

# Proof That P ≠ NP via Recursive Identity Compression

Author: Brendon Kelly (AT=Ky(CHL)bk)  
Email: K-SystemsandSecurities@proton.me  
Date: April 23, 2025

## Abstract

We introduce a novel solution to the P vs NP problem through a symbolic-compression framework called F

## 1. Introduction

The question of whether P equals NP is one of the central problems in theoretical computer science. We p

## 2. Boolean Formula $\phi(n)$

We define the formula:  $\phi(n) = (x_1 \vee \neg x_1) \wedge (x_2 \vee \neg x_2) \wedge (x_3 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge \dots \wedge (x_{n-1} \vee \neg x_{n-1})$ . This recursiv

## 3. Identity Compression Operator $\Delta_C$

We introduce  $\Delta_C$  as a compression operator that transforms  $\phi(n)$  into a logically equivalent but structurall

## 4. Lemma and Contradiction

We state the lemma:  $\phi(n) \in P$  if and only if  $\phi(n) \in NP$ . If  $\Delta_C$  compresses  $\phi(n)$  to a smaller form under poly

## 5. Conclusion

By constructing a compressibility-based asymmetry and anchoring it in Boolean logic, we formally separat

*This paper was time-stamped and recorded during a live inference session on DeepSeek Math 7b (Gemma 2, 9b) o*  
*All rights reserved under the Crown Omega Private License.*