has a chainsaw charging coefficient  $b_i$ , given in strictly descending order.
- Each time a tree is cut its height is reduced by 1.
- We use the chainsaw charging coefficient of the tree with the highest id which has been cut completely.
- If no tree has been cut completely, then it is impossible to charge the chainsaw.

# Kalila and Dimna in the Logging Industry

- Link to problem statement which you should read first.
- We have  $n$  trees.
- Each tree  $i$  has height  $a_i$ , given in strictly ascending order.
- Each tree  $i$  also has a chainsaw charging coefficient  $b_i$ , given in strictly descending order.
- Each time a tree is cut its height is reduced by 1.
- We use the chainsaw charging coefficient of the tree with the highest id which has been cut completely.
- If no tree has been cut completely, then it is impossible to charge the chainsaw.
- We want to minimize the total charge cost to cut all trees.

## Analyzing the problem

- We are given  $a_1 = 1$ , so initially we must cut the first tree.

## Analyzing the problem

- We are given  $a_1 = 1$ , so initially we must cut the first tree.
- Since  $b_n = 0$  we must only cut the largest tree, at that point all cuts are free.

## Analyzing the problem

- We are given  $a_1 = 1$ , so initially we must cut the first tree.
- Since  $b_n = 0$  we must only cut the largest tree, at that point all cuts are free.
- We want to minimize the cost required to cut the largest tree.

## Analyzing the problem

- We are given  $a_1 = 1$ , so initially we must cut the first tree.
- Since  $b_n = 0$  we must only cut the largest tree, at that point all cuts are free.
- We want to minimize the cost required to cut the largest tree.
- It is also quite clear that once we start cutting a tree, we should finish cutting it before starting to cut others.

## Constructing a solution

- Let  $c_i$  be the minimum cost of cutting tree  $i$ .



## Constructing a solution

- Let  $c_i$  be the minimum cost of cutting tree  $i$ .
- We can compute each  $c_i$  by considering all possible trees  $j < i$  that were the last tree to be cut.

# Constructing a solution

- Let  $c_i$  be the minimum cost of cutting tree  $i$ .
- We can compute each  $c_i$  by considering all possible trees  $j < i$  that were the last tree to be cut.
- 

$$c_i = \min_{0 \leq j < i} (c_j + b_j \cdot a_i)$$

# Constructing a solution

- Let  $c_i$  be the minimum cost of cutting tree  $i$ .
- We can compute each  $c_i$  by considering all possible trees  $j < i$  that were the last tree to be cut.

- 

$$c_i = \min_{0 \leq j < i} (c_j + b_j \cdot a_i)$$

- This directly translates to an  $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$  dynamic programming solution.

# Constructing a solution

- Let  $c_i$  be the minimum cost of cutting tree  $i$ .
- We can compute each  $c_i$  by considering all possible trees  $j < i$  that were the last tree to be cut.

- 

$$c_i = \min_{0 \leq j < i} (c_j + b_j \cdot a_i)$$

- This directly translates to an  $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$  dynamic programming solution.
- But  $N$  can be  $10^5$  which means this is not fast enough.

# Constructing a solution

- Let  $c_i$  be the minimum cost of cutting tree  $i$ .
- We can compute each  $c_i$  by considering all possible trees  $j < i$  that were the last tree to be cut.

- 

$$c_i = \min_{0 \leq j < i} (c_j + b_j \cdot a_i)$$

- This directly translates to an  $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$  dynamic programming solution.
- But  $N$  can be  $10^5$  which means this is not fast enough.
- Now substitute  $c_j$  for  $y_0$ ,  $b_j$  for  $m$  and  $a_i$  for  $x$ . What do you get?

# Constructing a solution

- Let  $c_i$  be the minimum cost of cutting tree  $i$ .
- We can compute each  $c_i$  by considering all possible trees  $j < i$  that were the last tree to be cut.

- 

$$c_i = \min_{0 \leq j < i} (c_j + b_j \cdot a_i)$$

- This directly translates to an  $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$  dynamic programming solution.
- But  $N$  can be  $10^5$  which means this is not fast enough.
- Now substitute  $c_j$  for  $y_0$ ,  $b_j$  for  $m$  and  $a_i$  for  $x$ . What do you get?
- A line!

## Enter the Convex Hull Trick

- We want to maintain a set of lines.

# Enter the Convex Hull Trick

- We want to maintain a set of lines.
- We need to be able to add lines to the set, at the very least in descending order by slope.




# Enter the Convex Hull Trick

- We want to maintain a set of lines.
- We need to be able to add lines to the set, at the very least in descending order by slope.
- We need to be able to provide an  $x$  value and find the line with the minimum  $y$  value for that  $x$ .


# Enter the Convex Hull Trick

- We want to maintain a set of lines.
- We need to be able to add lines to the set, at the very least in descending order by slope.
- We need to be able to provide an  $x$  value and find the line with the minimum  $y$  value for that  $x$ .
- We need both operations to be sub-linear in time complexity.


## Sample 1 - Illustrated




## Sample 1 - Illustrated




## Sample 1 - Illustrated




## Sample 1 - Illustrated



## Sample 1 - Illustrated




## Sample 1 - Illustrated







## Sample 1 - Illustrated




## Sample 1 - Illustrated




## Sample 1 - Illustrated




## Sample 1 - Illustrated




## Sample 2 - Illustrated




## Sample 2 - Illustrated



## Sample 2 - Illustrated




## Sample 2 - Illustrated







## Sample 2 - Illustrated




## Sample 2 - Illustrated




## Sample 2 - Illustrated




## Sample 2 - Illustrated




## Sample 2 - Illustrated




## Sample 2 - Illustrated



## Sample 2 - Illustrated



## Sample 2 - Illustrated





## Remove useless lines

- We can see some lines can be discarded, since they do not contribute to the convex hull.

## Remove useless lines

- We can see some lines can be discarded, since they do not contribute to the convex hull.
- Suppose we are adding a line to our data structure.

## Remove useless lines

- We can see some lines can be discarded, since they do not contribute to the convex hull.
- Suppose we are adding a line to our data structure.
- Let  $a$  be the intersection point of the new line and the second to last line in the data structure.

## Remove useless lines

- We can see some lines can be discarded, since they do not contribute to the convex hull.
- Suppose we are adding a line to our data structure.
- Let  $a$  be the intersection point of the new line and the second to last line in the data structure.
- Let  $b$  be the intersection point of the last line and the second to last line in the data structure.


## Remove useless lines

- We can see some lines can be discarded, since they do not contribute to the convex hull.
- Suppose we are adding a line to our data structure.
- Let  $a$  be the intersection point of the new line and the second to last line in the data structure.
- Let  $b$  be the intersection point of the last line and the second to last line in the data structure.
- If the  $x$ -coordinate of  $a$  is less than (or equal to) that of  $b$ , then the last line is redundant.


## Remove useless lines

- We can see some lines can be discarded, since they do not contribute to the convex hull.
- Suppose we are adding a line to our data structure.
- Let  $a$  be the intersection point of the new line and the second to last line in the data structure.
- Let  $b$  be the intersection point of the last line and the second to last line in the data structure.
- If the  $x$ -coordinate of  $a$  is less than (or equal to) that of  $b$ , then the last line is redundant.
- We can therefore iteratively pop redundant lines from the back before adding a line.

## Sample 2 - Remove useless lines




## Sample 2 - Remove useless lines







## Sample 2 - Remove useless lines




## Sample 2 - Remove useless lines




## Sample 2 - Remove useless lines




## Sample 2 - Remove useless lines




## Sample 2 - Remove useless lines




## Sample 2 - Remove useless lines



## Sample 2 - Remove useless lines




## Sample 2 - Remove useless lines







## Sample 2 - Remove useless lines




## Sample 2 - Remove useless lines




## Sample 2 - Remove useless lines



## Sample 2 - Remove useless lines



## Sample 2 - Remove useless lines



# Querying and Complexity

- How do we find the minimum  $y$  value then?

# Querying and Complexity

- How do we find the minimum  $y$  value then?
- The intersection points are in ascending order.

# Querying and Complexity

- How do we find the minimum  $y$  value then?
- The intersection points are in ascending order.
- Use binary search to find the line in question.



# Querying and Complexity

- How do we find the minimum  $y$  value then?
- The intersection points are in ascending order.
- Use binary search to find the line in question.
- Construction takes  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  time

# Querying and Complexity

- How do we find the minimum  $y$  value then?
- The intersection points are in ascending order.
- Use binary search to find the line in question.
- Construction takes  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  time
- Each query takes  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  time.

## Querying and Complexity

- How do we find the minimum  $y$  value then?
- The intersection points are in ascending order.
- Use binary search to find the line in question.
- Construction takes  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  time
- Each query takes  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  time.
- We have improved the time complexity from  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  to  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ .

# Modifications

- Our current model only works if lines are inserted increasing/decreasing order by slope, but query points can be given in any order.

# Modifications

- Our current model only works if lines are inserted increasing/decreasing order by slope, but query points can be given in any order.
- Easy to change from minimization to maximization.

# Modifications

- Our current model only works if lines are inserted increasing/decreasing order by slope, but query points can be given in any order.
- Easy to change from minimization to maximization.
- If query points are also known to be in ascending order, you can use a sliding window idea to get  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  time complexity.

# Modifications

- Our current model only works if lines are inserted increasing/decreasing order by slope, but query points can be given in any order.
- Easy to change from minimization to maximization.
- If query points are also known to be in ascending order, you can use a sliding window idea to get  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  time complexity.
- Alternatively, modify such that lines may come in any order while maintaining time complexity.

# Modifications

- Our current model only works if lines are inserted increasing/decreasing order by slope, but query points can be given in any order.
- Easy to change from minimization to maximization.
- If query points are also known to be in ascending order, you can use a sliding window idea to get  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  time complexity.
- Alternatively, modify such that lines may come in any order while maintaining time complexity.
- Use a multiset instead of a vector/array to keep track of lines and quickly find insertion point. A bit more hassle but powerful.



# Modifications

- Our current model only works if lines are inserted increasing/decreasing order by slope, but query points can be given in any order.
- Easy to change from minimization to maximization.
- If query points are also known to be in ascending order, you can use a sliding window idea to get  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  time complexity.
- Alternatively, modify such that lines may come in any order while maintaining time complexity.
- Use a multiset instead of a vector/array to keep track of lines and quickly find insertion point. A bit more hassle but powerful.
- Need to consider removing neighbouring lines with higher and lower slopes.

# Simple Implementation

```
struct line {
    ll m, b;
    ll get(ll x) { return m*x + b; };
    ll intersect(line other) { return (other.b - b) / (m - other.m); }
};

struct convex_hull_trick {
    vector<line> lines;
    void add(line l) {
        auto sz = lines.size();
        while (
            sz >= 2 &&
            lines[sz-2].intersect(lines[sz-1]) >= lines[sz-2].intersect(l)
        ) {
            lines.pop_back();
            sz--;
        }
        lines.push_back(l);
    }
    // to be continued...
```

## Simple Implementation - Continued

```
// ...continued
ll query(ll x) {
    int lo = 0, hi = static_cast<int>(lines.size()) - 2;
    int ind = hi+1;
    while (lo <= hi) {
        int mid = (lo+hi)/2;
        if (lines[mid].intersect(lines[mid+1]) >= x) {
            ind = mid;
            hi = mid-1;
        }
        else {
            lo = mid+1;
        }
    }
    return lines[ind].get(x);
}
};
```

## Try on these problems!

- Kalila and Dimna in the Logging Industry
- Covered Walkway
- Commando
- Avoiding Airports