## Appendix 1

A.T. Tredennick, A.R. Kleinhesselink, J.B. Taylor & P.B. Adler

"Consistent ecosystem functional response across precipitation extremes in a sagebrush steppe"

PeerI

## Section A1.1 Details on the plant community and dynamics

1

- Here we provide more details on the plant community in terms of dominance and rarity. Averaging across time, *Artemisia tripartita* and *Balsamorhiza sagittata* are the two most dominant species in each treatment. Combined, these two species represent 28% of total cover in control plots, 25% of total cover in drought plots, and 25% of total cover in irrigation plots. Four to five species dominate the community in general (Figure A1-1), indicating a high level of dominance in this plant community.
- We also conducted our community composition analysis with only annual species. Annual species are shorter-lived than the perennial species in our community, so they may respond more quickly to alterations of precipitation. In general, our results for annual species conform to the results from the full community analysis in the main text. Annual plant community composition is relatively stable through time (Fig. A1-6) and in most years there is no evidence that treatment differentiates community composition (Table A1-3). Note that in some years the vegan::metaMDS() returned unreliable estimates of Bray-Curtis distances for the annual community because of lack of sufficient data (i.e., many annual species with 0 abundance).

## Section A1.2 Tables

Table A1-1: Statistical results from linear model relating density of Allysum desertorum to precipitation.

```
##
23
   ## Call:
   ## lm(formula = mean_density ~ ppt1 * Treatment, data = filter(dom_annuals,
          species == "Allyssum desertorum"))
   ##
   ## Residuals:
   ##
           Min
                     1Q
                           Median
                                        3Q
                                                 Max
29
   ## -111.272 -76.522
                            0.508
                                    55.905
                                            166.639
30
   ##
31
  ## Coefficients:
   ##
                                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
   ## (Intercept)
                                -155.4889
                                            113.7219 -1.367
                                                                0.1966
   ## ppt1
                                   1.1452
                                              0.5245
                                                        2.183
                                                                0.0496 *
   ## TreatmentDrought
                                   2.3084
                                            160.8271
                                                        0.014
                                                                0.9888
   ## TreatmentIrrigation
                                            160.8271
                                                       -0.064
                                                                0.9503
                                 -10.2347
   ## ppt1:TreatmentDrought
                                   0.2719
                                              0.7418
                                                        0.367
                                                                0.7204
   ## ppt1:TreatmentIrrigation
                                   0.3590
                                               0.7418
                                                        0.484
                                                                0.6371
39
40
                      0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
   ## Signif. codes:
   ##
42
   ## Residual standard error: 90.87 on 12 degrees of freedom
   ## Multiple R-squared: 0.6479, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5012
   ## F-statistic: 4.417 on 5 and 12 DF, p-value: 0.01632
```


```
tion.
  ##
48
  ## Call:
  ## lm(formula = mean_density ~ ppt1 * Treatment, data = filter(dom_annuals,
          species == "Bromus tectorum"))
  ##
51
  ##
52
  ## Residuals:
53
  ##
          Min
                   1Q Median
                                    3Q
                                           Max
  ## -22.336 -8.428 -0.658
                                4.635
                                       41.814
  ## Coefficients:
                               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
  ##
  ## (Intercept)
                                -5.1712
                                            23.6611 -0.219
                                                               0.831
  ## ppt1
                                 0.0560
                                            0.1091
                                                      0.513
                                                               0.617
60
  ## TreatmentDrought
                                                     -0.366
                               -12.2400
                                            33.4619
                                                               0.721
  ## TreatmentIrrigation
                                -2.9937
                                            33.4619 -0.089
                                                               0.930
  ## ppt1:TreatmentDrought
                                             0.1543
                                                      0.771
                                                               0.456
                                  0.1190
  ## ppt1:TreatmentIrrigation
                                  0.1938
                                             0.1543
                                                      1.255
                                                               0.233
  ## Residual standard error: 18.91 on 12 degrees of freedom
  ## Multiple R-squared: 0.6229, Adjusted R-squared: 0.4657
  ## F-statistic: 3.964 on 5 and 12 DF, p-value: 0.02353
```

Table A1-2: Statistical results from linear model relating density of Bromus tectorum to precipita-


**Table A1-3** Results from statistical tests for clustering and dispersion of community composition among precipitation treatments for annual species only. 'adonis' tests whether treatments form unique clusters in multidimensial space; 'betadisper' tests whether treatments have similar dispersion. For both tests, P values greater than 0.05 indicate there is no support that the treatments differ.

| Year | Test       | n  | d.f. | F    | Р    |
|------|------------|----|------|------|------|
|      |            |    |      |      |      |
| 2011 | adonis     | 22 | 2    | 1.09 | 0.39 |
| 2011 | betadisper | 22 | 2    | 5.61 | 0.01 |
| 2012 | adonis     | 19 | 2    | 2.67 | 0.03 |
| 2012 | betadisper | 19 | 2    | 0.95 | 0.41 |
| 2013 | adonis     | 22 | 2    | 1.71 | 0.10 |
| 2013 | betadisper | 22 | 2    | 1.03 | 0.38 |
| 2014 | adonis     | 22 | 2    | 1.37 | 0.15 |
| 2014 | betadisper | 22 | 2    | 1.06 | 0.36 |
| 2015 | adonis     | 22 | 2    | 1.05 | 0.31 |
| 2015 | betadisper | 22 | 2    | 0.02 | 0.98 |
| 2016 | adonis     | 22 | 2    | 1.63 | 0.14 |
| 2016 | betadisper | 22 | 2    | 4.35 | 0.03 |


## Section A1.3 Figures




**Figure A1-1** Rank abundance curves for perennial species. Basal area of individuals was summed withnin years and plots, and then the total area values were averaged across years and plots for each treatment.



**Figure A1-2** Rank abundance curves for annual species. Density of individuals is averaged across years and plots for each treatment.



**Figure A1-3** Time series of rank abundance curves for perennial species in each treatment. Values of mean area were averaged over plots. The four most dominant species are labelled in each panel.



**Figure A1-4** Time series of rank abundance curves for annual species in each treatment. Values of density were averaged over plots. The two most dominant species are labelled in each panel.





Figure A1-5 Relationship between precipitation and abundance of dominant annuals.



**Figure A1-6** Nonmetric multidimensional scaling scores representing annual plant communities in each plot, colored by treatment.