

LINWOOD INSTITUTE – LEGAL COORDINATION UNIT
CONFIDENTIAL LEGAL ADVISORY MEMO

CONFIDENTIAL INTERNAL MEMO

From: Miles Renn, General Counsel

To: RMI Ethics Oversight Committee

CC: Executive Office

Date: January 17, 2021

Subject: On Continuing Autonomy of Director Linwood and RMI Directive Review

Committee Members,

Following recent discourse surrounding the direction of the Recall Modulation Index (RMI) project-specifically in relation to Dr. Linwood's evolving methodologies-I would like to formally register Legal's position on institutional support and procedural boundaries.

At this time, we have found no breach of internal compliance statutes. Director Linwood's adjustments to the Phase II timeline, including loop density calibration and expanded vault indexing, remain within scope of the original grant protocol as filed with Institutional Oversight in 2019. Our current documentation does not require individual board approval for micro-adjustments within reinforced testing parameters.

I understand Dr. Reynolds has voiced personal and procedural objections to what she has referred to as a 'philosophical deviation.' While I respect Amanda's long-standing contributions to Linwood's ethical code, I must caution against conflating moral discomfort with policy violation. Emotionally charged interpretations-however well-intentioned-should not supersede governing protocol.

We have always granted our research directors operational autonomy for a reason. Innovation does not evolve linearly, and oversight must allow for scalable intent. I see no basis at this time for disciplinary intervention or project suspension.

Please direct any further inquiries through Legal Coordination.

Regards,

Miles Renn

General Counsel, Linwood Institute