SPREADSHEETLLM Framework

- **Goal:** Enable LLMs to "understand" and reason over full spreadsheets despite token-length and 2D-layout challenges.
- Initial (Vanilla) Encoding:
 - o Serialize every cell as a sequence including its address (e.g. "A1"), value, and format.
 - o Limitation: Large sheets exceed model token limits and performance degrades.

• SHEETCOMPRESSOR Encoding Pipeline

To dramatically shrink input size while preserving layout and content, three modular steps are applied in sequence:

1. Structural-Anchor Compression

- Detect "anchors" (rows/columns where content changes) that mark table boundaries.
- o Discard distant, homogeneous rows/columns to yield a compact "skeleton" of key structure.

2. Inverted-Index Translation

- Build a JSON dictionary mapping each unique non-empty cell text to all its addresses.
- Replace repeated values with index lookups, preserving losslessness but slashing tokens.

3. Data-Format-Aware Aggregation

- o Group adjacent numeric cells sharing the same format string (e.g. "\$#,##0.00") or data type.
- Represent each rectangular block by its format, avoiding redundant numeric 1.
 Structural-Anchor-Based Extraction

Goal: Identify and retain only structurally important (heterogeneous) rows/columns to produce a skeleton version of the spreadsheet.

Implementation Steps:

- **Input:** Raw spreadsheet with all cells.
- Step 1 Detect Structural Anchors:
 - Use heuristics or statistical features to identify candidate table boundaries (e.g., rows with headers, or columns that differ significantly in format/content from neighbors).
 - These are the yellow-highlighted vertical and horizontal anchor lines in panels (a) and (b).
- Step 2 Remove Redundant Rows/Cols:

- Delete rows/columns that are homogeneous and far from detected anchors (e.g., repetitive numeric rows far from headers).
- o Retain only surrounding context (within k cells) from each structural anchor.
- Output: A significantly reduced spreadsheet—e.g., from $576 \times 23 \rightarrow 24 \times 8$ (as in panel (c)).

2. Inverted-Index Translation

Goal: Optimize serialization by grouping identical cell values and storing them as key-value pairs where the key is the value and the value is a list of cell addresses.

Implementation Steps:

- **Input:** Skeleton sheet from Step 1.
- Step 1 Traverse the Sheet:
 - o For each non-empty cell, check if its value already exists in a dictionary.
 - o If so, append the cell's coordinate (e.g., B4) to that value's list. If not, create a new entry.
- Step 2 Represent as JSON Dictionary:
 - o Structure: { "cell text": [list of coordinates] }
 - Examples from the encoding block:

```
json
CopyEdit
{
   "Atlantis": ["A2", "A7", "F5"],
   "QuantumMind": ["B2", "B3", "B4", "F4"],
   "20-Aug": ["D2:D18", "D21:D23"]
}
```

• Output: A JSON index that eliminates repeated values and avoids encoding empty cells.

3. Data-Format-Aware Aggregation

Goal: Group and represent adjacent numeric cells that share identical formats to further compress the spreadsheet.

Implementation Steps:

- **Input:** Inverted-index-translated sheet.
- Step 1 Scan for Format Similarities:
 - o Identify contiguous numeric cells (same data type or format string).
 - o Examples: currency (\$#, ##0.00), integer, percentage.

• Step 2 – Group Cells by Format:

o Merge them into labeled format clusters, e.g.:

```
json
CopyEdit
{
   "IntNum": ["C2:C4", "C6:C12"],
      "Percentage": ["H5:H6", "H8:H9"]
}
```

• Output: Further compressed index that encodes ranges by shared formatting blocks.

3 Method: Overview

We represent a sheet s as an $m \times n$ grid of cells and turn it into text via three independent, composable modules. First up:

3.1 Vanilla Spreadsheet Encoding

Goal: Turn every cell into a short Markdown-style line containing its address, value, and (optionally) format.

1. Data Structures

```
\# S: 2D array or dict mapping (i,j) \rightarrow Cell(value, format) text lines: List[str] = []
```

2. Loop over all cells

```
for i in range(1, m+1):
    for j in range(1, n+1):
        cell = S.get((i,j))
        if not cell or cell.is_empty():
            continue
        addr = f"{col_letter(j)}{i}"  # e.g. A1, B3, ...
        # Omit `cell.format` if you want faster token usage.
        line = f"|{addr},{cell.value},{cell.format}|"
        text lines.append(line)
```

3. Concatenate into a single string

```
T vanilla = "\n".join(text lines)
```

Note: Including rich format metadata (colors, borders, fonts) rapidly exhausts LLM token limits and often hurts accuracy—so default to value + address only, or prune formats to the essentials.

3.2 Structural-Anchor-Based Extraction

Goal: Strip away "boring" homogeneous rows/columns far from any table boundary, keeping only a small "skeleton" that preserves layout cues.

1. Measure Heterogeneity

- o For each row p, compute row_score[p] = number of distinct cell values or format changes in that row.
- o For each column q, compute col score[q] similarly.

2. Select Anchors

```
anchors_rows = { p | row_score[p] \geq \theta_row } anchors_cols = { q | col_score[q] \geq \theta_col } A = anchors rows U anchors cols
```

You can also include first/last row+col by default.

3. Define Neighborhood Radius

```
CopyEdit k = user defined threshold # e.g. 2 or 3
```

4. Build Retained Row/Col Sets

5. Extract Skeleton Cells

6. Coordinate Re-mapping

```
# Remap old row indices to 1...m', and cols to 1...n'
new_row_map = { old_i: new_i for new_i, old_i in
enumerate(sorted(kept_rows), start=1) }
new_col_map = { old_j: new_j for new_j in enumerate(sorted(kept_cols),
start=1) }

S_extracted = {
    (new_row_map[i], new_col_map[j]): cell
    for (i,j), cell in S_skeleton.items()
}
```

This preserves the logical relationships (e.g. "A1" \rightarrow "A1" in the compressed grid).

7. Compression Stats

- \circ Typically drops ~ 75% of cells
- Still retains ~ 97% of boundary rows/columns

8. Output for Next Step

```
Se = S_extracted
Te = vanilla encode(Se) # reuse 3.1 on the smaller S extracted
```

3.3 Inverted-Index Translation

Goal: Turn a flat list of (address, value) pairs into a lossless dictionary { value → [address or address_range, ...] }, dropping empties and merging repeats.

♦ Implementation Steps

1. Gather Non-Empty Cells

2. Build Value→Address Map

```
from collections import defaultdict
index = defaultdict(list)
for addr, val in cells:
   index[val].append(addr) # e.g. "A4", "B2", ...
```

3. Compress Addresses into Ranges

- Sort each list of addresses.
- o **Group** contiguous runs in the same row or column into compact ranges (e.g. "C2", "C3", "C4" → "C2:C4"; "D2", "E2", "F2" → "D2:F2").
- Function Sketch:

5. Output as JSON

```
python
CopyEdit
import json
T inverted = json.dumps(index, indent=2)
```

```
o Result: { "Atlantis": ["A2","A7","F5"], "QuantumMind":
   ["B2:B4","F4"], ... }
```

Effect: Boosts sheet-level compression ratio from $\sim 4.4 \times$ to $\sim 14.9 \times$ without losing any cell.

3.4 Data-Format-Aware Aggregation

Goal: Further collapse clusters of similarly typed or formatted cells—replacing raw values with their data type or Number Format String (NFS).

♦ Implementation Steps

1. Extract NFSs

- o Use a library (e.g. **OpenPyXL** or **ClosedXML**) to read the built-in Number Format String for each cell.
- o If NFS is missing, **infer** via rule-based matching on the cell's text:

```
python
CopyEdit
RULES = {
    r"^\d{4}-\d{2}-\d{2}$": "Date",
    r"^\d+$": "Integer",
    r"^\d+\\\d+$": "Float",
    r"^\d+\$": "Percentage",
    # ... plus Scientific, Time, Currency, Email, etc.
}
def infer_type(text):
    for pattern, dtype in RULES.items():
        if re.match(pattern, text):
            return dtype
    return "Others"
```

2. Label Each Cell

```
python
CopyEdit
label_map = {}
for addr, cell in Se.items():
    nfs = get_nfs(cell)  # library call, or None
    label = nfs or infer_type(cell.value)
    label map.setdefault(label, []).append(addr)
```

3. Cluster Adjacent Addresses

- o As in §3.3, sort and merge label_map[label] into ranges, but now grouping only those addresses that form rectangular blocks of identical label.
- o This yields for example:

```
CopyEdit
{
   "IntNum": ["C2:C4", "C6:C12"],
        "Percentage": ["H5:H6", "H8:H9"],
        ...
}
```

4. Produce Final Aggregated JSON

```
python
CopyEdit
T aggregated = json.dumps(label map, indent=2)
```

o **Effect:** Lift compression ratio from ~ 14.9× up to ~ 24.8×—now only format/type clusters remain

3.5 Chain of Spreadsheet (CoS)

We wrap our compressor+LLM pipeline into a two-stage procedure:

Stage 1: Table Identification & Boundary Detection

- 1. **Inputs:**
 - o T_compressed (the output of §3.4)
 - o user_query (natural-language question)

2. Prompt Template:

```
css
CopyEdit
You are a spreadsheet-understanding assistant.
Given the following compressed sheet representation:
    {T_compressed}
And the query:
    "{user_query}"
Identify the single table that contains the information needed to answer.
Output exactly:
    {
        "table_id": <TABLE_INDEX>,
        "bounds": { "top": r1, "left": c1, "bottom": r2, "right": c2 }
}
```

3. LLM Call & Parsing:

```
python
CopyEdit
detection_resp = llm(prompt_detection)
result = json.loads(detection_resp)
r1,c1,r2,c2 = result["bounds"].values()
```

4. Extract Sub-Table:

Map (r1...r2, c1...c2) back to your compressed or skeleton grid to get Table segment.

Stage 2: Response Generation

1. Prompt Template:

```
css
CopyEdit
You are given a table:
    {serialize(Table_segment)}
And the question:
    "{user_query}"
Answer concisely, citing cell addresses or formulas where appropriate.
```

2. LLM Call:

```
python
CopyEdit
answer = llm(prompt answer)
```

3. Output:

Return answer to the user.

4 Experiments: Evaluation Setup

4.1 Spreadsheet Table Detection

- Dataset:
 - 188 real-world spreadsheets (311 tables) from Dong et al. 2019b, human-validated boundaries.
 - o Split by token-count into Small/Medium/Large/Huge.
- Metric:
 - o **Error-of-Boundary-0 (EoB-0):** exact match of top/left/bottom/right.
 - o Report **F1** over all tables.
- Baselines & Models:
 - o **Baseline:** TableSense-CNN (Dong et al. 2019b).
 - o LLMs: GPT-4, GPT-3.5; open-source: Llama2, Llama3, Phi3, Mistral-v2.
 - o Few-shot prompting or fine-tuning on detection prompts.

4.2 Spreadsheet QA

- Dataset:
 - o 64 multi-table spreadsheets sampled from the corpus.

- 4-6 handcrafted questions each (search, compare, basic arithmetic), total 307
 (Q, A, S) tuples.
- o Answers as cell addresses or formulas.

• Evaluation:

- o **Exact match** on cell address or formula string.
- o Compare performance when using:
 - 1. Vanilla encoding + direct QA prompt
 - 2. Full CoS pipeline

4.2.2 Experiment Setup

1. Baselines Chosen

o **TAPEX** and **Binder** (optimized for single-table QA).

2. Multi-Table Adaptation

3. Token-Limit Handling

4. Answer Evaluation

- o Compare predicted cell address or formula string against ground-truth (Q, A, S) tuples.
- Accuracy = % exact matches.

5. Model Configuration

- Use **GPT-4** (instruct-tuned) for your CoS pipeline and for any fine-tuning.
- Refer to Appendix G for hyperparameters (learning rate, batch size, prompt templates).

4.2.3 Experiment Procedure

1. **Detection** \rightarrow **QA Loop**

```
python
CopyEdit
for (Q, A, S) in spreadsheet_QA_dataset:
    # 1. Table detection (Stage 1 of CoS)
    bounds = detect_table_bounds(S, Q)

# 2. Extract, compress, and possibly split
    seg = extract_subtable(S, bounds)
    seg_c = apply_compression(seg, modules=[1,2,3])
    seg_chunks = split_if_needed(seg_c, max_tokens)

# 3. For each chunk: run QA (Stage 2 of CoS)
    answers = [ run_baseline(chunk, Q) for chunk in seg_chunks ]
    pred = merge_or_select(answers)

# 4. Record correctness
log_result(Q, A, pred)
```

2. Further Compression & Splitting

- o If modules=[1, 2, 3] still exceeds GPT-4's token limit, deploy the **table-splitting** algorithm (see Appendix M.2):
 - Identify natural "sub-tables" via header detection.
 - Concatenate them into minimal overlapping segments.

3. Logging & Metrics

- o Track per-question: detection EoB-0, QA exact-match.
- o Aggregate overall and by spreadsheet size (Small/Medium/Large/Huge).

Table 1: Compression Ratios by Module

Modules	Total Tokens	Compression Ratio
None	1,548,577	1.00
1 (Structural Anchor)	350,946	4.41

2 (Inverted Index) 580,912 2.67 3 (Format Aggregation) 213,890 7.24 1+2 103,880 14.91 1+3 96,365 16.07 2+3 211,445 7.32 1+2+3 62,469 24.79	Modules	Total Tokens	Compression Ratio
1 + 2 103,880 14.91 1 + 3 96,365 16.07 2 + 3 211,445 7.32	2 (Inverted Index)	580,912	2.67
1 + 3 96,365 16.07 2 + 3 211,445 7.32	3 (Format Aggregation)	213,890	7.24
2+3 211,445 7.32	1 + 2	103,880	14.91
,	1 + 3	96,365	16.07
1 + 2 + 3 62,469 24.79	2 + 3	211,445	7.32
	1 + 2 + 3	62,469	24.79

Tip: Start with all three modules (1+2+3) for maximal compression; fall back to subsets only if you observe accuracy drops.

3.X Lightweight Heuristics for Structural-Anchor Proposal

Goal

python

Quickly propose rough table boundaries by purely heuristic rules—so that your LLM can refine them later.

Step 1: Identify High-Discrepancy Rows & Columns

- 1. Compute Discrepancy Features for each row i and column j:
 - o Value Changes: count how many adjacent cells differ in text or numeric value.
 - o Merged-Cell Presence: count merged cells in that row/column.
 - o Border Usage: count cells with non-default borders.
 - \circ Fill Color: count cells whose background color \neq default.
 - o Font Emphasis: count bold/italic cells.
- 2. Score & Threshold:

```
python
CopyEdit
row_score[i] = w1*Δvalue + w2*merges + w3*borders + w4*colors +
w5*fonts
anchors_rows = {i for i in all_rows if row_score[i] ≥ ROW_THRESH}
# similarly for columns → anchors cols
```

o Choose weights w1...w5 and ROW THRESH via small grid search on a dev set.

Step 2: Enumerate Candidate Boundaries

```
Form every rectangle (r_top, r_bot, c_left, c_right) by pairing:
```

```
CopyEdit
candidates = []
for r1 in anchors_rows:
   for r2 in anchors_rows:
    if r2 <= r1: continue
    for c1 in anchors_cols:
        for c2 in anchors_cols:
        if c2 <= c1: continue
        candidates.append((r1, r2, c1, c2))</pre>
```

Step 3: Filter Unreasonable Candidates

For each (r1, r2, c1, c2):

- 1. Size Check: require (r2-r1+1) ≥ MIN ROWS and (c2-c1+1) ≥ MIN COLS.
- 2. Density Check:

```
python
CopyEdit
area = (r2-r1+1)*(c2-c1+1)
non_empty = count_nonempty_cells(r1, r2, c1, c2)
sparsity = non_empty / area

- Reject if sparsity < SPARSITY MIN or > SPARSITY MAX.
```

3. Header-Row/Col Evidence:

- o Check top row r₁ has high text-ratio (≥ HEADER TEXT RATIO).
- Check left col c1 has ≥ HEADER_LABEL_RATIO of non-numeric values. –
 Reject if neither edge looks header-like.

Step 4: Resolve Overlapping Candidates

1. **Detect Overlaps:**

Two boundaries overlap if their intersection area is non-empty.

2. Pairwise Pruning:

For each overlapping pair A and B:

- o If $|A.r1 B.r1| \le DELTA R$ (close top edges), compare:
 - **Header Score** = text ratio(top row) + format ratio(year/date patterns).
- o **Keep** the one with higher header score; **discard** the other.
- 3. **Repeat** until no overlaps remain.

Step 5: Expand to Structural Anchors

From the final set of boundaries, collect:

Alkis Toutziaridis - Notes

```
python
CopyEdit
raw_anchor_rows = {r1, r2 for each boundary}
raw anchor cols = {c1, c2 for each boundary}
```

Then include neighbors within k units:

```
python CopyEdit k = 4 \quad \# \text{ preserves } > 97\% \text{ true boundary lines anchors_rows} = U_{p \in \text{raw\_anchor\_rows}} \{i \mid |i-p| \le k\} \text{ anchors cols} = U \{q \in \text{raw anchor cols}\} \{j \mid |j-q| \le k\}
```

These expanded sets become your structural anchors $A = \{rp, cq\}$ for §3.2.

Implementation Tips

- Parameter Tuning:
 - Calibrate ROW THRESH, MIN ROWS, SPARSITY MIN, etc. on a small validation set.
- Efficiency:
 - Precompute per-row/col scores once.
 - Discard obviously tiny candidates early to avoid combinatorial explosion.
- Robustness:
 - If boundaries are sparse, falling back to a higher k ensures you don't lose headers/notes.

F Spreadsheet Table Detection Test Dataset Partition

1. Encode Each Sheet in Markdown-Like Style (per §3.1)

• Text Lines:

For every non-empty cell (i, j) produce

```
less
CopyEdit
|{col_letter(j)}{i},{cell.value}|
```

• Format Lines:

In parallel, for each cell output

```
less
CopyEdit
|{col_letter(j)}{i},{comma-joined list of format attributes}|
```

Concatenate:

Join all text lines into one big string, and likewise for format lines (or interleave them, depending on your tokenizer setup).

2. Count Tokens

• Choose Tokenizer:

Use the same tokenizer your detection model (e.g. GPT-4) expects—for instance, OpenAI's tiktoken.

• Compute:

```
python
CopyEdit
import tiktoken
enc = tiktoken.get_encoding("cl100k_base")
tokens = enc.encode(full_markdown_string)
token count = len(tokens)
```

3. Assign Size Buckets

```
Token Range
Bucket
       < 4 000
Small
Medium 4 000 ≤ tokens < 8 000
Large
       8\ 000 \le tokens < 32\ 000
Huge
       tokens ≥ 32 000
python
CopyEdit
if token count < 4 000:
   bucket = "Small"
elif token count < 8 000:
   bucket = "Medium"
elif token count < 32 000:
   bucket = "Large"
else:
   bucket = "Huge"
```

• Store this bucket label alongside each sheet in your test metadata.

4. Example Encoding Snippet

Text Input

Format Input

```
mathematica
CopyEdit
|B2,Font Bold|C2,|D2,|E2,|F2,|G2,|H2,|
|B3,|C3,|D3,|E3,|F3,|G3,|H3,|
|B4,Bottom Border|C4,Bottom Border|D4,Bottom Border|E4,Bottom
Border|F4,Bottom Border|G4,Bottom Border|H4,Bottom Border|
|B5,Top Border,Right Border,Fill Color,Font Bold|
C5,Top Border,Bottom Border,Left Border,Fill Color,Font Bold|
D5,Top Border,Bottom Border,Fill Color,Font Bold|
E5,Top Border,Bottom Border,Right Border,Fill Color,Font Bold|
F5,Top Border,Bottom Border,Left Border,Fill Color,Font Bold|
F5,Top Border,Bottom Border,Left Border,Fill Color,Font Bold|
G5,Top Border,Bottom Border,Fill Color,Font Bold|
H5,Top Border,Bottom Border,Fill Color,Font Bold|
```

1. Example Spreadsheet QA Data Item

Each QA example is a tuple (question, ground truth, prompt):

- question: the user's natural-language query.
- ground_truth: list of cell addresses or formula(s) expected.
- prompt: your "Instruction + Encoded Spreadsheet" string fed into the LLM.

2. Cost Calculation

Track token usage and API cost like this:

- **Baseline (vanilla)** uses $\sim 1,548,000$ tokens $\rightarrow \sim \$0.00391$ (GPT-3.5) / \$0.235 (GPT-4).
- Compressed uses \sim 62,000 tokens \rightarrow saves \sim 96% in cost.

3. Qualitative Comparison with TableSense-CNN

Example from Figure 10:

• **Insight:** SLLM correctly includes column **R5:R14**, which TableSense-CNN missed because it's semantically linked (percentages of earlier columns) but spatially distant.

L.1 Vanilla Prompt Template for Table Detection

```
text
CopyEdit
INSTRUCTION:
You are given a serialized spreadsheet as a single line of cell tuples in row-major order.
Each tuple is formatted as `<Address>,<Value>`, and tuples are separated by `|`.
Empty cells appear as `<Address>,`.
Identify every table in this sheet (header + data rows only; exclude titles or comments).
Return a JSON array of range strings, e.g.:
```

```
["A2:D5", "K5:M14"]
Do **not** output any other text or explanation.
INPUT:
[Encoded Spreadsheet]
```

L.2 Compressed-Input Prompt Template for Table Detection

```
text
CopyEdit
INSTRUCTION:
You are given a compressed spreadsheet encoding as a JSON object mapping each
cell text or format label to one or more addresses/ranges, e.g.:
  {
    "Year": ["A1"],
    "IntNum": ["B2:B10"],
    "#,##0": ["C2:C10"],
  }
Detect all tables (header + data) in the sheet.
Return a JSON array of range strings, e.g.:
  ["A1:F9", "K5:R14"]
Do **not** include titles or comments, and do **not** add any other text.
INPUT:
[Compressed JSON]
```

L.3 Prompt Templates for Spreadsheet QA (CoS)

Stage 1 – Table Identification

text

Alkis Toutziaridis - Notes

```
Spreadsheet: [Compressed JSON]
Question: "<Your Question>"
```

Stage 2 – Answer Generation

```
text
CopyEdit
INSTRUCTION:
You are given:
    A single table (Markdown-style or JSON).
    A question whose answer lies within this table.

Locate the answer and return exactly one JSON object:
    {"answer": "<CellAddress or Formula>"}

Examples: `{"answer":"B3"}`, `{"answer":"SUM(A2:A10)"}`.
Do **not** add any other text or explanation.

INPUT:
Table: [Table Representation]
Question: "<Your Question>"
```

Tips for Use in Your Guide:

- Embed these templates verbatim in your code or README.
- Fill in [Encoded Spreadsheet], [Compressed JSON], and <Your Question> programmatically.
- Always parse the LLM's output as strict JSON to avoid downstream errors.

M.1 Identical Cell Aggregation (Algorithm 1)

Input:

• nfs[m] [n] — 2D matrix of Number Format Strings or inferred type labels for each cell.

Output:

• areas — list of tuples ((r1,c1), (r2,c2), val_type) denoting each rectangular block of identical type.

```
pseudo
CopyEdit
1. Let m, n = dimensions of nfs
2. visited ← 2D array [m][n], all False
3. areas ← []
4. FormatDict ← mapping from NFS string → canonical val_type
5. define dfs(r, c, val_type):
6. if visited[r][c] OR val type != FormatDict[nfs[r][c]]:
```

```
7. return bounds (r,c,r,c) # single-cell block
8. visited[r][c] \( - \) True
9. bounds \( - \) (r, c, r, c)
10. for each neighbor (tr, tc) in {up, down, left, right}:
11. if not visited[tr][tc] AND val_type == FormatDict[nfs[tr][tc]]:
12. sub_bounds = dfs(tr, tc, val_type)
13. expand bounds to include sub_bounds
14. return bounds
15. for r in 0 ... m-1:
16. for c in 0 ... n-1:
17. if not visited[r][c]:
18. val_type = FormatDict[nfs[r][c]]
19. (r1,c1,r2,c2) = dfs(r, c, val_type)
20. areas.append(((r1,c1),(r2,c2), val_type))
21. return areas
```

M.2 Table-Split QA Algorithm (Algorithm 2)

Input:

- question string
- region 2D table (list of rows), already compressed or extracted

Output:

• answers — list of answer strings (cell address or formula)

```
pseudo
CopyEdit
1. headers \leftarrow []
2. answers ← []
3. if calculateTokens(region) ≤ 4096:
4. return [ answer question(question, region) ]
5. else:
6. headers = predict header(region)
7. body = region[ len(headers) : ] # rows below header
8. for i in 0 ... len(body) -1:
    # build a small sub-table: header + 3 rows at a time
10. sub_table = headers + body[i : i+3]
11.
    answer = answer question(question, sub table)
12. answers.append(answer)
13. return answers
```

- calculateTokens: counts tokens in your chosen encoding.
- predict header: identifies header rows (e.g. via heuristic or model).
- answer question: runs your Stage 2 QA LLM prompt on the given sub-table.

Alkis Toutziaridis - Notes