

# The Axiom of Steenrod Algebra and proof of Adem Relation

Akira Tominaga

## 1 The Axiom of Steenrod Square

In this note, we take the coefficient of ordinary cohomology to be  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

**Theorem 1.1** There exists a natural transformation between cohomology functor  $Sq^i: H^n(-) \rightarrow H^{n+i}(-)$  satisfying the following axioms. These natural transformations are called Steenrod Squares.

1.  $Sq^i$  is a homomorphism between  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module.
2.  $Sq^0 = id$ .
3. If  $x \in H^n(X)$ , then  $Sq^n(x) = x^2$ , and  $Sq^i(x) = 0$  if  $i > n$ .
4. (Cartan Formula) The relation

$$Sq^n(xy) = \sum_{i+j=n} Sq^i(x)Sq^j(y)$$

holds.

5.  $Sq^i$  is a stable operation. In other words, for a coboundary map of long exact sequence  $\delta: H^n(X) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(X, A)$ ,  $Sq^i \circ \delta = \delta \circ Sq^i$  holds.

For a tuple of non-negative integers  $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ , we write the composition  $Sq^{i_1}Sq^{i_2} \dots Sq^{i_k}$  as  $Sq^I$ . We call  $k$  to be the length of  $I$  and we write it as  $l(I)$ . Define the degree of  $Sq^I$  to be  $i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_k$ . If  $i_1 > 2i_2, i_2 > 2i_3, \dots, i_{k-1} > 2i_k$  holds, we call  $I$  or  $Sq^I$  to be admissible. If  $I$  is admissible, we define the excess  $e(I)$  of  $I$  or  $Sq^I$  to be  $(i_1 - 2i_2) + (i_2 - 2i_3) + \dots + (i_{k-1} - 2i_k)$ .

**Theorem 1.2** Steenrod Squares satisfy the following properties.

1. (Adem relation) If  $a < b$ , then

$$Sq^a Sq^b = \sum_j \binom{b-1-j}{a-2j} Sq^{a+b-j} Sq^j$$

holds.

2.  $Sq^1$  coincides with the Bockstein homomorphism corresponding the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ .

Actually,  $Sq^i$  can be characterized by the properties in Theorem 1.1. Therefore it is natural to try to deduce Theorem 1.2 from Theorem 1.1.

## 2 Proof of Adem Relation

I'll introduce the proof of Adem Relation in [1]. Fix a topological space  $X$ . Define a ring homomorphism  $P(t): H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)[t]$  by  $x \in H^*(X) \mapsto \sum_i t^i Sq^i(x)$ . By abuse of notation, we also use the same symbol  $P(s)$  for the ring homomorphism  $P(s): H^*(X)[t] \rightarrow H^*(X)[t, s]$  defined by  $x \in H^*(X) \mapsto \sum_i t^i Sq^i(x)$  and  $t \mapsto t$ . (Note that these maps are ring homomorphism because of Cartan formula.)

**Lemma 2.1** (Bullett-Macdonald) The equation

$$P(t + t^2) \circ P(1) = P(1 + t) \circ P(t^2)$$

holds.

In order to prove this lemma, we need the following. Let  $x_i \in H^1((\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^{\times q})$  be the pullback of the generator  $x \in H^1(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)$  by the projection to  $i$ -component. Let  $\sigma = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_q \in H^q((\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^{\times q})$ .

**Lemma 2.2** Elements in  $\{Sq^I(\sigma) \mid I : \text{admissible}, \deg(I) \leq q\}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

**Proof** Induction on  $q$ . This statement is clear for  $q = 1$ . Let  $\sum_I a_I Sq^I(\sigma) = 0$ . We show that  $a_I = 0$  by induction on the length of  $I$ . Suppose that  $a_I = 0$  for  $I$  with  $l(I) > m$ . Then  $\sum_{l(I)=m} a_I Sq^I(\sigma) + \sum_{l(I)<m} a_I Sq^I(\sigma) = 0$  holds. Note that, by Künneth formula,  $H^{q+r}((\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^{\times q}) = \oplus_s H^s(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) \otimes H^{q+r-s}((\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^{\times q-1})$  holds. Let  $p$  the projection to the  $s = 2^m$  summand. Also, let  $\sigma' = x_2 x_3 \cdots x_q$ , so that  $\sigma = x_1 \sigma'$  holds. Define a tuple of integers  $J_m$  to be  $J_m = \{2^m, 2^{m-1}, \dots, 1\}$ . Now we claim the following equation.

$$p(Sq^I(\sigma)) = \begin{cases} 0, & l(I) < m \\ x_1^{2^m} \cdot Sq^{I-J_m}(\sigma') & l(I) = m. \end{cases}$$

In fact, by the Cartan formula,

$$Sq^I(\sigma) = Sq^I(x_1 \cdot \sigma') = \sum_{J \leq I} Sq^J(x_1) \cdot Sq^{I-J}(\sigma')$$

holds. Also, we can easily check the following equation.

$$Sq^J(x_1) = \begin{cases} x_1^{2^m} & J = J_m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we can easily deduce the second equation. In addition, if  $l(I) < m$ , then  $l(J) < m$  holds, so that  $p(Sq^I(\sigma)) = 0$  follows. Now we have

$$p\left(\sum_{l(I)=m} a_I Sq^I(\sigma) + \sum_{l(I)<m} a_I Sq^I(\sigma)\right) = x_1^{2^m} \cdot \left(\sum_{l(I)=m} a_I Sq^{I-J_m}(\sigma')\right) = 0.$$

Because of induction hypothesis on  $q$ ,  $a_I = 0$  for  $I$  with  $l(I) = m$ . Therefore, by induction on  $l(I)$ , we can deduce that  $a_I = 0$  for all  $I$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $C$  to be a natural transformation between cohomology defined by a linear sum of Steenrod Squares  $Sq^I$  with  $\deg(I) < q$ . If  $C(\sigma) = C(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_q) = 0$ , then  $C = 0$ .

**Proof** Note that all natural transformations between  $H^q$  and  $H^n$  as a set functor can be written uniquely as  $P(Sq^{I_1}, Sq^{I_2}, \dots, Sq^{I_k})$  where  $P$  is a polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  and  $I_1, \dots, I_k$  are admissible and excess  $< n$ . (This can be shown by calculating the cohomology  $H^*(K(\mathbb{F}_2, n))$ .) In particular, the degree of polynomial  $P$  cannot be equal or larger than 2 when  $n \leq 2q$ , so that the set  $\{Sq^I \mid I : \text{admissible}, \deg(I) = n - q\}$  becomes a  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis of natural transformations from  $H^q$  to  $H^n$ . Because that the image of this basis  $\{Sq^I(\sigma) \mid I : \text{admissible}, \deg(I) = n - q\}$  is linearly independent according to previous lemma, we know that the map  $C \mapsto C(\sigma)$  is injective.  $\square$

Therefore, in order to prove Lemma 2.1, it suffices to show that the image of  $\sigma = x_1 \cdots x_q$  is equal for both terms. Because  $P(t)$  is ring homomorphism, it suffices to check when  $q = 1$ . In this case,  $P(t + t^2) \circ P(1)(x) = x + (1 + t + t^2)x^2 + t^2(1 + t)^2x^4 = P(1 + t) \circ P(t^2)(x)$  holds. This proves Lemma 2.1.

**Deduction of Adem Relations** Take  $x \in H^i(X)$ . By doing calculation, we can check that

$$P(t + t^2) \circ P(1)(x) = \sum_{a,k} (t + t^2)^a Sq^a Sq^k(x),$$

$$P(1 + t) \circ P(t^2)(x) = \sum_{b,j} b, j (1 + t)^{a+b-j} t^{2j} Sq^{a+b-j} Sq^j(x).$$

Now, for a formal Laurent series  $f(z) = \sum_{k=-N}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ , we define the residue  $\text{Res}_{z=0} f$  to be

$a_{-1}$ . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_k Sq^a Sq^k &= \text{Res}_{t+t^2=0} \frac{P(t+t^2) \circ P(1)(x)}{(t+t^2)^{a+1}} \\
&= \text{Res}_{t+t^2=0} \frac{P(1+t) \circ P(t^2)(x)}{(t+t^2)^{a+1}} \\
&= \text{Res}_{t+t^2=0} \sum_{b,j} (1+t)^{b-j-1} t^{2j-a-1} Sq^{a+b-j} Sq^j(x) \\
&= \text{Res}_{t=0} \left( \sum_{b,j} (1+t)^{b-j-1} t^{2j-a-1} Sq^{a+b-j} Sq^j(x) \right) (t+t^2)' \\
&= \text{Res}_{t=0} \sum_{b,j} (1+t)^{b-j-1} t^{2j-a-1} Sq^{a+b-j} Sq^j(x).
\end{aligned}$$

By looking at the elements with same degree, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
Sq^a Sq^b(x) &= \text{Res}_{t=0} \sum_j (1+t)^{b-j-1} t^{2j-a-1} Sq^{a+b-j} Sq^j(x) \\
&= \sum_j \binom{b-j-1}{a-2j} Sq^{a+b-j} Sq^j(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we used the condition  $a < 2b$  for the last equation. □

## References

- [1] S. R. Bullett, I. G. Macdonald, “On the Adem Relations”, *Topology*, Volume 21, Issue 3, 1982, 329-332.
- [2] Larry Smith, “An algebraic introduction to Steenrod algebra”, *Geometry & Topology Monographs*, 11 (2007), 327-348.
- [3] J. P. Serre, “Cohomologie modulo 2 des complexes d’Eilenberg-MacLane”, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 27 (1953), 198-232.
- [4] N. E. Steenrod, D. B. Epstein, “Cohomology Operations”, Princeton University Press, 1962.