

Constant Optimizations

Arnar Bjarni Arnarson

Árangursrík forritun og lausn verkefna

School of Computer Science Reykjavík University

Faster I/O

• C++: using ios_base::sync_with_stdio(false); at the start of your program

- C++: using ios_base::sync_with_stdio(false); at the start of your program
- C++: reducing flush operations, use '\n' instead of endl

- C++: using ios_base::sync_with_stdio(false); at the start of your program
- C++: reducing flush operations, use '\n' instead of endl
- C++: using a custom built function to read integers: LINK

- C++: using ios_base::sync_with_stdio(false); at the start of your program
- C++: reducing flush operations, use '\n' instead of endl
- C++: using a custom built function to read integers: LINK
- Python: use sys.stdin and sys.stdout, only flush when needed. Note that print and input may flush your output, possibly slowing your program unnecessarily.

- C++: using ios_base::sync_with_stdio(false); at the start of your program
- C++: reducing flush operations, use '\n' instead of endl
- C++: using a custom built function to read integers: LINK
- Python: use sys.stdin and sys.stdout, only flush when needed. Note that print and input may flush your output, possibly slowing your program unnecessarily.
- Java/C#: I don't know how to make it fast, if I/O is a bottleneck, use a different language maybe? Kattio.java exists but isn't particularly fast compared to C++.

 Some of the optimizations discussed beyond this point may or may not be done by the compiler depending on the code you write.

- Some of the optimizations discussed beyond this point may or may not be done by the compiler depending on the code you write.
- The compiler is good at optimizing common patterns, but needs a little guidance sometimes.

- Some of the optimizations discussed beyond this point may or may not be done by the compiler depending on the code you write.
- The compiler is good at optimizing common patterns, but needs a little guidance sometimes.
- We will be examining a bit how data and instructions are processed by a CPU.

- Some of the optimizations discussed beyond this point may or may not be done by the compiler depending on the code you write.
- The compiler is good at optimizing common patterns, but needs a little guidance sometimes.
- We will be examining a bit how data and instructions are processed by a CPU.
- The CPU executes instructions, which it needs to access, on data, which it also needs to access.

- Some of the optimizations discussed beyond this point may or may not be done by the compiler depending on the code you write.
- The compiler is good at optimizing common patterns, but needs a little guidance sometimes.
- We will be examining a bit how data and instructions are processed by a CPU.
- The CPU executes instructions, which it needs to access, on data, which it also needs to access.
- Modern CPUs tend to separate these two and treat them differently.

- Some of the optimizations discussed beyond this point may or may not be done by the compiler depending on the code you write.
- The compiler is good at optimizing common patterns, but needs a little guidance sometimes.
- We will be examining a bit how data and instructions are processed by a CPU.
- The CPU executes instructions, which it needs to access, on data, which it also needs to access.
- Modern CPUs tend to separate these two and treat them differently.
- Recently referenced, or used, data and instructions tend to be reused.

- Some of the optimizations discussed beyond this point may or may not be done by the compiler depending on the code you write.
- The compiler is good at optimizing common patterns, but needs a little guidance sometimes.
- We will be examining a bit how data and instructions are processed by a CPU.
- The CPU executes instructions, which it needs to access, on data, which it also needs to access.
- Modern CPUs tend to separate these two and treat them differently.
- Recently referenced, or used, data and instructions tend to be reused.
- Tomporal locality: A recently accossed (memory) address is

- Some of the optimizations discussed beyond this point may or may not be done by the compiler depending on the code you write.
- The compiler is good at optimizing common patterns, but needs a little guidance sometimes.
- We will be examining a bit how data and instructions are processed by a CPU.
- The CPU executes instructions, which it needs to access, on data, which it also needs to access.
- Modern CPUs tend to separate these two and treat them differently.
- Recently referenced, or used, data and instructions tend to be reused.
- Tomporal locality: A recently accossed (memory) address is

```
int sum(int arr[N]) {
   int result = 0;
   for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
      result += a[i];
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

```
int sum(int arr[N]) {
    int result = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
        result += a[i];
    }
    return result;
}</pre>
```

 Here result is referenced in each iteration: temporal locality of data

```
int sum(int arr[N]) {
   int result = 0;
   for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
      result += a[i];
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

- Here result is referenced in each iteration: temporal locality of data
- Here the same instructions are used in each iteration of a short loop: temporal locality of instructions

```
int sum(int arr[N]) {
   int result = 0;
   for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
      result += a[i];
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

- Here result is referenced in each iteration: temporal locality of data
- Here the same instructions are used in each iteration of a short loop: temporal locality of instructions
- Here array elements are accessed in increasing order with no gaps: spatial locality of data

```
int sum(int arr[N]) {
   int result = 0;
   for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
      result += a[i];
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

- Here result is referenced in each iteration: temporal locality of data
- Here the same instructions are used in each iteration of a short loop: temporal locality of instructions
- Here array elements are accessed in increasing order with no gaps: spatial locality of data
- Here instructions are processed sequentially: spatial locality of instructions

```
int sum_by_col(int arr[N][M]){
   int result = 0;
   for (int j = 0; j < M; j++) {
      for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
        result += a[i][j];
      }
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

```
int sum_by_col(int arr[N][M]){
   int result = 0;
   for (int j = 0; j < M; j++) {
      for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
        result += a[i][j];
      }
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

ullet In the inner loop we are jumping between memory addresses in steps of size M.

```
int sum_by_col(int arr[N][M]){
   int result = 0;
   for (int j = 0; j < M; j++) {
      for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
        result += a[i][j];
      }
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

- ullet In the inner loop we are jumping between memory addresses in steps of size M.
- The CPU cache will not be utilized that well, unless it is large enough to store the whole array.

```
int sum_by_col(int arr[N][M]){
   int result = 0;
   for (int j = 0; j < M; j++) {
      for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
        result += a[i][j];
      }
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

- ullet In the inner loop we are jumping between memory addresses in steps of size M.
- The CPU cache will not be utilized that well, unless it is large enough to store the whole array.
- Swapping the order of our loops makes use of spatial locality.

```
int sum_by_col(int arr[N][M]){
   int result = 0;
   for (int j = 0; j < M; j++) {
      for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
        result += a[i][j];
      }
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

- ullet In the inner loop we are jumping between memory addresses in steps of size M.
- The CPU cache will not be utilized that well, unless it is large enough to store the whole array.
- Swapping the order of our loops makes use of spatial locality.
- That way the CPU cache will be utilized better.

```
int sum_by_row(int arr[N][M]){
   int result = 0;
   for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
      for (int j = 0; j < M; i++) {
        result += a[i][j];
      }
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

```
int sum_by_row(int arr[N][M]){
   int result = 0;
   for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
      for (int j = 0; j < M; i++) {
        result += a[i][j];
      }
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

ullet Now the inner loop jumps between addresses in steps of size 1.

```
int sum_by_row(int arr[N][M]){
   int result = 0;
   for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
      for (int j = 0; j < M; i++) {
        result += a[i][j];
      }
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

- ullet Now the inner loop jumps between addresses in steps of size 1.
- So how much of a difference does this make?

```
int sum_by_row(int arr[N][M]){
   int result = 0;
   for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
      for (int j = 0; j < M; i++) {
        result += a[i][j];
      }
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

- Now the inner loop jumps between addresses in steps of size 1.
- So how much of a difference does this make?
- I tested with my Intel®Core™i5-4670K

```
int sum_by_row(int arr[N][M]){
   int result = 0;
   for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
      for (int j = 0; j < M; i++) {
        result += a[i][j];
      }
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

- Now the inner loop jumps between addresses in steps of size 1.
- So how much of a difference does this make?
- I tested with my Intel®Core™i5-4670K
- Setting $N=M=10\,000$ to get a sufficiently large array, so 10^8 additions will be performed by each function.

```
int sum_by_row(int arr[N][M]){
   int result = 0;
   for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
      for (int j = 0; j < M; i++) {
        result += a[i][j];
      }
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

- Now the inner loop jumps between addresses in steps of size 1.
- So how much of a difference does this make?
- I tested with my Intel[®] Core[™] i5-4670K
- Setting $N=M=10\,000$ to get a sufficiently large array, so 10^8 additions will be performed by each function.
- The function sum_by_col takes approximately 1.025 seconds on average.

```
int sum_by_row(int arr[N][M]){
   int result = 0;
   for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
      for (int j = 0; j < M; i++) {
        result += a[i][j];
      }
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

- Now the inner loop jumps between addresses in steps of size 1.
- So how much of a difference does this make?
- I tested with my Intel[®] Core[™] i5-4670K
- Setting $N=M=10\,000$ to get a sufficiently large array, so 10^8 additions will be performed by each function.
- The function sum_by_col takes approximately 1.025 seconds on average.

```
int sum_by_row(int arr[N][M]){
   int result = 0;
   for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
      for (int j = 0; j < M; i++) {
        result += a[i][j];
      }
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

- Now the inner loop jumps between addresses in steps of size 1.
- So how much of a difference does this make?
- I tested with my Intel[®] Core[™] i5-4670K
- Setting $N=M=10\,000$ to get a sufficiently large array, so 10^8 additions will be performed by each function.
- The function sum_by_col takes approximately 1.025 seconds on average.

A Scheduling Problem - From Errichto on CF

Problem description

There are N workers, where $1 \leq N \leq 5\,000.$ Each worker is either available or unavailable each day. There is a 30 day window for a two man group project. The project can only be worked on if both group members are available. You may assume all workers are equally competent, so you only want to maximize the number of days they work together. What is the best pair of workers to select?

```
vector<vector<int>> workers:
int intersection(int a, int b) {
    int i = 0, j = 0;
    int result = 0;
    while (i < N && j < N) {
        if (workers[a][i] == workers[b][j]) {
            result++, i++, j++;
        else if (workers[a][i] < workers[b][j]) {</pre>
            i++:
        else {
            j++;
    return result:
```

```
vector<vector<int>> workers:
int intersection(int a, int b) {
    int i = 0, j = 0;
    int result = 0;
    while (i < N && j < N) {
        if (workers[a][i] == workers[b][j]) {
            result++, i++, j++;
        else if (workers[a][i] < workers[b][j]) {</pre>
            i++:
        else {
            j++;
    return result:
```

```
vector<vector<int>> workers:
int intersection(int a, int b) {
    int i = 0, j = 0;
    int result = 0;
    while (i < N && j < N) {
        if (workers[a][i] == workers[b][j]) {
            result++, i++, j++;
        else if (workers[a][i] < workers[b][j]) {</pre>
            i++:
        else {
            j++;
    return result:
```

```
vector<vector<int>> workers:
int intersection(int a, int b) {
    int i = 0, j = 0;
    int result = 0;
    while (i < N && j < N) {
        if (workers[a][i] == workers[b][j]) {
            result++, i++, j++;
        else if (workers[a][i] < workers[b][j]) {</pre>
            i++:
        else {
            j++;
    return result:
```

```
vector<int> workers:
int intersection(int a, int b) {
    int result = 0;
    int inter = workers[a] & workers[b];
    for (int i = 0; i < D; i++) {
        if (inter & 1) {
            result++;
        inter >>= 1;
    }
    return result;
}
```

Lets store the availability as bitmasks.

```
vector<int> workers:
int intersection(int a, int b) {
    int result = 0;
    int inter = workers[a] & workers[b];
    for (int i = 0; i < D; i++) {
        if (inter & 1) {
            result++;
        inter >>= 1;
    }
    return result;
}
```

- Lets store the availability as bitmasks.
- This allows us to pack our data more efficiently, which will improve our cache usage.

```
vector<int> workers:
int intersection(int a, int b) {
    int result = 0;
    int inter = workers[a] & workers[b];
    for (int i = 0; i < D; i++) {
        if (inter & 1) {
            result++;
        inter >>= 1;
    }
    return result;
}
```

- Lets store the availability as bitmasks.
- This allows us to pack our data more efficiently, which will improve our cache usage.

```
vector<int> workers:
int intersection(int a, int b) {
    int result = 0;
    int inter = workers[a] & workers[b];
    for (int i = 0; i < D; i++) {
        if (inter & 1) {
            result++;
        inter >>= 1;
    }
    return result;
}
```

- Lets store the availability as bitmasks.
- This allows us to pack our data more efficiently, which will improve our cache usage.

```
vector<int> workers;
int intersection(int a, int b) {
    return __builtin_popcount(workers[a] & workers[b]);
}
```

What sorcery is this?

```
vector<int> workers;
int intersection(int a, int b) {
    return __builtin_popcount(workers[a] & workers[b]);
}
```

- What sorcery is this?
- Popcount is the number of set bits, or ones, in the binary representation of the number.

```
vector<int> workers;
int intersection(int a, int b) {
    return __builtin_popcount(workers[a] & workers[b]);
}
```

- What sorcery is this?
- Popcount is the number of set bits, or ones, in the binary representation of the number.
- It's time complexity is $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and most CPUs have it as a single instruction.

```
vector<int> workers;
int intersection(int a, int b) {
    return __builtin_popcount(workers[a] & workers[b]);
}
```

- What sorcery is this?
- Popcount is the number of set bits, or ones, in the binary representation of the number.
- It's time complexity is $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and most CPUs have it as a single instruction.
- Now our time complexity is $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ and the code runs fast enough.
- But wait, there is more!

• We have __builtin_ctz, which counts trailing zeros.

- We have __builtin_ctz, which counts trailing zeros.
- We have __builtin_clz, which counts leading zeros.

- We have __builtin_ctz, which counts trailing zeros.
- We have __builtin_clz, which counts leading zeros.
- We have __builtin_parity, which returns 1 if odd number of bits are set.

- We have __builtin_ctz, which counts trailing zeros.
- We have __builtin_clz, which counts leading zeros.
- We have __builtin_parity, which returns 1 if odd number of bits are set.
- We have __builtin_ffs, which finds the index of the first set bit.

- We have __builtin_ctz, which counts trailing zeros.
- We have __builtin_clz, which counts leading zeros.
- We have __builtin_parity, which returns 1 if odd number of bits are set.
- We have __builtin_ffs, which finds the index of the first set bit.
- For long long add the suffix 11.

- We have __builtin_ctz, which counts trailing zeros.
- We have __builtin_clz, which counts leading zeros.
- We have __builtin_parity, which returns 1 if odd number of bits are set.
- We have __builtin_ffs, which finds the index of the first set bit.
- For long long add the suffix 11.
- What if D = 60? We could use 64-bit integers.

- We have __builtin_ctz, which counts trailing zeros.
- We have __builtin_clz, which counts leading zeros.
- We have __builtin_parity, which returns 1 if odd number of bits are set.
- We have __builtin_ffs, which finds the index of the first set bit.
- For long long add the suffix 11.
- What if D=60? We could use 64-bit integers.
- What if D > 64?

The Infamous Bitset

The Infamous Bitset

Unrolling Loops

Branching and Branchless

Programming

Single Instruction, Multiple Data