



Inspiring Excellence

Algebraic Topology III (MAT484)

Lecture Notes

Contents

1 Singular Homology Groups	3
----------------------------	---

3

1 Singular Homology Groups

Let \mathbb{R}^∞ denote the generalized Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^J , with J being the set of positive integers. An element of the vector space \mathbb{R}^∞ is an infinite sequence of real numbers (functions from \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{R}) with finitely many nonzero entries. Let Δ_p denote the p -simplex in \mathbb{R}^∞ having vertices

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_0 &= (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots), \\ \varepsilon_1 &= (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots), \\ &\dots \\ \varepsilon_p &= (0, 0, 0, \dots, \underbrace{1}_{(p+1)\text{-th entry}}, \dots).\end{aligned}$$

We call Δ_p the **standard p -simplex**. In this notation, Δ_{p-1} is a face of Δ_p .

Definition 1.1 (Singular p -simplex). Let X be a topological space. We define a **singular p -simplex** of X to be a continuous map $T : \Delta_p \rightarrow X$. The free abelian group generated by singular p -simplices of X is denoted by $S_p(X)$, and is called the **singular chain group** of X in dimension p . We shall denote an element of $S_p(X)$ by a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of singular p -simplices of X .

Singular means that T could be a “bad” map, i.e. it may not be an imbedding. All we want that T is just continuous. Now, recall that

$$\Delta_p = \left\{ (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_p, 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid 0 \leq x_i \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{i=0}^p x_i = 1 \right\}. \quad (1.1)$$

Given $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_p \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$, there is a unique affine map $l_{(a_0, \dots, a_p)} : \Delta_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$ that maps ε_i to a_i . It is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}l_{(a_0, \dots, a_p)}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_p, 0, \dots) &= \sum_{i=0}^p x_i a_i = \sum_{i=0}^p x_i a_i + a_0 - \sum_{i=0}^p x_i a_0 \\ &= a_0 + \sum_{i=0}^p x_i (a_i - a_0).\end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

We call this map the **linear singular simplex** determined by $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_p \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$. Now, what is $l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_p)}$? Observe that

$$l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_p)} \varepsilon_i = l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_p)}(0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{1}_{(i+1)\text{-th entry}}, 0, \dots) = \varepsilon_i. \quad (1.3)$$

Therefore, $l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_p)}$ maps ε_i to itself, for every $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$. Also,

$$l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_p)}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_p, 0, \dots) = \sum_{i=0}^p x_i \varepsilon_i = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_p, 0, \dots). \quad (1.4)$$

Therefore, $l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_p)}$ is just the inclusion map of Δ_p into \mathbb{R}^∞ . Now, suppose $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}, 0, \dots) \in \Delta_{p-1}$, so that $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} x_i = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \widehat{\varepsilon_i}, \dots, \varepsilon_p)}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}, 0, \dots) &= x_0 \varepsilon_0 + \dots + x_{i-1} \varepsilon_{i-1} + 0 \cdot \varepsilon_i + x_{i+1} \varepsilon_{i+1} + \dots + x_{p-1} \varepsilon_p \\ &= (x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{p-1}, 0, \dots),\end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

which is a point on the face of Δ_p opposite to the vertex ε_i . In fact, $l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \widehat{\varepsilon_i}, \dots, \varepsilon_p)}$ is a linear homomorphism of Δ_{p-1} into the face of Δ_p that is opposite to the vertex ε_i . In other words,

$$l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \widehat{\varepsilon_i}, \dots, \varepsilon_p)} : \Delta_{p-1} \rightarrow \Delta_p$$

maps Δ_{p-1} to the face of Δ_p opposite to the vertex ε_i . Therefore, given a singular p -simplex $T : \Delta_p \rightarrow X$, one can form the composite

$$T \circ l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \widehat{\varepsilon_i}, \dots, \varepsilon_p)} : \Delta_{p-1} \rightarrow X,$$

which is a singular $(p-1)$ -simplex. We think of it as the i -th face of the singular p -simplex T .

Definition 1.2 (Boundary homomorphism). We define $\partial : S_p(X) \rightarrow S_{p-1}(X)$ as follows. If $T : \Delta_p \rightarrow X$ is a singular p -simplex, we define ∂T to be

$$\partial T = \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i T \circ l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \widehat{\varepsilon_i}, \dots, \varepsilon_p)}. \quad (1.6)$$

In other words, ∂T is a formal sum of singular simplices of dimension $p-1$, which are the faces of T .

If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map, we define a group homomorphism $f_{\#} : S_p(X) \rightarrow S_p(Y)$ by defining it on singular p -simplices by the equation

$$f_{\#}(T) = f \circ T \quad (1.7)$$

for a singular p -simplex T .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Delta_p & \xrightarrow{T} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow & \text{f} \circ \text{T} & \nearrow & \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

Theorem 1.1

The homomorphism $f_{\#}$ commutes with ∂ . Furthermore, $\partial^2 = 0$.

Proof. Given a singular p -simplex T ,

$$\partial f_{\#}(T) = \partial(f \circ T) = \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i (f \circ T) \circ l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \widehat{\varepsilon_i}, \dots, \varepsilon_p)}. \quad (1.8)$$

$$f_{\#}(\partial T) = f_{\#}\left(\sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i T \circ l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \widehat{\varepsilon_i}, \dots, \varepsilon_p)}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i f \circ T \circ l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \widehat{\varepsilon_i}, \dots, \varepsilon_p)}. \quad (1.9)$$

Therefore, $\partial f_{\#}(T) = f_{\#}(\partial T)$. Now, to prove $\partial^2 = 0$, we first compute ∂ for linear singular simplices $l_{(a_0, \dots, a_p)}$.

$$\partial l_{(a_0, \dots, a_p)} = \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i l_{(a_0, \dots, a_p)} \circ l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \widehat{\varepsilon_i}, \dots, \varepsilon_p)}. \quad (1.10)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} l_{(a_0, \dots, a_p)} \circ l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \widehat{\varepsilon_i}, \dots, \varepsilon_p)}(x_0, \dots, x_{p-1}, 0, \dots) &= l_{(a_0, \dots, a_p)}(x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_i x_{p-1}, 0, \dots) \\ &= x_0 a_0 + \dots + x_{i-1} a_{i-1} + 0 \cdot a_i + x_i a_{i+1} + \dots + x_{p-1} a_p \\ &= l_{(a_0, \dots, \widehat{a_i}, \dots, a_p)}(x_0, \dots, x_{p-1}, 0, \dots). \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

Hence,

$$l_{(a_0, \dots, a_p)} \circ l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \widehat{\varepsilon_i}, \dots, \varepsilon_p)} = l_{(a_0, \dots, \widehat{a_i}, \dots, a_p)}. \quad (1.12)$$

Therefore, from 1.10, it follows that

$$\partial l_{(a_0, \dots, a_p)} = \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i l_{(a_0, \dots, \widehat{a_i}, \dots, a_p)}. \quad (1.13)$$

Let's now evaluate $\partial \partial l_{(a_0, \dots, a_p)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \partial l_{(a_0, \dots, a_p)} &= \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \partial l_{(a_0, \dots, \widehat{a_i}, \dots, a_p)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \sum_{j < i} (-1)^j l_{(a_0, \dots, \widehat{a_j}, \dots, \widehat{a_i}, \dots, a_p)} + \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \sum_{j > i} (-1)^{j-1} l_{(a_0, \dots, \widehat{a_i}, \dots, \widehat{a_j}, \dots, a_p)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j < i} (-1)^{i+j} l_{(a_0, \dots, \widehat{a_j}, \dots, \widehat{a_i}, \dots, a_p)} - \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j > i} (-1)^{i+j} l_{(a_0, \dots, \widehat{a_i}, \dots, \widehat{a_j}, \dots, a_p)}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

Now fix $0 \leq j_0 < i_0 \leq p$. In the first summand of 1.14, the contribution of $i = i_0, j = j_0$ is

$$(-1)^{i_0+j_0} l_{(a_0, \dots, \widehat{a_{j_0}}, \dots, \widehat{a_{i_0}}, \dots, a_p)}. \quad (1.15)$$

On the other hand, in the second summand of 1.14, the contribution of $i = j_0, j = i_0$ is also

$$(-1)^{i_0+j_0} l_{(a_0, \dots, \widehat{a_{j_0}}, \dots, \widehat{a_{i_0}}, \dots, a_p)}. \quad (1.16)$$

These two contributions cancel each other. This way, one finds that the RHS of 1.14 vanishes. Hence,

$$\partial \partial l_{(a_0, \dots, a_p)} = 0. \quad (1.17)$$

In particular,

$$\partial \partial l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_p)} = 0. \quad (1.18)$$

Now, $l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_p)} : \Delta_p \rightarrow \Delta_p$ is continuous, so $l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_p)} \in S_p(\Delta_p)$. Furthermore, it is the identity map as we have seen in 1.4. Since $T : \Delta_p \rightarrow X$ is continuous, we can form $T_{\#} : S_p(\Delta_p) \rightarrow S_p(X)$.

$$T_{\#}(l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_p)}) = T \circ l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_p)} = T \circ \text{id}_{\Delta_p} = T. \quad (1.19)$$

Therefore, using the fact that $T_{\#}$ commutes with ∂ , we obtain

$$\partial \partial T = \partial \partial T_{\#}(l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_p)}) = T_{\#}(\partial \partial l_{(\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_p)}) = 0. \quad (1.20)$$

Hence, $\partial^2 T = 0$. ■