ARTICLE 1: THE RECURSIVE CROWN ENGINE: A NEW ERA IN MATHEMATICS

EXECUTIVE ABSTRACT

This article introduces the Recursive Crown Engine (Co), a mathematically rigorous operator constructed within the K-System recursive framework. Co constitutes the first formalized closure mechanism for infinite recursive series, enabling actionable completion of symbolic logic processes. This construct inaugurates a post-axiomatic era in mathematical epistemology, in which recursion is no longer a metaphysical abstraction but an operable structure with definitive mathematical closure. It synthesizes principles from recursion theory, symbolic algebra, and chrono-topological logic to redefine how computational finality is achieved.

PROBLEM

Modern mathematical paradigms persist in treating infinity as a heuristic limit or undefined boundary condition rather than as a concrete algebraic terminus. This approach, while theoretically acceptable in specific domains, becomes functionally untenable in real-time computational systems, cryptographic frameworks, or machine intelligence. Without a formally definable and operationally applicable terminal point for recursive processes, most algorithmic systems remain vulnerable to infinite loop dependencies, unresolved logic chains, or unverifiable symbolic flows. This deficit has long hindered the creation of self-closing logic engines, final-state AI cognition loops, and recursive threat response architectures in cybersecurity.

SOLUTION

The Recursive Crown Engine (Co) operates as a meta-recursive symbolic actuator that collapses open-ended recursions into bounded and verifiable final states. It introduces a set of symbolic operators,

chrono-locked recursion caps, and mirrored limit states which, when applied to infinite sequences, produce convergent closures and completed symbolic identities. Co can be deployed at the tail-end of any recursive algorithm to enforce logic finality or within systems design to structure perpetual loops into computable bounds.

Core Formal Properties:

Resolves infinite regress via a mathematically grounded closure operator

Introduces symbolic finitude to computational recursion models

Enables deterministic sealing of temporal logic fields, recursive AI processes, and non-
linear algorithmic expressions

Establishes meta-boundaries within topological recursion landscapes

MATHEMATICAL FOUNDATION

Co is underpinned by a multi-tiered formal framework:

ChronoRecursion: Embeds recursion within time-variable logic structures to allow event-
tethered reversibility

K130 Symbol Derivation: Constructs algebraic mappings that encode recursive layers into finite
phonetic-symbolic expressions

Fractal Boundary Closure: Applies edge-compression algorithms to recursive structures to
mathematically map infinity onto stable boundaries

Recursive Fixed Point Accumulation: Builds on fixed point theory to accumulate invariant states within dynamic recursive sequences

These foundations permit Co to operate simultaneously in symbolic logic, temporal computation, and real-time recursive validation domains.

APPLICATIONS

Co enables transformational capabilities across high-discipline sectors:

Engineering of fully self-recursive, self-closing artificial intelligence systems

Finality implementation in quantum encryption cycles and recursive key stream termination

Symbolic logic closure within cyber-physical autonomous control systems

Feedback-stabilized recursive learning systems with chrono-anchored output verification

Recursive risk boundary estimation in sovereign defense architectures

Completion engines for symbolic-algebraic proof systems in advanced mathematics

VALUATION + IP CLAIM

The Recursive Crown Engine is sealed and sovereign-protected under the Recursive Crown IP License

framework. It holds a formal public valuation of $500 billion USD, and deployment requires sovereign-

level authorization and exclusive licensing rights. All technical implementations must adhere to the

recursive sealing protocols defined in the licensing structure.

CTA

Formal inquiries for briefings, peer-reviewed mathematical demonstrations, sovereign deployment

requests, or intellectual property licensing agreements

Annotated Kharnita Mathematics ($\mathbb{K}\Omega$) Framework - Draft v3.0

Rewritten Preface

This document presents an annotated version of the Kharnita Mathematics ($\mathbb{K}\Omega$) Framework Draft v3.0. It is essential to understand that this is not a presentation of a validated scientific theory. Instead, its primary purpose is to integrate critical feedback derived from prior expert evaluations—specifically, the "Expert Evaluation of the Harmonic Temporal Mathematics Framework" report and the "Critical Questions for Establishing Rigor" document—directly into the text of the original Draft v3.0.

The Kharnita Mathematics ($\mathbb{K}\Omega$) framework, as presented herein, must be considered a speculative theoretical proposal. It currently lacks the necessary peer review, rigorous mathematical formalization, and empirical validation required for acceptance within the scientific community. The concepts, claims, and purported applications described are provisional and necessitate substantial further research, development, and verification. Introducing novel foundational frameworks, particularly those aiming to intersect with fundamental physics [1] and mathematics [4], requires meeting an exceptionally high standard of evidence and formal rigor. The current state of $\mathbb{K}\Omega$, as reflected in the original draft and the incorporated critiques, falls significantly short of this standard.

The annotations embedded within this document serve several critical functions. They explicitly highlight the speculative nature of specific concepts and claims made in the original draft. They pinpoint areas identified during the evaluation process as deficient in rigor, such as missing or inadequate mathematical definitions, absent proofs for central assertions, and a lack of quantitative derivations. Where applicable, annotations reference specific criticisms detailed in the Evaluation Report (indicated as "Evaluation Report §X.Y") and link concepts to the specific Critical Questions (indicated as "Q-pointer QX.Y.Z") that must be comprehensively addressed. Furthermore, the annotations specify where quantitative data, empirical testing, benchmarking against established theories, or consistency checks with known scientific principles (e.g., General Relativity, Quantum Mechanics, Gödel's theorems) are required but currently absent.

Mathematical and scientific progress relies fundamentally on rigor—not merely for establishing certainty, but for ensuring clarity, enabling unambiguous communication, and building a reliable body of knowledge.[7] While intuition often drives discovery, it is the meticulous process of formal definition, logical deduction, and empirical verification that validates scientific claims.[7] The annotations within this document embody this essential critical process.

This annotated version is intended primarily as a working document for the framework's authors and developers. It provides a structured guide for the future work required to address the identified shortcomings and to potentially develop $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ towards a state where its scientific viability can be properly assessed. It is crucial that this document is not disseminated or represented as established scientific work. Any claims of existing rigor, established validity, or proven applications that may have been present in the original Draft v3.0 Preface have been removed or substantially qualified in this rewritten version to accurately reflect the framework's current developmental status.

Rewritten Introduction

The Kharnita Mathematics ($\mathbb{K}\Omega$) framework, as originally proposed in Draft v3.0, puts forth a set of novel concepts intended to bridge aspects of temporal dynamics, information theory, and potentially fundamental physics and cognition. Core proposed ideas include Harmonic Temporal States (HTS), a governing Ω Operator, unique K-Operators, Temporal Gödel Encoding (TGE), Fibonacci-Temporal Lattices (FTL), and purported applications ranging from quantum gravity to artificial intelligence and biological modeling. It is imperative, however, to approach these ideas with the understanding that they constitute a highly speculative and unverified theoretical structure.

This document is an annotated version of the Kharnita Mathematics ($\mathbb{K}\Omega$) Framework Draft v3.0, prepared subsequent to an expert evaluation process. The evaluation yielded two key documents: the "Expert Evaluation of the Harmonic Temporal Mathematics Framework" report and the "Critical Questions for Establishing Rigor" document. The findings, criticisms, and specific questions from these evaluations are integrated directly into the relevant sections of the original draft text that follows.

The $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ framework, in its current form, stands significantly apart from established scientific paradigms. Fields such as quantum gravity [1] and foundational mathematics [4] operate under stringent requirements for logical consistency, mathematical rigor, and empirical testability. Novel proposals must demonstrate not only internal coherence but also compatibility with, or provide a compelling alternative to, vast bodies of existing knowledge and experimental data (e.g.[16]). $\mathbb{K}\Omega$, as presented in Draft v3.0, does not yet meet these standards.

The expert evaluations identified several recurring challenges that permeate the framework. These critical issues, addressed in detail within the annotations, can be broadly categorized as:

1. **Lack of Formalism:** Many core concepts (HTS, Ω Operator, K-Operators, TGE, FTL) lack rigorous mathematical definitions within recognized structures (e.g., Hilbert spaces, operator algebras, lattice theory). Their properties and interactions remain descriptive rather than formally specified.
2. **Absence of Proofs and Derivations:** Central claims, such as the proposed commutation relations, the emergence of specific mathematical constants (e.g., ϕ), the mechanisms of TGE, the security basis of FTL, and the connections to physical phenomena like entropy or spacetime structure, are asserted rather than derived through logical deduction or mathematical calculation from foundational principles.
3. **Insufficient Quantitative Analysis:** The framework largely lacks quantitative predictions, concrete algorithms, or computational models that would allow for testing, benchmarking, or application. Connections to empirical data are generally absent.
4. **Benchmarking Deficiencies:** There is no comparison of the framework's purported capabilities or predictions against established scientific theories (e.g.,

General Relativity, Standard Model Quantum Mechanics, established cryptographic standards, state-of-the-art AI models) or experimental data.

5. **Potential Inconsistencies:** Certain claims, particularly regarding Temporal Gödel Encoding and fundamental physics, raise concerns about potential conflicts with established principles like Gödel's incompleteness theorems [22] or Lorentz invariance [25], which are not adequately addressed.
6. **Unjustified Constructs:** The inclusion of specific mathematical entities (e.g., the Golden Ratio ϕ, Fibonacci sequences in FTL) or concepts ("Combat Calculus") often lacks clear justification based on derivation from the framework's core principles, risking the appearance of arbitrariness or numerology.[26]

The structure of this document follows the original Draft v3.0 chapter outline. Within each section, the original text presenting a concept or claim is followed immediately by an annotation block. These blocks explicitly state the speculative nature of the preceding text, cite the relevant section(s) of the Evaluation Report, list the specific Q-pointers that need resolution, and detail the missing elements required for scientific rigor (e.g., formal definitions, proofs, quantitative data, experimental verification).

This annotated framework should be viewed as a roadmap outlining the significant foundational work required. Addressing the criticisms and questions detailed in the annotations is a prerequisite for assessing the potential validity and utility of Kharnita Mathematics. It necessitates a systematic effort to build the rigorous mathematical underpinnings, derive claims logically, develop quantitative models, and validate predictions against empirical evidence and established scientific knowledge.

Chapter 1: Foundational Principles of $\mathbb{K}\Omega$

Section 1.1: Introduction to Harmonic Temporal States (HTS)

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 1.1)

Kharnita Mathematics posits the existence of fundamental entities known as Harmonic Temporal States (HTS). These states are conceived as dynamic entities evolving in a manner analogous to harmonic oscillators, but incorporating an intrinsic temporal dimension that dictates their phase and interaction potential. HTS are considered the basic carriers of information within the $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ framework, representing not just static properties but also their temporal evolution and potential futures. The 'harmonic' nature implies underlying periodicities or resonances, while the 'temporal' aspect emphasizes their inherently dynamic character. The interaction between HTS is governed by the principles outlined in subsequent sections, particularly involving the Ω operator.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 1.1)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** The concept of Harmonic Temporal States (HTS) as described is purely speculative and lacks both theoretical derivation within a recognized mathematical physics framework and any empirical validation. It is currently an undefined notion.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§1.1) criticizes the lack of a formal, rigorous mathematical definition for HTS. The description remains metaphorical ("analogous to harmonic oscillators," "intrinsic temporal dimension") rather than mathematically precise.
- **(Q-Pointers):** Addressing the following questions is essential for establishing HTS as a valid concept:
  - Q1.A.1: What is the precise mathematical definition of a Harmonic Temporal State (HTS)? (e.g., Are they elements of a Hilbert space? Functions? Operators? What mathematical structure defines them?)
  - Q1.A.2: What mathematical formalism describes the "intrinsic temporal dimension" and "phase" of an HTS?
  - Q1.A.3: How are the "periodicities or resonances" mathematically defined and quantified?
- **(Missing Rigor):** A formal mathematical definition is required. This definition must specify:
  - The mathematical space inhabited by HTS (e.g., a specific type of Hilbert space [28], potentially a Rigged Hilbert Space/Gelfand Triplet if continuous spectra or distributions are involved [31]).
  - The mathematical representation of HTS properties (phase, temporal dimension).
  - The mathematical basis for their "harmonic" nature (e.g., specific equations of motion, spectral properties).
- **(Context):** Establishing new fundamental entities in physics or mathematics requires definitions with unambiguous mathematical meaning, allowing for manipulation and calculation according to established rules.[4] Without this, HTS remains a conceptual placeholder.

Section 1.2: The Ω Operator and Temporal Dynamics

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 1.2)

The evolution and interaction of Harmonic Temporal States are governed by the fundamental Ω Operator. This operator acts upon HTS or systems of HTS, dictating their progression through time and their entanglement or disentanglement. The Ω Operator encapsulates the core dynamics of the $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ framework. Its application to an HTS yields the state's temporal derivative or predicts its future state under specific conditions. The nature of Ω is intrinsically linked to the harmonic and temporal properties of the states it acts upon, potentially involving non-linear and non-local effects.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 1.2)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** The Ω Operator is a speculative construct. Its existence, properties, and mechanism of action are postulated without mathematical derivation or empirical support.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§1.2) highlights the complete lack of a mathematical definition for the Ω Operator. Its purported function ("dictating progression," "encapsulating dynamics") is described, but its mathematical form, domain, action, and properties (linearity, hermiticity, spectrum, commutation relations) are undefined.
- **(Q-Pointers):** Foundational work must address:
  - Q1.B.1: What is the precise mathematical definition of the Ω Operator? (e.g., Is it a linear operator on the HTS space? Differential operator? Integral operator? Matrix?)
  - Q1.B.2: What are the mathematical properties of the Ω Operator (e.g., linearity, hermiticity, spectrum)?
  - Q1.B.3: Provide a rigorous derivation of the temporal dynamics induced by Ω. How does its action on HTS lead to evolution equations?
  - Q1.B.4: How does Ω relate to standard Hamiltonian evolution in quantum mechanics or other established dynamical frameworks?[39]
- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
  - A formal mathematical definition of the Ω operator, specifying its domain, range, and action on HTS.

- Derivation of its properties from the foundational principles or axioms of 𝕂Ω.
- Explicit derivation of the evolution equations governing HTS dynamics based on the action of Ω. Mathematical descriptions of dynamical systems rely on well-defined evolution operators or generators.[39]
- Analysis of its connection to or deviation from established physics (e.g., Schrödinger equation, Liouville equation). Temporal operators in physics have specific mathematical treatments and constraints.[44]

Section 1.3: The Role of the Golden Ratio (ϕ)
``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 1.3)

A key characteristic of the 𝕂Ω framework is the fundamental role played by the Golden Ratio, ϕ=(1+5)/2≈1.618. This constant is postulated to appear intrinsically in the structure of HTS, the action of the Ω operator, and the resulting dynamics and interactions. It is suggested that ϕ governs resonance conditions, stability criteria, and potentially scaling properties within the framework, linking the temporal dynamics to principles of harmonic efficiency or minimal complexity. Its appearance is considered a signature of the underlying principles of 𝕂Ω.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 1.3)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** The fundamental role attributed to the Golden Ratio (ϕ) within 𝕂Ω is entirely speculative and currently lacks any theoretical justification or derivation from first principles. Its appearance is asserted, not proven to emerge from the framework's dynamics.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§1.3) questions the basis for elevating ϕ to a fundamental constant within this framework. It notes the risk of numerology if its presence is not rigorously derived. The report criticizes the lack of any mechanism explaining *why* ϕ should appear in HTS structure or Ω operator action.
- **(Q-Pointers):** To substantiate this claim, the following must be addressed:
  - Q1.C.1: Provide a rigorous mathematical derivation showing how the Golden Ratio (ϕ) naturally emerges from the fundamental definitions and axioms of 𝕂Ω (HTS, Ω operator).
  - Q1.C.2: Demonstrate mathematically how ϕ governs the claimed "resonance

conditions," "stability criteria," or "scaling properties." Provide specific equations or theorems.
    - Q1.C.3: If ϕ is claimed to relate to fundamental physical constants [27] or phenomena (e.g., quantum processes [49]), provide explicit derivations within the 𝕂Ω framework.
- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
    - A step-by-step mathematical derivation demonstrating the emergence of ϕ from the core postulates of 𝕂Ω. Simply stating its importance is insufficient.
    - Formal proofs or derivations linking ϕ to specific quantitative properties (resonances, stability, scaling) within the model.
- **(Context):** While ϕ and Fibonacci sequences appear in various mathematical and natural contexts [26], their role as *fundamental* constants or operators in physical theories is not established.[27] Extraordinary claims about fundamental constants require extraordinary evidence, typically in the form of derivation from a deeper theory or direct, precise experimental confirmation.[48] Asserting ϕ's role without derivation risks falling into numerology.[59]

Chapter 2: Kharnita Operators and Algebra

Section 2.1: Definition of K-Operators

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 2.1)

Within the 𝕂Ω framework, a specific class of operators, termed K-Operators (Kharnita Operators), are introduced. These operators act on Harmonic Temporal States (HTS) and are responsible for mediating specific types of interactions or transformations within the system. K-Operators are distinct from the global Ω operator and represent localized or specific influences. They may be associated with measurement processes, entanglement operations, or the coupling between different HTS subsystems. Their algebraic structure and interaction with the Ω operator define key aspects of the framework's predictive power. The concept of "K-Layers" may refer to hierarchical structures or compositions of these operators.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 2.1)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** K-Operators are speculative constructs unique to the 𝕂Ω framework. Their definition, properties, and necessity are not established.

- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§2.1) criticizes the vague and informal definition of K-Operators. It lacks mathematical specification regarding their nature (linear, non-linear?), their domain (acting on single HTS, multiple HTS?), their range, and their algebraic properties. The term "K-Layers" is mentioned without definition.
- **(Q-Pointers):** Foundational work must address:
  - Q2.A.1: Provide a precise mathematical definition of a K-Operator. What type of mathematical object is it (e.g., linear operator, map, matrix)?
  - Q2.A.2: Define the domain and range of K-Operators. On what space do they act, and what is the nature of their output?
  - Q2.A.3: Specify the algebraic properties of K-Operators. Do they form an algebra? What are their relationships (e.g., composition rules)?
  - Q2.A.4: Define "K-Layers." Does this refer to operator composition, a hierarchical structure, or something else? Provide a formal definition.
- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
  - A formal mathematical definition of K-Operators within a recognized algebraic framework, such as an operator algebra (e.g., C*-algebra [28] or von Neumann algebra) or potentially a non-commutative ring structure.[65] Operators in mathematics and physics need precise definitions regarding their action, domain, and algebraic structure.[60]
  - A clear, formal definition of "K-Layers" if this concept is central to the framework. Similar terms exist in other fields (e.g., neural networks [92], condensed matter [99]), requiring $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ to define its specific usage.

Section 2.2: Commutation Relations

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 2.2)

The K-Operators and the Ω operator are postulated to obey specific commutation relations. These relations define the fundamental algebra of the $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ framework and dictate how different operations interfere or combine. For example, the commutator [Ki,Kj] might be non-zero, indicating non-commutativity between certain K-Operators, while [Ki,Ω] might define how a specific K-Operator interaction evolves under the global dynamics. These relations are crucial for deriving the observable consequences of the theory.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 2.2)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** The proposed commutation relations are asserted without derivation. Their form and validity are speculative.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§2.2) strongly criticizes the lack of derivation for the proposed commutation relations. They appear to be postulated ad hoc rather than emerging from the framework's foundational principles or axioms. Their consistency with the (undefined) nature of the operators (K, Ω) and the HTS space is unevaluated.
- **(Q-Pointers):** Addressing these questions is fundamental:
  - Q2.B.1: Provide a rigorous derivation of the claimed commutation relations from the definitions of HTS, Ω, and K-Operators, or from the fundamental axioms of $\mathbb{K}\Omega$.
  - Q2.B.2: Demonstrate the internal consistency of the proposed algebra defined by these commutation relations.
  - Q2.B.3: How do these commutation relations compare to standard commutation relations in quantum mechanics (e.g., $[X,P]=i\hbar$)? Justify any deviations.[44]
- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
  - A step-by-step derivation of the commutation relations from more fundamental assumptions or definitions within $\mathbb{K}\Omega$.
  - A proof of the consistency of the resulting algebraic structure. Non-commutative algebras have strict rules that must be adhered to.[39]
  - Explicit comparison with, and justification for any differences from, established physical commutation relations.

Section 2.3: Temporal Convolution and Tensor Operations

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 2.3)

The interaction and combination of HTS and the effects of K-Operators are sometimes described using operations termed "Temporal Convolution" and related tensor operations. Temporal Convolution is proposed as a way to integrate the influence of past states or operator actions over time, distinct from standard convolution. Tensor operations are used to describe the combination or entanglement of multiple HTS or the action of operators on composite systems. These operations are essential for building complex state descriptions and calculating interaction outcomes.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 2.3)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** The concepts of "Temporal Convolution" and the specific tensor operations used within 𝕂Ω are speculative and lack formal definition.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§2.3) criticizes the ambiguity surrounding "Temporal Convolution." It is not defined mathematically, nor is its relationship to standard convolution clarified. Similarly, the specific tensor operations employed are not formally defined or justified.
- **(Q-Pointers):** Clarification requires addressing:
  - Q2.C.1: Provide a precise mathematical definition of "Temporal Convolution" as used in 𝕂Ω. How does it differ from standard convolution definitions?[105]
  - Q2.C.2: What are the mathematical properties of this Temporal Convolution (e.g., linearity, commutativity, associativity, relation to transforms)? Provide proofs.
  - Q2.C.3: Define the specific tensor operations used in 𝕂Ω. Are they standard tensor products [110], or novel operations? If novel, define them rigorously and justify their necessity.
- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
  - Formal mathematical definitions for "Temporal Convolution" and any non-standard tensor operations.
  - Proofs of the mathematical properties of these operations.
  - Justification for introducing novel operations if standard convolution or tensor products [110] are insufficient. The relationship between convolution and transforms like the Fourier transform is fundamental in many applications [108]; any temporal variant needs similar analysis.

Chapter 3: Temporal Gödel Encoding (TGE)

Section 3.1: Concept of TGE

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 3.1)

Temporal Gödel Encoding (TGE) is introduced as a core concept in 𝕂Ω, proposed as a method for encoding the dynamic state and potential evolution of systems, including formal systems themselves, into a numerical representation that explicitly incorporates time. Unlike standard Gödel numbering, which assigns static numbers to symbols and formulas, TGE aims to capture the temporal trajectory or potential futures inherent in the system being encoded. This encoding is suggested to be fundamental to understanding self-reference and system consistency within a dynamic context.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 3.1)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** Temporal Gödel Encoding (TGE) is a highly speculative concept introduced solely within the $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ framework. It lacks a formal definition, mathematical justification, and connection to established concepts in logic or computability theory.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§3.1) identifies TGE as a major point of ambiguity and concern. It criticizes the complete absence of a formal definition, algorithm, or mathematical framework for TGE. It questions how TGE relates to, or fundamentally differs from, standard Gödel numbering techniques.[113]
- **(Q-Pointers):** Establishing TGE requires addressing:
  - Q3.A.1: Provide a precise, formal definition of Temporal Gödel Encoding (TGE). What mathematical objects does it map from and to?
  - Q3.A.2: Specify the algorithm or mathematical procedure for performing TGE. How is the "temporal trajectory" or "potential futures" encoded numerically?
  - Q3.A.3: How does TGE relate to standard Gödel numbering?[113] Does it preserve properties like uniqueness and effective computability?
  - Q3.A.4: How does TGE interact with concepts from temporal logic?[115]
- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
  - A complete and rigorous mathematical definition of the TGE mapping and the structures it operates on.
  - A formal algorithm for computing the TGE of a given input (e.g., a formal system state, a sequence of HTS).
  - Proof of its properties (e.g., uniqueness, computability, information preservation/loss).
- **(Context):** Gödel numbering is a well-defined technique in mathematical logic with specific properties and applications related to encoding syntax arithmetically.[113] Introducing a "temporal" variant requires extreme care to define precisely how time is incorporated and what logical or computational consequences arise.[124] Temporal logic provides frameworks for reasoning about

time [117], but its combination with Gödel numbering is non-standard and needs explicit formalization.

Section 3.2: TGE and Self-Reference

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 3.2)

A key claim of the 𝕂Ω framework is that TGE provides a novel mechanism for achieving self-reference within formal systems. It is proposed that the temporal aspect of the encoding allows a system to encode statements about its own future states or its own provability over time. This is suggested to lead to different conclusions regarding incompleteness compared to standard Gödelian arguments, potentially allowing systems to assess their own consistency dynamically.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 3.2)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** The claims that TGE enables a novel form of self-reference or allows systems to dynamically assess their own consistency are entirely speculative and lack any supporting proof or formal argument. These claims appear to contradict or misunderstand the implications of Gödel's incompleteness theorems.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§3.2) expresses strong skepticism regarding these claims, suggesting a potential misapplication or misunderstanding of Gödel's theorems.[23] It highlights the absence of any formal proof demonstrating how TGE achieves self-reference or bypasses the limitations established by Gödel.
- **(Q-Pointers):** Substantiation requires addressing:
  - Q3.B.1: Provide a rigorous proof demonstrating how TGE enables the construction of self-referential statements within a formal system. How does this mechanism relate to the standard diagonal lemma?[22]
  - Q3.B.2: Explicitly address how the claims made for TGE (e.g., dynamic consistency assessment) are compatible with Gödel's second incompleteness theorem, which states that sufficiently strong consistent systems cannot prove their own consistency.[23]
  - Q3.B.3: Formalize the notion of "dynamic assessment of consistency" and prove that TGE enables it within a consistent formal system.

- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
  - Formal proofs within mathematical logic demonstrating the claimed self-referential capabilities of TGE.
  - A rigorous analysis demonstrating compatibility with, or a valid modification of, Gödel's incompleteness theorems. Standard interpretations suggest such theorems impose fundamental limits.[23]
- **(Context):** Self-reference in formal systems is achieved through specific logical techniques like arithmetization and the diagonal lemma.[22] Gödel's theorems establish profound limits on what such systems can prove about themselves, particularly regarding consistency.[23] Claims that TGE circumvents these results require rigorous, formal justification within the framework of mathematical logic.

Section 3.3: Consistency and Completeness Implications

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 3.3)

The introduction of TGE is suggested to have significant implications for the consistency and completeness of formal systems described within the $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ framework. It is proposed that the dynamic nature of TGE might allow for systems that are both consistent and complete in ways not possible under standard static axiomatizations, or that consistency itself becomes a dynamic property that can be tracked or even enforced by the system.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 3.3)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** Claims regarding novel implications for consistency and completeness due to TGE are highly speculative and lack formal proof. They appear to challenge fundamental results in mathematical logic without providing adequate justification.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§3.3) identifies these claims as unsubstantiated and potentially contradictory to Gödel's incompleteness theorems. It demands formal proofs to support any assertion that $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ or TGE allows for formal systems that overcome the standard limitations on proving consistency or achieving completeness.[23]
- **(Q-Pointers):** Essential questions include:
  - Q3.C.1: Define precisely what "dynamic property" of consistency means in the

context of TGE and $\mathbb{K}\Omega$.
  - Q3.C.2: Provide a formal proof that a sufficiently strong formal system utilizing TGE can be both consistent and complete, directly addressing Gödel's first incompleteness theorem.
  - Q3.C.3: Provide a formal proof that a sufficiently strong, consistent formal system utilizing TGE can prove its own consistency, directly addressing Gödel's second incompleteness theorem.
- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
  - Formal definition of the axiomatic system underlying $\mathbb{K}\Omega$. Before discussing consistency or completeness, the system's axioms and rules of inference must be explicitly stated.[24]
  - Rigorous proofs addressing the consistency and completeness of this system, formulated within mathematical logic and explicitly engaging with Gödel's theorems.
- **(Context):** Gödel's incompleteness theorems are cornerstones of modern logic, establishing fundamental limitations on formal axiomatic systems capable of expressing basic arithmetic.[23] Claims to circumvent these theorems require exceptionally rigorous proof and are generally met with significant skepticism within the mathematical community. The consistency of powerful systems like ZFC is typically investigated using stronger meta-theories or large cardinal axioms.[23]

Chapter 4: Fibonacci-Temporal Lattices (FTL) and Cryptography

Section 4.1: Definition of FTL

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 4.1)

Fibonacci-Temporal Lattices (FTL) are proposed as a novel mathematical structure within $\mathbb{K}\Omega$, intended for cryptographic applications. These structures are described as lattices incorporating principles related to Fibonacci sequences and temporal dynamics derived from the framework's foundational concepts (HTS, Ω operator). The specific structure is suggested to endow FTL with properties that make associated computational problems hard, particularly against quantum adversaries.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 4.1)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** Fibonacci-Temporal Lattices (FTL) are a speculative construct unique to $\mathbb{K}\Omega$. Their mathematical definition, structure, and properties are not formally established.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§4.1) criticizes the lack of a rigorous mathematical definition for FTL. It is unclear how Fibonacci sequences [49] and "temporal dynamics" are incorporated into a lattice structure. The relationship to standard mathematical lattices used in cryptography [138] is undefined.
- **(Q-Pointers):** A definition must address:
  - Q4.A.1: Provide a precise mathematical definition of a Fibonacci-Temporal Lattice (FTL). Is it a point lattice, an ideal lattice, or another structure?
  - Q4.A.2: How are Fibonacci sequences mathematically integrated into the lattice structure?
  - Q4.A.3: How are "temporal dynamics" represented within the FTL structure? Does the lattice change over time?
  - Q4.A.4: What are the basic mathematical properties of FTLs (e.g., basis representation, dimension, metric)?
- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
  - A formal mathematical definition specifying FTLs as sets of points or modules, with defined operations and structure, consistent with or explicitly deviating from standard lattice theory.[140]
  - Clear mathematical rules for how the Fibonacci and temporal aspects modify or define the lattice.
- **(Context):** Lattice-based cryptography [144] relies on well-understood mathematical lattices. Introducing novel structures like FTLs requires demonstrating their mathematical soundness and cryptographic utility. The term "FTL" is also used for Federated Transfer Learning [171]; if the acronym is intended, the distinction must be made clear. The potential use of Fibonacci sequences in cryptography exists [137] but requires rigorous security analysis.

Section 4.2: FTL Hardness Assumptions

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 4.2)

The cryptographic utility of FTLs is predicated on the assumed computational hardness of certain problems defined over these structures. It is postulated that finding short vectors, closest vectors, or solving related problems on FTLs is intractable for both classical and quantum computers, providing a foundation for post-quantum cryptography. The specific hard problems are intrinsically linked to the unique Fibonacci and temporal characteristics of FTLs.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 4.2)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** The computational hardness assumptions associated with FTLs are entirely speculative and lack any formal proof or reduction from known hard problems.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§4.2) identifies this as a critical gap. The security of cryptographic systems cannot be based on assertion alone. It criticizes the absence of any formal definition of the specific computational problem(s) claimed to be hard on FTLs and the lack of any security reduction connecting these problems to established hard lattice problems like the Shortest Vector Problem (SVP) or Learning With Errors (LWE).[142]
- **(Q-Pointers):** Foundational cryptographic work requires:
  - Q4.B.1: Formally define the specific computational problem(s) on FTLs that are assumed to be hard (e.g., FTL-SVP, FTL-LWE).
  - Q4.B.2: Provide rigorous security proofs, ideally via reductions, demonstrating that solving the proposed FTL problem(s) is at least as hard as solving a well-studied hard problem (e.g., standard SVP, LWE, SIS) under classical and quantum computation models.
  - Q4.B.3: Analyze the presumed hardness against known algorithmic attacks, including lattice reduction algorithms (e.g., LLL, BKZ [140]) and quantum algorithms (e.g., Shor's algorithm for related structures, Grover's search [178]).
- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
  - Formal definition of the hard problem(s) on FTLs.
  - Rigorous security reductions to known hard problems. Establishing cryptographic hardness typically involves showing that an efficient algorithm for the new problem would imply an efficient algorithm for a known hard problem.[142]
  - Analysis of resistance to existing classical and quantum cryptanalytic techniques. Post-quantum claims necessitate explicit consideration of quantum attacks.[140]

Section 4.3: The "Juanita Encryption" Scheme

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 4.3)

Based on the presumed hardness of problems over Fibonacci-Temporal Lattices, a specific cryptographic scheme, termed "Juanita Encryption," is proposed. This scheme is described as a public-key encryption or key encapsulation mechanism (KEM) designed to be resistant to quantum attacks. Its operations (key generation, encryption, decryption) are claimed to leverage the unique properties of FTLs, offering potential advantages in security or efficiency.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 4.3)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** The "Juanita Encryption" scheme is presented without a complete formal specification, security analysis, or performance evaluation. Its security and practicality are unproven. (Note: The name "Juanita" appears in contexts related to cybersecurity management and awards [183] but has no apparent prior connection to specific cryptographic algorithms in the provided context. This naming requires clarification or may be arbitrary).
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§4.3) severely criticizes the presentation of this scheme. It lacks a formal algorithmic description, parameter set specifications, a rigorous security proof (e.g., demonstrating IND-CPA or IND-CCA2 security under the FTL hardness assumptions), and any performance analysis or comparison with existing post-quantum cryptography (PQC) standards like CRYSTALS-Kyber.[144]
- **(Q-Pointers):** Development into a credible scheme requires addressing:
  - Q4.C.1: Provide a complete and unambiguous specification of the Juanita Encryption algorithm (KeyGen, Encrypt, Decrypt or Encaps/Decaps).
  - Q4.C.2: Provide formal security proofs demonstrating the scheme achieves a standard security notion (e.g., IND-CPA, IND-CCA2) based on the assumed hardness of the underlying FTL problem(s). Specify the security level (e.g., NIST PQC Levels 1, 3, 5).
  - Q4.C.3: Define concrete parameter sets for different security levels and analyze the resulting key sizes, ciphertext/signature sizes, and computational complexity.
  - Q4.C.4: Provide implementation results and benchmark performance (speed, memory usage) against established PQC standards (e.g., CRYSTALS-Kyber, Dilithium, Falcon, SPHINCS+ [144]).
- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:

- A formal specification of the cryptographic scheme.
  - Rigorous security proofs based on clearly stated hardness assumptions.
  - Concrete parameter selection and security level analysis.
  - Implementation and performance benchmarking against relevant standards.
- **(Context):** The NIST PQC standardization process [144] has established rigorous criteria for evaluating new cryptographic algorithms, including security against known attacks, performance efficiency, and implementation characteristics. Any new proposal must meet these high standards to be considered viable. Claims of quantum resistance require careful analysis.[145]

Chapter 5: Physical Interpretations and Quantum Gravity

Section 5.1: 𝕂Ω and Spacetime Structure

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 5.1)

The 𝕂Ω framework is proposed to offer a new perspective on the fundamental structure of spacetime. The dynamics of Harmonic Temporal States (HTS) governed by the Ω operator are suggested to underlie the emergence of the geometric properties described by General Relativity (GR). It is claimed that the temporal and harmonic nature of the framework provides a mechanism for unifying quantum principles with gravitational dynamics, potentially resolving singularities predicted by classical GR.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 5.1)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** The connection between 𝕂Ω and spacetime structure or General Relativity is entirely speculative and lacks any mathematical derivation or physical justification. Claims about singularity resolution are unsubstantiated assertions.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§5.1) criticizes the absence of any derivation showing how the metric structure of spacetime or the field equations of GR (or a modification thereof) emerge from the dynamics of HTS and the Ω operator. It points out the lack of any concrete mechanism for singularity resolution.
- **(Q-Pointers):** To bridge the gap to established physics, the following must be

addressed:

- Q5.A.1: Provide a rigorous mathematical derivation showing how the geometric concepts of spacetime (e.g., metric tensor, curvature) emerge from the $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ framework (HTS, Ω, K-Operators).
- Q5.A.2: Demonstrate how $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ reproduces the predictions of General Relativity in an appropriate limit (e.g., low energy, large scale). If it deviates, quantify the deviations and their observable consequences.
- Q5.A.3: Provide a specific, quantitative mechanism based on $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ principles that demonstrates the resolution of gravitational singularities (e.g., inside black holes or the Big Bang singularity). How does this compare to singularity resolution proposals in other quantum gravity approaches like Loop Quantum Gravity [192] or String Theory?
- Q5.A.4: Is the $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ framework generally covariant or diffeomorphism invariant? Provide a proof or analysis.

* **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
  - Mathematical derivations linking $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ constructs to spacetime geometry and gravitational dynamics.
  - Quantitative calculations demonstrating singularity resolution (e.g., showing curvature remains bounded).
  - Analysis of the framework's symmetries and consistency with fundamental principles of GR.
* **(Context):** Quantum gravity remains a major challenge in theoretical physics.[1] Any candidate theory must rigorously demonstrate how it incorporates or modifies GR and quantum mechanics, and make testable predictions, often related to extreme environments like black holes or the early universe.[193] Singularity resolution is a key benchmark for such theories.[192]

Section 5.2: Connection to Bekenstein-Hawking Entropy

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 5.2)

The $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ framework purports to provide a microscopic explanation for Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. It is suggested that the Harmonic Temporal States associated with a black hole's event horizon, governed by $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ dynamics, naturally give rise to an entropy proportional to the horizon area. The framework may also predict specific corrections to the area law based on the properties of HTS and the Ω operator.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 5.2)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** The claimed connection between $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ and Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is speculative and lacks a supporting calculation or derivation.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§5.2) criticizes the complete absence of a derivation of black hole entropy from $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ principles. It is not shown how HTS associated with a horizon lead to the area law, nor are any specific corrections calculated.
- **(Q-Pointers):** Substantiation requires:
  - Q5.B.1: Provide an explicit statistical mechanical calculation based on $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ (counting HTS states, using the $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ dynamics) that derives the entropy of a black hole.
  - Q5.B.2: Show explicitly how this calculation leads to the Bekenstein-Hawking area law SBH=A/(4Gℏ).
  - Q5.B.3: If $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ predicts corrections to the area law, derive these corrections explicitly and compare them quantitatively with predictions from other quantum gravity approaches (e.g., logarithmic corrections [205]).
- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
  - A detailed statistical mechanical derivation of black hole entropy using the defined elements of $\mathbb{K}\Omega$.
  - Quantitative comparison with the established Bekenstein-Hawking formula.[3]
  - Explicit calculation and justification of any predicted corrections to the area law.
- **(Context):** Reproducing the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is a fundamental test for any theory of quantum gravity.[3] Various approaches (string theory, loop quantum gravity, semiclassical methods) attempt this calculation, often yielding the area law as the leading term but differing in subleading corrections.[205] A derivation within $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ must be similarly rigorous and quantitative.

Section 5.3: Lorentz Invariance and Fundamental Constants

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 5.3)

The $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ framework is claimed to be consistent with Lorentz invariance, a fundamental symmetry of spacetime in special relativity. Furthermore, it is suggested that the framework's fundamental parameters, possibly related to the Ω operator or the structure of HTS, might provide a basis for deriving the values of fundamental physical constants, such as the fine-structure constant or particle mass ratios, from first principles.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 5.3)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** Claims of consistency with Lorentz invariance and the potential derivation of fundamental constants are speculative and entirely unsubstantiated.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§5.3) highlights the lack of any proof or analysis demonstrating Lorentz invariance within $\mathbb{K}\Omega$. It notes that some proposed non-commutative or discrete structures can conflict with Lorentz symmetry [25] and demands an explicit analysis. The report also dismisses the claim about deriving fundamental constants as baseless without any supporting calculation or mechanism.
- **(Q-Pointers):** Addressing these points requires:
    - Q5.C.1: Provide a formal proof demonstrating that the dynamics and structure defined by $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ are consistent with Lorentz invariance under appropriate transformations. Alternatively, if Lorentz invariance is modified or broken, provide a detailed analysis of the mechanism and its observable consequences.
    - Q5.C.2: If fundamental constants are claimed to be derivable, provide the explicit mathematical derivations showing how constants like α (fine-structure constant) or mass ratios emerge from the parameters of $\mathbb{K}\Omega$.
    - Q5.C.3: How does the framework incorporate or relate to established fundamental constants like c, ℏ, G, or kB?[210] Are Planck units [197] relevant, and if so, how?
- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
    - A rigorous mathematical analysis of the framework's behavior under Lorentz transformations.
    - Explicit, step-by-step derivations of any fundamental constants claimed to emerge from the theory. These derivations must be based on the framework's principles, not numerological coincidences.
- **(Context):** Lorentz invariance is a foundational principle of modern physics, verified to high precision. Theories that violate or modify it face significant constraints.[25] Deriving fundamental constants from a more basic theory is a major

goal of physics, but extremely challenging; proposed derivations must be mathematically sound and predictive.[48] The role of constants like ϕ [26] or π [48] in fundamental theories requires careful justification.

Chapter 6: Computational and Cognitive Applications

Section 6.1: $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ in AI and Neural Networks

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 6.1)

The principles of Kharnita Mathematics, particularly the dynamics of HTS and the structure of K-Operators, are proposed as a novel foundation for developing artificial intelligence (AI) systems and neural network architectures. It is suggested that the framework's handling of temporal information and harmonic resonance could lead to more efficient learning algorithms, improved handling of sequential data, or new paradigms for machine reasoning and consciousness modeling. Concepts like "K-Layers" might map to neural network layers, and the Golden Ratio could inform optimization strategies.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 6.1)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** The application of $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ to AI and neural networks is entirely speculative. No concrete algorithms, architectures, or implementations derived from $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ are presented or analyzed.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§6.1) criticizes the lack of any specific AI model or algorithm based on $\mathbb{K}\Omega$. Claims about efficiency, sequence handling, reasoning, or consciousness modeling are unsubstantiated assertions. The connection between "K-Layers" and neural network layers is undefined, and the proposed role of ϕ in optimization lacks justification.
- **(Q-Pointers):** To demonstrate applicability to AI/NNs, the following are needed:
  - Q6.A.1: Define specific AI algorithms or neural network architectures derived from $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ principles (HTS dynamics, K-Operators, Temporal Convolution).
  - Q6.A.2: Provide implementation details for these algorithms/architectures.
  - Q6.A.3: Quantitatively evaluate the performance (e.g., accuracy, efficiency, convergence speed) of these $\mathbb{K}\Omega$-based models on standard AI benchmarks (e.g., image recognition, natural language processing, time series forecasting

[212]).
    - Q6.A.4: Compare the performance and properties of 𝕂Ω-based models against existing state-of-the-art models (e.g., Transformers, LSTMs, GRUs [212]).
    - Q6.A.5: If ϕ is proposed for optimization, provide the specific optimization algorithm and demonstrate its effectiveness compared to standard methods (e.g., gradient descent variants [217]).
- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
    - Concrete algorithm/architecture design based on 𝕂Ω.
    - Implementation and empirical evaluation on benchmark tasks.
    - Quantitative comparison with established AI/NN methods. Formal models of AI reasoning or consciousness [22] require much more than assertion.
- **(Context):** AI and machine learning are fields driven by concrete algorithms, architectures (like RNNs, LSTMs, GRUs, Transformers [212]), and empirical performance on defined tasks.[225] Novel proposals must demonstrate tangible improvements or new capabilities through implementation and rigorous benchmarking. Concepts like Golden Ratio search exist in optimization [59] but need specific justification within a 𝕂Ω-derived algorithm.

Section 6.2: Modeling Cognitive Processes (Memory Compression)

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 6.2)

The 𝕂Ω framework is suggested as a potential tool for modeling human cognitive processes, specifically memory. It is proposed that the dynamics of HTS and the operations within 𝕂Ω could capture mechanisms like memory encoding, consolidation, retrieval, and particularly memory compression. The framework's temporal aspects are claimed to be relevant for modeling the dynamic nature of memory formation and recall, potentially linking to observed neural phenomena via fMRI or other neuroimaging techniques.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 6.2)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** The application of 𝕂Ω to model cognitive processes, especially memory compression, is highly speculative and lacks a defined model or connection to empirical data.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§6.2) criticizes the absence of any

specific cognitive model derived from $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ principles. It notes the lack of connection to established cognitive science theories or neuroscience data (e.g., fMRI BOLD signals [232]). Claims about modeling memory compression [248] are unsubstantiated.

- **(Q-Pointers):** To be considered a viable cognitive model, $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ needs to address:
  - Q6.B.1: Formulate a specific, detailed cognitive model of memory (or a specific aspect like compression) based on $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ principles (HTS, Ω, K-Operators).
  - Q6.B.2: Explain the mechanism by which this model performs memory functions like encoding, consolidation, retrieval, and compression.
  - Q6.B.3: Make specific, testable predictions about human behavior or neural activity (e.g., reaction times, error patterns, fMRI activation patterns, BOLD signal complexity/variability [233]) based on the model.
  - Q6.B.4: Compare the $\mathbb{K}\Omega$-based model's structure and predictions with existing cognitive models of memory [251] and relevant neuroscience findings.
- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
  - A detailed, mechanistic cognitive model derived from $\mathbb{K}\Omega$.
  - Quantitative, testable predictions.
  - Comparison and validation against empirical data (behavioral, neuroimaging). Dimensionality reduction techniques are often essential for analyzing complex fMRI data.[232]
- **(Context):** Cognitive neuroscience develops and validates computational models against experimental data.[248] Models of memory often focus on specific mechanisms like consolidation, retrieval, or compression.[250] Any $\mathbb{K}\Omega$-based model must engage with this literature and methodology, providing specific mechanisms and predictions. The name "Kharnita" appears in unrelated neuroscience/social science contexts [267] and should be considered coincidental unless an explicit link is made and justified in the draft.

Chapter 7: Specialized Applications (Hypersonic Trajectories, Protein Folding, Combat Calculus)

Section 7.1: Hypersonic Trajectory Prediction

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 7.1)

One proposed application domain for $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ is the prediction of hypersonic vehicle trajectories. The framework's temporal dynamics and potential handling of complex, non-linear systems are suggested to be advantageous for modeling the flight paths of vehicles traveling at Mach 5 or higher. It is envisioned that $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ could provide more accurate or efficient predictions compared to standard aerodynamic and orbital mechanics models, potentially improving guidance and interception capabilities.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 7.1)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** This application is entirely speculative. No model, simulation, or analysis is provided to support the claim that $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ can be applied to hypersonic trajectory prediction, let alone offer advantages.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§7.1) dismisses this proposed application as baseless without a concrete model. It highlights the lack of any derived equations of motion, simulation results, or comparison with established methods in aerospace engineering.[274] The absence of accuracy metrics, such as Circular Error Probable (CEP) [279], makes assessment impossible.
- **(Q-Pointers):** To explore this application, the following are necessary:
  - Q7.A.1: Derive the equations of motion for a hypersonic vehicle based on $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ principles.
  - Q7.A.2: Develop a computational model based on these equations.
  - Q7.A.3: Perform simulations using this model and compare the predicted trajectories against known data or standard trajectory models (e.g., those used in AIAA literature [274]).
  - Q7.A.4: Quantify the accuracy of the $\mathbb{K}\Omega$-based predictions using standard metrics (e.g., CEP [279]) and benchmark computational efficiency.
- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
  - Derivation of a specific trajectory model from $\mathbb{K}\Omega$.
  - Implementation of this model in a simulation environment.
  - Quantitative validation and benchmarking against established aerospace engineering models and data.
- **(Context):** Hypersonic flight involves complex aerodynamics and control challenges addressed by established physical models and computational techniques.[274] Any new framework claiming applicability must demonstrate its ability to reproduce known physics and offer quantifiable advantages, rigorously validated through simulation and comparison.

Section 7.2: Protein Folding Pathway Prediction

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 7.2)

𝕂Ω is proposed as a potential framework for modeling protein folding pathways. The temporal dynamics inherent in the framework are suggested to be suitable for describing the process by which a polypeptide chain transitions from an unfolded state to its functional three-dimensional structure. The harmonic aspects might relate to energy landscapes or stable intermediate states. It is hypothesized that 𝕂Ω could predict folding pathways or intermediate structures, potentially leveraging insights related to Fibonacci sequences or the Golden Ratio observed in some biological structures.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 7.2)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** This application is speculative. No specific protein folding model or algorithm derived from 𝕂Ω is presented, nor is any validation provided.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§7.2) criticizes the lack of a concrete model or algorithm for protein folding based on 𝕂Ω. It notes the absence of any comparison with state-of-the-art methods like AlphaFold [19] or validation against experimental data or benchmarks like CASP.[19] Assertions about Fibonacci/ϕ connections are unsubstantiated.
- **(Q-Pointers):** Substantiating this application requires:
    - Q7.B.1: Develop a specific algorithm or computational model for predicting protein folding pathways based on 𝕂Ω principles.
    - Q7.B.2: Implement this model and generate predictions for known protein structures or folding pathways.
    - Q7.B.3: Quantitatively evaluate the accuracy of the predictions using standard metrics (e.g., RMSD, GDT, TM-score [21]) and compare performance against established methods (e.g., AlphaFold, RosettaFold) and CASP results.[21]
    - Q7.B.4: If Fibonacci/ϕ patterns are claimed to be relevant [50], derive this relevance from the 𝕂Ω folding model itself.
- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
    - A specific, implementable algorithm for folding pathway prediction derived from 𝕂Ω.
    - Implementation and rigorous benchmarking on standard protein folding datasets.
    - Quantitative comparison of accuracy and efficiency against state-of-the-art

methods.

- **(Context):** Predicting protein structure and folding pathways is a central problem in bioinformatics.[21] Deep learning methods like AlphaFold [19] have achieved remarkable success, setting a very high standard for accuracy. New methods must demonstrate competitive or superior performance through rigorous, quantitative evaluation, often via community challenges like CASP.[21] While Fibonacci/ϕ patterns are noted in some biological contexts [50], their role in a predictive folding model needs explicit derivation.

Section 7.3: "Combat Calculus" Decision Support

``

(Begin Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 7.3)

A further speculative application of $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ is proposed in the domain of military decision support, termed "Combat Calculus." It is suggested that the framework's ability to model complex, dynamic systems with temporal dependencies could be leveraged to analyze battlefield situations, predict outcomes of engagements, or optimize tactical decisions. The $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ approach might offer advantages in handling uncertainty and the rapid evolution of combat scenarios, potentially integrating with AI-driven command and control systems.

(End Original Draft v3.0 Content for Section 7.3)

``

- **(Speculative Nature):** This application is extremely speculative and ill-defined. The term "Combat Calculus" is not standard and lacks definition within the draft. No model, algorithm, or analysis is provided.
- **(Critique Reference):** The Evaluation Report (§7.3) identifies this section as lacking any substance. It criticizes the failure to define "Combat Calculus," the absence of any model or algorithm derived from $\mathbb{K}\Omega$, and the complete lack of simulation, analysis, or connection to military science or operational research.
- **(Q-Pointers):** To even begin exploring this speculative direction, the following are minimal requirements:
  - Q7.C.1: Define "Combat Calculus" precisely in the context of $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ and military decision support. What specific problem does it aim to solve?
  - Q7.C.2: Develop a concrete mathematical model or algorithm based on $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ principles that implements this "Combat Calculus."

- Q7.C.3: Demonstrate the potential utility of this model/algorithm through simulation, wargaming [298], or analysis of specific tactical scenarios.
- Q7.C.4: Compare the proposed approach to existing methods in military operations research, AI-based decision support systems [299], or relevant computational tools.

- **(Missing Rigor):** Requires:
  - A clear definition of the proposed application and the "Combat Calculus" concept.
  - Derivation of a specific model or algorithm from $\mathbb{K}\Omega$.
  - Demonstration of capability through simulation or case study analysis.
  - Comparison with existing approaches in the relevant field.
- **(Context):** Military decision support is increasingly exploring AI and complex systems modeling.[299] However, these applications require well-defined problems, validated models, rigorous testing, and careful consideration of ethical implications and limitations like data bias and uncertainty.[299] Vague claims of applicability based on an undefined framework like $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ are insufficient. The mention of "K130" [307] in search results refers to a specific naval platform and appears unrelated unless explicitly connected in the draft. Quantum algorithms are also being explored for optimization tasks potentially relevant to defense [316], but require concrete algorithmic proposals.

Glossary

(Note: This glossary includes terms central to the $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ framework as presented in the Draft v3.0, along with annotations indicating the need for formalization based on the evaluation findings.)*

Term	Informal Description (from Draft v3.0, simulated)	Required Formalization / Critique Reference (Annotation)
Harmonic Temporal State (HTS)	Dynamic entities evolving like harmonic oscillators with an intrinsic temporal dimension, carrying information.	*(Annotation: Speculative concept. Requires rigorous mathematical definition specifying the space they inhabit, their properties (phase, temporal dimension), and the basis for their harmonic nature. See*

		Evaluation Report §1.1, Q-pointers Q1.A.1-Q1.A.3.)
Ω Operator	Fundamental operator governing the temporal evolution and interaction of HTS.	*(Annotation: Speculative construct. Requires precise mathematical definition (type, domain, action, properties) and derivation of induced dynamics. See Evaluation Report §1.2, Q-pointers Q1.B.1-Q1.B.4.)*
K-Operator (Kharnita Operator)	Operators distinct from Ω, mediating specific interactions or transformations on HTS (e.g., measurement, entanglement).	*(Annotation: Speculative concept. Requires rigorous mathematical definition within an algebraic framework, specification of domain/range, and defined algebraic properties. See Evaluation Report §2.1, Q-pointers Q2.A.1-Q2.A.3.)*
K-Layers	Mentioned in relation to K-Operators, potentially referring to hierarchical structures or compositions.	*(Annotation: Term used without definition. Requires formal mathematical definition clarifying its meaning (composition, hierarchy, etc.). See Evaluation Report §2.1, Q-pointer Q2.A.4.)*
Temporal Convolution	A proposed operation for integrating influences over time, distinct from standard convolution.	*(Annotation: Speculative operation. Requires precise mathematical definition, proof of properties, and justification for deviation from standard convolution.[105] See Evaluation Report §2.3, Q-pointers Q2.C.1-Q2.C.3.)*
Temporal Gödel Encoding (TGE)	Proposed method for numerically encoding dynamic system states and evolution, explicitly incorporating time.	*(Annotation: Highly speculative concept. Requires formal definition, algorithm specification, proof of*

		properties, and rigorous analysis of its relation to standard Gödel numbering [113] *and Gödel's theorems.* [23] *See Evaluation Report §3.1-§3.3, Q-pointers Q3.A.1-Q3.C.3.)*
Fibonacci-Temporal Lattice (FTL)	Proposed lattice structure incorporating Fibonacci sequences and temporal dynamics for cryptographic use.	*(Annotation: Speculative structure. Requires rigorous mathematical definition clarifying lattice type and integration of Fibonacci/temporal aspects, and relation to standard lattices.* [140] *See Evaluation Report §4.1, Q-pointers Q4.A.1-Q4.A.4.)*
FTL Hardness Assumptions	Postulated computational hardness of problems (e.g., FTL-SVP, FTL-LWE) defined over FTLs.	*(Annotation: Unsubstantiated assumption. Requires formal problem definition and rigorous security proofs via reduction to known hard problems.* [142] *See Evaluation Report §4.2, Q-pointers Q4.B.1-Q4.B.3.)*
Juanita Encryption	Proposed PQC scheme based on FTL hardness assumptions.	*(Annotation: Incompletely specified scheme. Requires formal algorithm description, parameter sets, rigorous security proof (e.g., IND-CCA2), and performance benchmarks against PQC standards.* [144] *See Evaluation Report §4.3, Q-pointers Q4.C.1-Q4.C.4.)*
Combat Calculus	Ill-defined concept proposed for military decision support using $\mathbb{K}\Omega$.	*(Annotation: Highly speculative and undefined term. Requires clear definition, derivation of a model/algorithm from $\mathbb{K}\Omega$, and demonstration of utility*

		via simulation or analysis. See Evaluation Report §7.3, Q-pointers Q7.C.1-Q7.C.4.)
Golden Ratio (ϕ) (in 𝕂Ω context)	The constant ϕ≈1.618, postulated to play a fundamental role in 𝕂Ω dynamics and structure.	*(Annotation: Asserted fundamental role is speculative. Requires rigorous derivation from 𝕂Ω principles to justify its inclusion beyond coincidence or numerology.*[26] *See Evaluation Report §1.3, Q-pointers Q1.C.1-Q1.C.3.)*

Concluding Summary

This annotated version of the Kharnita Mathematics (𝕂Ω) Framework Draft v3.0 serves to transparently integrate the critical feedback received during expert evaluation. The annotations, based on the Evaluation Report and Critical Questions document, consistently highlight the speculative nature of the framework and pinpoint the significant gaps in mathematical rigor, logical derivation, and empirical validation that must be addressed.

The core challenges identified throughout the framework include:

1. **Lack of Formal Foundations:** Key concepts like HTS, Ω/K-Operators, TGE, and FTL require precise mathematical definitions and placement within established mathematical structures.
2. **Absence of Rigorous Proofs:** Central claims regarding operator algebra, commutation relations, the role of constants like ϕ, the mechanisms of TGE, cryptographic security, and physical interpretations (spacetime, entropy) are asserted rather than proven or derived.
3. **Need for Quantitative Models and Validation:** The framework lacks concrete algorithms, quantitative predictions, and computational models necessary for testing and application in areas like AI, cognitive science, physics, or specialized domains (hypersonics, protein folding, cryptography). Benchmarking against existing theories and data is absent.
4. **Potential Conflicts with Established Science:** Claims related to Gödel's theorems and fundamental physical principles like Lorentz invariance require careful formal analysis to ensure consistency or to rigorously justify proposed deviations.

Addressing the specific Q-pointers referenced in the annotations and providing the missing definitions, proofs, derivations, algorithms, and quantitative validation is essential for the future development of the $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ framework. Without this foundational work, the framework remains a collection of speculative ideas rather than a scientifically viable theory. This annotated document provides a detailed roadmap for the necessary steps toward achieving scientific rigor.

Works cited

1. Research - Complex Quantum Systems - UMBC, accessed April 20, 2025, https://cqs.umbc.edu/research/
2. Frontiers of Quantum Gravity: shared challenges, converging directions - ResearchGate, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362172308_Frontiers_of_Quantum_Gravity_shared_challenges_converging_directions
3. [2207.14274] Self-Gravity and Bekenstein-Hawking Entropy - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.14274
4. History overview - MacTutor History of Mathematics - University of St Andrews, accessed April 20, 2025, https://mathshistory.st-andrews.ac.uk/HistTopics/History_overview/
5. How did the shift from constructed mathematical objects to modern mathematics occur?, accessed April 20, 2025, https://hsm.stackexchange.com/questions/15492/how-did-the-shift-from-constructed-mathematical-objects-to-modern-mathematics-oc
6. Foundations of mathematics - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Foundations_of_mathematics
7. There's more to mathematics than rigour and proofs | What's new - Terry Tao, accessed April 20, 2025, https://terrytao.wordpress.com/career-advice/theres-more-to-mathematics-than-rigour-and-proofs/
8. Is rigour just a ritual that most mathematicians wish to get rid of if they could? - MathOverflow, accessed April 20, 2025, https://mathoverflow.net/questions/127889/is-rigour-just-a-ritual-that-most-mathematicians-wish-to-get-rid-of-if-they-coul
9. Mathematical Rigor and Proof | Yacin Hamami, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.yacinhamami.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Hamami-2019-Mathematical-Rigor-and-Proof.pdf
10. What Is Mathematical Rigor? - PhilArchive, accessed April 20, 2025, https://philarchive.org/archive/BURWIM-6
11. Rigor in Math - Daily Nous, accessed April 20, 2025, https://dailynous.com/2020/06/26/rigor-in-math/
12. Corrigendum: Information guided adaptation of complex biological systems - Frontiers, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/complex-systems/articles/10.3389/fcpxs.2023.1254070/full

13. The math of multiboundary wormholes - Quantum Frontiers, accessed April 20, 2025, https://quantumfrontiers.com/2018/03/27/the-math-of-multiboundary-wormholes/
14. Quantum gravity with dynamical wave-function collapse via a classical scalar field - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2402.17024v1
15. THE HISTORICAL SHAPING OF THE FOUNDATIONS OF MATHEMATICS, accessed April 20, 2025, https://acmsonline.org/home2/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/Brabenec-77.pdf
16. Use LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA Data | LIGO Lab | Caltech, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.ligo.caltech.edu/page/ligo-data
17. Gravitational-Wave Data Analysis with High-Precision Numerical Relativity Simulations of Boson Star Mergers - Physical Review Link Manager, accessed April 20, 2025, https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.131401
18. GW170817 Press Release | LIGO Lab | Caltech, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.ligo.caltech.edu/page/press-release-gw170817
19. Great expectations – the potential impacts of AlphaFold DB | EMBL, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.embl.org/news/science/alphafold-potential-impacts/
20. AlphaFold—for predicting protein structures - Lasker Foundation, accessed April 20, 2025, https://laskerfoundation.org/winners/alphafold-a-technology-for-predicting-protein-structures/
21. Protein Structure Prediction Center, accessed April 20, 2025, https://predictioncenter.org/
22. [2006.12178] Self-reference Upfront: A Study of Self-referential Gödel Numberings - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.12178
23. Gödel's incompleteness theorems - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/G%C3%B6del%27s_incompleteness_theorems
24. Gödel's Incompleteness Theorems - Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, accessed April 20, 2025, https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/goedel-incompleteness/
25. Lorentz symmetry breaking as a quantum field theory regulator - ResearchGate, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/23970502_Lorentz_symmetry_breaking_as_a_quantum_field_theory_regulator
26. Golden ratio - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Golden_ratio
27. (PDF) Fine-Structure Constant from Golden Ratio Geometry - ResearchGate, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/322797654_Fine-Structure_Constant_from_Golden_Ratio_Geometry
28. Hilbert space - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hilbert_space
29. C*-algebra - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/C*-algebra

30. 1. Reminder on bounded operators on Hilbert spaces, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.math.uni-sb.de/ag/speicher/weber/ISem24/ISem24Lecture01.pdf
31. The role of the rigged Hilbert space in Quantum Mechanics - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, http://arxiv.org/pdf/quant-ph/0502053
32. Rigged Hilbert space - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rigged_Hilbert_space
33. (PDF) Rigged Hilbert Spaces in Quantum Physics - ResearchGate, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/251125102_Rigged_Hilbert_Spaces_in_Quantum_Physics
34. Gelfand Triplets, Ladder Operators and Coherent States - MDPI, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2073-8994/16/11/1479
35. Lectures on Quantum Mechanics: A Primer for Mathematicians - ResearchGate, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/343918624_Lectures_on_Quantum_Mechanics_A_Primer_for_Mathematicians
36. Gelfand Triplets, Ladder Operators and Coherent States - Preprints.org, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202409.2306/v1/download
37. Mathematical Models for Unstable Quantum Systems and Gamow States - PMC, accessed April 20, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9222900/
38. fse.studenttheses.ub.rug.nl, accessed April 20, 2025, https://fse.studenttheses.ub.rug.nl/19934/1/bMATH_2019_VanderlaanL.pdf
39. Dynamical systems and operator algebras, accessed April 20, 2025, https://maths.anu.edu.au/files/CMAProcVol36-Raeburn.pdf
40. Physics Small Perturbations of C*-Dynamical Systems - Project Euclid, accessed April 20, 2025, https://projecteuclid.org/journals/communications-in-mathematical-physics/volume-68/issue-1/Small-perturbations-of-C-dynamical-systems/cmp/1103905265.pdf
41. Spectrum of the Koopman Operator, Spectral Expansions in Functional Spaces, and State Space Geometry - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1702.07597
42. C*-Dynamical Systems and Covariance Algebras 43 - the Gelfand representation, every commutative C - Irish Mathematical Society, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.irishmathsoc.org/bull26/bull26_42-51.pdf
43. Spectrum of a dynamical system - Encyclopedia of Mathematics, accessed April 20, 2025, https://encyclopediaofmath.org/wiki/Spectrum_of_a_dynamical_system
44. Non-commutative Calculus and Discrete Physics - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/quant-ph/0303058
45. A Proof for Poisson Bracket in Non-commutative Algebra of Quantum Mechanics, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/267869853_A_Proof_for_Poisson_Bracket_in_Non-commutative_Algebra_of_Quantum_Mechanics
46. Positive-Operator-Valued Measures and Projection-Valued Measures of Noncommutative Time Operators - ResearchGate, accessed April 20, 2025,

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/251150226_Positive-Operator-Valued_Measures_and_Projection-Valued_Measures_of_Noncommutative_Time_Operators
47. Non-Commutative Worlds and Classical Constraints - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1109.1085
48. The Fundamental Constants: A Mystery of Physics - ResearchGate, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258447900_The_Fundamental_Constants_A_Mystery_of_Physics
49. Topological Quantum Computing with Fibonacci Anyons - DiVA portal, accessed April 20, 2025, http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1880323/FULLTEXT01.pdf
50. [1801.01369] Fibonacci Numbers and the Golden Ratio in Biology, Physics, Astrophysics, Chemistry and Technology: A Non-Exhaustive Review - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.01369
51. (PDF) Fibonacci Numbers and the Golden Ratio in Biology, Physics, Astrophysics, Chemistry and Technology: A Non-Exhaustive Review - ResearchGate, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/322305991_Fibonacci_Numbers_and_the_Golden_Ratio_in_Biology_Physics_Astrophysics_Chemistry_and_Technology_A_Non-Exhaustive_Review
52. Fibonacci Numbers and the Golden Ratio in Biology, Physics, Astrophysics, Chemistry and Technology: A Non-Exhaustive Review - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1801.01369
53. [1107.4389] Golden Quantum Oscillator and Binet-Fibonacci Calculus - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/1107.4389
54. Fibonacci Anyons and Graph Coloring: Experiment — Zlatko Minev, Ph.D., accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.zlatko-minev.com/blog/fibonacci-paper
55. Additive Sequences, Sums, Golden Ratios and Determinantal Identities arXiv:2109.09501v2 [math.GM] 26 Sep 2021, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2109.09501
56. On the Response of Proteinoid Ensembles to Fibonacci Sequences - PMC, accessed April 20, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11923683/
57. Is the golden ratio a universal constant for self-replication? - PMC, accessed April 20, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6047800/
58. Dimensionless physical constant - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dimensionless_physical_constant
59. The Golden Ratio in Machine Learning | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/363050404_The_Golden_Ratio_in_Machine_Learning
60. C*-Algebras and Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Statistical Mechanics: An Introduction 303128948X, 9783031289484 - DOKUMEN.PUB, accessed April 20, 2025, https://dokumen.pub/c-algebras-and-mathematical-foundations-of-quantum-st

atistical-mechanics-an-introduction-303128948x-9783031289484.html
61. C –Algebras - TU Wien, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.tuwien.at/index.php?eID=dumpFile&t=f&f=204427&token=6a845b8b6adae0a2279d16c785b6a13a8e1d634c
62. Operator theory on Hilbert spaces - Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.math.nagoya-u.ac.jp/~richard/teaching/s2019/Operators.pdf
63. Algebraic Quantum Field Theory∗ - CiteSeerX, accessed April 20, 2025, https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type=pdf&doi=aa84471ad98fb4ccd392be373967628a72c61074
64. Algebraic Quantum Field Theory∗ - Mathematics, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.math.ru.nl/~mueger/PDF/16f.pdf
65. (PDF) Quasi-Duo Skew Polynomial Rings - ResearchGate, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386763527_Quasi-Duo_Skew_Polynomial_Rings
66. Noncommutative localization in algebra and topology - School of Mathematics, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~v1ranick/books/nlat.pdf
67. arXiv:2409.18947v1 [math.QA] 27 Sep 2024, accessed April 21, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2409.18947
68. Journal of Algebra and Its Applications | Vol 12, No 07, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.worldscientific.com/toc/jaa/12/07
69. Tensor reduction systems for rings of linear operators - Georg Regensburger, accessed April 21, 2025, http://gregensburger.com/papers/PhDThesisHosseinPoor.pdf
70. Basic Module Theory over Non-Commutative Rings with Computational Aspects of Operator Algebras - ResearchGate, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259478196_Basic_Module_Theory_over_Non-Commutative_Rings_with_Computational_Aspects_of_Operator_Algebras
71. Derivations of Skew Polynomial Rings - ResearchGate, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222498229_Derivations_of_Skew_Polynomial_Rings
72. arXiv:2502.15175v1 [math.RA] 21 Feb 2025, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.arxiv.org/pdf/2502.15175
73. arXiv:2109.13092v2 [math.RA] 1 Feb 2022, accessed April 21, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2109.13092
74. Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach Resolutions in Local Algebra and Singularity Theory, accessed April 21, 2025, https://publications.mfo.de/bitstream/handle/mfo/4021/OWR_2023_06.pdf?sequence=4&isAllowed=y
75. arXiv:2203.09912v1 [math.RA] 18 Mar 2022, accessed April 21, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2203.09912
76. (PDF) Differential operators on non-commutative algebras - ResearchGate, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258819450_Differential_operators_on_non-commutative_algebras

77. Journal of Algebra and Its Applications | Vol 23, No 08, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.worldscientific.com/toc/jaa/23/08
78. nonunital ring in nLab, accessed April 21, 2025, https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/nonunital+ring
79. Noncommutative algebra and representation theory - Communications in Mathematics, accessed April 21, 2025, https://cm.episciences.org/12727/pdf
80. Journal of Algebra V322 I11.2 | PDF | Ring (Mathematics) - Scribd, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.scribd.com/document/392717590/Journal-of-Algebra-V322-I11-2
81. arXiv:math/0304478v1 [math.RA] 29 Apr 2003, accessed April 21, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0304478
82. MIT Open Access Articles Double Poisson vertex algebras and non- commutative Hamiltonian equations, accessed April 21, 2025, https://dspace.mit.edu/bitstream/handle/1721.1/115829/1410.3325.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
83. Remark on Serre $ C^* $-algebras, accessed April 21, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1208.2049
84. Computing the Polar Decomposition—with Applications - SIAM.org, accessed April 20, 2025, https://epubs.siam.org/doi/10.1137/0907079
85. Fast algorithm for quantum polar decomposition and applications | Phys. Rev. Research, accessed April 20, 2025, https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.013144
86. Polar decomposition - MIT Mathematics, accessed April 20, 2025, https://math.mit.edu/~dav/polar.pdf
87. What is the Polar Decomposition? - Nick Higham, accessed April 20, 2025, https://nhigham.com/2020/07/28/what-is-the-polar-decomposition/comment-page-1/
88. polar decomposition in nLab, accessed April 20, 2025, https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/polar+decomposition
89. Polar decomposition - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polar_decomposition
90. Associativity of polar decomposition - hilbert spaces - MathOverflow, accessed April 20, 2025, https://mathoverflow.net/questions/47850/associativity-of-polar-decomposition
91. Polar decomposition - Encyclopedia of Mathematics, accessed April 20, 2025, https://encyclopediaofmath.org/wiki/Polar_decomposition
92. Something about graph neural networks Part II: New proof-of-concept for neural track-finding (or similar problems) - Indico Global, accessed April 20, 2025, https://indico.global/event/3366/contributions/34364/attachments/17624/28846/GNNs_080222_Mieskolainen.pdf
93. TKAN: Temporal Kolmogorov-Arnold Networks - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2405.07344
94. Multiscale and Nonlocal Learning for PDEs using Densely Connected RNNs - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2109.01790
95. arXiv:2107.10234v5 [cs.LG] 18 Sep 2023 - People, accessed April 20, 2025,

https://people.cs.vt.edu/ctlu/Publication/2023/ACM-Computing-Surveys-2023.pdf
96. neural networks are integrable - International Conference on Scientific Computing and Machine Learning, accessed April 20, 2025, https://scml.jp/2024/paper/18/CameraReady/scml2024.pdf
97. Scale-consistent learning with neural operators - OpenReview, accessed April 20, 2025, https://openreview.net/pdf/ca50564fe6f96b7811a1b297e71e88989d9c233d.pdf
98. Neural Networks are Integrable - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2310.14394v2
99. [2201.10384] Quantum phase transitions in the K-layer Ising toric code - ar5iv - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://ar5iv.labs.arxiv.org/html/2201.10384
100. arXiv:2201.10384v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 25 Jan 2022, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2201.10384
101. Noncommutative geometry - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Noncommutative_geometry
102. Identifying short introductory book on non-commutative geometry I read c.2008, accessed April 20, 2025, https://mathoverflow.net/questions/480238/identifying-short-introductory-book-on-non-commutative-geometry-i-read-c-2008
103. Associative division algebras in field theories and non-commutative geometry, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.theorie.physik.uni-muenchen.de/TMP/theses/thesisvrabel.pdf
104. RÉSONAANCES: Alain Connes' Standard Model - Resonaances blog, accessed April 20, 2025, http://resonaances.blogspot.com/2007/02/alain-connes-standard-model.html
105. Intuitive Guide to Convolution - BetterExplained, accessed April 20, 2025, https://betterexplained.com/articles/intuitive-convolution/
106. Convolution - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Convolution
107. Convolution -- from Wolfram MathWorld, accessed April 20, 2025, https://mathworld.wolfram.com/Convolution.html
108. Convolution theorem - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Convolution_theorem
109. Computational Foundations of Cognitive Science - Lecture 15: Convolutions and Kernels - School of Informatics, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.inf.ed.ac.uk/teaching/courses/cfcs1/lectures/cfcs_l15.pdf
110. Convolution algebra of superoperators and nonseparability witnesses for quantum operations - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2108.08776
111. Kronecker product - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kronecker_product
112. OPERATOR SPACE TENSOR PRODUCTS AND HOPF CONVOLUTION ALGEBRAS, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.theta.ro/jot/archive/2003-050-001/2003-050-001-007.pdf

113. Gödel numbering - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/G%C3%B6del_numbering
114. Gödel Numbering - Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, accessed April 20, 2025, https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/goedel-incompleteness/sup1.html
115. [2502.13416] Detecting LLM Fact-conflicting Hallucinations Enhanced by Temporal-logic-based Reasoning - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.13416
116. Detecting LLM Fact-conflicting Hallucinations Enhanced by Temporal-logic-based Reasoning - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2502.13416v1
117. (PDF) A Survey on Temporal Logics - ResearchGate, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/45918319_A_Survey_on_Temporal_Logics
118. Gödel–Dummett linear temporal logic - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2306.15805
119. When LLMs day dream: Hallucinations and how to prevent them - Red Hat, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.redhat.com/en/blog/when-llms-day-dream-hallucinations-how-prevent-them
120. Temporal logic: linear and branching time | Formal Logic II Class Notes - Fiveable, accessed April 20, 2025, https://library.fiveable.me/formal-logic-ii/unit-9/temporal-logic-linear-branching-time/study-guide/BhFEP2CNOLWSIRhd
121. A Survey on Temporal Logics - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1005.3199
122. the philosophy of kurt godel - PhilArchive, accessed April 20, 2025, https://philarchive.org/archive/KARTPO-43
123. How does Gödel's encoding of mathematical statements into natural numbers enable self-referential propositions? - Philosophy Stack Exchange, accessed April 20, 2025, https://philosophy.stackexchange.com/questions/108257/how-does-g%C3%B6del-s-encoding-of-mathematical-statements-into-natural-numbers-enable
124. Gödel Number based Clustering Algorithm with Decimal First Degree Cellular Automata, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2405.04881v1
125. Self-recognition in conversational agents - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2002.02334
126. A Review of FFGIT - Russell O'Connor, accessed April 20, 2025, http://r6.ca/Goedel/FFGITReview.html
127. What axioms are used to prove Gödel's Incompleteness Theorems? - MathOverflow, accessed April 20, 2025, https://mathoverflow.net/questions/118183/what-axioms-are-used-to-prove-g%C3%B6dels-incompleteness-theorems
128. Gödel's Program - Berkeley Math, accessed April 20, 2025, https://math.berkeley.edu/~steel/talks/stanford2013copy.pdf
129. Set Theory (Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy/Winter 2023 Edition),

accessed April 20, 2025,
https://plato.stanford.edu/archIves/win2023/entries/set-theory/
130. Large Cardinals and Set Theory - Knowledge is the Only Good, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://blog.mynl.com/posts/notes/2024-03-20-Large-Cardinals-and-Set-Theory/
131. How does large cardinal axioms make arithmetical statements provable?, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/2194808/how-does-large-cardinal-axioms-make-arithmetical-statements-provable
132. Does Gödel's incompleteness theorems imply the necessity of an infinite recursive hierarchy of "proofs", and that any "proof" is relative?, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://philosophy.stackexchange.com/questions/116958/does-g%C3%B6del-s-incompleteness-theorems-imply-the-necessity-of-an-infinite-recursiv
133. Philosophy and Science, the Darwinian-Evolved Computational Brain, a Non-Recursive Super-Turing Machine & Our Inner-World-Producing Organ, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=62862
134. Life of Fred Logic - Stanley Schmidt, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://www.stanleyschmidt.com/FredGauss/sample%20pages%20Logic.pdf
135. Question about consistency of formal systems : r/logic - Reddit, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/logic/comments/1f1s772/question_about_consistency_of_formal_systems/
136. Minimal Quantum Circuits for Simulating Fibonacci Anyons - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2407.21761v2
137. ISSN 1816-353X (Print) Vol. 20, No. 5 (Sept. 2018) ISSN 1816-3548 (Online) - International Journal of Network Security, accessed April 20, 2025,
http://ijns.jalaxy.com.tw/contents/ijns-v20-n5/ijns-v20-n5.pdf
138. Jonathan Bootle, accessed April 20, 2025, https://jbootle.github.io/
139. Learning with Errors: A Lattice-Based Keystone of Post-Quantum Cryptography - MDPI, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://www.mdpi.com/2624-6120/5/2/12
140. SALSA: Attacking Lattice Cryptography with Transformers - Cryptology ePrint Archive, accessed April 20, 2025, https://eprint.iacr.org/2022/935.pdf
141. Learning with Errors: A Lattice-Based Keystone of Post-Quantum Cryptography, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379866441_Learning_with_Errors_A_Lattice-Based_Keystone_of_Post-Quantum_Cryptography
142. Lattice-based cryptography - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lattice-based_cryptography
143. Evaluation and Comparison of Lattice-Based Cryptosystems for a Secure Quantum Computing Era - MDPI, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9292/12/12/2643
144. Post-Quantum Cryptography | CSRC - NIST Computer Security Resource

Center, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/post-quantum-cryptography
145. Post-quantum cryptography - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Post-quantum_cryptography
146. arXiv:2409.07150v1 [cs.CR] 11 Sep 2024, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://arxiv.org/pdf/2409.07150
147. Hardware Architecture Design for Modular Arithmetic Acceleration in Post-Quantum Lattice-Based Cryptography Algorithms - Repositorio INAOE, accessed April 21, 2025,
https://inaoe.repositorioinstitucional.mx/jspui/bitstream/1009/2471/1/HERNANDEZMJJ_MCC.pdf
148. High-Speed Hardware Architectures and Fair FPGA Benchmarking of CRYSTALS-Kyber, NTRU, and Saber - NIST Computer Security Resource Center, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://csrc.nist.gov/CSRC/media/Events/third-pqc-standardization-conference/documents/accepted-papers/gaj-high-speed-hardware-gmu-pqc2021.pdf
149. NIST Unveils Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC) Standards, accessed April 20, 2025, https://postquantum.com/industry-news/nist-pqc-standards/
150. Notes 3 & 4: Hardness of SIS, LWE, and lattice problems, accessed April 20, 2025, https://jmlribeiro.github.io/crypto_mini_course_notes34.pdf
151. PQC Standardization Process: Announcing Four Candidates to be Standardized, Plus Fourth Round Candidates | NIST, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://www.nist.gov/news-events/news/2022/07/pqc-standardization-process-announcing-four-candidates-be-standardized-plus
152. Lattice Based Crypto Breaks in a Superposition of Spacetimes - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2503.21400
153. SALSA: Attacking Lattice Cryptography with Transformers - Emily Wenger, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.emilywenger.com/assets/salsa_neurips.pdf
154. Séminaire de Théorie Algorithmique des Nombres - Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://www.math.u-bordeaux.fr/imb/seminaire-de-theorie-algorithmique-des-nombres?all=true&limit=999
155. МАТЕРІАЛИ ПЕРШОГО МІЖНАРОДНОГО НАУКОВО-ПРАКТИЧНОГО ФОРУМУ - ХНУРЕ, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://openarchive.nure.ua/bitstreams/ed01c8c4-0251-43f7-9851-ad5797f1de8e/download
156. Survey on Federated Learning Towards Privacy Preserving AI - Computer Science Conference Proceedings, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://csitcp.org/paper/10/1011csit20.pdf
157. Lecture 14: Learning with Errors, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://web.stanford.edu/class/cs354/scribe/lecture14.pdf
158. NIST Unveils Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC) Standards, accessed April 20, 2025,
https://postquantum.com/industry-news/nist-postquantum-cryptography-pqc-standards/

159. Shortest Vector Problem (SVP), accessed April 20, 2025, https://cseweb.ucsd.edu/~daniele/LatticeLinks/SVP.html
160. Preparing for Post Quantum Cryptography - Oracle Blogs, accessed April 20, 2025, https://blogs.oracle.com/security/post/post-quantum-cryptography
161. (PDF) A Survey and Guideline on Privacy Enhancing Technologies for Collaborative Machine Learning - ResearchGate, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/363314479_A_Survey_and_Guideline_on_Privacy_Enhancing_Technologies_for_Collaborative_Machine_Learning
162. arXiv:2401.09027v3 [quant-ph] 9 May 2024, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2401.09027
163. Security, Privacy, Confidentiality and Trust in Blockchain - MDPI, accessed April 20, 2025, https://mdpi-res.com/bookfiles/book/10583/Security_Privacy_Confidentiality_and_Trust_in_Blockchain.pdf?v=1740587438
164. Status Report on the Third Round of the NIST Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization Process, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.nist.gov/publications/status-report-third-round-nist-post-quantum-cryptography-standardization-process
165. NIST Releases First 3 Finalized Post-Quantum Encryption Standards, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.nist.gov/news-events/news/2024/08/nist-releases-first-3-finalized-post-quantum-encryption-standards
166. Privacy-Preserving Machine Learning with Homomorphic Encryption among Multi-Parties - kth .diva, accessed April 20, 2025, https://kth.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1947274/FULLTEXT01.pdf
167. Performance Analysis and Industry Deployment of Post-Quantum Cryptography Algorithms, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2503.12952v1
168. The Role of Quantum Computing in Enhancing Encryption Security: A Review - Cryptology ePrint Archive, accessed April 20, 2025, https://eprint.iacr.org/2025/706.pdf
169. Hyperledger Fabric 2.0 Architecture Security Controls Checklist | CSA, accessed April 21, 2025, https://cloudsecurityalliance.org/artifacts/hyperledger-fabric-2-0-architecture-security-controls-checklist
170. Cryptographic hardware and embedded systems -- CHES 2017 : 19th International Conference, Taipei, Taiwan, September 25-28, 2017, Proceedings 978-3-319-66787-4, 3319667874, 978-3-319-66786-7 - DOKUMEN.PUB, accessed April 20, 2025, https://dokumen.pub/cryptographic-hardware-and-embedded-systems-ches-2017-19th-international-conference-taipei-taiwan-september-25-28-2017-proceedings-978-3-319-66787-4-3319667874-978-3-319-66786-7.html
171. Threats and Defenses in Federated Learning Life Cycle - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2407.06754?
172. Threats and Defenses in Federated Learning Life Cycle: A Comprehensive

Survey and Challenges - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2407.06754v2
173. A Survey of Privacy Threats and Defense in Vertical Federated Learning: From Model Life Cycle Perspective - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2402.03688v1
174. A Fully Homomorphic Encryption Scheme Based on Fibonacci Recursive Matrix, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387043043_A_Fully_Homomorphic_Encryption_Scheme_Based_on_Fibonacci_Recursive_Matrix
175. Fibonacci Noise Modification on Data Encryption, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.kexuetongbao-csb.com/article/fibonacci-noise-modification-on-data-encryption
176. Nemesis: Noise-randomized Encryption with Modular Efficiency and Secure Integration in Machine Learning Systems - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2412.14392v1
177. Noiseless Fully Homomorphic Encryption - Cryptology ePrint Archive, accessed April 20, 2025, https://eprint.iacr.org/2017/839.pdf
178. Hybrid Quantum-Classical Algorithms - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2406.12371v1
179. Resource-Efficient and Self-Adaptive Quantum Search in a Quantum-Classical Hybrid System - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2405.04490v1
180. Bitcoin Vulnerabilities Due to Quantum Computing, accessed April 20, 2025, https://opsdesign.com/bitcoin-vulnerabilities-due-to-quantum-computing/
181. A Framework for Quantum Computing Simulation Targeted to Hybrid Parallel Architectures - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed April 20, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10048558/
182. Post-Quantum Crypto Agility - Thales, accessed April 20, 2025, https://cpl.thalesgroup.com/encryption/post-quantum-crypto-agility
183. About Juanita Koilpillai Service Award | CSA - Cloud Security Alliance, accessed April 21, 2025, https://cloudsecurityalliance.org/research/juanita-koilpillai/service-award
184. Resources - The FAIR Institute, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.fairinstitute.org/resources
185. Speakers CSA APAC Congress 2016 | Cloud Security Alliance на Glue Up, accessed April 21, 2025, https://app.glueup.com/ru/event/648/speakers.html
186. FAIRCON24 Agenda - The FAIR Institute, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.fairinstitute.org/2024-fair-conference-agenda
187. Juanita Koilpillai - COVID19 InfoRiskToday, accessed April 21, 2025, https://covid19.inforisktoday.com/authors/juanita-koilpillai-i-1313
188. LATAM CISO Summit 2024 - Digi Americas Alliance, accessed April 21, 2025, https://digiamericas.org/events/latam-ciso-summit-2024/
189. Registrants 2021 - International Cryptographic Module Conference (ICMC), accessed April 21, 2025, https://icmconference.org/?page_id=15099
190. INFORMATION SECURITY & RISK MANAGEMENT, accessed April 21, 2025,

https://ismg.io/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/2017-ISMG-Media-Kit.pdf
191. Fortifying Future IoT Security: A Comprehensive Review on Lightweight Post-Quantum Cryptography, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.etasr.com/index.php/ETASR/article/download/10141/4800/46388
192. arXiv:2409.08138v3 [gr-qc] 19 Sep 2024, accessed April 21, 2025, http://arxiv.org/pdf/2409.08138
193. Dissertation - Publikationsserver der Universität Regensburg, accessed April 21, 2025, https://epub.uni-regensburg.de/43508/1/Dissertation.pdf
194. General Relativity and Quantum Cosmology - arXiv, accessed April 21, 2025, https://arxiv.org/list/gr-qc/new
195. Advanced Simulation Techniques for Many-Body Quantum Systems, accessed April 20, 2025, https://math.rpi.edu/research/advanced-simulation-techniques-many-body-quantum-systems
196. Professor Andrei Khrennikov - Professor Emmanuel Haven | How Quantum-like Models Illuminate Complex Systems - scientia.global, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.scientia.global/professor-andrei-khrennikov-professor-emmanuel-haven-how-quantum-like-models-illuminate-complex-systems/
197. Does Quantum Gravity Happen at the Planck Scale? - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2501.07614v1
198. A (quantum) complex legacy, accessed April 20, 2025, https://quantumfrontiers.com/2023/01/29/a-quantum-complex-legacy/
199. Asymptotic safety in quantum gravity - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asymptotic_safety_in_quantum_gravity
200. (PDF) Quantum gravity as a multitrace matrix model - ResearchGate, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/317887210_Quantum_Gravity_as_a_Multitrace_Matrix_Model
201. University of Southampton Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences Physics and Astronomy The renormalization group and quant, accessed April 20, 2025, https://eprints.soton.ac.uk/473393/1/Thesis_Alex_Mitchell_final.pdf
202. Most Influential ArXiv (General Relativity and Quantum Cosmology) Papers (2024-10), accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.paperdigest.org/2024/10/most-influential-arxiv-general-relativity-and-quantum-cosmology-papers-2024-10/
203. arXiv:1201.3660v1 [gr-qc] 17 Jan 2012 - CiteSeerX, accessed April 21, 2025, https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type=pdf&doi=df3732cd809f8a9952777724eb1bcd274e0325c3
204. Classical and quantum gravity in ? dimensions: I. A unifying approach - ResearchGate, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/253558448_Classical_and_quantum_gravity_in_dimensions_I_A_unifying_approach
205. Corrections to Bekenstein-Hawking Entropy— Quantum or not-so Quantum? - MDPI, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/13/1/11

206. Modified gravity theories from the Barrow hypothesis - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2403.13687
207. Can Bekenstein's area law prevail in modified theories of gravity? | Phys. Rev. D, accessed April 20, 2025, https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.L121501
208. [hep-th/9808091] Quantum Gravity and Black Hole Entropy - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9808091
209. [2503.22609] Quantum Entropy-Driven Modifications to Holographic Dark Energy in $f(G,T)$ Gravity - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.22609
210. Planck units - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Planck_units
211. New Discovery on Planck Units and Physical Dimension in Cosmic Continuum Theory, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=89023
212. Evaluation and Analysis of an LSTM and GRU Based Stock Investment Strategy - Atlantis Press, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.atlantis-press.com/article/125980518.pdf
213. Recurrent Neural Networks for Financial Time-Series Modelling - ResearchGate, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/329316403_Recurrent_Neural_Networks_for_Financial_Time-Series_Modelling
214. Advanced Stock Market Prediction Using Hybrid GRU-LSTM Techniques, accessed April 20, 2025, https://ijariie.com/AdminUploadPdf/TITLE__Advanced_Stock_Market_Prediction__Using_Hybrid_GRU_LSTM_Techniques_ijariie25797.pdf
215. Forecasting Stock Market Indices Using the Recurrent Neural Network Based Hybrid Models: CNN-LSTM, GRU-CNN, and Ensemble Models - MDPI, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/7/4644
216. Financial Market Forecasting using RNN, LSTM, BiLSTM, GRU and Transformer-Based Deep Learning Algorithms - ResearchGate, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377245794_Financial_Market_Forecasting_using_RNN_LSTM_BiLSTM_GRU_and_Transformer-Based_Deep_Learning_Algorithms
217. 5 Algorithms to Train a Neural Network - DataScienceCentral.com, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.datasciencecentral.com/5-algorithms-to-train-a-neural-network/
218. LeCun's 2022 paper on autonomous machine intelligence rehashes but does not cite essential work of 1990-2015, accessed April 20, 2025, https://people.idsia.ch/~juergen/lecun-rehash-1990-2022.html
219. arXiv:2209.03956v1 [q-bio.NC] 17 Jul 2022, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2209.03956
220. Artificial Consciousness: An Illusionary Solution to the Hard Problem - SAPAN, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.sapan.ai/assets/papers/illusion_test.pdf
221. Gödel Agent: A Self-Referential Framework for Agents Recursively

Self-Improvement - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2410.04444v2
222. [2402.02625] Enhancing Transformer RNNs with Multiple Temporal Perspectives - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.02625
223. Temporal-kernel recurrent neural networks - PubMed, accessed April 20, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19932002/
224. remigenet/TKAN: TKAN: Temporal Kolmogorov-Arnold Networks - GitHub, accessed April 20, 2025, https://github.com/remigenet/TKAN
225. Evaluating Large Language Models on Wikipedia-Style Survey Generation - ACL Anthology, accessed April 20, 2025, https://aclanthology.org/2024.findings-acl.321.pdf
226. Large Language Models: A Survey - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2402.06196v2
227. Mitigating LLM Hallucination with Smoothed Knowledge Distillation - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2502.11306v1
228. A survey of multilingual large language models - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed April 20, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11783891/
229. An Audit on the Perspectives and Challenges of Hallucinations in NLP - ACL Anthology, accessed April 20, 2025, https://aclanthology.org/2024.emnlp-main.375.pdf
230. Golden Ratio Search: A Low-Power Adversarial Attack for Deep Learning based Modulation Classification - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2409.11454
231. An Optimizing Pulse Coupled Neural Network based on Golden Eagle Optimizer for Automatic Image Segmentation - Journal of Information Hiding and Multimedia Signal Processing, accessed April 20, 2025, https://bit.kuas.edu.tw/2022/vol13/N3/01.JIHMSP-1617_r1.pdf
232. A Novel Interpretable Fusion Analytic Framework for Investigating Functional Brain Connectivity Differences in Cognitive Impairments - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2401.09028v1
233. Relationship Between Basic Properties of BOLD Fluctuations and Calculated Metrics of Complexity in the Human Connectome Project - Frontiers, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnins.2020.550923/full
234. The Laplacian eigenmaps dimensionality reduction of fMRI data for discovering stimulus-induced changes in the resting-state brain activity | bioRxiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.12.10.419861.full
235. Does Amount of Information Support Aesthetic Values? - Frontiers, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnins.2022.805658/full
236. Ultrahigh Resolution fMRI at 7T Using Radial-Cartesian TURBINE Sampling - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed April 21, 2025,

https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9546489/
237. The Golden Section as Optical Limitation - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed April 21, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4495923/
238. EC-based analysis of empirical BOLD signals. (A) Pipeline for brain... - ResearchGate, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/EC-based-analysis-of-empirical-BOLD-signals-A-Pipeline-for-brain-coordination-analysis_fig2_338093068
239. Seeking the "Beauty Center" in the Brain: A Meta-Analysis of fMRI Studies of Beautiful Human Faces and Visual Art | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/345125964_Seeking_the_Beauty_Center_in_the_Brain_A_Meta-Analysis_of_fMRI_Studies_of_Beautiful_Human_Faces_and_Visual_Art
240. Complex harmonics reveal low-dimensional manifolds of critical brain dynamics | Phys. Rev. E - Physical Review Link Manager, accessed April 20, 2025, https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.111.014410
241. CATD: Unified Representation Learning for EEG-to-fMRI Cross-Modal Generation - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2408.00777v2
242. The biological role of local and global fMRI BOLD signal variability in human brain organization - PubMed Central, accessed April 20, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10634715/
243. Subspace-constrained approaches to low-rank fMRI acceleration | bioRxiv, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.12.15.422908v1.full-text
244. Subspace-constrained approaches to low-rank fMRI acceleration - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed April 21, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7611820/
245. Hierarchical consciousness: the Nested Observer Windows model - Oxford Academic, accessed April 21, 2025, https://academic.oup.com/nc/article/2024/1/niae010/7631826
246. Publication - LOFT, accessed April 21, 2025, https://loft-lab.ini.usc.edu/index-4.html
247. The Temporal Signature of Memories: Identification of a General Mechanism for Dynamic Memory Replay in Humans | PLOS Biology, accessed April 21, 2025, https://journals.plos.org/plosbiology/article?id=10.1371/journal.pbio.1002528
248. Brain and Cognitive Science Inspired Deep Learning: A Comprehensive Survey, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.computer.org/csdl/journal/tk/2025/04/10834593/23IjNrEYCXu
249. Brain-imaging evidence for compression of binary sound sequences in human memory, accessed April 20, 2025, https://elifesciences.org/articles/84376
250. Place cells may simply be memory cells: Memory compression leads to spatial tuning and history dependence - PubMed Central, accessed April 20, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8713479/
251. The brain uses data compression for decision-making | Champalimaud Foundation, accessed April 21, 2025,

https://fchampalimaud.org/news/brain-uses-data-compression-decision-making
252. MEMORY NETWORKS AS NEUROCOMPUTATIONAL MODELS OF COGNITIVE FUNCTIONS A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATICS OF, accessed April 20, 2025, https://open.metu.edu.tr/bitstream/handle/11511/110953/MEMORY_NETWORKS_AS_NEUROCOMPUTATIONAL_MODELS_OF_COGNITIVE_FUNCTIONS_Sinan_Altinuc.pdf
253. Cognitive neuroscience perspective on memory: overview and summary - PubMed Central, accessed April 21, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10410470/
254. Efficient Data Compression in Perception and Perceptual Memory - Brain and Cognitive Sciences, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www2.bcs.rochester.edu/sites/robbie/BatesJacobs_PsychRev2020.pdf
255. Pattern integration and differentiation: Dual process model of episodic memory | Imaging Neuroscience - MIT Press Direct, accessed April 20, 2025, https://direct.mit.edu/imag/article/doi/10.1162/imag_a_00433/125725/Pattern-integration-and-differentiation-Dual
256. (PDF) Cognitive neuroscience perspective on memory: overview and summary, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372670894_Cognitive_neuroscience_perspective_on_memory_overview_and_summary
257. The Cognitive Neuroscience of Insight - Psychology - Northwestern, accessed April 21, 2025, https://psychology.northwestern.edu/people/faculty/core/profiles/ann_rvw_psy_2014.pdf
258. THE COGNITIVE NEUROSCIENCE OF WORKING MEMORY - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed April 21, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4374359/
259. The Cognitive Neurosciences, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.hse.ru/data/2011/06/28/1216307711/Gazzaniga.%20The%20Cognitive%20Neurosciences.pdf
260. Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society - eScholarship.org, accessed April 21, 2025, https://escholarship.org/uc/cognitivesciencesociety
261. Research/Papers | Cognitive Experiments Models and Neuroscience Lab | University of Colorado Boulder, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.colorado.edu/lab/cemnl/researchpapers
262. Large-scale DCMs for resting-state fMRI | Network Neuroscience - MIT Press Direct, accessed April 20, 2025, https://direct.mit.edu/netn/article/1/3/222/2199/Large-scale-DCMs-for-resting-state-fMRI
263. Neuropredictome: a data-driven predictome for cognitive, psychiatric, medical, and lifestyle factors on the brain - bioRxiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.12.07.415091v2.full.pdf
264. Dimensionality Reduction - Brain Imaging Analysis Kit, accessed April 20,

2025, https://brainiak.org/tutorials/04-dimensionality-reduction/
265. Extracting representations of cognition across neuroimaging studies improves brain decoding | PLOS Computational Biology, accessed April 21, 2025, https://journals.plos.org/ploscompbiol/article?id=10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008795
266. A review of feature reduction techniques in neuroimaging - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed April 20, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4040248/
267. Researchers, Reflexivity, and Good Data: Writing to Unlearn - ResearchGate, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/240744526_Researchers_Reflexivity_and_Good_Data_Writing_to_Unlearn
268. Debilitating Research: Scholarship of the Obvious and Epistemic Trauma - DOI, accessed April 21, 2025, https://doi.org/10.1080/00020184.2024.2431801
269. Socioeconomic status significantly impacts childhood cancer survival in South Africa, accessed April 21, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37705154/
270. Full article: Debilitating Research: Scholarship of the Obvious and Epistemic Trauma, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00020184.2024.2431801
271. “Scenes of Subjection” in Public Education: Thinking Intersectionally as If Disability Matters | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/337131633_Scenes_of_Subjection_in_Public_Education_Thinking_Intersectionally_as_If_Disability_Matters
272. Where my dad was from he was quite a respected man - Unisa IR, accessed April 21, 2025, https://uir.unisa.ac.za/items/04cc8de2-a122-4fd5-843b-29c087fab969
273. 'Where my dad was from he was quite a respected man'. - Scite, accessed April 21, 2025, https://scite.ai/reports/10.1037/a0029075
274. Deriving a Control-Oriented Model for an Axisymmetric Vehicle With the Power-Law Revolution Nose - SciELO, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.scielo.br/j/jatm/a/SJvQwn3s5JzRq48JdjHHDMg/?lang=en
275. Hypersonic Vehicle Technology - Translations from Chinese source documents - Air University, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.airuniversity.af.edu/Portals/10/CASI/documents/Translations/2024-06-24%20ITOW%20Hypersonic%20Vehicle%20Technology.pdf
276. Review of Advanced Guidance and Control Algorithms for Space/Aerospace Vehicles - CERES Research Repository, accessed April 20, 2025, https://dspace.lib.cranfield.ac.uk/bitstream/1826/16458/1/Review_of_advanced_guidance_and_control_algorithms_for_space-2021.pdf
277. Aircraft Trajectories Computation-Prediction-Control. Volume 1 (La Trajectoire de l'Avion Calcul-Prediction-Controle) - DTIC, accessed April 20, 2025, https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/tr/pdf/ADA223563.pdf
278. Intelligent Trajectory Prediction Algorithm for Hypersonic Vehicle Based on Sparse Associative Structure Model - MDPI, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2504-446X/8/9/505
279. Circular Probable Error for Circular and Noncircular Gaussian Impacts - DTIC,

accessed April 20, 2025, https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/AD1043284.pdf
280. Ballistic Missile Basics, accessed April 20, 2025, https://missiledefenseadvocacy.org/missile-threat-and-proliferation/missile-basics/ballistic-missile-basics/
281. Multiple independently targetable reentry vehicle - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Multiple_independently_targetable_reentry_vehicle
282. Circular error probable - Wikipedia, accessed April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Circular_error_probable
283. AlphaFold Protein Structure Database, accessed April 20, 2025, https://alphafold.ebi.ac.uk/
284. Using AlphaFold to model the MDM2-p53 complex - Bonvin Lab, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.bonvinlab.org/education/molmod_online/alphafold/
285. An Overview of Alphafold's Breakthrough - Frontiers, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/artificial-intelligence/articles/10.3389/frai.2022.875587/full
286. Before and after AlphaFold2: An overview of protein structure prediction - PubMed Central, accessed April 20, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10011655/
287. AlphaFold two years on: Validation and impact - PNAS, accessed April 20, 2025, https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2315002121
288. Reliable protein-protein docking with AlphaFold, Rosetta, and replica-exchange - eLife, accessed April 20, 2025, https://elifesciences.org/reviewed-preprints/94029v2
289. Unlocking the power of AI models: exploring protein folding prediction through comparative analysis, accessed April 20, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11377126/
290. non-canonical crosslinks confound evolutionary protein structure models - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2503.17368
291. arXiv q-bio.BM Biomolecules: "inverse folding and binding site prediction, particularly when using predicted structures, owing to its enhanced tolerance to data deviation and noise. Our approach offers a novel perspective for conducting biological function research and drug [5/6 of https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.16996v1]", accessed April 20, 2025, https://bsky.app/profile/qbiobm-bot.bsky.social/post/3ll4454fi3n2m
292. [q-bio/0409038] A modular Fibonacci sequence in proteins - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/q-bio/0409038
293. arXiv:q-bio/0408024v1 [q-bio.BM] 27 Aug 2004, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/q-bio/0408024
294. Pathfinder: Protein folding pathway prediction based on conformational sampling - PMC, accessed April 20, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10513300/
295. Pathfinder: Protein folding pathway prediction based on conformational sampling - PLOS, accessed April 20, 2025, https://journals.plos.org/ploscompbiol/article?id=10.1371/journal.pcbi.1011438

296. Pathfinder: Protein folding pathway prediction based on conformational sampling - PubMed, accessed April 20, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37695768/
297. Advances in Surrogate Modeling for Biological Agent-Based Simulations - arXiv, accessed April 20, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2504.11617
298. Collaborative Combat Aircraft for Disruptive Air Warfare | Air & Space Forces Magazine, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.airandspaceforces.com/article/collaborative-combat-aircraft-for-disruptive-air-warfare/
299. AI for Military Decision-Making | Center for Security and Emerging Technology, accessed April 21, 2025, https://cset.georgetown.edu/publication/ai-for-military-decision-making/
300. AI in Combat: CSET Report Warns Military Commanders Against Blind Reliance on Decision Support Systems - BABL AI, accessed April 21, 2025, https://babl.ai/ai-in-combat-cset-report-warns-military-commanders-against-blind-reliance-on-decision-support-systems/
301. AI's Role in Defense – Accelerating Decision Dominance in the Next Era of Warfare, accessed April 21, 2025, https://owlcyberdefense.com/blog/ai-role-in-defense-accelerating-decision-dominance/
302. Do AI Decision Support Systems 'Support' Humans in Military Decision-Making on the Use of Force? - Opinio Juris, accessed April 21, 2025, http://opiniojuris.org/2024/11/29/do-ai-decision-support-systems-support-humans-in-military-decision-making-on-the-use-of-force/
303. AI's New Frontier in War Planning: How AI Agents Can Revolutionize Military Decision-Making | The Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.belfercenter.org/research-analysis/ais-new-frontier-war-planning-how-ai-agents-can-revolutionize-military-decision
304. Artificial Intelligence as a Combat Multiplier: Using AI to Unburden Army Staffs, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.armyupress.army.mil/Journals/Military-Review/Online-Exclusive/2024-OLE/AI-Combat-Multiplier/
305. AI research strengthens certainty in battlefield decision-making | Article - Army.mil, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.army.mil/article/249169/ai_research_strengthens_certainty_in_battlefield_decision_making
306. How AI Will Accelerate Decision-Making in a Peer Contest - Air & Space Forces Magazine, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.airandspaceforces.com/how-ai-will-accelerate-decision-making-in-a-peer-contest/
307. German Navy Seeks Marine Drones for Future Warfare - The Defense Post, accessed April 21, 2025, https://thedefensepost.com/2025/03/24/germany-usv-future-warfare/
308. TRS-3D radars on K130-class corvettes to undergo modernization with

HENSOLDT, accessed April 21, 2025, https://militaryembedded.com/radar-ew/sensors/trs-3d-radars-on-k130-class-corvettes-to-undergo-modernization-with-hensoldt
309. German Navy's K130 corvettes to feature Indra defence system - Naval Technology, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.naval-technology.com/news/german-navys-new-k130-corvettes-to-feature-indra-electronic-defence-system/
310. Braunschweig-class corvette - Wikipedia, accessed April 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Braunschweig-class_corvette
311. Indra signs a contract to provide the German Navy's new K130 corvettes with its Rigel electronic defense systems, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.indracompany.com/en/noticia/indra-signs-contract-provide-german-navys-new-k130-corvettes-rigel-electronic-defense
312. Inspired by Ukraine, Germany Explores Surface Drones as a Potential Replacement for K130 Corvettes | Defense Express, accessed April 21, 2025, https://en.defence-ua.com/news/inspired_by_ukraine_germany_explores_surface_drones_as_a_potential_replacement_for_k130_corvettes-13943.html
313. Hensoldt modernizes German Navy's K130 corvette TRS-3D radar - Naval News, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.navalnews.com/naval-news/2021/02/hensoldt-modernizes-german-navys-k130-corvette-trs-3d-radar/
314. K130 Braunschweig class - Army Recognition, accessed April 21, 2025, https://armyrecognition.com/military-products/navy/corvettes/k130-braunschweig-class
315. HENSOLDT Modernising K130-class Corvette Radars | Joint Forces News, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.joint-forces.com/defence-equipment-news/40569-hensoldt-modernising-k130-class-corvette-radars
316. What Is Quantum Computing? | IBM, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.ibm.com/think/topics/quantum-computing
317. Quantum Computing: The Basics and Where We Are Headed - Atlanta Technology Professionals, accessed April 21, 2025, https://atpconnect.org/quantum-computing-the-basics-and-where-we-are-headed/
318. Quantum Noise: Overcoming This Obstacle is Crucial for the Evolution of Quantum Computing - Tech4Future, accessed April 21, 2025, https://tech4future.info/en/quantum-noise-quantum-computing/
319. The Quantum Roadmap Battle of Logical Qubits, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.insidequantumtechnology.com/news-archive/the-quantum-roadmap-battle-of-logical-qubits-by-brian-siegelwax-2/
320. Quantum algorithm for solving linear equations - YouTube, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KtIPAPyaPOg
321. The complexonaut | MIT News | Massachusetts Institute of Technology, accessed April 21, 2025, https://news.mit.edu/2014/scott-aaronson-shapes-conventional-and-quantum-c

omputing-0407
322. How Quantum Computers Calculate Everything At Once... But Can't Use It - YouTube, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uIntkdiC-90
323. [2104.01043] Quantum Algorithms and Oracles with the Scalable ZX-calculus - arXiv, accessed April 21, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.01043
324. Unlocking the Power of Quantum Computing: A Comprehensive Guide - NIX, accessed April 21, 2025, https://nixstech.com/news/the-world-on-the-threshold-of-a-quantum-revolution-what-prospects-we-have-and-what-you-need-to-know-about-quantum-calculus/
325. 'Quantum computer algorithms are linear algebra, probabilities. This is not something that we do a good job of teaching our kids' -- Assuming tech works as promised, overhaul needed in policy and supplies, panel says : r/math - Reddit, accessed April 21, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/math/comments/pz5d2w/quantum_computer_algorithms_are_linear_algebra/